

City of Sacramento  
**Active Transportation Commission Report**  
915 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814  
www.cityofsacramento.org

---

File ID: 2026-00384

1/15/2026

---

**The Norwood Mobility Plan: Final Draft**

File ID: 2026-00384

**Location:** District 1 and District 2

**Recommendation:** Pass a **Motion** to recommend City Council adopt the Norwood Mobility Plan Final Draft.

**Contact:** Charisse Padilla, Associate Planner, (916) 808-6788, cpadilla@cityofsacramento.org;  
Jennifer Donlon Wyant, Mobility and Sustainability Division Manager, (916) 808-5913,  
JDonlonWyant@cityofsacramento.org, Department of Public Works

**Presenter:** Charisse Padilla, Associate Planner, (916) 808-6788,  
cpadilla@cityofsacramento.org, Department of Public Works

**Attachments:**

- 1-Description/Analysis
- 2-Final Draft Plan
- 3-ATC Presentation

**Description/Analysis**

**Issue Detail:** In July 2024, City staff kicked off The Norwood Mobility Project, which was funded through a competitive Caltrans Sustainable Transportation Planning Grant. The outcome is a data-driven, community-supported plan that identifies future transportation investments to improve safety and access for people walking, biking, rolling, driving, and taking transit to community destinations such as schools, parks, grocery stores, and transit along the Norwood Avenue corridor, from Main Avenue to Arcade Creek. Since its kick-off, the project team has completed the following tasks:

**Fall/ Winter 2024:** Existing Conditions Analysis and Report

**Fall/ Winter 2024:** Phase 1 Community Engagement (gather community input about transportation needs, safety concerns, and barriers to destinations)

**Spring/ Summer 2025:** Alternatives Analysis and Report

**Summer 2025:** Phase 2 Community Engagement (Alternative recommendations and collect community feedback)

**Fall 2025:** Develop Public Draft Plan/ Phase 3 Community Engagement (Community review and comment on Public Draft plan based on preferred alternative from Phase 2)

The results from Phase 1 community input and the existing conditions report were evaluated to inform and develop the alternative recommendations, which were presented to the community and this Commission for feedback in Phase 2. Based on public comment from Phase 2 engagement, as well as comments from this Commission in August 2025, the project team developed the Public Draft Plan for community review and feedback (Phase 3). The Public Draft Plan was also presented to Active Transportation Commission for review and comment in October 2025 (Phase 3).

The Norwood Mobility Plan Final Draft was created with input from area communities, the Active Transportation Commission, and analysis of existing conditions data. The Final Draft Plan includes:

- Removing a travel lane in each direction north of Jessie Avenue and south of Harris Avenue
- Adding a landscaping buffer, sidewalk lighting, and shade trees on the east side
- Adding sidewalks north of Berthoud Street
- Adding a separated bikeway in each direction
- Removing on-street parking south of Bell Avenue
- Adding roundabouts at designated locations for traffic calming
- Adding a cantilever bridge on both sides of the I-80 overpass

**Policy Considerations:** The project is consistent with specific Sacramento 2040 General Plan goals and policies of promoting mobility, safety and enhancing livability, sustainability, and economic vitality:

**M-1.** An equitable, sustainable multimodal system that provides a range of viable and healthy travel choices for users of all ages, backgrounds, and abilities.

**M-1.2 User Prioritization.** The City shall prioritize mobility, comfort, health, safety, and convenience for those walking, followed by those bicycling and riding transit, ahead of design and operations for those driving.

**M-1.3 Healthy Transportation System Options.** The City shall plan and make investments to foster a transportation system that improves the health of Sacramento residents through actions that make active transportation, nonmotorized modes, high-occupancy, and zero emission vehicles (ZEVs) viable, attractive alternatives to automobiles that use internal combustion engines.

**M-1.4 Designing to Move People.** In planning, designing, and managing the transportation system,

the City shall prioritize person throughput to shift trips to more efficient travel modes and upgrade the performance of limited street space.

**M-1.9 Equitable Processes and Outcomes.** The City shall ensure that the transportation system is planned and implemented with an equitable process to achieve equitable outcomes and investments so that all neighborhoods one day will have similar levels of transportation infrastructure such as sidewalks, marked low stress crossings, and bikeways.

**M-3. Streets designed and maintained as places that contribute to quality of life.**

**M-3.2 Street Design.** The City shall ensure street design and potential redesign opportunities for existing streets minimize driver speed as appropriate within residential neighborhoods and incorporate street trees wherever possible without compromising connectivity for emergency access or people bicycling, walking, and using mobility devices.

**M-4. A safer transportation system.**

**M-4.1 Application of Safety.** The City shall design, plan, and operate streets using complete streets principles to ensure the safety and mobility of all users.

**M-4.2 Safer Driving Speeds.** The City shall work to maximize the safety of the transportation network by designing streets for lower driving speeds and enforcing speed limits in an unbiased manner as well as promoting safer driving behavior.

**M-4.3 Vision Zero.** The City shall utilize a data driven, “vision zero” approach to eliminate all traffic fatalities and severe injuries by 2027, while increasing safety, health, and equitable mobility for all.

Additionally, this is in accordance with the following Council approved plans:

**Vision Zero:** This plan supports the City’s Vision Zero goals to utilize a data driven, “vision zero” approach to eliminate all traffic fatalities and severe injuries by 2027, while increasing safety, health, and equitable mobility for all. This corridor is part of the City’s Vision Zero High Injury Network.

**Transportation Priorities Plan (TPP):** Norwood Avenue is ranked high priority in the City’s Transportation Priorities Plan (TPP), which applies community-based values, criteria, and metrics to prioritize transportation investments in the city, including: 1) improving air quality and health; 2) providing equitable investment; 3) providing access to destinations; 4) improving transportation safety; and 5) fixing and maintaining the transportation system.

**Climate Action & Adaptation Plan (CAAP):** This plan will support the City’s mode shift goals in the CAAP to achieve 6% active transportation mode share by 2030 and 12% by 2045, as well as support

public transit improvements to achieve 11% public transit mode share by 2030 and maintain through 2045.

**Economic Impacts:** None.

**Environmental Considerations:** This transportation planning study is exempt from the requirements of CEQA pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15262, Feasibility and Planning Studies. Section 15262 exempts projects involving only feasibility or planning studies for possible future actions, which have not been approved, adopted, or funded. It is anticipated that future projects identified in this plan may be subject to environmental evaluation under CEQA guidelines and possibly National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requirements.

**Sustainability:** The plan will provide for improved active transportation support, to reduce the necessity for trips by automobile and related air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions.

**Commission/Committee Action:** The action requested is for the Commission to pass a Motion to recommend City Council adopt the Norwood Mobility Final Draft Plan

**Rationale for Recommendation:** The Norwood Mobility Project was presented to the Active Transportation Commission in Phase 1 and Phase 2 in November 2024 and August 2025, respectively, for review and comment on community engagement and proposed alternative recommendations. The Norwood Mobility Public Draft Plan was presented to the Active Transportation Commission on October 16, 2025 (phase 3), for review and feedback from the public and Commission.

**Financial Considerations:** Following adoption of this plan, future phases of implementation, such as preliminary engineering design, environmental clearance, final design and construction will require the acquisition of grant funding. The design concepts with more, higher cost features will require greater funding and will likely take longer to realize.


**Local Business Enterprise (LBE):** Not applicable.



FINAL DRAFT

# The Norwood Mobility Plan

## The Norwood Mobility Project Plan Corridor



December 2025

# Acknowledgments

---

## CITY COUNCIL

**Kevin McCarty** | Mayor

**Lisa Kaplan** | District 1

**Roger Dickinson** | District 2

**Karina Talamantes** | District 3

**Phil Pluckebaum** | District 4

**Caity Maple** | District 5

**Eric Guerra** | District 6

**Rick Jennings** | District 7

**Mai Vang** | District 8

## CITY STAFF

**Charisse Padilla** | Project Manager

**Jennifer Donlon Wyant** | Mobility and Sustainability Division Manager

## PROJECT DEVELOPMENT TEAM (PDT)

**Nick Ahantab** | Assistant Engineer, Public Works Department

**Ryan Billeci** | Senior Engineer, Public Works Department

**Elizabeth Boyd** | Senior Planner, Community Development Department

**Pelle Clark** | Senior Engineer, Public Works Department

**Khisraw Ghazi** | Assistant Engineer, Public Works Department

**Fatima Malik** | Associate Planner, Community Development Department

**William Shunk** | Senior Engineer, Public Works Department

## COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Del Paso Heights Neighborhood Association

Greater Sacramento Urban League

Mutual Assistance Network

Mutual Housing

Robla Park Community Association

Robla School District

Strawberry Manor Neighborhood Association

Twin Rivers Unified School District

## CONSULTANT TEAM

DKS Associates

PSOMAS



The Norwood  
**Mobility Project**

# Table of Contents

---

<b>1</b>	Why Norwood Avenue? . . . . .	1	<b>6</b>	The Vision . . . . .	17
<b>2</b>	Project Goals . . . . .	3	<b>7</b>	How Do We Get There? . . . . .	22
<b>3</b>	The Process . . . . .	4	<b>Appendix</b>		
<b>4</b>	Norwood Avenue Today . . . . .	5	A - Existing Conditions Analysis Report, April 2025		
<b>5</b>	Community Engagement . . . . .	9	B - Alternatives Development Report, July 2025		
			C - Engagement Summaries		
			D - Concept Plan Set		
			E - Planning Level Cost Estimates		

# List of Figures

Figure 1. Norwood Avenue Study Area .....1	Figure 11. Norwood Avenue Crash Locations.....8	Figure 22. Phase 3 Online Feedback Page for Draft Plan ..... 16
Figure 2. Norwood Avenue Study Process.....4	Figure 12. Phase 1 Web-Based Engagement Tools..... 10	Figure 23. Norwood Avenue Recommended Alternative Cross Section.....17
Figure 3. Norwood Avenue Typical Existing Cross Section.....5	Figure 13. Engagement Comments by Category .....11	Figure 24. Norwood Avenue Recommended Alternative.....17
Figure 4. Norwood Avenue Study Area Existing Conditions .....5	Figure 14. Engagement Comment Types by Location ....11	Figure 25. Project Elements Between Bell Avenue and Jessie Avenue ..... 18
Figure 5. Norwood Avenue Study Area Segments .....6	Figure 15. Alternative 1 (Sidewalk Improvement) ..... 14	Figure 26. Project Elements for the I-80 Interchange..... 19
Figure 6. Main Avenue to Bell Avenue Existing Cross Section.....6	Figure 16. Alternative 2a (Lane Reallocation) ..... 14	Figure 27. Project Elements Between Morrison Avenue and Morey Avenue ..... 20
Figure 7. Bell Avenue to I-80 Existing Cross Section.....6	Figure 17. Alternative 2b (Lane Reallocation) ..... 14	Figure 28. Project Elements Between Kesner Avenue and Silver Eagle Road..... 21
Figure 8. I-80 to Carroll Avenue Cross Section .....7	Figure 18. Alternative 2c (Lane Reallocation) ..... 14	
Figure 9. Carroll Avenue to Arcade Creek Cross Section.....7	Figure 19. Alternative 3 (Shared Use Path)..... 14	
Figure 10. Norwood Avenue Crash Factors.....8	Figure 20. Proposed Alternatives by Community Preference ..... 15	
	Figure 21. Proposed Alternatives Ranked by Community Priorities ..... 15	

# 1

## Why Norwood Avenue?




FIGURE 1. NORWOOD AVENUE STUDY AREA

Norwood Avenue is a critical corridor serving communities, schools and businesses.

Over 17,000 people live within 0.5 miles of Norwood Avenue, giving them access to places that shape daily life:

-  **Five schools**
-  **Nine parks**
-  **A community center**
-  **Supermarkets, restaurants, and other businesses**


Norwood Avenue is a vital north-south connection in northern Sacramento. As shown in **Figure 1**, this plan includes Norwood Avenue from Main Avenue in the north to Arcade Creek in the south.

Norwood Avenue links two historically disadvantaged communities, and connects people to schools, homes, parks, local businesses, and regional destinations. It is the backbone for thousands of residents who live and work nearby.

Today, Norwood Avenue reflects an older design focused primarily on moving cars through, not supporting the communities who need access to destinations along the street. The street has narrow or missing sidewalks, limited space for biking, and inconsistent connections to transit. People using the corridor face barriers to mobility, including long distances between marked and controlled crossings, high vehicle speeds, and limited shade. These challenges make it difficult for the area communities, especially youth, seniors, and people with disabilities, to get where they need to go.

Norwood Avenue is a high priority for the City of Sacramento. It is part of the City's Vision Zero High Injury Network, a list of corridors with the most severe and fatal crashes. It is identified as a priority project in the City's Transportation Priorities Plan, which was shaped by extensive community input. The corridor plays an important role in meeting broader City goals around climate action, community equity, and active transportation, supporting efforts like the Climate Action and Adaptation Plan, the Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG) Regional Trail Network, and the Sacramento Regional Transit (SacRT) Bus Stop Improvement Plan.

**The purpose of The Norwood Mobility Plan is to transform this corridor into a place that better reflects the needs and aspirations of the communities on and near the street. Through strong partnerships and meaningful engagement, the Plan will help redesign Norwood Avenue as a welcoming, inclusive, and connected corridor, making it easier and more comfortable for people to walk, bike, take transit, and reach daily destinations.**



The project team walking the Norwood Avenue corridor to document existing conditions. They took note of multiple transit stops (left) and school zones (right).

# 2

## Project Goals



### MOBILITY

This goal aims to connect people to school, work, parks, and essential services. This includes:

- Supporting transit, including SacRT Routes 19 and 86 that serve Norwood Avenue, connecting communities with limited mobility options
- Eliminating the I-80 barrier for people walking and bicycling
- Supporting access to I-80 for regional travel

### COMMUNITY

This goal aims to support and uplift community. This includes:

- Addressing long-faced barriers to transportation access, housing, and services in two disadvantaged communities in the corridor
- Centering local voices in the corridor planning process for a more connected, inclusive corridor

The area surrounding Norwood Avenue is home to over 17,000 people and includes 1,900 jobs. Norwood Avenue runs through two disadvantaged communities where residents have long faced barriers to transportation access, housing, and services. The corridor planning process centered local voices for a more connected, inclusive corridor.

Historic neighborhoods adjacent to Norwood Avenue, such as West Del Paso Heights and Strawberry Manor, reflect deep roots with legacy connections to farming and multi-generational communities. Community organizations such as the Hmong Youth and Parents United (HYPU) and Mutual Assistance Network (MAN) provide vital support for youth, families, and neighborhood development. Cultural, recreational, and civic spaces like the Robertson Community Center and nearby parks highlight the community's resilience and engagement.

### SAFETY

This goal aims to address transportation safety challenges. Between 2018 and 2022, 137 crashes on Norwood resulted in an injury, injuring:

- 13 people walking
- 16 people biking
- 108 people in a car



### MOVING TOWARDS VISION ZERO

The City is currently working on an update to the 2018 Vision Zero Action Plan:

- Using historic crash data to pinpoint the factors contributing to traffic deaths and serious injuries
- Identifying proven safety countermeasures to address those factors through education, engineering, enforcement, and evaluation
- Taking a systematic and comprehensive approach to our transportation environment

# 3 The Process



To achieve the project goals, the Norwood Mobility study followed a four-step process, as shown chronologically in **Figure 2** and listed below:

### 1. UNDERSTAND EXISTING CONDITIONS AND COMMUNITY NEEDS

A detailed technical analysis was completed to evaluate current conditions and community needs for walking, biking, transit, and driving along Norwood Avenue. These findings were shared with the community during a series of open houses and workshops in winter 2024/2025, where residents added valuable insights. A full summary is provided in **Appendix A – Existing Conditions Report**.

### 2. DEVELOP AND EVALUATE CORRIDOR DESIGN ALTERNATIVES

In response to both technical findings and community needs, three design alternatives were created. Each proposed new sidewalks, bikeways, crosswalks, intersection changes, and safety improvements. These alternatives are described in **Appendix B – Alternatives Development Report**.

### 3. SELECT A PREFERRED DESIGN CONCEPT

The three alternatives were presented to the community for feedback. Based on community input, the City identified a preferred design concept that addresses safety, connectivity, and community vision. This Plan reflects that preferred concept.

### 4. PLAN FOR IMPLEMENTATION

Concept plans and cost estimates were prepared for the preferred concept to position the City for next steps towards implementation. The concept plans are provided in **Appendix D - Concept Plan Set**, while the cost estimates are in **Appendix E - Planning Level Cost Estimates**.

## SCHEDULE




FIGURE 2. NORWOOD AVENUE STUDY PROCESS

# 4

## Norwood Avenue Today




### CORRIDOR DESCRIPTION

The Norwood Avenue planning area is from Main Avenue in the north to Arcade Creek in the south, covering approximately 1.7 miles. It includes the I-80 interchange and overpass, which is one of the only crossings of the freeway in the area, making Norwood Avenue a critical connector for communities traveling to other neighborhoods, schools, parks, and regional destinations.

The corridor primarily features two travel lanes in each direction, with a center two-way left-turn lane along many segments, as shown in **Figure 3**. It passes through a mix of single-family and multi-family residential areas, schools, local parks, and community-serving commercial and industrial zones, including a retail job center near Jessie Avenue and an industrial job center south of the freeway. While there is only one school on the street, there are four other schools immediately off the corridor.


Today, Norwood Avenue lacks consistent sidewalks, bikeways, and crossings. SacRT's Route 19 provides the only fixed-route transit service along the corridor. SacRT's Route 86 runs perpendicular to the corridor, however, it overlaps Route 19 between Grand Avenue and Silver Eagle Road. These gaps (as shown in **Figure 4**) limit mobility options and comfort for people walking, biking, or riding transit—especially given the corridor's role in serving two historically underserved communities and multiple schools and parks.



**FIGURE 3.** NORWOOD AVENUE TYPICAL EXISTING CROSS SECTION

The corridor was organized into four segments (as shown in **Figure 5** on the following page) based on general characteristics and surrounding land use.

A summary of each segment is included below, with more detailed information about the study corridor and current conditions included in **Appendix A**.



**FIGURE 4.** NORWOOD AVENUE STUDY AREA EXISTING CONDITIONS




FIGURE 5. NORWOOD AVENUE STUDY AREA SEGMENTS

### SEGMENT #1: MAIN AVENUE TO BELL AVENUE

Adjacent land uses include residential and vacant lands, with Norwood Junior High School located south of Main Avenue. This segment of Norwood Avenue has one lane per direction from Grace Avenue to Main Avenue and transitions to two lanes per direction with a center turn-lane from Grace Avenue to Bell Avenue.

The posted speed limit for Segment 1 is 25 MPH in the school zone, and 35 MPH outside of the school zone. The annual daily traffic is 12,064 vehicles. While there are places where there are sidewalks and bikeways, there are also locations that do not have sidewalks or bikeways (gaps in the network). The sidewalks and bikeways are also inconsistent in width. There is lighting for the street except at vacant parcels. There is no existing transit service in this segment.

Figure 6 shows the existing cross section view of Segment 1.




FIGURE 6. MAIN AVENUE TO BELL AVENUE EXISTING CROSS SECTION



Typical alignment along Segment 1.

### SEGMENT #2: BELL AVENUE TO I-80

Adjacent land uses include residential and commercial uses, with some parking lot frontage and fast-food restaurants near the freeway. This segment of Norwood Avenue is two lanes per direction with a center turn-lane.

The posted speed limit for Segment 2 is 35 MPH, and the annual daily traffic is 19,310 vehicles. There are sidewalks on both sides of the street. The only bikeway is a 200-foot segment that approaches Bell Avenue from the south. Lighting is provided for the street and sidewalks. Bus Route 19 operates south of Bell Avenue.

On-street parking is allowed between Bell Avenue and Jessie Avenue on both sides of the street, in front of adjacent apartments and businesses.

Figure 7 shows the existing cross section view of Segment 2.




FIGURE 7. BELL AVENUE TO I-80 EXISTING CROSS SECTION




Typical alignment along Segment 2.

**SEGMENT #3: I-80 TO CARROLL AVENUE**

Adjacent land uses include residential and commercial uses, along with some parks and Robertson Community Center. This segment of Norwood Avenue is two lanes per direction with a center turn-lane.

The posted speed limit for Segment 3 is 25 MPH in the school zone, and 35 MPH outside of the school zone. The annual daily traffic is 10,772 vehicles. There are sidewalks on both sides. There are no bikeways. There is lighting for the street except at vacant parcels. Bus Route 86 crosses Norwood Avenue in this segment and Bus Route 19 operates in both directions along this segment with ten stops.

Figure 8 shows the existing cross section view of Segment 3.



**FIGURE 8.** I-80 TO CARROLL AVENUE CROSS SECTION




*Typical alignment along Segment 3.*

**SEGMENT #4: CARROLL AVENUE TO ARCADE CREEK**

Adjacent land use is mostly residential and includes Gateway Park on the east side. This segment of Norwood Avenue is two lanes per direction with a center turn lane from Carroll Avenue to Fairbanks Avenue. From Fairbanks Avenue to Arcade Creek the roadway narrows to one lane per direction across the Arcade Creek bridge.

The posted speed limit for Segment 4 is 35 MPH, and the annual daily traffic is 14,302 vehicles. This segment has consistent sidewalks and bike lanes on both sides of the street. Lighting is present for the street and sidewalks. Bus Route 19 operates in both directions along the segment.

Figure 9 shows the existing cross section view of Segment 4.



**FIGURE 9.** CARROLL AVENUE TO ARCADE CREEK CROSS SECTION



*Typical alignment along Segment 4.*



## CRASH TRENDS

### Between 2018 and 2022, a total of 16 fatal or serious injury crashes occurred along Norwood Avenue,

reemphasizing the safety need identified in the City's 2018 Vision Zero Action Plan.

#### The top three primary crash factors on Norwood Avenue were:

- Driver failing to yield the right-of-way**
- Disobeying traffic signals or signs**
- Unsafe speeds**

Figures 10 and 11 show the crash causes, types, frequency, and locations along Norwood Avenue. Crashes were most common at intersections, especially in the central portion of the corridor. Broadside crashes were the most frequent overall, often happening where vehicles failed to yield while turning.

The area between the I-80 interchange and Harris Avenue showed more rear-end crashes, often linked to congestion during peak hours. Signal violations were reported at Grand Avenue and Silver Eagle Road, while unsafe speeds were a factor between Silver Eagle Road and Fairbanks Avenue.

The highest concentration of crashes occurred between Bell Avenue and Jessie Avenue, where a mix of head-on, sideswipe, and turning-related collisions were recorded. This segment also had the most reported crashes involving people walking or biking, including sideswipes and midblock conflicts.

At Norwood Avenue and Grand Avenue, multiple DUI and hit-object crashes were reported, along with sideswipe crashes involving people biking.




FIGURE 10. NORWOOD AVENUE CRASH FACTORS




FIGURE 11. NORWOOD AVENUE CRASH LOCATIONS

# 5 Community Engagement



## The Norwood Avenue Mobility Project is rooted in the voices and experiences of the people who live, work, travel, and gather along the corridor.

From the beginning, the planning process has emphasized community engagement and feedback to ensure that the corridor vision is shaped by local priorities, values, and lived realities. Engagement efforts sought to reach a broad cross-section of the corridor’s diverse residents, businesses, and organizations, recognizing the long history of transportation barriers and the community’s vision for a safer and more connected Norwood Avenue. The following chapter outlines how community voices shaped the planning process and how they were incorporated into the final plan.

### ENGAGEMENT GUIDING PRINCIPLES

- Community engagement is based on the belief that those who are affected by a decision have a right to be involved in the decision-making process.
- Community engagement promotes sustainable decisions by recognizing and communicating the needs and interests of all participants, including decision-makers.
- Community engagement seeks out and facilitates the involvement of those potentially affected by or interested in a decision.
- Community engagement provides participants with the information they need to participate in a meaningful way.
- Community engagement communicates to participants how their input affected the decision.

### ENGAGEMENT METHODS

The Community Engagement Plan took place in three phases:

- Phase 1: Listen and Learn
- Phase 2: Share and Refine
- Phase 3: Report Back

### COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED PRIORITIES

- Make streets safer, especially in areas where lots of crashes have happened.
- Add more designated places to cross the street, like crosswalks with signals, so it’s easier and safer for people walking.
- Plant trees to calm traffic, provide shade for people walking and bicycling, and to create a sense of inviting space.
- Build calm, comfortable routes that help people walk, bike, or roll to get to the bus safely.

More details about the engagement can be found in [Appendix C – Engagement Summaries](#).




The project team connecting with the community at a variety of engagement events.

## PHASE 1: LISTEN AND LEARN

The first engagement phase introduced the planning process and invited community members to share their needs and ideas through the following elements:

- City webpage
- Web-based engagement tools (as shown in **Figure 12**)
  - » Community Needs and Priorities Surveys
  - » Online Mapping/Comment Capture Tool
  - » City Newsletter/ Blog posts
- Multilingual Engagement Materials and Tools
- Community Advisory Committee
- Pop-ins at Local Events
  - » Harvest Festival
  - » Community Association Meetings
- Virtual and In-Person Workshops

**Figures 13** and **14** on the following page provide a summary of the engagement comments by type, location, and category.



**FIGURE 12.** PHASE 1 WEB-BASED ENGAGEMENT TOOLS



Community feedback was collected in a variety of formats. The project team handed out flyers with links to online engagement tools (top), in addition to participating in pop-ins at local events and hosting virtual and in-person workshops (middle, bottom).

Through the community needs and priority surveys, the planning team learned:

- High daily use: 76% of survey respondents travel on Norwood Avenue every day
- Travel modes: 75% typically drive, 29% walk or roll, and 16% use public transit
- Top priorities:
  - » Safer and more frequent pedestrian/bike crossings
  - » Slower driver speeds, improved driver safety
  - » Better walking conditions (e.g., wider sidewalks, added street trees)

Based on responses from the online interactive map and in-person events, the primary locations of concern were the intersections of Norwood Avenue with Bell Avenue, Jessie Avenue, and I-80 eastbound and westbound on/off ramps. These four locations made up almost half of the comments collected during this phase. Walking access, driving operations, and safety were the most prominent concerns for respondents at those locations.




FIGURE 13. ENGAGEMENT COMMENTS BY CATEGORY




FIGURE 14. ENGAGEMENT COMMENT TYPES BY LOCATION

## INCORPORATING COMMUNITY INPUT

Based on identified needs for the corridor and community comments, the project team identified **five key elements** to incorporate into a corridor vision.

### KEY ELEMENTS TO ADDRESS WALKING AND ROLLING NEEDS

- Wider and connected sidewalks that are low stress and comfortable to walk and roll along
- Shade trees and landscape buffer to provide relief for people walking and provide separation for people walking
- Striped and signalized crosswalks for accessible and safer crossing for all users
- Connections to parks, schools and recreation opportunities



#### COMMUNITY INPUT

"[Need] wider sidewalks."

"Provide safe crossways"; "make crossing more visible and safer."

"Improved child safety to/from/around schools."

"Would love to have wide sidewalks all the way through Norwood."

"Sidewalks are too narrow and does not allow for enough clearance for wheelchairs, strollers, etc."

### KEY ELEMENTS TO ADDRESS BICYCLING NEEDS

- Bikeways separated from the street for a more comfortable and low stress environment for people biking
- Intersection treatments to reduce conflicts and provide bicycle detection at traffic signals
- Connections to parks, schools and recreation opportunities



#### COMMUNITY INPUT

"[Need] wider bike lanes."

"Smoother roads to get rid of cracks and holes are dangerous for bikers."

"Bike lanes seem to be non-existent."

### KEY ELEMENTS TO ADDRESS TRANSIT NEEDS

- Lower stress environment providing buffered sidewalks and bikeways, and marked crosswalks to bus stops for all users
- Improved stop amenities with shade, seating, lighting and maintenance consistent with demand and service
- Intersection and stop treatments to improve on-time performance



#### COMMUNITY INPUT

"[Need] more transportation service and more frequent."

"Bus stops don't feel safe. No division between property and bus stop- no benches, etc."

"Bus stops need shelters + places to sit."

## KEY ELEMENTS TO ADDRESS DRIVING NEEDS

- Access to homes, businesses and schools with a consistent design
- Reallocated street space to enhance safety by minimizing conflicts between people driving and other street users
- Street lighting to improve visibility at intersections and along the corridor at night
- Roundabouts to improve access to key side streets



### COMMUNITY INPUT

“[Need] proper lighting.”

“Use roundabouts.”

“[Need] ways to slow down vehicular traffic. Vehicles routinely speed over 40 mph.”

“Speeding cars make it difficult to get from side streets to Norwood center lane.”

“Meter entering west bound on I-80 causes bottleneck on northbound and southbound.”

## KEY ELEMENTS TO SUPPORT COMMUNITY HEALTH

- Low stress and safer elements will encourage people to walk and bike recreationally
- Elements that improve the attractiveness of the corridor (like landscaping and art) will spur economic investment
- Gateway elements like roundabouts and trees will lower speeds in the corridor and improve air quality



### COMMUNITY INPUT

“[Install] artworks of Norwood Jr. High students.”

“[Find] ways to slow down vehicular traffic.”

“Use roundabouts.”

“Need more flowers and trees.”

“More TREES!”

“Improved access to park.”



## CREATING A CONCEPT DESIGN

Based on community priorities and the conditions of Norwood Avenue, the project team identified a set of design elements that could help achieve the project's goals. Each element was reviewed individually and in combination to form different corridor alternatives. These alternatives, shown in **Figures 15-19**, offered a range of approaches for improving mobility and safety while reflecting community needs.

### OVERCOMING CHALLENGES AND CONSTRAINTS

Community members shared their vision on how to improve mobility and safety while meeting the project's goals. While many ideas were suggested, Norwood Avenue does not have space for every feature, and widening the corridor by taking private property is not a feasible option. The project team balanced community input, safety, mobility for all users, effectiveness, and feasibility to identify options that best fit community priorities within the existing street space.

### ACHIEVING PROJECT GOALS

Each alternative was evaluated, both by the project team and the community, on how well it met the **project's goals**:

 **Mobility**

 **Safety**

 **Community**

Each alternative was also evaluated on how well it met the **project's priorities**:


#### **Mobility Priorities:**

- Improve signal protected crossing opportunities
- Create low stress, accessible paths to transit


#### **Safety Priorities:**

- Improve safety between Jessie Avenue and Belle Avenue
- Improve safety between Harris Avenue and Silver Eagle Road
- Create low stress, separated space for people biking


## NORWOOD AVENUE ALTERNATIVES




**FIGURE 15.** ALTERNATIVE 1 (SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENT)




**FIGURE 16.** ALTERNATIVE 2A (LANE REALLOCATION)



**FIGURE 17.** ALTERNATIVE 2B (LANE REALLOCATION)



**FIGURE 18.** ALTERNATIVE 2C (LANE REALLOCATION)



**FIGURE 19.** ALTERNATIVE 3 (SHARED USE PATH)

## PHASE 2: SHARE AND REFINE

The second engagement phase focused on sharing potential improvements based on community input and refining them through continued feedback, with clear documentation of how ideas shaped the final plan.

- City webpage
- Web-based Engagement Tools
  - » Alternative and Proposed Element Surveys
  - » City Newsletter/ Blog posts
- Multilingual Engagement Materials and Tools
- Community Advisory Committee
- Pop-ins at Local Events
  - » Robla Park Community Association Meetings/ Mini-Workshops
  - » Hagginwood Community Association Meeting
  - » Council District 1 Community Conversations Event
- Virtual and In-Person Workshops

### FEEDBACK ON PROJECT ELEMENTS

- Roundabouts were generally favored for traffic-calming and safety benefits
- Removing on-street parking to use the space for protected bike lanes or wider sidewalks was supported, if there is sufficient parking provided elsewhere to support visitors of local businesses
- All improvements to walking, biking, and transit infrastructure and facilities were broadly supported
- Adding signalized crosswalks was supported as necessary, however, there were concerns about cost
- Bikeways, bus shelters, and sidewalk widening were seen as essential for improving safety and equity for active transportation users
- The need for clearly delineated routes that are separated from vehicles was emphasized
- Reducing vehicle travel lanes received positive feedback, while recognizing it could increase congestion
- Future evaluation of median street trees was requested by the community

More details about the engagement can be found in [Appendix C – Engagement Summaries](#).

### COMMUNITY EVENTS

- Community pop-ins and presentations
- Five in-person and virtual workshops
- Online surveys and virtual map



Community members viewing and giving feedback on the Norwood Avenue alternatives.




FIGURE 20. PROPOSED ALTERNATIVES BY COMMUNITY PREFERENCE

### ON A SCALE FROM 1-5, HOW WELL DOES EACH ALTERNATIVE MEET COMMUNITY PRIORITIES?




FIGURE 21. PROPOSED ALTERNATIVES RANKED BY COMMUNITY PRIORITIES

### PHASE 3: PUBLIC REVIEW OF DRAFT PLAN

The third engagement phase focused on presenting the draft plan for public review and incorporating community feedback into the final plan.

- City webpage
- Web-based Engagement Tools
  - » Online feedback page for draft plan, as shown in **Figure 22**
  - » City Newsletter/ Blog posts
- Multilingual Engagement Materials and Tools
- Pop-ins at Local Events
  - » Hagginwood Community Association Meeting
  - » District 2 Town Hall
  - » Active Transportation Commission Meeting
  - » Hmong Youth and Parents United (HYPU) Trunk or Treat Event
  - » Mutual Assistance Network (MAN) Harvest Festival
  - » Robla Park Community Association Meeting
- Virtual and In-Person Workshops

#### COMMUNITY EVENTS

- Six community pop-in events and presentations
- One in-person and one virtual workshop
- Online engagement form for reviewing and commenting on the draft plan



Pop-in at the HYPU Trunk or Treat on October 24.



Pop-in at the MAN Harvest Festival on October 25.




FIGURE 22. PHASE 3 ONLINE FEEDBACK PAGE FOR DRAFT PLAN

### COMMUNITY FEEDBACK

- Roundabouts were generally favored with some specific suggestions made:
  - » Ensure landscaping in the center does not obstruct driver view.
  - » Exploring a future roundabout at Morey Avenue would be supported.
  - » Consider smaller center circles in roundabouts to avoid right-of-way impacts.
  - » A two-lane roundabout may be unnecessary where only a right-turn slip lane exists today, as it could circumvent traffic calming goals.
- Green walkways with trees, flowers, and shrubs will make the corridor more inviting and offer some privacy for nearby residents. Landscaping along Norwood Avenue should be sustainable in the long term.
- Project limits don't extend further south along Norwood Avenue, which may exclude some communities and neighborhoods from safety and accessibility benefits.
- Feedback suggested adding multi-use lanes between Interstate 80 (I-80) north to Main Avenue and between I-80 south to Carrol Avenue.
- Feedback suggested adding a left-hand turning lane northbound at Jesse and Norwood and a left-hand turning lane southbound to prevent unsafe pedestrian crossings near the Chevron gas station.
- Concerns on how a lane reduction could impact congestion on Norwood Avenue.
- There were concerns regarding the reduction of parking availability south of Bell Avenue on Norwood Avenue.

More details about phase 3 engagement can be found in **Appendix C – Engagement Summaries**.

# 6 The Vision



## ADVANCING A CONCEPT DESIGN

After evaluating three alternatives (**Appendix B**) and incorporating community feedback, the following key elements were selected for further design refinement.


**Appendix D** includes a conceptual design drawing that provides more detail for the entire study area.

## CROSS SECTION RECOMMENDATIONS


The recommended cross section (shown below in **Figure 23**) is primarily based on Alternative 2C, with an added connection over both sides of the interchange from Alternative 3. It includes:

- Adding street shade trees on the east side of the street, sidewalk lighting, and a landscaping buffer
- Adding sidewalks north of Berthoud Street
- Removing a travel lane in each direction north of Jessie Avenue and south of Harris Avenue
- Adding a separated bikeway in each direction
- Removing on-street parking south of Bell Avenue
- Adding a cantilever bridge on both sides of the I-80 overpass to provide connections for people walking and biking over the overpass
- Constructing roundabouts at key intersections to slow vehicle speeds and reduce conflicts between vehicles

**Figure 24** shows the recommended alternative applied to the whole corridor.



**FIGURE 23.** NORWOOD AVENUE RECOMMENDED ALTERNATIVE CROSS SECTION



**FIGURE 24.** NORWOOD AVENUE RECOMMENDED ALTERNATIVE





**Roundabout (Bell Avenue)**

- Slow traffic
- Reduce severity of crashes
- Gateway treatment




**Removal of On-Street Parking**

- Reduced demand for midblock crossing




**Shade Trees, Widened Sidewalk, Separated Bikeway, & Sidewalk Scale Lighting**

- Separation for people walking and biking from people driving
- Shade provides relief from high temperatures and sun exposure
- Sidewalk lighting provides comfort and increased safety



**Striped Crosswalk and Signalized Pedestrian Crossing**

- Increased visibility and safety at preferred crossing locations



**Bus Stop Shelter With Benches**

- Bus stop shelter rotated to protect from sun
- Increased waiting space

FIGURE 25. PROJECT ELEMENTS BETWEEN BELL AVENUE AND JESSIE AVENUE




**Striped & Signalized Pedestrian Crossing**

- Increased visibility and safety at ramp crossing

**Additional Capacity**

- This plan includes Caltrans adding additional storage lane for the I-80 Westbound on-ramp



**Consistent Freeway Access**

- Maintaining current number of vehicle travel lanes near the interchange will allow for consistent freeway access operations and truck traffic



**Freeway With Cantilever Bikeway on Both Sides**



- Separation for people walking and biking from people driving
- Cantilever structure will allow necessary width without a new structure

FIGURE 26. PROJECT ELEMENTS FOR THE I-80 INTERCHANGE



**Bus Stop Shelter With Benches**

- Bus stop shelter rotated to protect from sun
- Increased waiting space




**Shade Trees, Widened Sidewalk, Separated Bikeway, & Sidewalk Scale Lighting**

- Separation for people walking and biking from people driving
- Shade provides relief from high temperatures and sun exposure
- Sidewalk lighting provides comfort and increased safety

**Future Study**


- A future feasibility study will determine if a roundabout at Morey Ave can be implemented
- A roundabout will slow traffic and simplify the offset crossing

FIGURE 27. PROJECT ELEMENTS BETWEEN MORRISON AVENUE AND MOREY AVENUE




### Striped & Signalized Pedestrian Crossing

- Increased visibility and safety
- Gap closure for Bikeway on South Avenue



### Roundabout (Silver Eagle Road)

- Slow traffic
- Reduce severity of crashes
- Gateway treatment



### Shade Trees, Widened Sidewalk, Separated Bikeway, & Sidewalk Scale Lighting

- Separation for people walking and biking from people driving
- Shade provides relief from high temperatures and sun exposure
- Sidewalk lighting provides comfort and increased safety



### Striped Crosswalk & Signalized Pedestrian Crossing

- Increased visibility and safety at preferred crossing locations
- Access to and from bus stops and Community Center

### Future Refinement and Coordination Needed

- As design progresses, the City will consider the following items for refinement and coordination:
- Any change to lane capacity or control on Caltrans freeway ramps will need to be coordinated with Caltrans
  - Utility relocation will need to be coordinated with Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD).
  - A structural analysis will be needed to confirm that a cantilever structure over I-80 is feasible. Alternatively, a separate structure could be considered over I-80 with safe and comfortable crossings to connect the new structure back to Norwood Avenue.
  - The feasibility of median trees at certain locations, as desired by the community, will be determined.

FIGURE 28. PROJECT ELEMENTS BETWEEN KESNER AVENUE AND SILVER EAGLE ROAD

# 7

## How Do We Get There?



### The Norwood Mobility Plan presents a vision for a future Norwood Avenue that will improve safety and mobility.

This plan is expected to be brought to City Council for their consideration for adoption in early 2026. With plan adoption, the City will move forward towards securing funding (e.g., federal or state grants with local matches) for the implementation of the vision outlined in this plan. Given the cost of the preferred concept, the City will look for ways to implement the proposed changes in phases or look for quick-build opportunities to help address key safety needs as quickly as possible.

#### PROJECT COST

The cost for the preferred concept is estimated at \$149.9 million based on recent construction bid unit costs with an escalation factor of 5% to account for construction in ten years. Major construction cost items include roadway excavation and improvements for the bikeway and sidewalk improvements as well as cantilever structure over the freeway. A contingency factor was included to account for refinement of project design, changes in project details, or unforeseen changes in construction costs. The soft costs for environmental, PS&E and construction administration and oversight are included in the Project Development Support costs.

Actual project costs will be determined by surveyed base mapping, geotechnical reports, concept refinement, environmental reviews, right of way availability, project phasing, and bid conditions at the time of advertisement. Project costs would be reviewed prior to any grant application or initiation of a Capital Improvement Project to revalidate and update the assumptions in this study as necessary.

A detailed cost estimate is provided in [Appendix E - Planning Level Cost Estimates](#).



*A snapshot of present-day Norwood Avenue, a vital north-south corridor and opportunity for improved mobility for the communities who live, work, and travel in the area.*

#### MAJOR COST CATEGORIES

##### PRELIMINARY DESIGN AND ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE

- Conducts public and stakeholder engagement to refine the proposed concepts developed from the planning study and ensure it meets the community and stakeholder needs
- Advances the engineering and design of the project to a 30% level of completion. Better defines project solutions, footprint, feasibility and costs
- Identifies a project's potential impacts and mitigates significant impacts on the community and the environment
- Determines implementation pathways, including how the project will be phased and built

##### FINAL DESIGN DOCUMENTATION

- Advances the engineering and design of the project to a 60%, 90% and 100% level of design
- Public and stakeholder engagement continues during the final design phase, to inform the community of the proposed project and what to anticipate during construction
- Obtains necessary rights of way and permissions and permits to construct the project

##### CONSTRUCTION, INSPECTION AND CERTIFICATION

- Includes hiring contractors and building the work to city standards
- Includes opportunities for local contractors and businesses to work on the proposed project and what to anticipate during construction



The Norwood  
**Mobility Project**


# Appendix



The Norwood  
**Mobility Project**

# **A - Existing Conditions**

## **Analysis Report, April 2025**



# Norwood Avenue Mobility Project

## Existing Conditions Analysis Report

April 2025

PREPARED FOR:

*City of*  
**SACRAMENTO**



428 J STREET, SUITE 340 • SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 • 916.368.2000 • [DKSASSOCIATES.COM](http://DKSASSOCIATES.COM)

SHAPING A SMARTER TRANSPORTATION EXPERIENCE™

AN EMPLOYEE-OWNED COMPANY

## Prepared for City of Sacramento



Charisse Padilla, Project Manager

Jennifer Donlon Wyant, Mobility and Sustainability Division Manager

Ryan Dodge, Associate Planner

## Prepared by DKS Associates



Josh Pilachowski, Project Manager

Jim Damkowitch

Sean Carney

Sylinda Villado

Bincy Koshy

# Table of Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
Project Background	1
Policy Framework and Setting	1
<b>Literature Review</b>	<b>5</b>
Norwood Area Circulation and Infrastructure Plan (2007)	5
Vision Zero Action Plan (2018)	5
Transportation Priorities Plan (2022)	5
2040 General Plan - Environmental Justice Element (2024)	5
Climate Action & Adaptation Plan (2024)	6
Streets for People: Sacramento’s Active Transportation Plan (Draft 2024-2025)	6
<b>Description of the Norwood Avenue Corridor</b>	<b>6</b>
Socio-Economic Characteristics	6
Physical Characteristics	7
<b>Existing Conditions Multimodal Analysis</b>	<b>18</b>
Study Intersections	18
Transit Data Summary	19
Level of Traffic Stress/All Ages and Abilities Walking /Bicycle analysis	21
Parking Inventory and Utilization Summary	27
Crash Analysis Summary	28
<b>Existing Conditions Traffic Analysis</b>	<b>37</b>
Traffic Operations Standards and Methodology	37
Intersection Operations Analysis	38
Travel Time Reliability and Congestion	43
<b>Public Engagement Summary</b>	<b>52</b>
Public Engagement Events	52
Engagement Media Methods	53
Public Engagement Results	57
<b>Existing Transportation Challenges and Constraints</b>	<b>61</b>
Walking Infrastructure	62
Bicycling Infrastructure	62
Transit Infrastructure	63
Crashes	63
Operations	64
Right of Way	64
<b>Appendix</b>	<b>65</b>

# List of Figures

- Figure 1. Norwood Avenue Roadway Segments ..... 9
- Figure 2. Segment 1: Norwood Avenue (Main Avenue to Bell Avenue) ..... 14
- Figure 3. Segment 2: Norwood Avenue (Bell Avenue to I-80)..... 15
- Figure 4. Segment 3: Norwood Avenue (I-80 to Carroll Avenue) ..... 16
- Figure 5. Segment 4: Norwood Avenue (Carroll Avenue to Arcade Creek)..... 17
- Figure 6. SacRT Monthly Transit Ridership ..... 20
- Figure 7. SacRT Transit Ridership by Bus Stop on Norwood Avenue (Segment 1-4) ..... 20
- Figure 8. Bicycle Level of Traffic Stress Scores ..... 21
- Figure 9. Norwood Avenue Bicycle LTS ..... 23
- Figure 10. Walking Level of Traffic Stress ..... 24
- Figure 11. Norwood Avenue Walking LTS ..... 26
- Figure 12. Norwood Avenue Total on-street Parking Spaces ..... 27
- Figure 13: Crash Map by Severity ..... 29
- Figure 14: Crashes by Type ..... 31
- Figure 15: Crashes by Primary Crash Factor ..... 32
- Figure 16: Pedestrian Crash Map ..... 34
- Figure 17: Bicycle Crash Map ..... 34
- Figure 18: Crash Trends by Location ..... 36
- Figure 19. Existing Traffic Volumes and Operation Results at Study Intersections ..... 40
- Figure 20: Relationship Between Average Travel Time and 95th Percentile Travel Time which Results in the Buffer Time Index ..... 44
- Figure 21. Autos AM Peak Hour Reliability and Congestion ..... 46
- Figure 22. Autos PM Peak Hour Reliability and Congestion ..... 47
- Figure 23. Trucks AM Peak Hour Reliability and Congestion ..... 48

Figure 24. Trucks PM Peak Hour Reliability and Congestion .....	49
Figure 25. Autos and Trucks AM Peak Hour Reliability and Congestion.....	50
Figure 26. Autos and Trucks PM Peak Hour Reliability and Congestion.....	51
Figure 27. Norwood Mobility Project Web Page .....	54
Figure 28. Norwood Avenue Interactive Comment Map .....	55
Figure 29. Norwood Avenue Community Survey .....	56
Figure 30. Norwood Avenue Event Flyer .....	57
Figure 31. Engagement comments by Major Intersection.....	59
Figure 32. Comments by Type.....	60
Figure 33. Infrastructure Gaps and Constraints .....	61

## List of Tables

Table 1. Recommended Circulation and Roadway Improvements .....	4
Table 2. Summary of Existing Conditions and Characteristics along Norwood Avenue .....	10
Table 3. Bicycle Level of Traffic Stress Criteria .....	22
Table 4. Walking Level of Traffic Stress Criteria .....	25
Table 5. Midday and Evening Parking Utilization .....	28
Table 6: Crashes by Severity .....	29
Table 7: Crashes by Segment .....	30
Table 8: Study Corridor Crashes by Day of Week and Time of Day .....	30
Table 9: Pedestrian and Bicycle Crashes.....	33
Table 10: Level of Service Criteria Definitions .....	38
Table 11: Existing Conditions Operational Analysis Results .....	39
Table 12. 95 <sup>th</sup> Percentile Queueing Results at Study Intersections .....	42
Table 13. Congestion and Reliability Performance Measures .....	45

## Introduction

### Project Background

---

Norwood Avenue is part of the High Injury Network in the City of Sacramento's Vision Zero Action Plan<sup>1</sup>, which means that the corridor experiences a high number of fatal and serious injury crashes for people driving, walking, and bicycling. Additionally, Norwood Avenue is a high priority corridor in the Sacramento Transportation Priorities Plan<sup>2</sup>. The purpose of the Norwood Mobility Project is to evaluate the safety and mobility for all users of the corridor and identify areas for improvements that will eliminate barriers, improve access, and support the needs of the surrounding community. The project will also meet State, regional, and local requirements such as California Executive Order of reducing greenhouse gas emissions, California State Transportation Agency (CalSTA) Climate Action Plan of investing in safer walking and bicycling infrastructure, Sacramento Regional Transit (SacRT) Bus Stop Improvement Plan, and the Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG) Regional Trail Network.

### Policy Framework and Setting

---

In 2019, the City of Sacramento adopted a Complete Streets Policy<sup>3</sup> which confirms the City's commitment to Complete Streets to ensure that future transportation projects support a safer, accessible, and connected multi-modal transportation network.

On February 27, 2024, the City of Sacramento adopted the Sacramento 2040 General Plan and Climate Action & Adaptation Plan. The General Plan lists several goals, policies, and implementation actions for the City. The Mobility section of the 2040 General Plan outlines several policies that are related to the Norwood Mobility Plan.

The following policies relate to Norwood Avenue:

- M-1.1. *The City shall maintain a street classification system that considers the role of streets as corridors for movement but prioritizes a context-sensitive Complete Streets concept that enables connected, comfortable, and convenient travel for those walking, rolling, and taking transit.*
- M-1.2. *The City shall prioritize mobility, comfort, health, safety, and convenience for those walking, followed by those bicycling and riding transit, ahead of design and operations for those driving.*
- M-1.3. *The City shall plan and make investments to foster a transportation system that improves the health of Sacramento residents through actions that make active transportation, nonmotorized modes, high-occupancy, and zero emission vehicles*

---

<sup>1</sup> [City of Sacramento Vision Zero Action Plan \(2018\)](#)

<sup>2</sup> [City of Sacramento Transportation Priorities Plan \(2022\)](#)

<sup>3</sup> [Resolution 2019-0460](#)

*(ZEVs) viable, attractive alternatives to automobiles that use internal combustion engines.*

- *M-1.4. In planning, designing, and managing the transportation system, the City shall prioritize person throughput to shift trips to more efficient travel modes and upgrade the performance of limited street space.*
- *M-1.5. The City shall maintain street design and operations standards that prioritize comfort and travel time for walking, bicycling, and transit, while managing vehicle speeds and traffic volumes, updating them as best practices evolve.*
- *M-1.6. Wherever feasible, the City shall design buildings, the public realm, streets, and pedestrian access to integrate transit into existing neighborhoods and proposed developments and destinations such as schools, employment centers, commercial centers, major attractions, and public walking spaces to improve access for users by transit.*
- *M-1.9. The City shall ensure that the transportation system is planned and implemented with an equitable process to achieve equitable outcomes and investments so that all neighborhoods one day will have similar levels of transportation infrastructure such as sidewalks, marked low stress crossings, and bikeways.*
- *M-1.10. The City shall continue to engage the community in decisions that affect mobility, including planning, design outcomes and implementation, with a particular focus on planning with, and not for, historically marginalized, disadvantaged communities and environmental justice communities.*
- *M-1.11. The City shall strive to increase bicycling and walking citywide so that it can meet its equity, reduced vehicle miles traveled, and sustainability goals.*
- *M-1.13. The City shall design streets to prioritize walking by including design elements such as the following:*
  - *Grid networks that provide high levels of connectivity;*
  - *Closely spaced intersections;*
  - *Frequent and low-stress crossings;*
  - *Wide, unobstructed walkable sidewalks;*
  - *Separation from vehicle traffic;*
  - *Street trees that provide shading; and*
  - *Minimal curb cuts.*
- *M-1.14. The City shall work to complete the network of tree-shaded sidewalks throughout the city, to the greatest extent feasible, by building new sidewalks and crossings, especially within the high-injury network, in disadvantaged communities, near high-ridership transit stops, and near important destinations, such as schools, parks, and commercial areas. Walking facilities should incorporate shade trees.*
- *M-1.15. The City shall require new subdivisions, new multi-unit dwelling developments, and new developments along commercial corridors to include well-lit, tree-shaded walkways where feasible, that provide direct links to the public realm or adjacent public destinations such as transit stops and stations, schools, parks and shopping centers.*

- M-1.16. *The City shall remove barriers to walking, where feasible, and work with utility companies to remove barriers to allow people of all abilities to move with comfort and convenience throughout the city, including through the following:*
  - *Provisions of curb ramps, crosswalks, and overpasses;*
  - *Relocation of infrastructure of street furniture that impedes travel pathways;*
  - *Reducing or consolidating driveways and curb cuts;*
  - *Providing long and short-term bicycle and scooter parking to minimize sidewalk obstructions; and*
  - *Creation of additional walking entrances to important destinations like schools, parks, and commercial areas.*
- M-1.17. *The City shall plan and seek funding for a continuous, low-stress bikeway network consisting of bicycling-friendly facilities that connect neighborhoods with destinations and activity centers throughout the city.*
- M-1.8. *When designing projects, the City shall prioritize designs that strengthen the protection of people bicycling such as improvements that increase visibility of bicyclists, increase bikeway widths, raise bikeways, design safer intersection crossings and turns, and separate bikeways from driving traffic wherever feasible.*
- M-1.9. *When designing projects, the City shall prioritize designs that encourage walking and improve walking safety best practice designs and considerations for efficiencies in walking.*
- M-1.26. *The City shall encourage the Sacramento Regional Transit District (SacRT) to implement bus shelter design that encourages transit use, informed by ADA-compliance, bus stop placement, and passenger safety best practices. Where feasible, the City should collaborate with SacRT on bus stop designs for major corridor improvement projects.*

Additionally, in the 2040 General Plan is the North Sacramento Community Plan, which identifies policies specific to North Sacramento which includes Norwood Avenue. The North Sacramento Community Plan also identifies the Norwood Area Circulation and Infrastructure Plan (2007) as a plan that is directly related to the North Sacramento community.

The following North Sacramento Community Plan policies in the 2040 General Plan relate to Norwood Avenue:

- NS-M-2. *The City shall continue to support community efforts to offer, promote, and expand access to bikes, bike skills, and bike repair.*
- NS-M-3. *The City shall continue to invest in walking improvements in North Sacramento Community Plan Area, working closely with the communities to ensure the community needs are addressed.*
- NS-M-4. *When making street improvements the City shall recognize that speed is the greatest factor in collisions, and this should be addressed in the North Sacramento Community Plan Area. Staff should apply speed reduction measures as funding allows.*

- NS-M-5. *The City should encourage and collaborate with the Sacramento Regional Transit District (SacRT) to plan and implement high frequency, connected, and convenient transit to the North Natomas Community Plan Area and the wider city.*
- NS-M-6. *The City shall continue to seek funding to carry out improvements as prioritized in the Transportation Priorities Plan for streets that lack sidewalks and street lighting, are under heavy use by pedestrians, or will not be improved through new development and assessment districts.*
- NS-PFS-7. *The City shall encourage property owners to form assessment districts in order to support the provision of infrastructure.*

The Norwood Area Circulation & Infrastructure Plan recommendations for Norwood Avenue include, “stripe the bike lanes and properly mark them along Norwood Avenue to improve the safety of the bicyclists and request that RT provide bus shelters and other pertinent improvements at the existing bus stops to improve the comfort of riders as well as aesthetics. Additionally, as improvements occur along Norwood Avenue, sidewalk improvements should comply with ADA requirements, including removing impediments in the sidewalks and improving the curb ramps to current standards.” Similar recommendations are provided in the Norwood Circulation & Infrastructure Plan for adjacent corridors included in the Norwood Mobility Plan. **Table 1** shows recommendations for Norwood Avenue and adjacent roadways from the Norwood Area Circulation & Infrastructure Plan.

**Table 1. Recommended Circulation and Roadway Improvements**

Norwood Area Roadways	Roadway	Curb/Gutter	Parkway	Sidewalk	Bike Lanes	Bus Stop Improvements	Lighting	Traffic Calming Devices				
								Speed Humps	Stop Signs	Crosswalks	Traffic Signs	Speed Limit
Norwood Avenue					X	X					X	
Morrison Avenue	X	X		X			X			X		X
Morey Avenue	X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X		X
South Avenue	X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X		X
Silver Eagle Road	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X
Ford Road	X	X		X		X	X			X	X	
Western Avenue	X	X	X	X	X		X		X			X

Source: Norwood Area Circulation & Infrastructure Plan, 2007.

## Literature Review

The City of Sacramento has developed planning studies that overlap with the Norwood Mobility Project corridor. This section provides a brief literature review of several key plans and policies by the City of Sacramento, focusing on their relevance to Norwood Avenue.

### **Norwood Area Circulation and Infrastructure Plan (2007)**

---

In 2007, the Norwood Area Circulation and Infrastructure Plan was adopted by the City of Sacramento. The Norwood Area Circulation and Infrastructure Plan assessed existing circulation patterns and infrastructure conditions to provide recommendations for improvements for existing and future development.

### **Vision Zero Action Plan (2018)**

---

In January 2017, the City of Sacramento adopted a goal to eliminate traffic fatalities and serious injuries<sup>4</sup>. Norwood Avenue was identified in the City of Sacramento Visions Zero Action Plan as a High Injury Corridor. The Sacramento Vision Zero Action Plan aims to support the City's General Plan in maintaining safety and health of its residents and visitors. The Vision Zero Action Plan outlines crash trends and patterns in the City while providing a short- and long-term action plan for improving transportation safety and eventually eliminating fatal and severe injury crashes. The Vision Zero Plan is actively updated with new traffic data and progress towards a Vision Zero goal.

### **Transportation Priorities Plan (2022)**

---

In November 2022, the City of Sacramento adopted a list of priority transportation projects. The Transportation Priorities Plan (TPP) provides the city with a prioritized list of projects and funding needs for improvements. The TPP outlines funding sources for transportation projects, priority areas, and needs of the city. In the TPP, Norwood Avenue and intersecting corridors are identified as medium to high priority corridors for projects that include streetscape, walking improvements, and bike lanes.

### **2040 General Plan - Environmental Justice Element (2024)**

---

In the 2040 Sacramento General Plan, Norwood Avenue is a designated Disadvantaged Community (DAC) in Sacramento. DAC designation is determined based on several factors such as pollution, income, and food resources.

---

<sup>4</sup> [Resolution NO. 2017-0032](#)

## Climate Action & Adaptation Plan (2024)

---

On February 27, 2024, the City of Sacramento adopted the Climate Action & Adaptation Plan (CAAP). The CAAP includes measures for Sacramento to implement to reduce greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) by 2030. The CAAP builds upon the City’s 2012 Climate Action Plan and emphasizes the need for active transportation as a key strategy for reducing GHG.

## Streets for People: Sacramento’s Active Transportation Plan (Draft 2024-2025)

---

Streets for People: Sacramento’s Active Transportation Plan (S4P) is currently in draft form and aims to improve walking, biking, and rolling in Sacramento. S4P will serve to update the City’s 2018 Bicycle Master Plan and 2006 Pedestrian Master Plan. The draft S4P plan will serve as a guide for city staff, local agencies, public officials, residents, and developers to create a balanced and connected transportation system that supports all modes of travel and encourages active transportation. The primary goal of the draft S4P plan is to address active transportation needs and focus on improving infrastructure primarily in areas that are disadvantaged or marginalized.

### Description of the Norwood Avenue Corridor

This section provides a physical description of Norwood Avenue and the surrounding community’s socio-economic characteristics.

### Socio-Economic Characteristics

---

Norwood Avenue is located in North Sacramento, west of the Del Paso Heights community. As described by the Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG), Norwood Avenue is in an Environmental Justice (EJ) community of low income and minority groups. The Norwood Avenue Mobility Project corridor is also within two SB 535 disadvantaged communities<sup>5</sup>. As illustrated in the City of Sacramento 2040 General Plan<sup>6</sup>, Norwood Avenue is in an area with the highest cumulative air pollution burden.

According to the American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, the four census tracts surrounding the project area contain approximately 17,437<sup>7</sup> people with an average annual median household income of \$60,570, whereas the citywide median household income is

---

<sup>5</sup> These areas represent the 25% highest scoring census tracts in CalEnviroScreen 4.0, census tracts previously identified in the top 25% in CalEnviroScreen 3.0, census tracts with high amounts of pollution and low populations, and federally recognized tribal areas as identified by the Census in the 2021 American Indian Areas Related National Geodatabase. <https://oehha.ca.gov/calenviroscreen/sb535>

<sup>6</sup> City of Sacramento 2040 General Plan, Map EJ-2: Census Tracts with Highest Cumulative Air Pollution Burden

<sup>7</sup> ACS 2023 5-Year Estimates, Table S0101

\$83,753<sup>8</sup>. Citywide, 14.4% of the population experience poverty, whereas the population of the four census tracts surrounding the project corridor range between 14.5% to 31.3% of people experiencing poverty<sup>9</sup>. According to the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool, Norwood Avenue is located in disadvantaged communities that are above the percentile threshold for low income, fine particulate (PM 2.5) exposure, asthma, low life expectancy, housing costs, and wastewater discharge.

## Physical Characteristics

---

Norwood Avenue is a north-south arterial that connects the North Sacramento community to Interstate 80, employment and retail destinations, and other services.

The road profile consists of two general purpose travel lanes per direction with a striped center two way left turn median. However, from Grace Avenue to Main Avenue, the roadway is one travel lane per direction with no two-way left turn lane median. Lane widths throughout the corridor vary from 11 to 12 feet for through travel lanes and 10 to 11 feet for two-way left turn center lanes. Per the City's standards, the minimum lane width for travel lanes is 11 feet unless the City Traffic Engineer deems appropriate otherwise<sup>10</sup>.

Norwood Avenue consists of 11 signalized intersections, two of which are at I-80 on and off ramps. The posted speed limit throughout the study corridor is 35 mph. The right-of-way (ROW) of the study corridor varies between 50 to 70 feet, when measured from curb to curb.

Throughout the study corridor, sidewalks are approximately five feet wide. The corridor consists of two major sidewalk gaps on the west side of the road from Berthoud Street to Grace Avenue where there is an informal asphalt path and on the east side of Norwood Avenue from Grace Avenue to Main Avenue there is no sidewalk present. However, the sidewalk gap on the east side of the corridor from Grace Avenue to Main Avenue will be closed with future planned development. Throughout the entire corridor, utility poles are installed in the sidewalk, creating regular obstructions in the sidewalk width. The City has completed recent crosswalk upgrades at the intersection of Norwood Avenue and Bell Avenue. The remaining crosswalk locations along the corridor require some level of improvement to meet City standards including updates to push buttons, curb ramps, accessible pedestrian signal (APS) upgrades, and/or striping. The City Engineering Services team is currently upgrading several intersections on Norwood Avenue to include intelligent transportation systems (ITS) that will improve safety and efficiency.

Norwood Avenue consists of disconnected and incomplete bike lanes and lacks any striped markings or wayfinding signage for existing bicycle facilities. From Main Avenue to Norwood Junior High School, a bike lane exists along the southbound travel lane but drops off 160

---


<sup>8</sup> ACS 2023 5-Year Estimates, Table S1901

<sup>9</sup> ACS 2023 5-Year Estimates, Table S1701

<sup>10</sup> [City of Sacramento, Section 15 - Street Design Standards](#)

feet north of the Norwood Avenue and Grace Avenue intersection. A bike lane begins approximately 200 feet south of the northbound Bell Avenue intersection approach and continues along the northbound travel lanes from Bell Avenue to Grace Avenue. At the southern end of the corridor, from the Arcade Creek overpass to Carroll Avenue, bike lanes are present along the northbound and southbound travel lanes.

The corridor has been broken into four segments for the purposes of this study based on their general characteristics. This segmentation is shown in **Figure 1** and **Table 2** and provides a summary of the existing conditions and characteristics for each road segment. Each segment is further described in the following sections.



**Figure 1. Norwood Avenue Roadway Segments**

**Table 2. Summary of Existing Conditions and Characteristics along Norwood Avenue**

	Road Segment 1	Road Segment 2	Road Segment 3	Road Segment 4
<b>Boundaries</b>	Main Avenue to Bell Avenue	Bell Avenue to I-80	I-80 to Carroll Avenue	Carroll Avenue to Arcade Creek
<b>Length (Approx)</b>	2,670 ft	2,300 ft	5,300 ft	1,200 ft
<b>Number of Lanes</b>	2 - 4	4	4	2-3
<b>Lighting</b>	Street Lighting	Street and Pedestrian Lighting	Street Lighting	Street and Pedestrian Lighting
<b>Posted Speed Limit</b>	25 MPH – School Zone 35 MPH	35 MPH	25 MPH – School Zone 35 MPH	35 MPH
<b>Annual Daily Traffic</b>	12,064	19,310	10,772	14,302
<b>Adjacent Land Uses</b>	Standard Single-Family, Single-Family Alternative, Agricultural, and Multi-Family	Multi-Family, Commercial, and Industrial	Multi-Family, Standard Single-Family, Single-Family Alternative, Commercial	Multi-Family and Single-Family Standard

	Road Segment 1	Road Segment 2	Road Segment 3	Road Segment 4
<b>Notable Locations</b>	Norwood Junior High School	Norwood Center	Sacramento County Department of Human Assistance, Dollar General, Robertson Community Center, Del Paso Park	Gateway Park
<b>Major Cross-Streets within Road Segment</b>	Grace Avenue, Bell Avenue	Jessie Avenue	Harris Avenue, Morrison Avenue, Grand Avenue, Silver Eagle Road, Ford Road	Fairbanks Avenue
<b>Median Types</b>	None	None	None	None
<b>Existing Bicycle Facilities</b>	Bike Lanes-SB Lane from Main Avenue to Norwood Jr High parking lot exit and NB lane north of Bell Avenue to Grace Avenue	Bike Lane – NB lane approx. 200 ft prevailing Bell/Norwood intersection	None	Bike Lanes – NB and SB lanes from Arcade Creek overpass to Carroll Avenue

	Road Segment 1	Road Segment 2	Road Segment 3	Road Segment 4
<b>Condition of Walking Facilities</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No sidewalk along NB lane from Grace Ave to Main Ave</li> <li>Sidewalks are continuous with no obvious deterioration along SB lane from Main Ave to Grace Ave</li> <li>Sidewalk from Grace Ave to Berthoud St along SB lane is discontinuous in material transitioning from concrete to asphalt and consists of cracks is deteriorating</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sidewalks are continuous and does not show obvious signs of deteriorating but contains some cracks in the concrete.</li> <li>Sidewalk lacks buffers from travel lanes</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sidewalks are continuous but consists of concrete gaps and uneven pavement</li> <li>Sidewalk lacks buffers from travel lanes</li> <li>Utility poles within sidewalk width</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sidewalks is continuous and does not consist of obvious signs of deterioration</li> </ul>
<b>Parking</b>	On-street parking along SB lanes from Main Ave to Norwood JHS	On-street parking along NB and SB lanes from Bell Ave to Jessie Ave	No on-street parking	No on-street parking
<b>Bus Shelter Locations</b>	None	1 – Route 19 at Bell/Norwood (SB)	None	None


## Segment 1: Norwood Avenue - Main Avenue to Bell Avenue

The northernmost segment on the study corridor as shown in **Figure 2** begins at Main Avenue and ends at Bell Avenue. The adjacent land use is residential, with Norwood Junior High School located south of Main Avenue. This segment of Norwood Avenue has one lane per direction from Grace Avenue to Main Avenue and transitions to two lanes per direction with a center turn-lane from Grace Avenue to Bell Avenue.

On-street parking is permitted from Main Avenue to Norwood Junior High School along the southbound travel lane. Sidewalks along this segment have inconsistent widths with gaps in the network on either side and are in fair to poor condition. Bicycle lanes are present on one side of the roadway (southbound north of Grace Avenue, and northbound south of Grace Avenue). No public transit bus stops are present along Segment 1.

While walking and biking infrastructure does not currently exist at the southeast corner of Norwood Avenue and Main Avenue, a future planned development will incorporate roadway widening and pavement addition for a travel lane, buffered bike lane, on-street parking, curb & gutter, a 6' wide landscape planer and a 6' wide sidewalk. The future roadway configuration with the planned development will be two northbound through lanes, one northbound left turn pocket, one right northbound turn lane, and one northbound buffered bike lane.





**Figure 2. Segment 1: Norwood Avenue (Main Avenue to Bell Avenue)**


**Segment 2: Norwood Avenue - Bell Avenue to I-80**

The second segment stretches from Bell Avenue to I-80 as shown in **Figure 3**. Adjacent land uses include residential and commercial uses, with some parking lot frontage and fast-food restaurants near the freeway. This segment of Norwood Avenue is two lanes per direction with a center turn-lane. Sidewalks are present on both sides of the roadway. The only bike lane that exists in Segment 2 is a 200-foot-long bicycle lane at the northbound Bell Avenue intersection approach. On-street parking is permitted between Bell Avenue and Jessie Avenue along the northbound and southbound travel lanes, in front of adjacent apartments and businesses.

Route 19 operated by SacRT runs along Norwood Avenue, turns onto Bell Avenue and continues onto Rio Linda Boulevard, operating on one-hour headways. There is a bus stop located approximately 250 feet from the Norwood Avenue/Bell Avenue intersection in the eastbound direction on Bell Avenue; along Norwood Avenue in the southbound direction, there is another bus stop located approximately 80 feet south of the intersection. Route 19 bus stops also exist in both directions on Norwood Avenue, 100 feet north of Jessie Avenue.



### SEGMENT 2




**Figure 3. Segment 2: Norwood Avenue (Bell Avenue to I-80)**

### Segment 3: Norwood Avenue - I-80 to Carroll Avenue

The third segment begins at I-80 and ends at Carroll Avenue, with two travel lanes per direction with a center two-way turn lane as shown in **Figure 4**. There is a mix of single family and multi-family residential adjacent to Norwood Avenue, as well as commercial land use along the segment, including a Dollar General, parks, and the Robertson Community Center. There are consistent sidewalks on both sides but no bike lanes. Route 86 and Route 19 are operated by SacRT and have several stops on this segment near Harris Avenue, Morrison Avenue, Lindsay Avenue, Kesner Avenue, South Avenue, Hayes Avenue, Silver Eagle Road, Ford Road, and Carroll Avenue.



### SEGMENT 3




**Figure 4. Segment 3: Norwood Avenue (I-80 to Carroll Avenue)**

### Segment 4: Norwood Avenue - Carroll Avenue to Arcade Creek

Segment 4 starts at Carroll Avenue and ends at Arcade Creek as shown in **Figure 5**. The adjacent land use is made up of single-family and multi-family residential and includes Gateway Park. There are two lanes per direction with a center turn lane from Carroll Avenue to Fairbanks Avenue. From Fairbanks Avenue to Arcade Creek the roadway narrows to one lane per direction across the Arcade Creek bridge. There are consistent sidewalks and bike lanes on both sides of the road in this segment. SacRT Route 19 runs through this segment with a bus stop located at the Carroll Avenue intersection along the northbound travel lanes. Route 19 operates on a one-hour headway.



### SEGMENT 4



**Figure 5. Segment 4: Norwood Avenue (Carroll Avenue to Arcade Creek)**

## Existing Conditions Multimodal Analysis

This section summarizes the findings of existing multimodal conditions which includes vehicles, people walking, biking, or taking transit. The multimodal findings are further detailed in the following sections.

### Study Intersections

---

Six study intersections were identified and evaluated as part of the analysis of traffic operations. The study area consisted of the following signalized study intersections:

1. Norwood Avenue / Bell Avenue
2. Norwood Avenue / Jessie Avenue
3. Norwood Avenue / WB I-80 Ramps
4. Norwood Avenue / EB I-80 Ramps
5. Norwood Avenue / Harris Avenue
6. Norwood Avenue / Silver Eagle Road

### Traffic Volumes

Vehicle, walking, and bicycle counts were collected during weekday a.m. (7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m.) and p.m. (4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.) peak hours on Tuesday, October 8, 2024, when school was in session. The peak hours were found to be 7:30 to 8:30 AM and 5:00 to 6:00 PM. Turning Movement Count sheets can be found in *Appendix A*.

The intersection with the highest vehicle traffic was Norwood Avenue and Jessie Avenue. Vehicle traffic at Norwood Avenue and Jessie Avenue during the AM resulted in 3,589 vehicles, 59% of total AM traffic was during the AM peak hour. The PM vehicle traffic was higher than AM traffic with 4,285 total vehicles and 50% of PM traffic occurring during the PM peak hour.

Heavy vehicles such as trailers and other commercial fleets were included in traffic volume counts. Heavy vehicle counts for all intersections ranged from 48 to 226 for AM and PM. The Norwood Avenue and I-80 eastbound ramps and Harris Avenue intersections had the highest number of heavy vehicles with 354 and 310. At both locations, over 42% of heavy vehicle traffic occurred during the AM and PM peak hours.

Walking activity was greatest on the south leg of Norwood Avenue at Bell Avenue and the north leg of Norwood at Jessie Avenue. Both crosswalks at these locations experienced approximately 20 people walking at crossings per hour during the AM peak. The activity of people walking at Bell Avenue and Jessie Avenue can be attributed to adjacent commercial businesses and apartments, the Line 19 bus stops at both intersections, and Glenwood Elementary School.

Bicycle activity was greatest at Norwood Avenue and Silver Eagle Road with 10 people biking during the AM and 21 people biking during the PM. Both AM and PM peak hour bicycle counts accounted for over 54% of daily bicycling activity.

## Transit Data Summary

---


Norwood Avenue is served by SacRT's Route 19 and Route 86. Route 19 connects riders between the Arden/Del Paso Light Rail Station to Elverta Road and Watt Avenue. Route 86 provides connections between Downtown Sacramento and the Marconi/Arcade Light Rail Station. Route 19 and Route 86 overlap for six stops on Norwood Avenue from Silver Eagle Road to Lindsay Avenue. On weekdays and weekends, Route 19 operates on a 60-minute headway for Norwood Avenue bus stops. On weekdays, Route 86 operates Norwood Avenue stops between a 25-to-30-minute headway and a 60-minute headway on weekends.

Through coordination with SacRT, weekday ridership data was collected for the 14 existing transit stops along Norwood Avenue. The data given captures ridership from January to August 2024. As shown in **Figure 6**, the monthly total of all weekday ridership<sup>11</sup> for all stops on Norwood Avenue is relatively steady with an exponential increase occurring in August.


The average monthly ridership from January to August was 195 riders across all stops in 2024. The average weekday ridership for all transit stops on Norwood Avenue is 14 riders per stop. Stops serviced by only Route 19 had a total of 698 riders while stops serviced by both Route 19 and Route 86 had a total of 860 riders during this time period. **Figure 7** shows the average weekday ridership by bus stops along Norwood Avenue. As shown, the southbound Norwood Avenue/Silver Eagle Road bus stop serviced by both Route 19 and Route 86 observed the highest average number of riders (25) on any given weekday from January to August. In contrast, the northbound Norwood Avenue/Carroll Avenue bus stop is serviced by only Route 19 and observed the lowest average number of riders (4) on any given weekday for the same time period.

---

<sup>11</sup> Includes only boardings at bus stops



**Figure 6. SacRT Monthly Transit Ridership**



**Figure 7. SacRT Transit Ridership by Bus Stop on Norwood Avenue (Segment 1-4)**


## Level of Traffic Stress/All Ages and Abilities Walking /Bicycle analysis

A Level of Traffic Stress (LTS) analysis has been calculated for people walking and bicycling.

### Bicycling LTS

The bicycling LTS analysis was calculated using the methodologies describe in the *Mineta Transportation Institute Report 11-19 Low Stress Bicycling and Network Connectivity* (2012). Bicycling LTS scores quantify the stress level of a roadway segment through a variety of criteria such as street width (number of lanes), speed limit and/or prevailing speed, presence and width of bike lanes, signals, and presence and width of parking lanes. Bicycle LTS is given a score of 1 through 4, with 1 being the most comfortable and 4 being the least comfortable for people bicycling. Typically, a LTS score of 1 indicates that the stress level of a roadway is suitable for most people bicycling regardless of skill such as children, while an LTS of 4 indicates that the stress level is better suited for more skilled bicyclists, as shown in **Figure 8**.


**Table 3** lists the criteria for each roadway segment and the associated LTS score. As shown in **Figure 9**, the existing LTS for people bicycling on Norwood Avenue is consistently high stress, often not comfortable for all ages and abilities. The only low stress environment on Norwood Avenue exists from Fairbanks Avenue to Arcade Creek, characterized by marked bike lanes and lower vehicle speeds.



**Figure 8. Bicycle Level of Traffic Stress Scores**

**Table 3. Bicycle Level of Traffic Stress Criteria**

SEGMENT	POSTED SPEED (MPH)	BIKE PRESENCE AND TYPE	PARKING LANE	NUMBER OF TRAVEL LANES	LTS SCORE
<b>SEGMENT 1 MAIN AVENUE TO BELL AVENUE</b>	35	Yes Class II Bike Lanes	Yes: SB from Main Ave to Grace Ave No: Grace Ave to Bell Ave	1: Main Ave to Berthoud St 2: Berthoud St to Bell Ave	4
<b>SEGMENT 2 BELL AVENUE TO I-80 EB ON/OFF RAMPS</b>	35	No	Yes: Bell Ave to Jessie Ave No: Jessie Ave to I-80 EB	2	4
<b>SEGMENT 3 I-80 EB ON/OFF RAMPS TO CARROLL AVENUE</b>	35	No	No	2	4: I-80 EB On/Off Ramps to Grand Ave 3: Grand Ave to Carroll Ave
<b>SEGMENT 4 CARROLL AVENUE TO ARCADE CREEK</b>	30	Yes Class II Bike Lanes	No	1	3: Carroll Ave to Fairbanks Ave 1: Fairbanks Ave to Arcade Creek




**Figure 9. Norwood Avenue Bicycle LTS**

## Walking LTS

The walking level of traffic stress (LTS) analysis was done using the *Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) Level of Traffic Stress Analysis Procedures (2020)*. Similar to bicycling LTS methodology, walking LTS also undergoes several criteria to develop a LTS score of 1 through 4 including the presence of sidewalks, crosswalks, median refuges, traffic volume, and current speed limits as shown in **Figure 10**.

**Table 4** lists the criteria for each roadway segment and the associated LTS score. Similar to the bicycling LTS results, Norwood Avenue also received common LTS scores of 3 and 4 throughout the study limits indicating that the corridor is uncomfortable to traverse for the majority of people walking. As shown in **Figure 11**, walking LTS for people walking along the corridor is a primarily a high stress environment not suitable for all ages and abilities. However, for people walking, low stress pockets exist near Fairbanks Avenue and Main Avenue.




**Figure 10. Walking Level of Traffic Stress**

**Table 4. Walking Level of Traffic Stress Criteria**

Segment	Street Width <sup>12</sup> (Number of Lanes)	Buffer Types	Sidewalk Width	Sidewalk Condition	Speed Limit <sup>13</sup>	LTS Score
<b>Segment 1</b> <b>Main Avenue to Bell Avenue</b>	1: Main Avenue to Grace Avenue 2: Grace Avenue to Bell Avenue	Landscaping Buffer: SB Norwood JHS to Berthoud Street	5 ft: SB Main Avenue to Bell Avenue 5 ft: NB Bell Avenue to Grace Avenue 0 ft: NB Main Avenue to Gace Avenue	Good: SB Main Avenue to Grace Avenue No Sidewalk: Main Avenue to Grace Avenue	35	2: SB Norwood JHS to Grace Avenue 3: SB Grace Avenue to Bell Avenue 4: NB Main Avenue to Bell Avenue
<b>Segment 2</b> <b>Bell Avenue to I-80 EB On/Off Ramps</b>	3	None	5 ft	Fair	35	4
<b>Segment 3</b> <b>I-80 EB On/Off Ramps to Carroll Avenue</b>	3	None	5 ft	Fair	35	3: Grand Avenue to Carroll Avenue
<b>Segment 4</b> <b>Carroll Avenue to Arcade Creek</b>	2: SB Carroll Avenue to Fairbanks Avenue 1: Fairbanks Avenue to Arcade Creek	Landscaping Buffer: NB Fairbanks to South Gateway Park	5 ft	Good	35	2: SB Fairbanks Avenue to South Gateway Park 3: NB Arcade Creek to Gateway Park, Fairbanks Avenue to Carroll Avenue, SB Carroll Avenue to Arcade Creek

<sup>12</sup> Lanes per direction and includes two-way left turn lane.

<sup>13</sup> Posted speed limit or prevailing speed.



**Figure 11. Norwood Avenue Walking LTS**

## Parking Inventory and Utilization Summary

### Parking Context

On-street parking along the study corridor is only permitted on the west side of Norwood Avenue along the SB travel lane between Main Avenue and the southern driveway of Norwood Junior High School (Segment 1) and along the NB and SB travel lanes from Bell Avenue to Jessie Avenue (Segment 2). The areas where on-street parking is permitted are not striped with individual parking spaces. Bell and Jessie Avenues are characterized by multi-family housing and commercial businesses with several driveways into parking lots, creating conflicts with through traffic. From Bell Avenue to Jessie Avenue, “No parking” signs exist within approximately 10 feet of an apartment driveway. Additionally, along the SB lane from Bell Avenue to the first driveway entrance at The Charleston, no parking is permitted at any time.

Given the high frequency and severity of crashes occurring between Bell Avenue and Jessie Avenue, parking data was collected on Tuesday, November 17 at 1:00pm and 8:00pm, to determine midday and evening (overnight) on-street parking capacity and utilization. Due to the area being primarily residential, a low turnover rate of on-street parking spaces was observed.

### Parking Capacity

Along the corridor there are several areas where on-street parking is permitted, varying in spots available from two to thirteen spaces for a total of 49 parking spaces. The majority of on-street parking exists on the east side of Norwood Avenue along the NB travel lanes. The parking zones and their associated occupancy are shown in **Figure 12**.



**Figure 12. Norwood Avenue Total on-street Parking Spaces**

### Parking Utilization & Findings

While there are 49 on-street parking spaces provided along Segment 2, not all spaces are filled at any given time. On-street parking utilization is a metric to determine the percentage of occupied spaces at different times of the day over the total number of spaces along the roadway. The midday and evening parking utilization between Bell Avenue and Jessie

Avenue is summarized in **Table 5**. During midday, on-street parking along the NB (east) side of Norwood Avenue approaches capacity at 88% utilized, whereas parking along the SB side is only at 20% of capacity. Evening parking operations along NB Norwood Avenue exceed parking capacity at 108% while the SB parking utilization increases slightly to 24%. During the midday and evening hours, it was observed that NB parking consistently had vehicles that were illegally parked despite No-Parking signage and red curb markings. This can be attributed to the lack of marked crossings, lighting, and high speeds on Norwood Avenue that may influence drivers to illegally park along the NB lanes rather than seek SB side parking and cross on foot.

**Table 5. Midday and Evening Parking Utilization**

	Northbound	Southbound
<b>Number of Spaces</b>	24	25
<b>Midday Utilization</b>	21	5
<b>Utilization/Spaces</b>	88%	20%
<b>Evening Utilization</b>	26	6
<b>Evening Utilization/Spaces</b>	108%	24%

During the parking observation, it was noted that on-street parking is permitted on Bell Avenue from Norwood Avenue to Rio Linda Boulevard, adjacent to Robla Community Park and Morningside Creek apartments.

**Crash Analysis Summary**

Crash data was collected for the five-year period (2018-2022) from the Transportation Injury Mapping System (TIMS) maintained by UC Berkeley to conduct an analysis of the corridor. TIMS data only includes injury crashes, so this analysis does not include property damage only crashes. The data consisted of injury crashes on Norwood Avenue from Main Avenue to Arcade Creek. *Appendix B includes the detailed crash data.*

The study corridor experienced a total of 137 injury crashes. Of these, 16 crashes resulted in someone being killed or severely injured (KSI). Of the total injury crashes, 29 crashes involved someone walking or biking.

**Table 6** summarizes crashes by year and severity, and **Figure 13** shows the location of crashes on the corridor during the analysis period. The map also shows nearby schools and community centers.

**Table 6: Crashes by Severity**

Crash Severity	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Total
Fatal Injury	1	0	0	1	1	3
Serious Injury	2	3	1	5	2	13
Minor Injury	5	6	5	12	9	37
Possible Injury	12	16	19	14	23	84
<b>Total</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>137</b>



**Figure 13: Crash Map by Severity**

**Table 7** summarizes crashes by study segment. 86% of injury crashes and 88% of KSIs occur in Segment 2 and Segment 3, with similar results for bicycle- and pedestrian-involved crashes. As Segment 3 is almost twice the length of Segment 2, the highest density of crashes occur in Segment 2, which is also characterized by high density residential and commercial use, on-street parking, and high-volume uncontrolled access points.

**Table 7: Crashes by Segment**

Crash Segment	Injury Crashes	KSI Crashes	Bicycle-Involved Crashes	Pedestrian-Involved Crashes
Segment 1	9	1	1	0
Segment 2	60	7	7	9
Segment 3	58	7	8	3
Segment 4	10	1	0	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>13</b>

Citywide, the highest frequency of crashes occurs during weekday afternoons, consistent with the evening commute period<sup>14</sup>. The Howe Avenue study corridor, in comparison, shows the highest frequency of crashes occurring Tuesday late afternoon (3pm-6pm) and the time period with the highest average frequency of crashes across all days to be the early afternoon period (12pm-3pm) as shown in **Table 8**.

**Table 8: Study Corridor Crashes by Day of Week and Time of Day**


	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday	Sunday
00:00-02:59	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
03:00-05:59	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
06:00-08:59	3	3	1	1	2	1	0
09:00-11:59	4	0	4	0	1	2	4
12:00-14:59	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>
15:00-17:59	4	<b>14</b>	2	2	4	3	4
18:00-20:59	5	4	2	1	3	3	5
21:00-23:59	1	2	0	2	2	2	7

<sup>14</sup> Vision Zero Sacramento Action Plan, 2018; Transportation Injury Mapping System, <https://safetrec.berkeley.edu/tools/transportation-injury-mapping-system-tims>, 2025

## Crash Type Summary

**Figure 14** shows crashes by type during the five-year data period. Of the 137 crashes, 65 of them were broadside crashes, with the next highest type being rear-end crashes (27 crashes). Both crash types occur primarily at intersections along the corridor, with 108 (79%) of the injury crashes occurring at intersections.

KSI (fatal and serious injury) crashes are also represented in **Figure 14**, showing that the highest crash type resulting in a fatality or serious injury is vehicle/pedestrian crashes, with 6 KSI crashes. Because people walking are more vulnerable without the protection of a vehicle, crashes involving people who walk are more likely to lead to more severe outcomes.




**Figure 14: Crashes by Type**

## Primary Crash Factor Summary

**Figure 15** shows the crashes by primary crash factor (PCF) as recorded by the reporting officer. Of the 137 crashes, the top three PCFs are: automobile right of way (36 crashes), unsafe speed (28 crashes), and traffic signals and signs (26 crashes). Automobile right of way generally indicates that a driver enters an intersection when they are not allowed to, such as when the signal is red. Traffic signals and signs may include disobeying traffic signals or road signs, such as red light running or not stopping at a stop sign, but could also include infrastructure, such as confusing or missing signage. Together, these three causes account for 66% of all crashes occurring along the corridor during the study period.

For KSI crashes, pedestrian violations, which are generally defined as events when a pedestrian crosses at an unstriped crossing or against a signal, were the primary crash factor for five of the 16 crashes during the analysis/study period, with the second highest PCF being DUI (driving or cycling under the influence) crashes (4 during the study period).



**Figure 15: Crashes by Primary Crash Factor**

**Pedestrian and Bicycle Crashes**

The study corridor is located within Environmental Justice (EJ) communities with low income and minority groups where many residents rely on modes of transportation other than private vehicles. Additionally, there are multiple schools, parks, and community centers along the corridor that serve younger and older residents who are reliant on walking, biking, and transit to access key destinations. While the study corridor lacks consistent sidewalks and bicycle lanes, many people were still observed walking and biking along the corridor.

Initial analysis showed that there was a high frequency of crashes involving people walking and biking, many of which resulted in fatalities or severe injuries. Due to these elements, further safety analysis regarding those people walking and biking was determined to be needed. **Table 9** summarizes crashes involving people walking or biking for all severities. During the analysis study period, there were 29 crashes involving someone walking or biking, with 10 crashes resulting in KSI.


**Table 9: Pedestrian and Bicycle Crashes**

Crash Category	Pedestrian Crashes	Bicycle Crashes
Fatal Injury	2	0
Serious Injury	4	4
Minor Injury	3	4
Possible Injury	4	8
<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>16</b>

Of the 13 pedestrian-involved crashes, 6 were KSI crashes, and of the 16 bicycle crashes, 4 were KSI crashes. Of all crashes involving people walking or biking, 16 occurred in Segment 2 between Bell Avenue and I-80 as shown in **Figure 16** and **Figure 17**. In Segment 2, there are apartments on the east side of the road, commercial uses on the west, and on-street parking is allowed, which can reduce visibility for drivers along this segment.

The primary crash factor resulting in the highest number of KSI crashes during the period was pedestrian violations. Of crashes involving people walking, 38% involved someone crossing outside of a marked crosswalk and 31% from walking in the road which includes the roadway shoulder. There is high walking activity on this segment of Norwood, and during the site walk people were observed crossing outside of a marked crosswalk.

Of the 16 bicycle crashes, there were no fatal crashes but four serious injuries. Roughly 44% of crashes involving someone biking were reported as broadside crashes, characterized by a vehicle hitting the side of a person biking. Additionally, 19% of the crashes involving someone biking were reported as sideswipes, where a vehicle collides with the side of someone biking in the same direction as the car. Notably 63% of bicycle crashes were a result of improper turning or automobile right of way as the primary collision factor.



**Figure 16: Pedestrian Crash Map**



**Figure 17: Bicycle Crash Map**

### Crash Trends by Location

The most frequently occurring primary collision factors and crash types reported for crashes along the study corridor, along with the associated locations, are provided in **Figure 18**.

Broadside crashes were the most common and occurred at most intersections along the corridor. Rear end crashes, unsafe speeds, and signal violations were mostly concentrated between the I-80 interchange and Harris Avenue where congestion during commute periods can occur. Signal violations were also cited at Grand Avenue and Silver Eagle Road. Unsafe speeds were also cited between Silver Eagle Road and Fairbanks Avenue on the southern portion of the corridor.

The highest concentration of crashes along the study corridor was between Belle Avenue and Jessie Avenue in Segment 2 and involves a unique crash profile with the majority of

head-on, sideswipe, and improper turning crashes occurring along this portion of the road. Most of the crashes involving conflicts between cars and people walking or biking occurred on this stretch with people biking being broadsided or sideswept, and people crossing midblock.

The intersection of Norwood Avenue at Grand Avenue was the location of multiple DUIs, hit object crashes, and sideswipes involving someone biking.

## CRASH CAUSES



## CRASH TYPES



# Highlighted intersections had at least 2 crashes of the associated type or primary cause.




Figure 18: Crash Trends by Location

## Existing Conditions Traffic Analysis

### Traffic Operations Standards and Methodology

---

The following section describes the methodology used to analyze and evaluate the traffic conditions at the study intersections and the potential operational effects at the study intersections. The analysis methodologies and level of service standards used to determine effect at study intersections are described.

#### Signalized Intersections

The study intersections were analyzed using the Synchro 12 software package. A model for existing conditions was developed in Synchro using the existing roadway geometry, signal timing plans, and intersection turn movement volumes for the peak hour within the weekday AM and weekday PM periods. Key performance metrics include average vehicle delay, intersection LOS<sup>15</sup>, and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile queue.

The delay and LOS analysis is based on the latest version of the Transportation Research Board Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methodology. This methodology assigns an LOS grade to intersection operations based on the average vehicle control delay, ranging from LOS A (free flow) to LOS F (most congested conditions). **Table 10** documents the LOS criteria for signalized intersections. The latest version of the Synchro analysis software was used to report the 95th percentile queue lengths for approach lanes to study intersections.

---

<sup>15</sup> A Level of Service (LOS) analysis refers to the quantifiable assessment of traffic under various scenarios.

**Table 10: Level of Service Criteria Definitions**

Level of Service	Description	Signalized Intersection (Delay in Seconds)
A	Operations with very low delay occurring with favorable progression and/or short cycle lengths.	≤10.0
B	Operations with very low delay occurring with good progression and/or short cycle lengths.	>10.0 to 20.0
C	Operations with very average delays resulting from fair progression and/or longer cycle lengths. Individual cycle failures begin to appear.	>20.0 to 35.0
D	Operations with longer delays due to a combination of unfavorable progression, long cycle lengths, and high volume-to-capacity (V/C) ratios. Many vehicles stop and individual cycle failures are noticeable.	>35.0 to 55.0
E	Operations with high delay values indicating poor progression, long cycle lengths, and V/C ratios. Individual cycle failures are frequent occurrences.	>55.0 to 80.0
F	Operations with delays unacceptable to most drivers occurring due to over-saturation, poor progression, or very long cycle lengths.	>80.0

Source: Highway Capacity Manual, 6<sup>th</sup> Edition

## Intersection Operations Analysis

A model for existing conditions was developed in Synchro using the existing roadway geometry, signal timing plans, and intersection turn movement volumes for the weekday AM and weekday PM hours. Intersection geometry was derived from aerial photographs (Google Maps). The latest signal timing information was acquired from the City of Sacramento. *Appendix C contains the Signal Timing Worksheets. Appendix D contains the Existing Conditions Synchro Reports (Lanes/Volumes/Timings, Queues, and delay/LOS)* from Synchro.

### Existing Vehicular Level of Service


**Table 11** summarizes the existing peak hour intersection operating conditions. Results indicate that all study intersections operate at LOS C or better during both a.m. and p.m. peak hours. Figure 19 illustrates the AM and PM peak hour turning movement counts at the study intersections.

**Table 11: Existing Conditions Operational Analysis Results**


Intersection	AM Peak Hour		PM Peak Hour	
	Delay (Seconds)	LOS	Delay (Seconds)	LOS
1. Norwood Avenue / Bell Avenue	20.6	C	17.6	B
2. Norwood Avenue / Jessie Avenue	28.2	C	23.8	C
3. Norwood Avenue / WB 80 ramps	10.9	B	10.3	B
4. Norwood Avenue / EB 80 ramps	12.0	B	12.0	B
5. Norwood Avenue / Harris Avenue	19.7	B	19.2	B
6. Norwood Avenue / Silver Eagle Road	18.6	B	18.2	B

Source: DKS Associates, December 2024.


**EXISTING AM/PM PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES**




**1 BELL AVE / NORWOOD AVE**




**2 JESSIE AVE / NORWOOD AVE**




**3 I-80 WB RAMPS / NORWOOD AVE**




**4 I-80 EB RAMPS / NORWOOD AVE**



**5 HARRIS AVE / NORWOOD AVE**



**6 SILVER EAGLE RD / NORWOOD AVE**



- Plan Corridor
- School
- Lane Configuration
- Traffic Signal
- Community Center
- Study Intersection
- Traffic Volume Movements

AM (PM) Peak Hour Volumes, Level of Service, and Delay

**Figure 19. Existing Traffic Volumes and Operation Results at Study Intersections**

## 95<sup>th</sup> Percentile Queueing

The 95<sup>th</sup> percentile queueing reported by Synchro refers to the queue length (in vehicles) that has only a five percent probability of being exceeded during the analysis time period (the average driver would likely experience shorter queue lengths than what is being reported).

Queue lengths are analyzed to determine if there are any potential safety impacts present, either from blocking side street or driveway access (a moderate safety concern) or extend to the point of creating queue spill-back conditions in the nearest upstream intersection (larger safety concern). Queue overflows are described as the number of additional vehicles that may extend past the available storage, assuming 25 feet per vehicle, rounding up. As shown in **Table 12**, queue overflow can occur at five intersections listed below:

- Norwood Avenue/Bell Avenue
- Norwood Avenue/Jessie Avenue
- Norwood Avenue/ Westbound I-80 Ramps
- Norwood Avenue/ Eastbound I-80 Ramps
- Norwood Avenue/Silver Eagle Road

**Table 12. 95<sup>th</sup> Percentile Queueing Results at Study Intersections**

Intersection	Existing Conditions			
	Turning Movement	Available Storage Length (Feet)	95 <sup>th</sup> Percentile Queue (Feet) <sup>1</sup>	
			AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
<b>1. Norwood Avenue / Bell Avenue</b>	NBL	75	66	<b>112</b>
	SBL	95	<b>132</b>	84
	EBL	150	51	20
	WBL	195	183	131
<b>2. Norwood Avenue / Jessie Avenue</b>	NBL	100	<b>192</b>	<b>242</b>
	SBL	120	90	96
<b>3. Norwood Avenue / WB 80 Ramps</b>	WBL	435	137	111
	NBL	175	<b>222</b>	<b>259</b>
<b>4. Norwood Avenue / EB 80 Ramps</b>	EBL	360	118	203
	SBL	180	<b>295</b>	<b>220</b>
<b>5. Norwood Avenue / Harris Avenue</b>	NBL	85	60	30
	SBL	110	71	71
<b>6. Norwood Avenue / Silver Eagle Road</b>	EBL	110	<b>208</b>	<b>219</b>
	WBL	210	41	22
	NBL	95	<b>128</b>	<b>105</b>
	SBL	55	43	<b>63</b>

**BOLD** represents 95<sup>th</sup> percentile queueing above the available storage length.

Source: DKS Associates, December 2024.


## Travel Time Reliability and Congestion

---

Two metrics for measuring traffic operations on a corridor level are congestion and travel time reliability. Congestion can be thought of as typical peak period travel times being significantly slower than the free flowing or desired travel time for the corridor. Travel time reliability is the measure of the variability in travel times and is used to calculate the predictability of how long a specific trip will take at the same time day after day. Typical factors which cause a corridor to have unreliable travel times are:

- Normal travel fluctuations
- Physical bottlenecks
- Special events
- Traffic incidents
- Inclement weather
- Traffic control devices
- Work/construction zones

Congestion is measured by travel time index which is calculated as the corridor travel time at a certain time of day divided by the free flow travel time. Travel time reliability is measured using the buffer time index which is the difference between the average travel time and the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile travel time normalized to the free flow travel time. Another way to think of the buffer time index is how much additional time does a person need to dedicate to their trip to ensure they arrive to their destination in a timely manner. The relationship between the travel time index, 95<sup>th</sup> percentile travel time index (also called the planning time index), and the buffer time index is shown in **Figure 20**.



**Figure 20: Relationship Between Average Travel Time and 95th Percentile Travel Time which Results in the Buffer Time Index<sup>16</sup>**

Policies from the City of Sacramento General Plan indicate that corridor congestion is acceptable. The goal of improvements should be to improve travel for all users and to create a reliable travel experience, even if those improvements result in some increase in travel delays during peak hours. Reliable travel times allow people to consistently plan their driving and transit trips even if those trips are slower than their ideal travel time.

To calculate travel time reliability and congestion for Norwood Avenue, average speed data was obtained from the National Performance Management Research Data Set (NPMRDS) from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). Congestion is defined for this data set as peak hour speeds that are 60% or less of free-flow speeds.

To reflect annual average weekday conditions, the data was filtered to isolate average conditions: Tues-Thurs AM/PM peak periods for passenger vehicles and heavy-duty trucks separately and together. The AM and PM peak periods were identified by the highest congestion continuous 60-minute span for both passenger vehicles and trucks. The free flow speed (FFS) of the corridor was determined through analyzing the fastest vehicle speeds recorded which typically occur during the hours of 12:00-3:00am. Additionally, the Highway Capacity Manual 7<sup>th</sup> Edition defines congestion and reliability through thresholds shown in **Table 13**.

<sup>16</sup> Source: *Traffic Congestion and Reliability: Linking Solutions to Problems*, FHWA, 2004


**Table 13. Congestion and Reliability Performance Measures**

	Reliable	Moderately Reliable	Unreliable
Buffer Time Index	<b>BTI &lt; 1.25</b>	<b>BTI 1.25-&lt; 1.5</b>	<b>BTI &gt;= 1.5</b>
<b>Uncongested</b> <i>&gt;= 60% Of Free Flow</i>	Predictable and efficient	Not always predictable, usually efficient	Unpredictable, not often congested
<b>Congested</b> <i>&lt;60% Of Free Flow</i>	Predictable and inefficient	Not always predictable, usually inefficient	Unpredictable, not often congestion


Source: Highway Capacity Manual, 7<sup>th</sup> Edition.

Travel time reliability and congestion was analyzed for passenger vehicles, trucks, and passenger vehicles and trucks combined during the AM peak hour (8:00-9:00am) and PM peak hour (3:00-4:00pm). The results, as shown in **Figure 21** to **Figure 26**, provide a summary of how reliable speeds and congestion are experienced by users during the AM and PM peak hour periods. The majority of the corridor experiences congested conditions during peak hours, but not consistently, with the exception of a small portion of Segment 2 near the I-80 interchange, which is reliably congested during the weekday PM peak hour.


While the figures show areas of free flow and congestion along the study corridor, the majority of the data along Segment 1, Segment 2, and Segment 3 shows that there is a high amount of variability in travel times along the corridor. The exception to this is a short southbound segment around the I-80 overpass which is reliably congested during the PM peak hour. Segment 4 shows generally reliable free flow travel in the northbound direction and unreliable free flow travel in the southbound direction during the AM and PM peak hours. From these results, it is clear that travel times vary widely along the Norwood Avenue Corridor from day to day. Based on the patterns of congested segments and field observations, it is clear that a majority of this variability in travel time is driven by two factors. The first is concentrated periods of congestion centered around the local school bell schedules. There are five schools either on or near Norwood Avenue which generate short periods of congestion that quickly dissipate outside of the times leading up to and following the school pick up and drop off periods. The second factor is queues coming from demand going to I-80. When the freeway is congested, this congestion spills back onto the local street system at the interchange




**Figure 21. Autos AM Peak Hour Reliability and Congestion**




**Figure 22. Autos PM Peak Hour Reliability and Congestion**




**Figure 23. Trucks AM Peak Hour Reliability and Congestion**



**Figure 24. Trucks PM Peak Hour Reliability and Congestion**



**Figure 25. Autos and Trucks AM Peak Hour Reliability and Congestion**



**Figure 26. Autos and Trucks PM Peak Hour Reliability and Congestion**

## Public Engagement Summary

The following section summarizes engagement methods and feedback received during the first round of public engagement.

### Public Engagement Events

#### In-Person Events

The project team attended the Harvest Festival on Saturday, October 26, 2024. The Harvest Festival is a family friendly event that is highly attended and includes vendors, local organizations, and public agencies to promote their services and goods while providing a space for families to “trick or treat”. This event was attended by the City to promote awareness of the Norwood Mobility Project, gather public input about current issues along the corridor, and encourage community members to complete a project survey. The project team interacted with over 50 people at the Harvest Festival.



A public workshop was held on Monday, November 18, 2024, from 6:30 to 8:00 pm. The workshop provided residents, employees, business owners, school district officials, parents, and other interested parties an opportunity to learn about the study and the City’s efforts to improve corridor conditions. Attendees were introduced to the project’s purpose, need, and goals, provided an opportunity to complete a community survey, and/or leave



comments on the social pinpoint interactive map. Four comment boards were also provided to gather public input with each board denoting a transportation mode (i.e., walking, biking, driving, or transit). Approximately 10 people from the public attended the workshop. Attendees were engaged and receptive to the project and provided feedback regarding areas of concern on Norwood Avenue and potential improvements they would support.

On December 19, 2024, City staff attended a public workshop hosted by the Neighborhood Development Action Team (NDAT). The NDAT workshop was held at Bella’s Boba, east of Norwood Avenue on Eleanor Avenue. This event was attended by approximately 20

members of the community and provided attendees with project information and the opportunity to complete a community survey, provide input on a map board, or discuss corridor issues with project staff.

### **Virtual Meeting**

On Monday, December 9, 2024, a virtual community meeting was held. This virtual meeting served the same purpose as the in-person workshop to raise project awareness, gather public comment, and concerns. The event was held via Zoom from 6:30pm to 7:30pm in an effort to ensure community members could participate in project discussion and provide input. The virtual workshop consisted of a brief presentation to introduce the project purpose, need, and overall goals. During the virtual meeting, attendees were given the opportunity to provide comments, questions, or raise concerns to project staff. Participants were also given the project website information to complete the survey and/or interactive map on their own time.

## **Engagement Media Methods**

---

### **Project Website**

Integrated into the City of Sacramento website, a project specific webpage was created for the Norwood Mobility Project<sup>17</sup>. As shown in **Figure 27**, information such as project background, corridor extents, project schedule, and input methods are provided. The project webpage offers two forms of public input such as a community survey and interactive Social Pinpoint map (**Figure 28**). The community survey was provided at the in-person workshop in English and Spanish, the online version allows for translation (**Figure 29**).

---

<sup>17</sup> [Norwood Mobility Project](#)

# The Norwood Mobility Project

## Project overview

Norwood Avenue between Main Avenue and Arcade Creek is a critical corridor serving local neighborhoods, as well as students and businesses. However, it is part of the City’s High Injury Network, corridors with a high number of transportation related severe injuries and fatalities, and identified as a high priority project in the City’s Transportation Priorities Plan.

The City was awarded a competitive planning grant to review data and work with the community to develop a plan to address safety and mobility on the corridor.

The goal of the plan is to identify a data driven, community supported vision for a future Norwood Avenue, inclusive of all users and reflective of the needs of the community it serves, eliminating barriers to jobs, housing, and services.

Having a Council adopted plan ensures the City is eligible for competitive grant funding for any next phases such as Preliminary Engineering Design, Environmental Clearance, Final Design and Construction.



## Project schedule

- Fall 2024:** Existing conditions analysis
- Fall-Winter 2024/2025:** Community engagement phase 1, including in-person and virtual workshops and pop-ins
- Fall 2024 – Spring 2025:** Alternatives analysis
- Winter 2025:** Community engagement phase 2, including community survey, virtual open house and focus groups
- Spring -Fall 2025:** Draft plan development and community engagement
- Winter 2025:** Final Plan



The Norwood Mobility Project Schedule

## Share your input

Explore [The Norwood Mobility Project interactive map](#) where you can share your comments.

There will be a variety of opportunities for you to get involved and provide your input, including community pop-ins where we will meet with the community where they are, as well as in-person and virtual workshops.

### In-Person Workshop

Monday, November 18, 2024 (completed!)

### Virtual Workshop

Monday, December 9, 2024

6:30-7:30pm

[Registration link](#)

\*Registration required

You can review our [Norwood Mobility Project Phase 1 presentation here](#).



Figure 27. Norwood Mobility Project Web Page

# We need your input!

Use the interactive map to provide comments about locations in the study area.

Follow these instructions to use the map:

- To add a comment > Select the 'Add Marker' button in the lower right corner of the map and click the specific location where you want to leave your comment. Fill out the details of the input form as required and select the 'Submit' button.



- To view the map legend and/or turn map layer on/off > Select the icon in the upper left corner of the map that looks like a stack of papers. The display box will show the maps layers. Click next to the circle to the right of each layer label to toggle that layer on/off.



- To select an a different base map > Select the icon in the upper left corner of the map that looks like an unfolded map. The display box will show several base map options to choose from.



- To view additional map instructions > Select the question mark icon just above the map in the upper left corner. The display box will include more instruction information.




Figure 28. Norwood Avenue Interactive Comment Map

## Community Survey

Please take the following brief survey. Your input is extremely valuable, and it will help the project team select the preferred future options and potential physical changes to Norwood Avenue.

What is your zip code?

How often do you typically travel on Norwood Avenue?

- Daily
- Some Days (e.g., work commute, shopping, and errands)
- Weekly
- Every Couple of Weeks
- Monthly
- Rarely

How do you typically travel on Norwood Avenue? Select all that apply.

- Driving in a Personal Vehicle
- Riding in a Personal Vehicle
- Public Transit
- Paratransit
- Walking/Rolling
- Bicycling (including using e-bikes)
- Scooting
- Ride-Sharing (Uber, Lyft), Taxi
- Other (please specify)

For the next questions, please rate your interest in each potential change to Norwood Avenue on a scale from 1 (least interested) to 5 (most interested).

Improved public transit stop conditions and access

1 = least interested, 5 = most interested

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

### Figure 29. Norwood Avenue Community Survey

## Event Flyers

To promote awareness of the public workshop and virtual meeting, a flyer was circulated on the City of Sacramento website, pop-up events, and social media outlets to promote the upcoming events. As shown in **Figure 30**, the event flyer consisted of meeting information, project background, links, and a QR code to route views to the project website, survey, and comment map.



## Help us develop a plan to improve safety and access on Norwood Avenue whether you are walking, biking, driving, or taking the bus!

*¡Ayúdenos a desarrollar un plan para mejorar la seguridad y el acceso en Norwood Avenue ya sea que esté caminando, en bicicleta, conduciendo o tomando el autobús!*

### Join us for a workshop to share your ideas!

*Únase a nosotros para un taller para compartir sus ideas!*

#### IN-PERSON EN PERSONA

November 18, 2024 | 18 de noviembre de 2024

6:30 PM - 8:00 PM

Robertson Community Center  
3525 Norwood Ave Sacramento

#### VIRTUAL VIRTUAL

December 9, 2024 | 9 de diciembre de 2024

6:30 PM - 7:30 PM

Via Zoom Meeting | *Vía reunión de Zoom*

Register at | *Regístrate en:* [bit.ly/norwood-register](https://bit.ly/norwood-register)

Meeting ID | *ID de reunión:* 899 0189 8092

Passcode | *Código de acceso:* Norwood

Registration is required to attend

*Es necesario registrarse para asistir*

For more information, visit our website at:

*Para más información visite nuestro sitio web en:*

[www.NorwoodMobility.org](http://www.NorwoodMobility.org)



311 Español | 中文 | Tagalog | Tiếng Việt | Hmoob | Русский

City of SACRAMENTO

Figure 30. Norwood Avenue Event Flyer

## Public Engagement Results

Since the project webpage launch in September up to December 2024, the Norwood Mobility Project received several comments through various outlets such as the interactive map, public survey, and engagement events.

The community survey results are based on 75 respondents, 49 of the survey responses are from in-person events. Survey results indicated that around 76% of survey respondents travel on Norwood Avenue daily; about 75% typically drive in their personal vehicle, approximately 29% walk/roll, and about 16% take public transit on Norwood Avenue.

Survey respondents were mostly interested in improving crossing opportunities for those walking and bicycling on Norwood Avenue, reduced driver speed, improved driver safety, and improved walking conditions such as wider sidewalks and street trees.

Based on 54 comments received via the online interactive map and in-person events, 26 comments were specific to Bell Avenue, Jessie Avenue, and I-80 eastbound and westbound on/off ramps and generated the most concern from those who provided input (**Figure 31**). Walking access, driving operations, and safety were the most prominent concerns for respondents at Bell Avenue, Jessie Avenue and I-80 ramps. Jessie Avenue is one of the primary community focal points along with the Robertson Community Center and Pothong Market. The Jessie Avenue intersection provides key access to the Viva Supermarket, Rite Aid, Arco, and fast-food restaurants. Additionally, I-80 westbound on-off ramps are directly accessed by Jessie Avenue. Bell Avenue is a key intersection for people living in adjacent multi-family housing to access Norwood Avenue.

**Figure 32** illustrates the comments stratified by transportation mode on Norwood Avenue. Approximately 43% revolve around walking concerns, such as areas where people walking feel unsafe. As noted, community members would support improved safety for people walking, including high-visibility crosswalks and lighting. The complete list of survey results and comments received are included in *Appendix E*.

Norwood Mobility Project Engagement Comment Cartogram





Figure 31. Engagement comments by Major Intersection



**Figure 32. Comments by Type**

## Existing Transportation Challenges and Constraints

To gain a greater understanding of the challenges presented by this corridor, the primary concerns are outlined below. **Figure 33** illustrates the existing infrastructure along Norwood Avenue and identifies bicycle lanes and sidewalk gaps.



**Figure 33. Infrastructure Gaps and Constraints**

## Walking Infrastructure

---

Sidewalks exist throughout the study corridor except along the northbound travel lanes from Grace Avenue to Main Avenue and along the southbound travel lanes from Grace Avenue to Berthoud Street. The existing sidewalks along Norwood Avenue do not show significant deterioration but contain some cracks and chipped concrete. In addition to sidewalk conditions, throughout the corridor the sidewalk width is five feet but are obstructed by utility poles placed within the sidewalk, reducing the available sidewalk width.

The sidewalk along the northbound lanes from Grace Avenue to Berthoud Street do not contain significant shade for people walking. Increased shade cover from street trees is prevalent from Berthoud Street to Jessie Avenue, the remainder of the corridor from Jessie Avenue to Arcade Creek consists of substantial tree cover to protect people walking from extreme temperatures.

There are 11 intersections that have controlled marked crosswalks, five are north of I-80 and six are south of I-80. The distance between marked controlled crosswalks can vary between 650 to over 1,500 feet. South of I-80, Morey Avenue is an offset controlled intersection with three marked crosswalks. At uncontrolled intersections south of I-80, there are no Norwood Avenue crossing opportunities which can present non-intuitive crossing needs for people walking, promoting crossing activity at uncontrolled locations.

Additionally, the I-80 freeway ramps can create another barrier to people walking. The lack of control and visibility enhancements at the freeway ramps create a high-stress environment for people walking as vehicles increase speeds to enter the freeway and there is no traffic control for vehicles exiting the freeway and merging onto Norwood Avenue.

Future potential design alternatives will seek to address increasing visibility and improving walking comfortability.

## Bicycling Infrastructure

---

Bicycling infrastructure along Norwood Avenue is inconsistent and disconnected throughout the study corridor. Bike lane widths at the following locations are five feet and do not provide green paint to highlight conflict areas between modes or physical barriers to separate people biking from vehicle traffic. Bike lanes are present at the following locations:

- Northbound and southbound from Arcade Creek to Carroll Avenue
- Northbound Bell Avenue 200 feet south of the intersection approach
- Northbound from Bell Avenue to Grace Avenue.
- Southbound from Main Avenue to Grace Avenue

Having bike parking at locations could increase interest in biking as a form of transportation, allowing people biking to park their bike at commercial and recreational centers. Within the study corridor, there are five locations with bike parking ranging between three to seven spaces listed below:

- Robertson Community Center
- Nuevo Park
- Dollar General
- Department of Human Assistance
- Norwood Center

Future potential design alternatives will seek to address bicycle lane gaps, provide connection to the adjacent Sacramento Northern Bike Trail and Walter S. Ueda Bikeway, and improve access and comfortability for all ages and abilities of people biking.

## **Transit Infrastructure**

---

Norwood Avenue is served by SacRT Route 19 and Route 86. Route 19 has 60-minute all day headways on weekdays and weekends. Route 86 has 30-minute weekday headways and between 45 and 60-minute headways on weekends.

Norwood Avenue has 14 bus stops with only one providing a bus shelter. The existing shelter is at the Norwood Avenue and Bell Avenue southbound bus stop and includes a bench for seating but does not have a trash bin. Bus stop locations vary along the corridor as being nearside or far-side of signalized intersections. All of the bus stops are located in-lane and, though the lanes are wider than City standards, a bus loading passengers would still block traffic.

Bus stops are heavily concentrated from Silver Eagle Road to Arcade Creek and there are no bus stops north of Bell Avenue. All bus stops along the corridor are identified by a transit sign. None of the bus stops are accessible via a low-stress walking or biking network.

## **Crashes**

---

The study corridor experienced 137 injury crashes from 2018 to 2022. Of the 137 crashes, 21% were bicycle and pedestrian crashes (29 crashes). Fatal and severe injury crashes accounted for 34% of the 29 bicycle and pedestrian crashes. The highest density of crashes for all modes from 2018 to 2022 occurred between Bell Avenue and I-80, with 43% of all crashes occurring in Segment 2 of the corridor, making it a priority location for safety improvements.

This segment includes a mix of residential and commercial land use, on-street parking, and a high density of driveways. Of the 29 crashes involving people walking and biking, 16 occurred on this same segment between Bell Avenue and I-80.

The issues the design alternatives will seek to address are reducing uncontrolled conflicts between different modes of travel along the corridor, improving visibility and awareness of

potential conflict zones, reducing speeds along the corridor, and creating additional controlled crossing opportunities at high demand locations along the corridor.

## OPERATIONS

---

Much of the corridor experiences unreliable travel times and congestion. Although corridor intersections operate acceptably, many of them experience weekday AM/PM peak hour queue conditions that exceed available storage. The corridor design alternatives will seek to address consistent cross-sections throughout the corridor, efficient signal timing for all users of the corridor including buses, providing sufficient storage for queuing, and operational modifications that improve safety for all roadway users.

## Right of Way

---

Right of way (ROW) along the corridor varies throughout Norwood Avenue. From curb to curb, the ROW varies from 48 to 70 feet wide involving the following components:

- Inside travel lanes are 11 feet.
- Outside travel lane widths vary from 12 to 14 feet.
- Two-way center left turn lane is 10 feet.
- Sidewalks along the study corridor are 5 feet but are the responsibility of the fronting property owner<sup>18</sup>. Utility poles are located within the sidewalk width.
- Along the few segments where on-street parking is allowed, the parking lane is 8 feet.

Additional consideration for ROW will need to be given to the I-80 overpass and Arcade Creek bridge due to reduced roadway width and the structure providing a constrained roadway width. Where the corridor is two lanes per direction with a two-way center left turn lane, design alternatives will seek to use existing roadway space to improve infrastructure for people walking or biking such as widening sidewalks or implementing marked bike lanes or buffered bike lanes.

---

<sup>18</sup> [Sacramento City Code, Section 12.32.020](#)

# APPENDIX



428 J STREET, SUITE 340 • SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 • 916.368.2000 • [DKSASSOCIATES.COM](http://DKSASSOCIATES.COM)

# CONTENTS

**APPENDIX A. TURNING MOVEMENT COUNTS**

**APPENDIX B. NORWOOD AVENUE COLLISION DATA**

**APPENDIX C: SIGNAL TIMING SHEETS**

**APPENDIX D: EXISTING CONDITIONS SYNCHRO REPORTS**

**APPENDIX E: COMMUNITY SURVEY RESULTS & COMMENTS**

## **Appendix A. Turning Movement Counts**

---

# National Data & Surveying Services

## Intersection Turning Movement Count

**Location:** Norwood Ave & I-80 EB Ramps  
**City:** Sacramento  
**Control:** Signalized

**Project ID:** 24-070189-004  
**Date:** 10/8/2024

### Data - Total

NS/EW Streets:	Norwood Ave				Norwood Ave				I-80 EB Ramps				I-80 EB Ramps				
AM	NORTHBOUND				SOUTHBOUND				EASTBOUND				WESTBOUND				
	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
7:00 AM	0	104	55	0	53	103	0	0	56	0	83	0	0	0	0	0	454
7:15 AM	0	127	82	0	69	111	0	0	62	1	74	0	0	0	0	0	526
7:30 AM	0	154	93	0	105	146	0	0	57	1	88	0	0	0	0	0	644
7:45 AM	0	157	78	0	82	161	0	0	85	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	663
8:00 AM	0	133	65	0	95	160	0	0	75	0	89	0	0	0	0	0	617
8:15 AM	0	126	53	0	85	135	0	0	57	0	99	0	0	0	0	0	555
8:30 AM	0	131	62	0	79	122	0	0	54	1	91	0	0	0	0	0	540
8:45 AM	0	144	48	0	61	112	0	0	53	1	73	0	0	0	0	0	492
<b>TOTAL VOLUMES :</b>	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
<b>APPROACH %'s :</b>	0.00%	66.75%	33.25%	0.00%	37.46%	62.54%	0.00%	0.00%	41.58%	0.33%	58.08%	0.00%	0	0	0	0	4491
<b>PEAK HR :</b>	07:30 AM - 08:30 AM																TOTAL
<b>PEAK HR VOL :</b>	0	570	289	0	367	602	0	0	274	1	376	0	0	0	0	0	2479
<b>PEAK HR FACTOR :</b>	0.000	0.908	0.777	0.000	0.874	0.935	0.000	0.000	0.806	0.250	0.940	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.935
		0.869				0.950				0.880							
PM	NORTHBOUND				SOUTHBOUND				EASTBOUND				WESTBOUND				
	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
4:00 PM	0	155	82	0	68	138	0	0	110	1	78	0	0	0	0	0	632
4:15 PM	0	161	38	0	72	149	0	0	94	0	80	0	0	0	0	0	594
4:30 PM	0	163	69	0	68	137	0	0	109	0	75	0	0	0	0	0	621
4:45 PM	0	159	53	0	49	131	0	0	111	1	96	0	0	0	0	0	600
5:00 PM	0	185	69	0	64	111	0	0	115	4	88	0	0	0	0	0	636
5:15 PM	0	176	71	0	78	143	0	0	106	4	86	0	0	0	0	0	664
5:30 PM	0	158	57	0	65	141	0	0	125	4	98	0	0	0	0	0	648
5:45 PM	0	150	49	0	57	138	0	0	120	0	97	0	0	0	0	0	611
<b>TOTAL VOLUMES :</b>	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
<b>APPROACH %'s :</b>	0.00%	72.81%	27.19%	0.00%	32.38%	67.62%	0.00%	0.00%	55.56%	0.87%	43.57%	0.00%	0	0	0	0	5006
<b>PEAK HR :</b>	05:00 PM - 06:00 PM																TOTAL
<b>PEAK HR VOL :</b>	0	669	246	0	264	533	0	0	466	12	369	0	0	0	0	0	2559
<b>PEAK HR FACTOR :</b>	0.000	0.904	0.866	0.000	0.846	0.932	0.000	0.000	0.932	0.750	0.941	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.963
		0.901				0.902				0.933							

# National Data & Surveying Services

## Intersection Turning Movement Count

Location: Norwood Ave & Harris Ave  
 City: Sacramento  
 Control: Signalized

Project ID: 24-070189-005  
 Date: 10/8/2024

### Data - Total

NS/EW Streets:	Norwood Ave				Norwood Ave				Harris Ave				Harris Ave				
<b>AM</b>	NORTHBOUND				SOUTHBOUND				EASTBOUND				WESTBOUND				
	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
7:00 AM	4	110	0	0	14	98	79	0	35	1	8	0	0	2	13	0	364
7:15 AM	3	164	1	0	13	101	66	0	37	0	7	0	5	5	14	0	416
7:30 AM	3	182	1	0	15	162	65	0	37	2	5	0	3	4	28	0	507
7:45 AM	16	193	3	0	17	143	80	0	30	3	4	0	2	8	17	0	516
8:00 AM	11	156	3	0	11	168	86	0	24	5	7	0	1	9	23	0	504
8:15 AM	14	134	4	0	13	150	74	0	31	3	15	0	3	5	19	0	465
8:30 AM	11	132	2	0	16	115	75	0	62	2	12	0	2	3	18	0	450
8:45 AM	9	94	3	0	16	113	55	0	63	3	17	0	3	9	17	0	402
<b>TOTAL VOLUMES :</b>	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
<b>APPROACH %'s :</b>	5.67%	92.98%	1.36%	0.00%	6.59%	60.17%	33.24%	0.00%	77.24%	4.60%	18.16%	0.00%	8.92%	21.13%	69.95%	0.00%	3624
<b>PEAK HR :</b>	07:30 AM - 08:30 AM																TOTAL
<b>PEAK HR VOL :</b>	44	665	11	0	56	623	305	0	122	13	31	0	9	26	87	0	1992
<b>PEAK HR FACTOR :</b>	0.688	0.861	0.688	0.000	0.824	0.927	0.887	0.000	0.824	0.650	0.517	0.000	0.750	0.722	0.777	0.000	0.965
	0.849				0.928				0.847				0.871				
<b>PM</b>	NORTHBOUND				SOUTHBOUND				EASTBOUND				WESTBOUND				
	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
4:00 PM	2	132	7	0	20	178	24	0	70	3	14	0	4	5	32	0	491
4:15 PM	5	127	2	0	23	172	26	0	50	4	13	0	3	3	24	0	452
4:30 PM	5	140	4	0	15	182	18	0	57	3	10	0	0	1	29	0	464
4:45 PM	6	146	1	0	15	187	29	0	55	5	7	0	7	2	27	0	487
5:00 PM	4	132	4	0	13	150	28	0	79	4	17	0	2	2	24	0	459
5:15 PM	2	166	5	0	15	195	28	0	58	3	7	0	5	2	26	0	512
5:30 PM	5	158	4	0	13	204	20	0	38	2	8	0	3	0	16	0	471
5:45 PM	3	148	2	0	14	201	21	0	34	4	6	0	6	1	14	0	454
<b>TOTAL VOLUMES :</b>	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
<b>APPROACH %'s :</b>	2.64%	94.96%	2.40%	0.00%	7.15%	82.02%	10.83%	0.00%	80.04%	5.08%	14.88%	0.00%	12.61%	6.72%	80.67%	0.00%	3790
<b>PEAK HR :</b>	04:45 PM - 05:45 PM																TOTAL
<b>PEAK HR VOL :</b>	17	602	14	0	56	736	105	0	230	14	39	0	17	6	93	0	1929
<b>PEAK HR FACTOR :</b>	0.708	0.907	0.700	0.000	0.933	0.902	0.905	0.000	0.728	0.700	0.574	0.000	0.607	0.750	0.861	0.000	0.942
	0.915				0.942				0.708				0.806				

# National Data & Surveying Services

## Intersection Turning Movement Count

**Location:** Norwood Ave & Silver Eagle Rd  
**City:** Sacramento  
**Control:** Signalized

**Project ID:** 24-070189-006  
**Date:** 10/8/2024

### Data - Total

NS/EW Streets:	Norwood Ave				Norwood Ave				Silver Eagle Rd				Silver Eagle Rd				
AM	NORTHBOUND				SOUTHBOUND				EASTBOUND				WESTBOUND				
	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
7:00 AM	9	67	1	0	3	72	19	0	18	5	16	0	3	8	11	0	232
7:15 AM	18	92	3	0	3	95	34	0	28	7	18	0	4	22	9	0	333
7:30 AM	24	131	5	0	6	137	37	0	42	10	31	0	12	17	10	0	462
7:45 AM	34	173	5	0	7	112	46	0	39	21	23	0	4	26	12	0	502
8:00 AM	28	144	3	0	3	109	53	0	63	16	22	0	4	19	9	0	473
8:15 AM	21	99	3	0	7	107	50	0	59	27	17	0	2	22	8	0	422
8:30 AM	10	92	2	0	6	105	67	0	42	26	19	0	6	20	8	0	403
8:45 AM	15	56	1	0	5	82	30	0	30	13	16	0	3	11	6	0	268
<b>TOTAL VOLUMES :</b>	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
<b>APPROACH %'s :</b>	15.35%	82.43%	2.22%	0.00%	3.35%	68.54%	28.12%	0.00%	52.80%	20.56%	26.64%	0.00%	14.84%	56.64%	28.52%	0.00%	3095
<b>PEAK HR :</b>	07:30 AM - 08:30 AM																TOTAL
<b>PEAK HR VOL :</b>	107	547	16	0	23	465	186	0	203	74	93	0	22	84	39	0	1859
<b>PEAK HR FACTOR :</b>	0.787	0.790	0.800	0.000	0.821	0.849	0.877	0.000	0.806	0.685	0.750	0.000	0.458	0.808	0.813	0.000	0.926
	0.790				0.936				0.898				0.863				
PM	NORTHBOUND				SOUTHBOUND				EASTBOUND				WESTBOUND				
	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
4:00 PM	21	79	1	0	10	117	49	0	47	28	38	0	2	20	5	0	417
4:15 PM	17	97	4	0	8	110	36	0	51	37	26	0	1	16	4	0	407
4:30 PM	25	94	3	0	3	115	46	0	58	32	24	0	2	13	8	0	423
4:45 PM	25	101	2	0	11	106	51	0	57	36	29	0	2	16	8	0	444
5:00 PM	24	101	6	0	25	104	50	0	56	27	26	0	1	12	8	0	440
5:15 PM	20	98	3	0	6	116	39	0	74	40	24	0	1	20	10	0	451
5:30 PM	16	107	2	0	6	105	50	0	48	22	28	0	2	22	9	0	417
5:45 PM	25	120	5	0	4	132	48	0	47	21	35	0	5	20	9	0	471
<b>TOTAL VOLUMES :</b>	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
<b>APPROACH %'s :</b>	17.37%	80.02%	2.61%	0.00%	5.42%	67.19%	27.39%	0.00%	48.08%	26.67%	25.25%	0.00%	7.41%	64.35%	28.24%	0.00%	3470
<b>PEAK HR :</b>	05:00 PM - 06:00 PM																TOTAL
<b>PEAK HR VOL :</b>	85	426	16	0	41	457	187	0	225	110	113	0	9	74	36	0	1779
<b>PEAK HR FACTOR :</b>	0.850	0.888	0.667	0.000	0.410	0.866	0.935	0.000	0.760	0.688	0.807	0.000	0.450	0.841	0.900	0.000	0.944
	0.878				0.931				0.812				0.875				

# National Data & Surveying Services

## Intersection Turning Movement Count

Location: Norwood Ave & Bell Ave  
 City: Sacramento  
 Control: Signalized

Project ID: 24-070189-002  
 Date: 10/8/2024

### Data - Total

NS/EW Streets:	Norwood Ave				Norwood Ave				Bell Ave				Bell Ave				TOTAL
	NORTHBOUND				SOUTHBOUND				EASTBOUND				WESTBOUND				
AM	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
7:00 AM	3	66	27	0	7	84	0	0	2	10	23	0	20	3	11	0	256
7:15 AM	11	83	28	0	7	109	1	0	0	10	33	0	43	7	18	0	350
7:30 AM	7	78	30	0	27	149	3	0	4	18	41	0	35	4	27	0	423
7:45 AM	17	98	44	0	43	142	14	1	12	23	39	0	40	19	40	0	532
8:00 AM	12	80	52	0	38	125	10	0	19	50	27	0	53	32	29	0	527
8:15 AM	10	64	47	0	10	97	5	0	8	31	20	0	58	17	20	0	387
8:30 AM	12	59	24	0	13	77	8	0	2	9	24	0	55	21	9	0	313
8:45 AM	13	50	17	0	8	79	2	0	1	8	19	0	39	11	14	0	261
<b>TOTAL VOLUMES :</b>	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
<b>APPROACH %'s :</b>	9.12%	62.02%	28.86%	0.00%	14.45%	81.40%	4.06%	0.09%	11.09%	36.72%	52.19%	0.00%	54.88%	18.24%	26.88%	0.00%	3049
<b>PEAK HR :</b>	07:30 AM - 08:30 AM																TOTAL
<b>PEAK HR VOL :</b>	46	320	173	0	118	513	32	1	43	122	127	0	186	72	116	0	1869
<b>PEAK HR FACTOR :</b>	0.676	0.816	0.832	0.000	0.686	0.861	0.571	0.250	0.566	0.610	0.774	0.000	0.802	0.563	0.725	0.000	0.878
	0.847				0.830				0.760				0.820				
PM	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
4:00 PM	28	90	37	0	18	109	3	0	2	8	20	0	40	24	20	0	399
4:15 PM	30	106	33	0	11	98	7	0	5	8	21	0	37	16	9	0	381
4:30 PM	32	103	50	0	23	99	4	0	5	10	19	0	29	16	20	0	410
4:45 PM	18	89	45	0	19	86	4	0	3	14	29	0	21	21	10	0	359
5:00 PM	21	87	57	0	15	98	2	0	5	11	15	0	37	17	18	0	383
5:15 PM	37	98	48	0	19	105	3	0	1	13	22	0	24	12	21	0	403
5:30 PM	29	82	55	0	21	108	7	0	2	15	14	0	38	17	16	0	404
5:45 PM	23	115	45	0	18	84	3	0	3	12	28	0	35	14	14	0	394
<b>TOTAL VOLUMES :</b>	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
<b>APPROACH %'s :</b>	16.05%	56.70%	27.25%	0.00%	14.94%	81.64%	3.42%	0.00%	9.12%	31.93%	58.95%	0.00%	49.62%	26.05%	24.33%	0.00%	3133
<b>PEAK HR :</b>	05:00 PM - 06:00 PM																TOTAL
<b>PEAK HR VOL :</b>	110	382	205	0	73	395	15	0	11	51	79	0	134	60	69	0	1584
<b>PEAK HR FACTOR :</b>	0.743	0.830	0.899	0.000	0.869	0.914	0.536	0.000	0.550	0.850	0.705	0.000	0.882	0.882	0.821	0.000	0.980
	0.952				0.888				0.820				0.913				

# National Data & Surveying Services

## Intersection Turning Movement Count

**Location:** Norwood Ave & I-80 WB Ramps  
**City:** Sacramento  
**Control:** Signalized

**Project ID:** 24-070189-003  
**Date:** 10/8/2024

### Data - Total

NS/EW Streets:	Norwood Ave				Norwood Ave				I-80 WB Ramps				I-80 WB Ramps				
<b>AM</b>	NORTHBOUND				SOUTHBOUND				EASTBOUND				WESTBOUND				TOTAL
	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	
7:00 AM	57	103	0	0	0	84	108	0	0	0	0	0	71	0	50	0	473
7:15 AM	61	128	0	0	0	131	142	0	0	0	0	0	66	0	53	0	581
7:30 AM	75	135	0	0	0	171	161	0	0	0	0	0	82	0	50	0	674
7:45 AM	54	175	0	0	0	179	138	0	0	0	0	0	76	1	53	0	676
8:00 AM	68	148	0	0	0	157	119	0	0	0	0	0	67	0	64	0	623
8:15 AM	57	130	0	0	0	175	122	0	0	0	0	0	47	2	40	0	573
8:30 AM	69	115	0	0	0	137	100	0	0	0	0	0	65	2	56	0	544
8:45 AM	97	103	0	0	0	127	92	0	0	0	0	0	46	1	46	0	512
<b>TOTAL VOLUMES:</b>	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	<b>TOTAL</b>
	538	1037	0	0	0	1161	982	0	0	0	0	0	520	6	412	0	4656
<b>APPROACH %'s:</b>	34.16%	65.84%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	54.18%	45.82%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	55.44%	0.64%	43.92%	0.00%	
<b>PEAK HR:</b>	07:15 AM - 08:15 AM																<b>TOTAL</b>
<b>PEAK HR VOL:</b>	258	586	0	0	0	638	560	0	0	0	0	0	291	1	220	0	2554
<b>PEAK HR FACTOR:</b>	0.860	0.837	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.891	0.870	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.887	0.250	0.859	0.000	0.945
	0.921				0.902				0.970								
<b>PM</b>	NORTHBOUND				SOUTHBOUND				EASTBOUND				WESTBOUND				TOTAL
	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	
4:00 PM	78	189	0	0	0	145	107	0	0	0	0	0	60	0	93	0	672
4:15 PM	75	181	0	0	0	143	106	0	0	0	0	0	77	0	65	0	647
4:30 PM	89	166	0	0	0	135	107	0	0	0	0	0	71	0	91	0	659
4:45 PM	93	193	0	0	0	122	89	0	0	0	0	0	57	0	77	0	631
5:00 PM	90	207	0	0	0	123	100	0	0	0	0	0	52	0	70	0	642
5:15 PM	78	203	0	0	0	158	88	0	0	0	0	0	65	0	82	0	674
5:30 PM	89	194	0	0	0	142	84	0	0	0	0	0	62	0	77	0	648
5:45 PM	67	208	0	0	0	124	119	0	0	0	0	0	72	0	80	0	670
<b>TOTAL VOLUMES:</b>	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	<b>TOTAL</b>
	659	1541	0	0	0	1092	800	0	0	0	0	0	516	0	635	0	5243
<b>APPROACH %'s:</b>	29.95%	70.05%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	57.72%	42.28%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	44.83%	0.00%	55.17%	0.00%	
<b>PEAK HR:</b>	05:00 PM - 06:00 PM																<b>TOTAL</b>
<b>PEAK HR VOL:</b>	324	812	0	0	0	547	391	0	0	0	0	0	251	0	309	0	2634
<b>PEAK HR FACTOR:</b>	0.900	0.976	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.866	0.821	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.872	0.000	0.942	0.000	0.977
	0.956				0.953				0.921								

# National Data & Surveying Services

## Intersection Turning Movement Count

**Location:** Norwood Ave & Jessie Ave  
**City:** Sacramento  
**Control:** Signalized

**Project ID:** 24-070189-001  
**Date:** 10/8/2024

### Data - Total

NS/EW Streets:	Norwood Ave				Norwood Ave				Jessie Ave				Jessie Ave				
AM	NORTHBOUND				SOUTHBOUND				EASTBOUND				WESTBOUND				
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
7:00 AM	9	103	23	0	6	130	0	0	2	5	28	0	34	1	5	0	346
7:15 AM	25	94	18	0	7	163	1	0	2	7	33	0	44	4	8	0	406
7:30 AM	24	122	24	0	8	226	5	0	4	12	35	0	56	5	8	0	529
7:45 AM	42	120	21	0	14	180	13	0	8	22	70	0	40	27	11	0	568
8:00 AM	48	122	36	0	18	179	21	0	15	33	74	0	39	16	15	0	616
8:15 AM	23	99	19	0	11	154	5	0	9	28	36	0	59	20	7	0	470
8:30 AM	24	93	25	0	6	154	5	0	0	6	26	0	37	10	6	0	392
8:45 AM	17	87	27	0	9	147	2	0	3	8	22	0	32	7	10	0	371
<b>TOTAL VOLUMES :</b>	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
<b>APPROACH %'s :</b>	17.03%	67.47%	15.50%	0.00%	5.40%	91.05%	3.55%	0.00%	8.81%	24.80%	66.39%	0.00%	68.06%	17.96%	13.97%	0.00%	
<b>PEAK HR :</b>	07:30 AM - 08:30 AM																TOTAL
<b>PEAK HR VOL :</b>	137	463	100	0	51	739	44	0	36	95	215	0	194	68	41	0	2183
<b>PEAK HR FACTOR :</b>	0.714	0.949	0.694	0.000	0.708	0.817	0.524	0.000	0.600	0.720	0.726	0.000	0.822	0.630	0.683	0.000	0.886
	0.850				0.872				0.709				0.881				
PM	NORTHBOUND				SOUTHBOUND				EASTBOUND				WESTBOUND				
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
4:00 PM	57	159	32	0	17	152	7	0	7	16	48	0	64	21	9	0	589
4:15 PM	53	161	32	0	12	161	3	0	9	12	36	0	38	13	9	0	539
4:30 PM	46	157	21	0	7	134	5	0	9	10	37	0	50	17	12	0	505
4:45 PM	48	178	39	0	17	129	3	0	9	16	32	0	42	8	6	0	527
5:00 PM	51	170	28	0	12	142	3	0	4	11	39	0	47	23	8	0	538
5:15 PM	49	159	38	0	13	130	3	0	8	11	40	0	36	19	10	0	516
5:30 PM	55	172	36	0	20	130	5	0	12	11	45	0	44	17	9	0	556
5:45 PM	53	173	38	0	16	138	6	0	8	19	39	0	52	13	8	0	563
<b>TOTAL VOLUMES :</b>	NL	NT	NR	NU	SL	ST	SR	SU	EL	ET	ER	EU	WL	WT	WR	WU	TOTAL
<b>APPROACH %'s :</b>	20.55%	66.28%	13.17%	0.00%	9.01%	88.22%	2.77%	0.00%	13.52%	21.72%	64.75%	0.00%	64.87%	22.78%	12.35%	0.00%	
<b>PEAK HR :</b>	05:00 PM - 06:00 PM																TOTAL
<b>PEAK HR VOL :</b>	208	674	140	0	61	540	17	0	32	52	163	0	179	72	35	0	2173
<b>PEAK HR FACTOR :</b>	0.945	0.974	0.921	0.000	0.763	0.951	0.708	0.000	0.667	0.684	0.906	0.000	0.861	0.783	0.875	0.000	0.965
	0.968				0.966				0.908				0.917				

## **Appendix B. Norwood Avenue Collision Data**

---

Study Area Crashes

CASE_ID	COLLISION_DATE	PRIMARY_RD	SECONDARY_RD	DISTANCE	DIRECTION	INTERSECTION	WEATHER_1	COLLISION_SEVERITY	NUMBER_KILLED	NUMBER_INJURED	PCF_VIOL_CATEGORY	TYPE_OF_COLLISION	MVIW	PED_ACTION	LIGHTING	CONTROL_DEVICE	PEDESTRIAN_ACCIDENT	BICYCLE_ACCIDENT	MOTORCYCLE_ACCIDENT	TRUCK_ACCIDENT	ALCOHOL_INVOLVED	LONGITUDE	LATITUDE
8619747	4/27/2018	NORWOOD	SILVER EA	0		Y	A	3	0	1	12	D	C	A	A	A						-121.457	38.6
8687238	8/22/2018	NORWOOD	MOREY A	0		Y	A	3	0	2	3	C	C	A	A	A						-121.457	38.6
8713991	9/26/2018	NORWOOD	JESSIE AV	130	S	N	A	4	0	2	9	D	C	A	A	D						-121.457	38.6
8536722	1/16/2018	NORWOOD	HARRIS A	1	S	N	A	3	0	1	8	B	G	A	A	D		Y				-121.457	38.6
8635403	6/6/2018	NORWOOD	JESSIE	390	S	N	A	4	0	2	3	C	C	A	A	A						-121.457	38.6
8533477	1/2/2018	NORWOOD	JESSIE AV	120	S	N	B	4	0	3	9	D	C	A	C	D						-121.457	38.6
8613904	4/13/2018	NORWOOD	GRACE A	12	S	N	A	4	0	2	1	C	C	A	C	A					Y	-121.457	38.7
8637125	5/29/2018	NORWOOD	JESSIE AV	149	S	N	A	3	0	2	3	C	C	A	A	B						-121.457	38.6
8715986	9/17/2018	NORWOOD	JESSIE AV	100	S	N	A	4	0	1	8	D	G	A	A	-		Y				-121.457	38.6
8720731	10/17/2018	NORWOOD	BELL AV	634	S	N	A	2	0	1	11	G	B	C	C	D	Y					-121.457	38.6
8772973	12/19/2018	NORWOOD	MOREY A	0		Y	-	3	0	2	12	D	C	A	A	A						-121.457	38.6
8565227	2/4/2018	SILVER EA	NORWOOD	0		Y	A	4	0	1	3	A	C	A	C	A						-121.457	38.6
8580806	3/11/2018	NORWOOD	GRAND A	0		Y	A	4	0	2	12	D	C	A	A	A						-121.457	38.6
8641605	6/12/2018	SILVER EA	NORWOOD	0		Y	A	2	0	6	1	D	C	A	A	A					Y	-121.457	38.6
8651187	9/22/2018	NORWOOD	HAYES AV	0		Y	A	1	1	0	11	G	B	D	A	D	Y					-121.457	38.6
8688230	8/12/2018	NORWOOD	JESSIE AV	27	N	N	A	4	0	1	8	E	I	A	A	A						-121.457	38.6
8695610	9/13/2018	NORWOOD	JESSIE AV	792	N	N	A	4	0	2	0	B	C	A	A	A						-121.457	38.6
8705472	9/23/2018	NORWOOD	JESSIE AV	21	S	N	A	4	0	1	11	G	B	E	B	A	Y					-121.457	38.6
8711659	10/14/2018	NORWOOD	KESNER A	0		Y	A	4	0	1	8	D	G	A	A	A		Y			Y	-121.457	38.6
8760801	12/28/2018	NORWOOD	GRAND A	298	S	N	A	4	0	1	9	D	C	A	A	D						-121.457	38.6
8906987	7/28/2019	JESSIE AV	NORWOOD	0	E	N	A	3	0	1	9	A	C	A	B	A						-121.457	38.6
8907167	7/8/2019	NORWOOD	GRACE A	0		Y	A	3	0	2	12	D	C	A	A	A						-121.457	38.7
8958359	10/12/2019	NORWOOD	BELL AV	0	S	N	A	3	0	1	9	D	C	A	A	D						-121.457	38.6
8980984	10/23/2019	NORWOOD	SILVER EA	150	N	N	A	4	0	3	3	C	C	A	C	A				Y		-121.457	38.6
8762124	1/5/2019	NORWOOD	RT 80	0		Y	B	4	0	1	12	D	C	A	C	A						-121.457	38.6
8918341	8/11/2019	HARRIS AV	NORWOOD	0		Y	A	4	0	3	12	-	C	A	A	A						-121.457	38.6
8816469	2/25/2019	NORWOOD	HARRIS A	0		Y	B	4	0	2	12	D	C	A	A	A						-121.457	38.6
8818152	3/11/2019	NORWOOD	BELL AV	678	S	N	A	4	0	3	8	A	C	A	C	D						-121.457	38.6
8833021	3/22/2019	NORWOOD	BELL AV	32	S	N	A	4	0	1	10	G	B	B	A	A	Y					-121.457	38.6
8845231	4/19/2019	NORWOOD	JESSIE AV	351	N	N	A	4	0	3	3	C	C	A	A	A						-121.457	38.6
8859897	5/9/2019	NORWOOD	HARRIS A	0		Y	A	4	0	1	12	D	C	A	A	A						-121.457	38.6
8959662	8/6/2019	NORWOOD	HAYES AV	148	N	N	A	2	0	1	17	H	G	A	C	D		Y				-121.457	38.6
8975705	11/13/2019	NORWOOD	SILVER EA	0		Y	A	3	0	1	12	D	C	A	A	A						-121.457	38.6
8995600	12/1/2019	NORWOOD	FAIRBANK	0		Y	C	4	0	1	3	B	C	A	A	D						-121.457	38.6
9006252	12/28/2019	NORWOOD	SOUTH A	3	N	N	A	4	0	1	1	D	C	A	C	A					Y	-121.457	38.6
8781799	1/24/2019	NORWOOD	HARRIS A	120	N	N	A	4	0	1	3	C	C	A	A	A						-121.457	38.6
8825701	3/19/2019	NORWOOD	BELL AV	794	S	N	A	4	0	1	9	D	G	A	A	D		Y				-121.457	38.6
8838849	4/8/2019	NORWOOD	HARRIS A	0		Y	A	4	0	2	12	D	C	A	A	A						-121.457	38.6
8839370	4/7/2019	FORD RD	NORWOOD	0		Y	B	4	0	1	0	H	G	A	C	A		Y				-121.457	38.6
8850332	4/5/2019	NORWOOD	BERTHOU	0	N	N	B	2	0	3	1	C	C	A	A	D						-121.457	38.6



9299813	8/3/2021	NORWOO	JESSIE AV	686	N	N	A	3	0	1	8	B	E	A	A	A				Y	-121.457	38.6	
9316093	8/20/2021	NORWOO	MORRISC	0		Y	A	3	0	1	9	D	G	A	A	B		Y				-121.457	38.6
9324980	9/16/2021	NORWOO	JESSIE AV	158	S	N	A	2	0	2	5	D	C	A	C	A			Y			-121.457	38.6
9325977	9/19/2021	NORWOO	BELL AV	486	S	N	A	3	0	1	11	G	B	D	D	D	Y					-121.457	38.6
9326637	10/4/2021	NORWOO	GRAND A	0		Y	A	3	0	2	1	E	H	A	C	A			Y		Y	-121.457	38.6
9347044	9/26/2021	NORWOO	GRAND A	0		Y	A	3	0	2	12	F	C	A	A	A						-121.457	38.6
9220529	1/24/2021	NORWOO	GRACE A	0	S	N	A	3	0	1	3	C	C	A	A	A						-121.457	38.7
9242942	3/26/2021	MAIN AV	NORWOC	0		Y	A	4	0	1	1	D	C	A	C	A					Y	-121.457	38.7
9254736	2/25/2021	NORWOO	HARRIS A	44	S	N	A	4	0	1	3	C	C	A	C	A						-121.457	38.6
9270442	5/22/2021	NORWOO	SILVER E	0		Y	A	2	0	1	0	G	B	E	C	A	Y					-121.457	38.6
9291729	7/12/2021	NORWOO	JESSIE AV	510	N	N	A	3	0	1	9	D	C	A	A	D				Y		-121.457	38.6
9373194	11/21/2021	NORWOO	JESSIE AV	111	N	N	A	2	0	1	3	C	G	A	A	A			Y			-121.457	38.6
9373867	11/30/2021	NORWOO	HARRIS A	0		Y	A	4	0	1	12	D	C	A	A	D						-121.457	38.6
9374589	11/16/2021	NORWOO	JESSIE AV	655	S	N	A	4	0	2	3	C	C	A	C	A					Y	-121.457	38.6
9375036	11/25/2021	NORWOO	JESSIE AV	0		Y	A	2	0	1	11	G	B	E	C	A	Y					-121.457	38.6
9376581	12/7/2021	NORWOO	SOUTH A	0		Y	A	4	0	2	8	B	C	A	C	A						-121.457	38.6
9376786	12/5/2021	NORWOO	SILVER E	0		Y	A	4	0	2	9	D	C	A	B	A						-121.457	38.6
9379077	12/19/2021	NORWOO	FAIRBANI	36	S	N	A	4	0	1	9	D	C	A	C	A						-121.457	38.6
8.19E+07	9/13/2022	NORWOO	JESSIE AV	300	S	N	A	3	0	4	9	D	C	A	A	D						-121.457	38.6
8.20E+07	12/13/2022	NORWOO	80 EB	0		Y	A	4	0	2	3	C	C	A	A	A						-121.457	38.6
9380811	1/5/2022	NORWOO	JESSIE AV	174	S	N	A	4	0	2	9	D	C	A	A	A						-121.457	38.6
9413395	2/21/2022	NORWOO	JESSE AV	190	S	N	A	4	0	1	3	G	B	E	C	D	Y					-121.457	38.6
8.19E+07	10/31/2022	NORWOO	80 EB	70	S	N	A	4	0	1	3	C	C	A	A	A						-121.457	38.6
8.20E+07	12/2/2022	NORWOO	GRAND A	0		Y	A	4	0	1	5	-	G	A	A	A			Y			-121.457	38.6
9380916	1/10/2022	NORWOO	GRAND A	0		Y	B	4	0	1	12	D	C	A	A	A						-121.457	38.6
9452448	5/24/2022	NORWOO	LINDSAY	90	S	N	A	4	0	1	3	C	C	A	A	A						-121.457	38.6
8.19E+07	10/19/2022	NORWOO	GRAND A	0		Y	A	4	0	1	8	B	G	A	A	A			Y			-121.457	38.6
8.19E+07	11/11/2022	NORWOO	BELL AVE	33	S	N	A	3	0	1	0	G	B	B	A	A	Y					-121.457	38.6
8.19E+07	12/4/2022	NORWOO	FORD RD	336	S	N	A	4	0	3	-	H	C	A	C	D						-121.457	38.6
9320495	2/10/2022	NORWOO	GRAND A	0		Y	A	1	1	3	1	D	C	A	C	A					Y	-121.457	38.6
9422293	4/5/2022	NORWOO	FORD RD	0		Y	A	4	0	1	9	D	C	A	C	A						-121.457	38.6
9422816	3/7/2022	NORWOO	JESSIE AV	255	S	N	A	3	0	2	9	A	C	A	A	A						-121.457	38.6
9453122	3/14/2022	NORWOO	JESSIE AV	0		Y	A	4	0	1	12	D	C	A	A	A						-121.457	38.6
9462597	7/5/2022	NORWOO	JESSIE AV	0		Y	A	3	0	1	9	D	G	A	A	A			Y		Y	-121.457	38.6
9485934	8/13/2022	NORWOO	JESSIE AV	267	S	N	A	2	0	1	9	D	G	A	A	D			Y			-121.457	38.6
8.19E+07	9/18/2022	NORWOO	FAIRBANI	30	N	N	A	4	0	1	1	C	C	A	C	A					Y	-121.457	38.6
8.19E+07	9/23/2022	NORWOO	HARRIS A	375	N	N	A	4	0	3	8	D	C	A	A	D						-121.457	38.6
8.19E+07	10/6/2022	NORWOO	JESSIE AV	100	S	N	A	2	0	1	9	B	C	A	A	D				Y		-121.457	38.6
8.19E+07	10/12/2022	NORWOO	FORD RD	0		Y	A	4	0	1	9	D	D	A	A	A					Y	-121.457	38.6
8.19E+07	10/28/2022	NORWOO	MOREY A	0		Y	A	4	0	1	21	C	C	A	C	A						-121.457	38.6
8.19E+07	10/12/2022	NORWOO	HARRIS A	423	N	N	A	4	0	1	3	C	D	A	A	D						-121.457	38.6
8.19E+07	11/16/2022	FORD RD	NORWOC	0		Y	A	4	0	3	9	D	D	A	C	A						-121.457	38.6
8.20E+07	12/21/2022	NORWOO	JESSIE AV	215	N	N	A	4	0	1	9	H	G	A	A	A			Y			-121.457	38.6
9405766	2/2/2022	NORWOO	RT 80	68	S	N	A	4	0	2	3	C	C	A	A	A						-121.457	38.6



## **Appendix C: Signal Timing Sheets**

---


# ECONOLITE ASC3

## TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONTROLLER PROGRAM CHART

N/S Norwood Avenue E/W Silver Eagle Road

INTERSECTION #: 545 SYSTEM: \_\_\_\_\_ IP Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Device ID: \_\_\_\_\_ Channel: \_\_\_\_\_ Drop #: \_\_\_\_\_



Notes:

Ø1 	Ø2 	Ø3 	Ø4 
Ø5 	Ø6 	Ø7 	Ø8 

# CITY OF SACRAMENTO

## PHASE TIMING

I/S #: 545 Location: Norwood Avenue and Silver Eagle Road  
 Prepared by: Ull Approved by: [Signature] Date Implemented: 7-15-14

**Controller Timing Data** Key: 2-1

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Min Green	10	10	9	8	10	9	9	8
Walk		7		7		7		7
Ped Clear		17		16		17		12
Yellow	3.5	3.5	3.5	4.3	3.5	3.9	3.5	4.3
Red Clearance				0.3				0.3
Red Rvt	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Vehicle Ext	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Max 1	30	30	30	40	30	30	30	40
Max 2								
Max 3								
Act B4								
Sec/Act								
Max Ini								
Time B4								
Cars Wt								
Steps to Reduce								
Time to Reduce								
Min Gap								
Bike Green								
CndSrv Min Green								
Delay Green								
Walk 2								
Walk Max								
Ped Clear 2								
Ped Clear Max								
Ped CarryOver								
Vehicle Ext 2								
Dym Green								
Dym Step								
Red Max								

**Guaranteed Min Time Data** Key: 2-4

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Min Green	10	10	9	8	10	9	9	8
Walk	0	7	0	7	0	7	0	7
Ped Clear	0	17	0	16	0	17	0	12
Red Clear	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
Overlap	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Overlap Green	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5

**Controller Start/Flash Data** Key: 2-5

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Phase (Color)				Y				Y	
Overlap	X	X	X	X					
Flash/Mon	No			Start Flash Time				0	sec
PWR Start Seq	1			All Red				6	sec
<b>Note: Startup phase can be Y, R, G or W</b>									
<b>Automatic Flash</b>									
Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Entry				4				8	
Exit				4				8	
Overlap	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	
Exit	X	X	X	X					
Flash/Mon	No			Exit Flash					R
Min Flash	4	sec			Cycle Thru Phase				Yes
				Min Recall					Yes

**Phase Recall Data** Key: 2-8

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Lock Det								
Vehicle Recall								
Ped Recall								
Max Recall								
Soft Recall					4			8
No Rest								
Added Initial Calc								

**Controller Options** KEY: 2-6-1

Ped Protect	Unit Red Revert							2.0	sec
Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Flashing Green Phase									
Guar Pass									
Non Act I									
Non Act II									
Dual Entry									
Cond Service									
Cond Reservice									
Ped Reservice									
Rest in Walk									
Flashing Walk									
PED Clear > yellow									
PED Clear > RED									
IG + VEH EXT									

**SET SCREEN FORMAT TO BASIC** Key: 1-7-2

# CITY OF SACRAMENTO

Econolite ASC/3 V2.49 above

## CONFIGURATION

### Phase Ring Seq and Assignment Key: 1-1-1

Controller Sequence								1
Hardware Alternate Sequence Enable								No
Barrier	<b>B</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>B</b>				
Ring 1	1	2	3	4	9	10	13	14
Ring 2	5	6	7	8	11	12	15	16
Ring 3								
Ring 4								

### Phase in Use/Exclusive Peds Key: 1-2

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Phases in Use	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Exclusive Ped								

### Load Switch Assign (MMU Chan) Key: 1-3

CH	PHASE/ OVLP	type	DIMMING				FLASH		
			R	Y	G	D	P	A	TGR
1	1	V				+	A	R	
2	2	V				+	A	R	X
3	3	V				+	A	R	
4	4	V				+	A	R	X
5	5	V				-	A	R	
6	6	V				-	A	R	X
7	7	V				-	A	R	
8	8	V				-	A	R	X
9	2	P				+	A		
10	4	P				+	A		
11	6	P				-	A		
12	8	P				-	A		
13	1	O				+	A	R	
14	2	O				-	A	R	X
15	3	O				+	A	R	
16	4	O				-	A	R	X

### Display Options Key: 1-7-2

Key Click Enabled	NO
BackLight Enable	YES
LED Mode	Auto
Main Status Display Mode	Basic
Screen Format	Basic

### Ethernet Port Configuration Key: 1-5-1

IP ADDRESS	
ADDRESS MASK	
DEFAULT GATEWAY ADD	
SEVER IP ADDRESS	
LINK SPEED/DUPLEX	AUTO
DROP-OUT TIME	300

### Port 1 (SDLC Options) Key: 1-4-1

BIU	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
TERM & FACILITY	X	X	.	.	.	.	.	.	
DETECTOR	X	X	.	.	.	.	.	.	
ENABLE TS2/MMU TYPE CABINET									YES
ENABLE MMU EXTENDED STATUS									YES
ENABLE SDLC START TIME									YES
ENABLE 3 CRITICAL RFE'S LOCKUP									YES
MMU TO CU SDLC EXTERNAL START									enabled

### Ped Detector Input Key: 6-3

PED DET ASSIGNMENT MODE					NTCIP			
PHASE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
DETECTOR	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
PHASE	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
DETECTOR	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16

# CITY OF SACRAMENTO

Econolite ASC/3 V2.49 above

## EV PREEMPT/SCP SUBMENU

**Preempt Plan 2** KEY: 4-1

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Track Clr V								
Track Clr O								
Ena Trl								
Dwell Veh	1					6		
Dwell Ped								
Dwell OL								
Cycle Veh								
Cycle Ped								
Cycle OL								
Exit Phase	1					6		
Exit Calls								
Sp Function								
Entrance Times	Walk		Ped Cl		Grn	Yel	Red	
	255		255		255	25.5	25.5	
Track Clear	Min Gn		Ext Grn		Max G	Yel	Red	
	0		0		0	0	0	
Dwl/Cyc exit	Min Dwell		Pmt Ext		Mx Tr	Yel	Red	
	6		3		55	0	0	
Free Dur Prmt	R1	NO	R2	NO	R3	NO	R4	NO
Enable	Yes		Pmt Ovrid		X			
Det Lock	X		Delay		0			
Override Flash	.		Duration		0			
Term Ovlp	NO		PC>Yel		NO			
Ped Dark	NO		TC Reserv		NO			
Link Pmt	0		Exit Fl Color		GRN			
Exit Tm Pln	0		Re-Serv		0			
Interlock	NO		Term Ph		NO			
Inhibt	0		Dwell Fl		OFF			
Clr>Grn	NO		Pmt>Crd		YES			
Inhibt Ext Time	0		FLT Type		Hard			
Pmt Active Out	OFF		Pmt Active Dwell		OFF			
Other-Pri Pmt	OFF		Non-Pri Pmt		OFF			

**Preempt Plan 3**

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Track Clr V								
Track Clr O								
Ena Trl								
Dwell Veh		2			5			
Dwell Ped								
Dwell OL								
Cycle Veh								
Cycle Ped								
Cycle OL								
Exit Phase		2			5			
Exit Calls								
Sp Function								
Entrance Times	Walk		Ped Cl		Grn	Yel	Red	
	255		255		255	25.5	25.5	
Track Clear	Min Gn		Ext Grn		Max G	Yel	Red	
	0		0		0	0	0	
Dwl/Cyc exit	Min Dwell		Pmt Ext		Mx Tr	Yel	Red	
	6		3		55	0	0	
Free Dur Prmt	R1	NO	R2	NO	R3	NO	R4	NO
Enable	Yes		Pmt Ovrid		X			
Det Lock	X		Delay		0			
Override Flash	.		Duration		0			
Term Ovlp	NO		PC>Yel		NO			
Ped Dark	NO		TC Reserv		NO			
Link Pmt	0		Exit Fl Color		GRN			
Exit Tm Pln	0		Re-Serv		0			
Interlock	NO		Term Ph		NO			
Inhibt	0		Dwell Fl		OFF			
Clr>Grn	NO		Pmt>Crd		YES			
Inhibt Ext Time	0		FLT Type		Hard			
Pmt Active Out	OFF		Pmt Active Dwell		OFF			
Other-Pri Pmt	OFF		Non-Pri Pmt		OFF			

**Preempt Plan 4**

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Track Clr V								
Track Clr O								
Ena Trl								
Dwell Veh			3					8
Dwell Ped								
Dwell OL								
Cycle Veh								
Cycle Ped								
Cycle OL								
Exit Phase			3					8
Exit Calls								
Sp Function								
Entrance Times	Walk		Ped Cl		Grn	Yel	Red	
	255		255		255	25.5	25.5	
Track Clear	Min Gn		Ext Grn		Max G	Yel	Red	
	0		0		0	0	0	
Dwl/Cyc exit	Min Dwell		Pmt Ext		Mx Tr	Yel	Red	
	6		3		55	0	0	
Free Dur Prmt	R1	NO	R2	NO	R3	NO	R4	NO
Enable	Yes		Pmt Ovrid		X			
Det Lock	X		Delay		0			
Override Flash	.		Duration		0			
Term Ovlp	NO		PC>Yel		NO			
Ped Dark	NO		TC Reserv		NO			
Link Pmt	0		Exit Fl Color		GRN			
Exit Tm Pln	0		Re-Serv		0			
Interlock	NO		Term Ph		NO			
Inhibt	0		Dwell Fl		OFF			
Clr>Grn	NO		Pmt>Crd		YES			
Inhibt Ext Time	0		FLT Type		Hard			
Pmt Active Out	OFF		Pmt Active Dwell		OFF			
Other-Pri Pmt	OFF		Non-Pri Pmt		OFF			

**Preempt Plan 5**

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Track Clr V								
Track Clr O								
Ena Trl								
Dwell Veh				4			7	
Dwell Ped								
Dwell OL								
Cycle Veh								
Cycle Ped								
Cycle OL								
Exit Phase				4			7	
Exit Calls								
Sp Function								
Entrance Times	Walk		Ped Cl		Grn	Yel	Red	
	255		255		255	25.5	25.5	
Track Clear	Min Gn		Ext Grn		Max G	Yel	Red	
	0		0		0	0	0	
Dwl/Cyc exit	Min Dwell		Pmt Ext		Mx Tr	Yel	Red	
	6		3		55	0	0	
Free Dur Prmt	R1	NO	R2	NO	R3	NO	R4	NO
Enable	Yes		Pmt Ovrid		X			
Det Lock	X		Delay		0			
Override Flash	.		Duration		0			
Term Ovlp	NO		PC>Yel		NO			
Ped Dark	NO		TC Reserv		NO			
Link Pmt	0		Exit Fl Color		GRN			
Exit Tm Pln	0		Re-Serv		0			
Interlock	NO		Term Ph		NO			
Inhibt	0		Dwell Fl		OFF			
Clr>Grn	NO		Pmt>Crd		YES			
Inhibt Ext Time	0		FLT Type		Hard			
Pmt Active Out	OFF		Pmt Active Dwell		OFF			
Other-Pri Pmt	OFF		Non-Pri Pmt		OFF			

## TS2 DETECTION SCHEDULE

Location: Norwood Avenue and Silver Eagle Road

	Phase	Controller Det. Input	Location	Direction	Controller / Detector Type / Function							
					Type	TS-2	Call Option	Ext. Option	Extend Time	Delay Time	Notes	
<b>BIU 1</b>	Ø1	1	Rear	E-N	S	X						D1
	Ø1	2	Left	E-N	S	X						D2
	Ø1	3										
	Ø1	4										
	Ø6	5	Rear	EB	S	X						D1
	Ø6	6	Mid	EB	S	X						D2
	Ø6	7	Front	EB	S	X						D3
	Ø6	8										
	Ø5	9	Rear	W-S	S	X						D1
	Ø5	10	Front	W-S	S	X						D2
	Ø5	11										
	Ø5	12										
	Ø2	13	Rear	WB	S	X						D1
	Ø2	14	Mid	WB	S	X						D2
	Ø2	15	Front	WB	S	X						D3
	Ø2	16										
<b>BIU 2</b>	Ø3	17	Rear	S-E	S	X						D1
	Ø3	18	Front	S-E	S	X						D2
	Ø3	19										
	Ø3	20										
	Ø8	21	Rear	SB	S	X						D1
	Ø8	22	Mid	SB	S	X						D2
	Ø8	23	Front	SB	S	X						D3
	Ø8	24										
	Ø7	25	Rear	N-W	S	X						D1
	Ø7	26	Front	N-W	S	X						D2
	Ø7	27										
	Ø7	28										
	Ø4	29	Rear	NB	S	X						D1
	Ø4	30	Mid	NB	S	X						D2
	Ø4	31	Front	NB	S	X						D3
	Ø4	32										
<b>BIU 3</b>	Ø1	33										
	Ø1	34										
	Ø6	35										
	Ø6	36										
	Ø6	37										
	Ø6	38										
	Ø6	39										
	Ø6	40										
	Ø5	41										
	Ø5	42										
	Ø2	43										
	Ø2	44										
	Ø2	45										
	Ø2	46										
	Ø2	47										
	Ø2	48										
<b>BIU 4</b>	Ø3	49										
	Ø3	50										
	Ø8	51										
	Ø8	52										
	Ø8	53										
	Ø8	54										
	Ø8	55										
	Ø8	56										
	Ø7	57										
	Ø7	58										
	Ø4	59										
	Ø4	60										
	Ø4	61										
	Ø4	62										
	Ø4	63										
	Ø4	64										

Type: N-NTCIP; B-Bike; S-Standard; D-Disconnect; P-Passage; C-Calling; R-Red Extend; G-Green Extend  
 Ext Option: Passage; Queue; None

# TRACONEX 390 K2c

## TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONTROLLER PROGRAM CHART

N/S Norwood Avenue

E/W Harris Avenue

Intersection #: 458


System: \_\_\_\_\_

IP Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Device ID: \_\_\_\_\_

Channel: \_\_\_\_\_

Drop #: \_\_\_\_\_



Notes: Hardwire right turn overlap with Ph 3

		Ø3 ↙	Ø4 ↕
Ø5 ←	Ø6 ↔	Ø7 ↘	Ø8 ↕



# CITY OF SACRAMENTO

Prepared by: all

Approved by: Jan

Date Implemented: 7-23-13

### Phase Timing

Key: 390 - Page - 3 - Enter

Phase		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Min Green	MIN			10	8	11	10	9	7
Walk	WLK				7		7		7
Ped Clr	WCL				14		18		8
Passage Time	PSG			2.0	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.5
Max No. 1	MX1			40	40	40	40	40	40
Max No. 2	MX2								
Yellow Clr	YEL			3.5	4.3	3.5	3.5	3.5	4.3
All Red Clr	RED				0.3	0.3	0.1		0.3
Red Revert	RRT			2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Act. B4 Added	ABA								
Sec / Act	S/A								
Max Initial	MXI								
Time B4 Reduce	TBR								
Time to Reduce	TTR								
Min Gap	MNG			2.0	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.5
Cond Min Green	CMN								

### Options

Key: 390 - Page - 2 - Enter

Phase		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Phase in Use	USE	8	7	6	5	4	3		
Ped Phases	PED	8		6		4			
Flashing Walk	FWK								
Act Rest in Walk	ARW								
Walk Clr Protect	WCP								
Density Phases	DEN								
Last Car Passage	LCP								
Veh call to NonAct 1	VN1								
Ped call to NonAct 1	PN1								
Veh call to NonAct 2	VN2								
Ped call to NonAct 2	PN2								
Fast Flash Green	FGN								
Enable Menu Scroll	MNU								
Left Turn Yellow Blar	LAB								
Select Anti -Backup	ABU								

### Additional Parameters

Key: 390 - Page 4 - Enter

Phase		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Power Up Flash	PUF			sec					
Start Up Red Time	SAR	6		sec					
Start Up in Red	SUR								
Start up in Yel	SUY	8				4			
Start Up In Green	SUG								
Main ST (MUTCD)	MSF	8				4			
Min MUTCD FL Time	FMN	15		sec					
Dual Entry	DLE								
Sim Gap Out	SGO	8				4			
Min Recall	MNR								
Min Soft Recall	MNS								
Max Recall	MXR								
Ped Recall	PDR								
Lock Detector	LKD	8	7	6	5	4	3		1
Liq Crys Dis Test	LCD	0		0=OFF 1=ON					
Backlight On/Off	LBT	1		0=OFF 1=ON					

# CITY OF SACRAMENTO

## MORE DATA

### Function Enable

Key: 390 - Page - 6 - Enter

Frnt Pnl O/L Enable	FOE	1	0 TO 3			
Spcl Func Enable	SFE	0	0 OR 1 0=OFF 1=ON			
Stop Time Enable	STE	0	0 OR 1 0=OFF 1=ON			
Seq Rotat Enable	SQE	0	0 TO 2			
Cond Serv Enable	CSE					
Neg OVL Enable	NOE	0	0 OR 1 0=OFF 1=ON			
Dimming Enable	DME	0	1=50%, 2=66%, 3=			
Pre w/Flsh Dwell	PFE	Preempt 1-5				
Preempt Out Mode	POM	0	0 TO 3			
TOD On/Off	TOD	0	0 OR 1 0=OFF 1=ON			
Coord On/Off	CRD	0	0 OR 1 0=OFF 1=ON			
Diag Test Enable	DIA	1 TO 4		4	3	2 1
Security Code Accs	SCY	0	0-255		0=No Code	
Config Control	CFG	0	0 TO 7			
Volt Mon off Dur Flsh	FLE	0	0=OFF		1=ON	
Time after Init B4 reduc	TBS	0	0 OR 1			

### More Data

Key: 390 - Page - 7 - Enter

Printer Report Enable	PNT	0	0 TO 99			
Manual ø Rotate Sel	SQK	0	0 TO 15			
Disp ø Seq, Remote	SQC	0	0 TO 15			
Disp Effect ø Seq	SQI	0	0 TO 15			
Dimming Red Enable	DRD					
Dimming Yello Enable	DYL					
Dimming Grn Enable	DGN					
Dim Ped Walk Enable	DWK					
Dim Ped DWlk Enable	DDW					
Dim Red OVLP's	DOR					
Dim Yello OVLP's	DOY					
Dim Grn OVLP's	DOG					
Clock	CLK	14	READ ONLY			
Non Volatile Ram Sel	NVR					1
Active TOD Plan	ACT	0	0 TO 48			
Audible Keyboard	AUD	1	0 OR 1 0=OFF 1=ON			

# CITY OF SACRAMENTO

## OPTIONS

### Manual Selections

Key: 390 - Page - 11 - Enter

Man Free-Coord	F/C	0	0=Free 1=Coordinated
Man Semi or Fully Act	S/F	0	0=Semi 1=Fully
Man Dwnld Request	DRQ	0	0=OFF 1=ON
Synch Puls Tolerance	SYC	2	0 TO 10 sec
Master/Local Cycle	M/L	1	0=Master 1=Local
Man Cycle Plan	CP	0	0 TO 18
Man Offset Selection	OFF	0	0 TO 5
Man Local/Remote	L/R	1	0=Local 1=Remote
Man TOD Plan	TDP	0	0 TO 48
Det Sample Period	SMP	15	0-255 sec
Divide Vol 4 Report	DVV	1	1 TO 100
Enable Max Coord	CME		
Disable Ped Omit Crd	DPO		
Enable Secdry Coord	SCP		

### Manual Offset Set

Key: 390 - Page - 35 - Enter

Select CP to Synch	CP	0	1 TO 6
Select Ofset to Synch	OFF	0	1 TO 5
Synch	SET	0	SET 1,Press ENTER to Synch

### System Parameters

Key: 390 - Page - 15 - Enter

System Enable	SYE	1	0 TO 2,1=Traconet 2= TOC
System Det Enable	SDT		
Drop Address	ADD	3	0 TO 31
Inter Plan# Disp	IPL	0	0 TO 48 READ ONLY
Inter Plan Mode	IPM	0	0 TO 2,2=WWV Time Receive
Local Det Fail Time	DFT	255	0-255 sec
Failed Local Det Disp	FDT		
Enable Local Det Mon	DFM		
Disp Local 5min Vol	5MV	9	0 TO 255
Sync Time to Hour	SHR	0	0 TO 23
Sync Time to Min	SMN	0	0 TO 59
Time On-Line B4 Bkup	ONL	5	min 0 TO 255 0=MODE2
Dyn Split Adjust, Glob	DSA	1	0 TO 1
Dyn Split Adjust Max	DS%	25	0 TO 100
DB Change Flag	DBC		NO ACCESS
Drop Request	DRP	0	0 TO 1

# CITY OF SACRAMENTO

## DETECTION SCHEDULE

### Norwood Avenue at Harris Avenue

	Phase	Controller Det. Input	Location	Direction	Controller / Detector Type / Function				
					Extend	Delay	Passage	Notes	
<b>Loops or Retrofit Video</b>									
<b>BIU 1</b>	Ø1	1							
	Ø2	2							
	Ø3	3	Front	N-W					
	Ø4	4	Front	SB					
	Ø5	5	Front	WB					
	Ø6	6	Front	EB					
	Ø7	7	Front	S-E					
	Ø8	8	Front	NB					
	<b>Loops</b>								
		Ø1	9						
		Ø2	10						
		Ø3	11						D1 2 sec delay
		Ø4	12						
		Ø5	13						D1 2 sec delay
		Ø6	14						D1 6 sec delay
		Ø7	15						D1 2 sec delay, D2 6 sec delay
	Ø8	16							
<b>New Video Detection BIU 2 (RESERVED) 17-32</b>									
<b>BIU 3</b>	Ø1	33							
	Ø1	34							
	Ø6	35							
	Ø6	36							
	Ø6	37							
	Ø6	38							
	Ø6	39							
	Ø6	40							
	Ø5	41							
	Ø5	42							
	Ø2	43							
	Ø2	44							
	Ø2	45							
	Ø2	46							
	Ø2	47							
	Ø2	48							
<b>BIU 4</b>	Ø3	49							
	Ø3	50							
	Ø8	51							
	Ø8	52							
	Ø8	53							
	Ø8	54							
	Ø8	55							
	Ø8	56							
	Ø7	57							
	Ø7	58							
	Ø4	59							
	Ø4	60							
	Ø4	61							
	Ø4	62							
	Ø4	63							
	Ø4	64							

# 2070 D4

## TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONTROLLED PROGRAM CHART

N/S Norwood Avenue

E/W I-80 WB offramp

Intersection #: 570

System: \_\_\_\_\_

IP Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Device ID: \_\_\_\_\_


Channel: \_\_\_\_\_

Drop #: \_\_\_\_\_

Prepared by: [Signature]

Approved by: [Signature]

Date Implemented: 3-27-14



Notes:

Ø1 ↙	Ø2 ↑ ↓		Ø4 ← →
	Ø6 ↑ ↓		













# 570 - Norwood & I-80 WB offramp

## 332/336 Inputs (Connector C1S)

3/27/2014 11:54:21 AM

Input Index	Pin 39	Pin 40	Pin 41	Pin 42	Pin 43	Pin 44	Pin 45	Pin 46
	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet
	2	6	4	8	2	6	4	8
Input Index	Pin 47	Pin 48	Pin 49	Pin 50	Pin 51	Pin 52	Pin 53	Pin 54
	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet
	2	6	4	8	None	None	None	None
Input Index	Pin 55	Pin 56	Pin 57	Pin 58	Pin 59	Pin 60	Pin 61	Pin 62
	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet
	5	1	7	3	5	1	7	3
Input Index	Pin 63	Pin 64	Pin 65	Pin 66	Pin 67	Pin 68	Pin 69	Pin 70
	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	PedDet	PedDet	PedDet	PedDet
	2	6	4	8	2	6	4	8
Input Index	Pin 71	Pin 72	Pin 73	Pin 74	Pin 75	Pin 76	Pin 77	Pin 78
	Preempt	Preempt	Preempt	Preempt	None	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet
	4	2	5	3	0	2	4	6
Input Index	Pin 79	Pin 80	Pin 81	Pin 82				
	VehDet	None	LocFlash	StopTm				
	8	0	1	1				

## 332/336 Inputs (Connector C11S)

Input Index	Pin 10	Pin 11	Pin 12	Pin 13	Pin 15	Pin 16	Pin 17	Pin 18
	None							
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Input Index	Pin 19	Pin 20	Pin 21	Pin 22	Pin 23	Pin 24	Pin 25	Pin 26
	None							
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Input Index	Pin 27	Pin 28	Pin 29	Pin 30				
	None	None	None	None				
	0	0	0	0				

# 570 - Norwood & I-80 WB offramp

## Cabinet / MMU Configuration

3/27/2014 11:54:21 AM

Cabinet Type	332/336	MMU Channel Ignore	1-8	9-16
MMU Disable	No	Det BIU 1-No Fail Call		
		Det BIU 2-No Fail Call		
		Alt LS Flash		
		Alt Phase Flash		
		Alt Overlap Flash		
		Alt LRV Flash		

CMU Channel Ignore	1-8	9-16
	17-24	25-32
Det IASM1-Det Diag	1-8	9-16
	17-24	
Det IASM2-Det Diag	1-8	9-16
	17-24	

### Phase / Overlap Outputs

	Phase	Overlap
1	Normal	Normal
2	Normal	Normal
3	Normal	Normal
4	Normal	Normal
5	Normal	Normal
6	Normal	Normal
7	Normal	Normal
8	Normal	Normal
9	Normal	Normal
10	Normal	Normal
11	Normal	Normal
12	Normal	Normal
13	Normal	Normal
14	Normal	Normal
15	Normal	Normal
16	Normal	Normal

### LRV Outputs

	LRV
1	2 Head
2	2 Head
3	2 Head
4	2 Head
5	2 Head
6	2 Head
7	2 Head
8	2 Head

# 570 - Norwood & I-80 WB offramp

## Vehicle Detector 1

3/27/2014 11:54:21 AM

Delay  Extend  Carryover  Queue Limit

Mode  Added  System

Fail Mode  Max Pres  No Act  Erratic  Fail Time

Phases	1-8								9-16							
Call Phases	1															
Yellow Lock Phases																
Red Lock Phases																
Extend Phases	1															
XSwitch Phases																

## Vehicle Detector 2

Delay  Extend  Carryover  Queue Limit

Mode  Added  System

Fail Mode  Max Pres  No Act  Erratic  Fail Time

Phases	1-8								9-16							
Call Phases		2														
Yellow Lock Phases																
Red Lock Phases																
Extend Phases		2														
XSwitch Phases																

# 570 - Norwood & I-80 WB offramp

## Vehicle Detector 3

3/27/2014 11:54:21 AM

Delay  Extend  Carryover  Queue Limit

Mode  Added  System

Fail Mode  Max Pres  No Act  Erratic  Fail Time

Phases	1-8					9-16				
Call Phases					6					
Yellow Lock Phases										
Red Lock Phases										
Extend Phases					6					
XSwitch Phases										

## Vehicle Detector 4

Delay  Extend  Carryover  Queue Limit

Mode  Added  System

Fail Mode  Max Pres  No Act  Erratic  Fail Time

Phases	1-8					9-16				
Call Phases			4							
Yellow Lock Phases										
Red Lock Phases										
Extend Phases			4							
XSwitch Phases										

# 570 - Norwood & I-80 WB offramp

## Vehicle Detector 5

3/27/2014 11:54:21 AM

Delay  Extend  Carryover  Queue Limit

Mode  Added  System

Fail Mode  Max Pres  No Act  Erratic  Fail Time

Phases	1-8				9-16			
Call Phases			5					
Yellow Lock Phases								
Red Lock Phases								
Extend Phases			5					
XSwitch Phases								

## Vehicle Detector 6

Delay  Extend  Carryover  Queue Limit

Mode  Added  System

Fail Mode  Max Pres  No Act  Erratic  Fail Time

Phases	1-8				9-16			
Call Phases			6					
Yellow Lock Phases								
Red Lock Phases								
Extend Phases			6					
XSwitch Phases								

# 570 - Norwood & I-80 WB offramp

## Vehicle Detector 7

3/27/2014 11:54:21 AM

Delay  Extend  Carryover  Queue Limit

Mode  Added  System

Fail Mode  Max Pres  No Act  Erratic  Fail Time

Phases	1-8								9-16							
Call Phases																
Yellow Lock Phases																
Red Lock Phases																
Extend Phases																
XSwitch Phases																

## Vehicle Detector 8

Delay  Extend  Carryover  Queue Limit

Mode  Added  System

Fail Mode  Max Pres  No Act  Erratic  Fail Time

Phases	1-8								9-16							
Call Phases																
Yellow Lock Phases																
Red Lock Phases																
Extend Phases																
XSwitch Phases																



# 570 - Norwood & I-80 WB offramp

## Pedestrian Detector 5

3/27/2014 11:54:21 AM

No Act  Max Pres  Erratic  Fail Mode

	1-8	9-16
Phases/Overlaps		
Call Ped Phases	5	
Call Ped Olaps		
Call Phases		
Locked Call Phases		
Ped Entry Phases		
Olap Ped Entry Phases		
Ped Cascade Phases		

## Pedestrian Detector 6

No Act  Max Pres  Erratic  Fail Mode

	1-8	9-16
Phases/Overlaps		
Call Ped Phases	6	
Call Ped Olaps		
Call Phases		
Locked Call Phases		
Ped Entry Phases		
Olap Ped Entry Phases		
Ped Cascade Phases		

## Pedestrian Detector 7

No Act  Max Pres  Erratic  Fail Mode

	1-8	9-16
Phases/Overlaps		
Call Ped Phases	7	
Call Ped Olaps		
Call Phases		
Locked Call Phases		
Ped Entry Phases		
Olap Ped Entry Phases		
Ped Cascade Phases		

## Pedestrian Detector 8

No Act  Max Pres  Erratic  Fail Mode

	1-8	9-16
Phases/Overlaps		
Call Ped Phases	8	
Call Ped Olaps		
Call Phases		
Locked Call Phases		
Ped Entry Phases		
Olap Ped Entry Phases		
Ped Cascade Phases		

# 570 - Norwood & I-80 WB offramp

Control / Config

3/27/2014 11:54:21 AM

Pattern Mode

Manual Pattern  Manual Offset

Stop Time Input

Aux Switch

DLS Mode  Time Zone

Password Timeout

Maint Phs Recalls

Maint Ped Recalls

## Serial 1 Port Configuration

Broadcast Plan/Sync  Broadcast Time

Serial Rebroadcast  Response

## Serial 2 Port Configuration

Broadcast Plan/Sync  Broadcast Time

## Ethernet Port Configuration

Broadcast Plan/Sync  Broadcast Time

Serial Rebroadcast

## Peer Configuration

Peer 1

Peer 2

Peer 3

Peer 4

Peer 5

Peer 6

Peer 7

Peer 8



# 570 - Norwood & I-80 WB offramp

Restricted Data

3/27/2014 11:54:21 AM

## (Serial Ports)

Serial Port 1

Baud Rate

RTS On

RTS Off

Serial Port 2

Baud Rate

RTS On

RTS Off

## (Ethernet)

IP Address

Netmask

Broadcast Address

Gateway

Port

Reply Mode

Broadcast Port

Response

Time Port

## (General)

Controller Address

Timeout

Peer Address

Timeout

Remote Calls

Remote Preempt

Remote Soft Preempt

Remote Priority

Remote MCE

MCE Max


# ECONOLITE ASC/2

## TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONTROLLER PROGRAM CHART

N/S Norwood Avenue E/W Bell Avenue

Intersection #: 584 System: \_\_\_\_\_ IP Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Device ID: \_\_\_\_\_ Channel: \_\_\_\_\_ Drop #: \_\_\_\_\_



Ø2 ← - - - → ←	Ø1 →	Ø3 ↘	Ø4 ↑ - - - ↓ ↓
Ø5 ↙	Ø6 → - - - ←	Ø7 ↘	Ø8 ↑ - - - ↓ ↑

# CITY OF SACRAMENTO

## PHASE TIMING

Prepared by: all

Approved by: [Signature]

Date Implemented: 3/22/13

### Controller Timing Data

Key: (F1)-2-1

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Min Green	11	9	10	8	11	9	11	9				
Bike Green												
CndSrv MinGrn												
Walk		7		7		7		7				
Ped Clr		16		10		20		16				
Veh Ext	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0				
Veh Ext 2												
Max Ext												
Max1	30	50	30	50	30	50	30	50				
Max2												
Max3												
Det Max												
Yellow	3.5	4.3	3.5	4.3	3.5	4.3	3.5	4.3				
Red Clr	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3				
Red Rvt	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0				
Act B4 Init												
Sec/Actuation												
Max Initial												
Time B4 Reduct												
Cars Wt												
Time To Reduce												
Min Gap												

### Controller Recall Data

Key: (F1)-2-4

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Locking Memory												
Vehicle Recall				4				8				
Ped Recall												
Recall to Max												
Soft Recall												
Don't Rest Here												
Ped Dark N/Call												

### Controller Option Data

Key: (F1)-2-9

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Guar Passage												
NonActuated I												
NonActuated II												
Dual Entry				4				8				
Cond Service												
Cond Reservice												
Rest in Walk												
Flashing Walk												
Five Section Left	5-2:				7-4:				1-6:			
Turn Heads	3-8:				11-10:				9-12:			
Dual Entry			ON		Backup Protection Grp 1				OFF			
Cond Service Enable			NO		Backup Protection Grp 2				OFF			
Cond Service Det X Switch			NO		Backup Protection Grp 3				OFF			
Ped Clr Protect			NO		Simul Gap Grp 1				OFF			
Spec Pre OVL Flash			OFF		Simul Gap Grp 2				OFF			
Lock Det in Red					Simul Gap Grp 3				OFF			
Reserved					unitBackup Time							
Reserved					unitRed Revert							

### Controller Start/Flash Data

Key: (F1)-2-6

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
ø's Startup				4				8				
Entry Rem Flash				4				8				
Exit Rem Flash				4				8				
Rem Flash Yello												
Flsh Together ø		2		4		6		8		10		12
Flsh Tgther OV	A:		B:		C:		D:					
Startup Intvl Rng1				Yellow								
Startup Intvl Rng2				Yellow								
Power Start All Red				6 sec								
Power Start Flash												
<b>Remote Flash Options</b>												
Out of Flash Yellow				Yes								
Out of Flash All Red												
Minimum Recall				Yes								
Spare												
Flash Thru Ld Switch												
Cycle Thru Phases												

# CONFIGURATION

### Controller Sequence

Key: (F1)-1-1

Priority	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Ring 1	2	1	3	4	9	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ring 2	5	6	7	8	11	12	0	0	0	0	0	0
CG Barrier		^		^		^						

### Phases in Use

Key: (F1)-1-2

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Phases in Use	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	.	.	.	.
Exclusive Ped	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

### SDLC Options

Key: (F1)-1-4

BIU Number	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Term & Facil									
Detector Rack									
Type 2 Runs as Type 1	.								
MMU Disable		X							
Diagnostic Enable	.								
Peer to Peer Enable	.								
Peer to Peer Addresses									
1)	255	2)	255	3)	255	4)	255	5)	255
6)	255	7)	255	8)	255	9)	255	10)	255

**NEW CONTROLLER SHOULD BE DEFAULTED BEFORE INSTALLATION**  
 To Default Controller: (F1)-8-2 Select All Press ENTER  
 (F1)-8-1-3 Select All Press ENTER

### Ped Timing Carryover

Key: (F1)-2-3

Phase	Carryover
1	0
2	0
3	0
4	0
5	0
6	0
7	0
8	0
9	0
10	0
11	0
12	0

### Port 2

Key: (F1)-1-5

Port 2 Protocol	TERMINL
Port 2 Enable	NO
Data Rate (bps)	9600
Data, Parity, Stop	8, N, 1
NTCIP Address	0
NTCIP Grp Address	0
NTCIP Resp Delay	0
NTCIP Sgl Flg Enab	NO
NTCIP BackUp Tim	0
NTCIP Drop-Out Time	0
Port2 Drop-Out Tim	0
NTCIP RTS Timing	NO
NTCIP RTS to CTS Delay	0
NTCIP RTS TurnOff Delay	0
NTCIP Early RTS	NO

### Port 3

Key: (F1)-1-6

Port 3 Protocol	TELEM
Port 3 Enable	YES
Port 3 millisec Timing	NO
Port 3 RTS to CTS Delay	0
Port 3 RTS TurnOff Delay	0
Duplex -Half or Full	FULL
Modem Data Rate (bps)	1200
Data, Parity, Stop	8, N, 1
Telemetry Address	1
System Detector 9-16 Add	
Telemetry Response Delay	1
NTCIP Address	0
NTCIP Grp Address	0
NTCIP Resp Delay	0
NTCIP Single Flag Enable	NO
NTCIP BackUp Time	0
Port 3 Drop-Out Time	0
NTCIP Early RTS	NO

### Options

Key: (F1)-1-8

Supervisor Access Code	0
Data Change Access Code	0
Key Click Enable	NO
Backlight Enable	YES
Request Download	NO

# CITY OF SACRAMENTO

## PREEMPTION TABLES

**Priority Preemptor 2**

**Key: (F1)-4-1**

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Term Phase Ovlp												
Trk Clr Phase												
Hold Phases	1					6						
Exit Phases												
Exit Calls												
Spare												
Term Overlaps	A:	.	B:	.	C:	.	D:	.				
Active	YES		Ped Dark									
Priority			Ped Active									
Det Lock			Zero PC Time									
Hold Flash			PC Thru Yellow									
Term Ovlp ASAP			Term Phases									
Don't Override Flash			X									
Flash all Outputs												
Yellow-Red goes Green												
Enable Max Preempt Time												
Active only During Hold												
No CVM in Flash												
Fast Flash GRN on Hold												
Out of Flash			GREEN									
Max Time	55	Duration Time					GRN	YEL	RED			
Min Hold Time	6	Delay Time			Minimum							
Min Ped Clear		Inhibit Time			Track Clear							
Exit Max		Hld Delay Tim			Hold							

**Priority Preemptor 3**

**Key: (F1)-4-2**

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Term Phase Ovlp												
Trk Clr Phase												
Hold Phases		2			5							
Exit Phases												
Exit Calls												
Spare												
Term Overlaps	A:	.	B:	.	C:	.	D:	.				
Active	YES		Ped Dark									
Priority			Ped Active									
Det Lock			Zero PC Time									
Hold Flash			PC Thru Yellow									
Term Ovlp ASAP			Term Phases									
Don't Override Flash			X									
Flash all Outputs												
Yellow-Red goes Green												
Enable Max Preempt Time												
Active only During Hold												
No CVM in Flash												
Fast Flash GRN on Hold												
Out of Flash												
Max Time	55	Duration Time					GRN	YEL	RED			
Min Hold Time	6	Delay Time			Minimum							
Min Ped Clear		Inhibit Time			Track Clear							
Exit Max		Hld Delay Tim			Hold							

**Priority Preemptor 4**

**Key: (F1)-4-3**

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Term Phase Ovlp												
Trk Clr Phase												
Hold Phases			3					8				
Exit Phases												
Exit Calls												
Spare												
Term Overlaps	A:	.	B:	.	C:	.	D:	.				
Active	YES		Ped Dark									
Priority			Ped Active									
Det Lock			Zero PC Time									
Hold Flash			PC Thru Yellow									
Term Ovlp ASAP			Term Phases									
Don't Override Flash			X									
Flash all Outputs												
Yellow-Red goes Green												
Enable Max Preempt Time												
Active only During Hold												
No CVM in Flash												
Fast Flash GRN on Hold												
Out of Flash			GREEN									
Max Time	55	Duration Time					GRN	YEL	RED			
Min Hold Time	6	Delay Time			Minimum							
Min Ped Clear		Inhibit Time			Track Clear							
Exit Max		Hld Delay Tim			Hold							

**Priority Preemptor 5**

**Key: (F1)-4-4**

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Term Phase Ovlp												
Trk Clr Phase												
Hold Phases				4			7					
Exit Phases												
Exit Calls												
Spare												
Term Overlaps	A:	.	B:	.	C:	.	D:	.				
Active	YES		Ped Dark									
Priority			Ped Active									
Det Lock			Zero PC Time									
Hold Flash			PC Thru Yellow									
Term Ovlp ASAP			Term Phases									
Don't Override Flash			X									
Flash all Outputs												
Yellow-Red goes Green												
Enable Max Preempt Time												
Active only During Hold												
No CVM in Flash												
Fast Flash GRN on Hold												
Out of Flash							GREEN					
Max Time	55	Duration Time					GRN	YEL	RED			
Min Hold Time	6	Delay Time			Minimum							
Min Ped Clear		Inhibit Time			Track Clear							
Exit Max		Hld Delay Tim			Hold							

# CITY OF SACRAMENTO

## DETECTION SCHEDULE

### Norwood Avenue at Bell Avenue

	Phase	Controller Det. Input	Location	Direction	Controller / Detector Type / Function			
					Extend	Delay	Passage	Notes
BIU 1	<b>Loops or Retrofit Video</b>							
	Ø1	1	Left	E-N			x	Ø1D2 2 sec delay
	Ø2	2	Front	WB			x	Ø2D4 6 sec delay
	Ø3	3	Left	N-W			x	Ø3D2 2 sec delay
	Ø4	4	Front	SB			x	
	Ø5	5	Left	W-S			x	Ø5D2 2 sec delay
	Ø6	6	Front	EB			x	
	Ø7	7	Left	S-E			x	Ø7D2 2 sec delay
	Ø8	8	Front	NB			x	
	<b>Loops</b>							
	Ø1	9						Ø1D1
	Ø2	10	Rear	WB			x	Ø2D1, D2
	Ø3	11						Ø3D1
	Ø4	12	Rear	SB			x	Ø4D1, D2
	Ø5	13						Ø5D1
	Ø6	14	Rear	EB			x	Ø6D1
Ø7	15						Ø7D1	
Ø8	16	Rear	NB			x	Ø8D1	
<b>New Video Detection BIU 2 (RESERVED) 17-32</b>								
BIU 3	Ø1	33						
	Ø1	34						
	Ø6	35						
	Ø6	36						
	Ø6	37						
	Ø6	38						
	Ø6	39						
	Ø6	40						
	Ø5	41						
	Ø5	42						
	Ø2	43						
	Ø2	44						
BIU 4	Ø3	49						
	Ø3	50						
	Ø8	51						
	Ø8	52						
	Ø8	53						
	Ø8	54						
	Ø8	55						
	Ø8	56						
	Ø7	57						
	Ø7	58						
	Ø4	59						
	Ø4	60						
Ø4	61							
Ø4	62							
Ø4	63							
Ø4	64							

# 2070 D4

## TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONTROLLER PROGRAM CHART

N/S Norwood Avenue

E/W I-80 EB off ramp

Intersection #: 571

System: \_\_\_\_\_

IP Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Device ID: \_\_\_\_\_


Channel: \_\_\_\_\_

Drop #: \_\_\_\_\_

Prepared by: all

Approved by: [Signature]

Date Implemented: 3-27-14



Notes:

	<p>Ø2</p>		
<p>Ø5</p>	<p>Ø6</p>		<p>Ø8</p>













# 571 - Norwood & I-80 EB offramp

## 332/336 Inputs (Connector C1S)

3/27/2014 11:55:09 AM

Input Index	Pin 39	Pin 40	Pin 41	Pin 42	Pin 43	Pin 44	Pin 45	Pin 46
	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet
	2	6	4	8	2	6	4	8
Input Index	Pin 47	Pin 48	Pin 49	Pin 50	Pin 51	Pin 52	Pin 53	Pin 54
	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet
	2	6	4	8	0	0	None	None
Input Index	Pin 55	Pin 56	Pin 57	Pin 58	Pin 59	Pin 60	Pin 61	Pin 62
	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet
	5	1	7	3	5	1	7	3
Input Index	Pin 63	Pin 64	Pin 65	Pin 66	Pin 67	Pin 68	Pin 69	Pin 70
	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet	PedDet	PedDet	PedDet	PedDet
	2	6	4	8	2	6	4	8
Input Index	Pin 71	Pin 72	Pin 73	Pin 74	Pin 75	Pin 76	Pin 77	Pin 78
	Preempt	Preempt	Preempt	Preempt	None	VehDet	VehDet	VehDet
	4	2	5	3	0	2	4	6
Input Index	Pin 79	Pin 80	Pin 81	Pin 82				
	VehDet	None	LocFlash	StopTm				
	8	0	1	1				

## 332/336 Inputs (Connector C11S)

Input Index	Pin 10	Pin 11	Pin 12	Pin 13	Pin 15	Pin 16	Pin 17	Pin 18
	None							
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Input Index	Pin 19	Pin 20	Pin 21	Pin 22	Pin 23	Pin 24	Pin 25	Pin 26
	None							
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Input Index	Pin 27	Pin 28	Pin 29	Pin 30				
	None	None	None	None				
	0	0	0	0				



# 571 - Norwood & I-80 EB offramp

## Vehicle Detector 1

3/27/2014 11:55:09 AM

Delay  Extend  Carryover  Queue Limit

Mode  Added  System

Fail Mode  Max Pres  No Act  Erratic  Fail Time

Phases	1-8								9-16							
Call Phases	1															
Yellow Lock Phases																
Red Lock Phases																
Extend Phases	1															
XSwitch Phases																

## Vehicle Detector 2

Delay  Extend  Carryover  Queue Limit

Mode  Added  System

Fail Mode  Max Pres  No Act  Erratic  Fail Time

Phases	1-8								9-16							
Call Phases	2															
Yellow Lock Phases																
Red Lock Phases																
Extend Phases	2															
XSwitch Phases																

# 571 - Norwood & I-80 EB offramp

## Vehicle Detector 3

3/27/2014 11:55:09 AM

Delay  Extend  Carryover  Queue Limit

Mode  Added  System

Fail Mode  Max Pres  No Act  Erratic  Fail Time

Phases	1-8				9-16			
Call Phases		3						
Yellow Lock Phases								
Red Lock Phases								
Extend Phases		3						
XSwitch Phases								

## Vehicle Detector 4

Delay  Extend  Carryover  Queue Limit

Mode  Added  System

Fail Mode  Max Pres  No Act  Erratic  Fail Time

Phases	1-8				9-16			
Call Phases			4					
Yellow Lock Phases								
Red Lock Phases								
Extend Phases			4					
XSwitch Phases								

# 571 - Norwood & I-80 EB offramp

## Vehicle Detector 5

3/27/2014 11:55:09 AM

Delay  Extend  Carryover  Queue Limit

Mode  Added  System

Fail Mode  Max Pres  No Act  Erratic  Fail Time

Phases	1-8					9-16				
Call Phases				5						
Yellow Lock Phases										
Red Lock Phases										
Extend Phases				5						
XSwitch Phases										

## Vehicle Detector 6

Delay  Extend  Carryover  Queue Limit

Mode  Added  System

Fail Mode  Max Pres  No Act  Erratic  Fail Time

Phases	1-8					9-16				
Call Phases				6						
Yellow Lock Phases										
Red Lock Phases										
Extend Phases				6						
XSwitch Phases										

# 571 - Norwood & I-80 EB offramp

## Vehicle Detector 7

3/27/2014 11:55:09 AM

Delay  Extend  Carryover  Queue Limit

Mode  Added  System

Fail Mode  Max Pres  No Act  Erratic  Fail Time

Phases	1-8								9-16							
Call Phases	2															
Yellow Lock Phases																
Red Lock Phases																
Extend Phases	2															
XSwitch Phases																

## Vehicle Detector 8

Delay  Extend  Carryover  Queue Limit

Mode  Added  System

Fail Mode  Max Pres  No Act  Erratic  Fail Time

Phases	1-8								9-16							
Call Phases								8								
Yellow Lock Phases																
Red Lock Phases																
Extend Phases								8								
XSwitch Phases																

# 571 - Norwood & I-80 EB offramp

## Pedestrian Detector 1

3/27/2014 11:55:09 AM

No Act  Max Pres  Erratic  Fail Mode

Phases/Overlaps	1-8				9-16			
Call Ped Phases	1							
Call Ped Olaps								
Call Phases								
Locked Call Phases								
Ped Entry Phases								
Olap Ped Entry Phases								
Ped Cascade Phases								

## Pedestrian Detector 2

No Act  Max Pres  Erratic  Fail Mode

Phases/Overlaps	1-8				9-16			
Call Ped Phases	2							
Call Ped Olaps								
Call Phases								
Locked Call Phases								
Ped Entry Phases								
Olap Ped Entry Phases								
Ped Cascade Phases								

## Pedestrian Detector 3

No Act  Max Pres  Erratic  Fail Mode

Phases/Overlaps	1-8				9-16			
Call Ped Phases		3						
Call Ped Olaps								
Call Phases								
Locked Call Phases								
Ped Entry Phases								
Olap Ped Entry Phases								
Ped Cascade Phases								

## Pedestrian Detector 4

No Act  Max Pres  Erratic  Fail Mode

Phases/Overlaps	1-8				9-16			
Call Ped Phases			4					
Call Ped Olaps								
Call Phases								
Locked Call Phases								
Ped Entry Phases								
Olap Ped Entry Phases								
Ped Cascade Phases								

# 571 - Norwood & I-80 EB offramp

## Pedestrian Detector 5

3/27/2014 11:55:09 AM

No Act  Max Pres  Erratic  Fail Mode

	1-8	9-16
Phases/Overlaps		
Call Ped Phases	5	
Call Ped Olaps		
Call Phases		
Locked Call Phases		
Ped Entry Phases		
Olap Ped Entry Phases		
Ped Cascade Phases		

## Pedestrian Detector 6

No Act  Max Pres  Erratic  Fail Mode

	1-8	9-16
Phases/Overlaps		
Call Ped Phases	6	
Call Ped Olaps		
Call Phases		
Locked Call Phases		
Ped Entry Phases		
Olap Ped Entry Phases		
Ped Cascade Phases		

## Pedestrian Detector 7

No Act  Max Pres  Erratic  Fail Mode

	1-8	9-16
Phases/Overlaps		
Call Ped Phases	7	
Call Ped Olaps		
Call Phases		
Locked Call Phases		
Ped Entry Phases		
Olap Ped Entry Phases		
Ped Cascade Phases		

## Pedestrian Detector 8

No Act  Max Pres  Erratic  Fail Mode

	1-8	9-16
Phases/Overlaps		
Call Ped Phases	8	
Call Ped Olaps		
Call Phases		
Locked Call Phases		
Ped Entry Phases		
Olap Ped Entry Phases		
Ped Cascade Phases		

# 571 - Norwood & I-80 EB offramp

Control / Config

3/27/2014 11:55:09 AM

Pattern Mode

Manual Pattern  Manual Offset

Stop Time Input

Aux Switch

DLS Mode  Time Zone

Password Timeout

Maint Phs Recalls 

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Maint Ped Recalls 

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

## Serial 1 Port Configuration

Broadcast Plan/Sync  Broadcast Time

Serial Rebroadcast  Response

## Serial 2 Port Configuration

Broadcast Plan/Sync  Broadcast Time

## Ethernet Port Configuration

Broadcast Plan/Sync  Broadcast Time

Serial Rebroadcast

## Peer Configuration

Peer 1

Peer 2

Peer 3

Peer 4

Peer 5

Peer 6

Peer 7

Peer 8



# 571 - Norwood & I-80 EB offramp

Restricted Data

3/27/2014 11:55:09 AM

## (Serial Ports)

Serial Port 1

Baud Rate

RTS On

RTS Off

Serial Port 2

Baud Rate

RTS On

RTS Off

## (Ethernet)

IP Address

Netmask

Broadcast Address

Gateway

Port

Reply Mode

Broadcast Port

Response

Time Port

## (General)

Controller Address

Timeout

Peer Address

Timeout

Remote Calls

Remote Preempt

Remote Soft Preempt

Remote Priority

Remote MCE

MCE Max


# ECONOLITE ASC/3

## TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONTROLLER PROGRAM CHART

N/S Norwood Avenue E/W Jessie Avenue

Intersection #: 576 System: \_\_\_\_\_ IP Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Device ID: \_\_\_\_\_ Channel: \_\_\_\_\_ Drop #: \_\_\_\_\_



Notes:

Ø2 ↔	Ø6 ↔	Ø3 ↙	Ø4 ↕
		Ø7 ↙	Ø8 ↕

# PHASE TIMING

Prepared by: MC

Approved by: AB

Date Implemented: 4/16/2015

### Controller Timing Data

Key: 2-1

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Min Green		10	9	6		10	9	7
Walk		7		7		7		7
Ped Clear		18		8		17		9
Yellow		3.5	3.5	4.3		3.5	3.5	4.3
Red Clearance				0.3				0.3
Red Rvt		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0
Vehicle Ext		2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0
Max 1		40	30	50		40	30	50
Max 2								
Max 3								
Act B4								
Sec/Act								
Max Ini								
Time B4								
Cars Wt								
Steps to Reduce								
Time to Reduce								
Min Gap								
Bike Green								
CndSrv Min Green								
Delay Green								
Walk 2								
Walk Max								
Ped Clear 2								
Ped Clear Max								
Ped CarryOver								
Vehicle Ext 2								
Dym Green								
Dym Step								
Red Max								

### Controller Start/Flash Data

Key: 2-5

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Phase (Color)				Y				Y
Overlap								
Flash/Mon		No		Start Flash Time			0	sec
PWR Start Seq		1		All Red			6	sec
<i>Note: Startup phase can be Y, R, G or W</i>								
<b>Automatic Flash</b>								
Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Entry				4				8
Exit				4				8
<b>Overlap</b>								
Overlap	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Exit	X	X	X	X				
Flash/Mon		No		Exit Flash			W	
Min Flash	0	sec		Min Recall			Yes	
Cycle Thru Phase		No						

### Phase Recall Data

Key: 2-8

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Lock Det								
Vehicle Recall								
Ped Recall								
Max Recall								
Soft Recall					4			8
No Rest								
Added Initial Calc								

### Controller Options

KEY: 2-6-1

Ped Protect	.	Unit Red Revert	.	sec				
Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Flashing Green Phase								
Guar Pass								
Non Act I								
Non Act II								
Dual Entry								
Cond Service								
Cond Reservice								
Ped Reservice		2		4		6		8
Rest in Walk								
Flashing Walk								
PED Clear > yellow								
PED Clear > RED								
IG + VEH EXT								

### Guaranteed Min Time Data

Key: 2-4

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Min Green		10	9	6		10	9	7
Walk		7		7		7		7
Ped Clear		18		8		17		9
Yellow Clear		3.5	3.5	4.3		3.5	3.5	4.3
Red Clear				0.3				0.3
<b>Overlap</b>								
Overlap	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Overlap Green								

SET SCREEN FORMAT TO BASIC Key: 1-7-2

## CONFIGURATION

### Phase Ring Seq and Assignment Key: 1-1-1

Controller Sequence		1							
Hardware Alternate Sequence Enable		No							
Barrier	B	B	B	B					
Ring 1	2	6	3	4	9	10	13	14	
Ring 2			7	8	11	12	15	16	
Ring 3									
Ring 4									

### Phase in Use/Exclusive Peds Key: 1-2

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Phases in Use		2	3	4		6	7	8
Exclusive Ped								

### Load Switch Assign (MMU Chan) Key: 1-3

CH	PHASE/ OVL P	type	DIMMING				FLASH		
			R	Y	G	D	P	A	TGR
1	1	V				+	A	R	
2	2	V				+	A	R	X
3	3	V				+	A	R	
4	4	V				+	A	R	X
5	5	V				-	A	R	
6	6	V				-	A	R	X
7	7	V				-	A	R	
8	8	V				-	A	R	X
9	2	P				+	A		
10	4	P				+	A		
11	1	P				-	A		
12	8	P				-	A		
13	1	O				+	A	R	
14	2	O				-	A	R	X
15	3	O				+	A	R	
16	4	O				-	A	R	X

### Display Options Key: 1-7-2

Key Click Enabled	YES
BackLight Enable	YES
LED Mode	Auto
Main Status Display Mode	Basic
Screen Format	Basic

### Ethernet Port Configuration Key: 1-5-1

IP ADDRESS	
ADDRESS MASK	
DEFAULT GATEWAY ADD	
SEVER IP ADDRESS	
LINK SPEED/DUPLEX	AUTO
DROP-OUT TIME	300

### Port 1 (SDLC Options) Key: 1-4-1

BIU	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
TERM & FACILITY	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
DETECTOR	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
ENABLE TS2/MMU TYPE CABINET								NO
ENABLE MMU EXTENDED STATUS								NO
ENABLE SDLC START TIME								NO
ENABLE 3 CRITICAL RFE'S LOCKUP								YES
MMU TO CU SDLC EXTERNAL START								enabled

### Ped Detector Input Key: 6-3

PED DET ASSIGNMENT MODE					NTCIP			
PHASE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
DETECTOR	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
PHASE	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
DETECTOR	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16

## CONFIGURATION

### Simultaneous Gap Phases

Key: 1-1-4

CHANNEL CAN SERVE WITH																
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1	■	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
2	.	■	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
3	.	.	■	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
4	.	.	.	■	.	.	.	X	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
5	.	.	.	.	■	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
6	.	.	.	.	.	■	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
7	.	.	.	.	.	.	■	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
8	.	.	.	X	.	.	.	■	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
9	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	■	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
10	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	■	.	.	.	.	.	.
11	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	■	.	.	.	.	.
12	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	■	.	.	.	.
13	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	■	.	.	.
14	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	■	.	.
15	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	■	.
16	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	■
D	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

### MMU Program

Key: 1-4-2

CHANNEL CAN SERVE WITH															
	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2
1	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
2	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
3	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
5	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
6	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
7	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
8	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
9	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
10	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
11	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
12	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
13	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
14	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

## EV PREEMPT/SCP SUBMENU

**Preempt Plan 2**

**KEY: 4-1**

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Track Clr V								
Track Clr O								
Ena Trl								
Dwell Veh						6		
Dwell Ped								
Dwell OL								
Cycle Veh								
Cycle Ped								
Cycle OL								
Exit Phase						6		
Exit Calls								
Sp Function								
Entrance Times		Walk	Ped Cl	Grn	Yel	Red		
		255	255	255	25.5	25.5		
Track Clear		Min Gn	Ext Grn	Max G	Yel	Red		
		0	0	0	0	0		
Dwl/Cyc exit		Min Dwell	Pmt Ext	Mx Trn	Yel	Red		
		6	3	55	0	0		
Free Dur Prmt	R1	NO	R2	NO	R3	NO	R4	NO
Enable		Yes		Pmt Ovrld			X	
Det Lock		X		Delay			0	
Override Flash		.		Duration			0	
Term Ovlp		NO		PC>Yel			NO	
Ped Dark		NO		TC Reserv			NO	
Link Pmt		0		Exit Fl Color			GRN	
Exit Tm Pln		0		Re-Serv			0	
Interlock		NO		Term Ph			NO	
Inhibt		0		Dwell Fl			OFF	
Clr>Grn		NO		Pmt>Crd			YES	
Inhibt Ext Time		0		FLT Type			Hard	
Pmt Active Out		OFF		Pmt Active Dwell			OFF	
Other-Pri Pmt		OFF		Non-Pri Pmt			OFF	

**Preempt Plan 3**

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Track Clr V								
Track Clr O								
Ena Trl								
Dwell Veh		2						
Dwell Ped								
Dwell OL								
Cycle Veh								
Cycle Ped								
Cycle OL								
Exit Phase		2						
Exit Calls								
Sp Function								
Entrance Times		Walk	Ped Cl	Grn	Yel	Red		
		255	255	255	25.5	25.5		
Track Clear		Min Gn	Ext Grn	Max G	Yel	Red		
		0	0	0	0	0		
Dwl/Cyc exit		Min Dwell	Pmt Ext	Mx Trn	Yel	Red		
		6	3	55	0	0		
Free Dur Prmt	R1	NO	R2	NO	R3	NO	R4	NO
Enable		Yes		Pmt Ovrld			X	
Det Lock		X		Delay			0	
Override Flash		.		Duration			0	
Term Ovlp		NO		PC>Yel			NO	
Ped Dark		NO		TC Reserv			NO	
Link Pmt		0		Exit Fl Color			GRN	
Exit Tm Pln		0		Re-Serv			0	
Interlock		NO		Term Ph			NO	
Inhibt		0		Dwell Fl			OFF	
Clr>Grn		NO		Pmt>Crd			YES	
Inhibt Ext Time		0		FLT Type			Hard	
Pmt Active Out		OFF		Pmt Active Dwell			OFF	
Other-Pri Pmt		OFF		Non-Pri Pmt			OFF	

**Preempt Plan 4**

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Track Clr V								
Track Clr O								
Ena Trl								
Dwell Veh			3					8
Dwell Ped								
Dwell OL								
Cycle Veh								
Cycle Ped								
Cycle OL								
Exit Phase			3					8
Exit Calls								
Sp Function								
Entrance Times		Walk	Ped Cl	Grn	Yel	Red		
		255	255	255	25.5	25.5		
Track Clear		Min Gn	Ext Grn	Max G	Yel	Red		
		0	0	0	0	0		
Dwl/Cyc exit		Min Dwell	Pmt Ext	Mx Trn	Yel	Red		
		6	3	55	0	0		
Free Dur Prmt	R1	NO	R2	NO	R3	NO	R4	NO
Enable		Yes		Pmt Ovrld			X	
Det Lock		X		Delay			0	
Override Flash		.		Duration			0	
Term Ovlp		NO		PC>Yel			NO	
Ped Dark		NO		TC Reserv			NO	
Link Pmt		0		Exit Fl Color			GRN	
Exit Tm Pln		0		Re-Serv			0	
Interlock		NO		Term Ph			NO	
Inhibt		0		Dwell Fl			OFF	
Clr>Grn		NO		Pmt>Crd			YES	
Inhibt Ext Time		0		FLT Type			Hard	
Pmt Active Out		OFF		Pmt Active Dwell			OFF	
Other-Pri Pmt		OFF		Non-Pri Pmt			OFF	

**Preempt Plan 5**

Phase	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Track Clr V								
Track Clr O								
Ena Trl								
Dwell Veh				4			7	
Dwell Ped								
Dwell OL								
Cycle Veh								
Cycle Ped								
Cycle OL								
Exit Phase				4			7	
Exit Calls								
Sp Function								
Entrance Times		Walk	Ped Cl	Grn	Yel	Red		
		255	255	255	25.5	25.5		
Track Clear		Min Gn	Ext Grn	Max G	Yel	Red		
		0	0	0	0	0		
Dwl/Cyc exit		Min Dwell	Pmt Ext	Mx Trn	Yel	Red		
		6	3	55	0	0		
Free Dur Prmt	R1	NO	R2	NO	R3	NO	R4	NO
Enable		Yes		Pmt Ovrld			X	
Det Lock		X		Delay			0	
Override Flash		.		Duration			0	
Term Ovlp		NO		PC>Yel			NO	
Ped Dark		NO		TC Reserv			NO	
Link Pmt		0		Exit Fl Color			GRN	
Exit Tm Pln		0		Re-Serv			0	
Interlock		NO		Term Ph			NO	
Inhibt		0		Dwell Fl			OFF	
Clr>Grn		NO		Pmt>Crd			YES	
Inhibt Ext Time		0		FLT Type			Hard	
Pmt Active Out		OFF		Pmt Active Dwell			OFF	
Other-Pri Pmt		OFF		Non-Pri Pmt			OFF	

# DETECTION SCHEDULE

## Norwood Avenue at Jessie Avenue

	Phase	Controller Det. Input	Location	Direction	Controller / Detector Type / Function			Notes
					Extend	Delay	Passage	
<b>BIU 1</b>	<b>Loops or Retrofit Video</b>							
	Ø1	1						
	Ø2	2	Front	WB			x	D2, D3
	Ø3	3	Left	N-W			x	D2, D3
	Ø4	4	Front	SB			x	D3, D4
	Ø5	5						
	Ø6	6	Front	EB			x	D2, D3
	Ø7	7	Left	S-E			x	D2, D3
	Ø8	8	Front	NB			x	D3, D4
	<b>Loops</b>							
	Ø2	9	Right	E-S			x	D4
	Ø2	10	Rear	WB			x	D1
	Ø3	11	Rear	N-W			x	D1
	Ø4	12	Rear	SB			x	D1, D2
	Ø6	13	WALK				x	
	Ø6	14	Rear	EB			x	D1
	Ø7	15	Rear	S-E			x	D1
	Ø8	16	Rear	EB			x	D1, D2
Ø5	-	count	WB			x	D1	
Ø1	-	count	EB			x	D1	
<b>New Video Detection BIU 2 (RESERVED) 17-32</b>								
<b>BIU 3</b>	Ø1	33						
	Ø1	34						
	Ø6	35						
	Ø6	36						
	Ø6	37						
	Ø6	38						
	Ø6	39						
	Ø6	40						
	Ø5	41						
	Ø5	42						
	Ø2	43						
	Ø2	44						
	Ø2	45						
	Ø2	46						
Ø2	47							
Ø2	48							
<b>BIU 4</b>	Ø3	49						
	Ø3	50						
	Ø8	51						
	Ø8	52						
	Ø8	53						
	Ø8	54						
	Ø8	55						
	Ø8	56						
	Ø7	57						
	Ø7	58						
	Ø4	59						
	Ø4	60						
	Ø4	61						
	Ø4	62						
	Ø4	63						
	Ø4	64						

## **Appendix D: Existing Conditions Synchro Reports**

---

Lanes, Volumes, Timings  
1: Norwood Avenue & Bell Avenue

12/20/2024

												
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (vph)	43	122	127	186	72	116	46	320	173	119	513	32
Future Volume (vph)	43	122	127	186	72	116	46	320	173	119	513	32
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	150		0	195		200	70		200	90		0
Storage Lanes	1		0	1		1	1		1	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	35			50			70			45		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95
Ped Bike Factor	0.99	0.97		0.97		0.97	0.99		0.96	0.99	0.99	
Fr <sub>t</sub>		0.923				0.850			0.850		0.991	
Fl <sub>t</sub> Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1787	1694	0	1736	1827	1553	1752	3505	1568	1752	3465	0
Fl <sub>t</sub> Permitted	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1694	0	1694	1827	1516	1737	3505	1517	1741	3465	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		33				116			173			4
Link Speed (mph)		25			40			40				30
Link Distance (ft)		958			1056			1318				533
Travel Time (s)		26.1			18.0			22.5				12.1
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	5		19	19		5	4		3	3		4
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			4			2			2			2
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles (%)	1%	1%	1%	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
Adj. Flow (vph)	43	122	127	186	72	116	46	320	173	119	513	32
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	43	249	0	186	72	116	46	320	173	119	545	0
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No						
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right
Median Width(ft)		12			12			12				12
Link Offset(ft)		0			0			0				0
Crosswalk Width(ft)		16			16			16				16
Two way Left Turn Lane								Yes				Yes
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15		9	15		9	15		9	15		9
Number of Detectors	1	2		1	2	1	1	2	1	1		2
Detector Template	Left	Thru		Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	
Leading Detector (ft)	20	100		20	100	20	20	100	20	20	100	
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Detector 1 Position(ft)	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Detector 1 Size(ft)	20	6		20	6	20	20	6	20	20	6	
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Detector 2 Position(ft)		94			94			94				94
Detector 2 Size(ft)		6			6			6				6
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex				Cl+Ex
Detector 2 Channel												

Lanes, Volumes, Timings  
1: Norwood Avenue & Bell Avenue

12/20/2024



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	1	6		5	2		3	8		7	4	
Permitted Phases						2			8			
Detector Phase	1	6		5	2	2	3	8	8	7	4	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	11.0	9.0		11.0	9.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	11.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	14.8	31.6		14.8	27.6	27.6	13.6	27.6	27.6	14.9	21.6	
Total Split (s)	30.0	50.0		30.0	50.0	50.0	30.0	50.0	50.0	30.0	50.0	
Total Split (%)	18.8%	31.3%		18.8%	31.3%	31.3%	18.8%	31.3%	31.3%	18.8%	31.3%	
Maximum Green (s)	26.2	45.4		26.2	45.4	45.4	26.4	45.4	45.4	26.1	45.4	
Yellow Time (s)	3.5	4.3		3.5	4.3	4.3	3.5	4.3	4.3	3.5	4.3	
All-Red Time (s)	0.3	0.3		0.3	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	3.8	4.6		3.8	4.6	4.6	3.6	4.6	4.6	3.9	4.6	
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag		Lead	Lead	Lead	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes		Yes								
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Recall Mode	None	None		None	None	None	None	Min	Min	None	Min	
Walk Time (s)		7.0			7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)		20.0			16.0	16.0		16.0	16.0		10.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		2			2	2		2	2		2	
Act Effct Green (s)	18.5	16.3		14.8	20.3	20.3	10.5	15.1	15.1	12.7	24.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.24	0.21		0.19	0.26	0.26	0.14	0.20	0.20	0.17	0.32	
v/c Ratio	0.09	0.64		0.55	0.14	0.23	0.19	0.46	0.39	0.41	0.48	
Control Delay (s/veh)	25.6	33.5		37.7	31.7	8.5	38.5	30.9	8.2	38.2	26.0	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay (s/veh)	25.6	33.5		37.7	31.7	8.5	38.5	30.9	8.2	38.2	26.0	
LOS	C	C		D	C	A	D	C	A	D	C	
Approach Delay (s/veh)		32.4			27.5			24.3			28.2	
Approach LOS		C			C			C			C	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	15	90		79	31	0	19	66	0	50	117	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	51	208		183	80	46	66	141	54	132	220	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		878			976			1238			453	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	150			195		200	70		200	90		
Base Capacity (vph)	642	1065		622	1135	985	632	2177	1007	625	2154	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.07	0.23		0.30	0.06	0.12	0.07	0.15	0.17	0.19	0.25	

Intersection Summary


Area Type:	Other
Cycle Length:	160
Actuated Cycle Length:	76.7
Natural Cycle:	90
Control Type:	Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio:	0.65
Intersection Signal Delay (s/veh):	27.6
Intersection LOS:	C

Lanes, Volumes, Timings  
 1: Norwood Avenue & Bell Avenue

12/20/2024

Intersection Capacity Utilization 66.8% ICU Level of Service C  
 Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 1: Norwood Avenue & Bell Avenue



Queues

1: Norwood Avenue & Bell Avenue

12/20/2024



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Group Flow (vph)	43	249	186	72	116	46	320	173	119	545
v/c Ratio	0.09	0.64	0.55	0.14	0.23	0.19	0.46	0.39	0.41	0.48
Control Delay (s/veh)	25.6	33.5	37.7	31.7	8.5	38.5	30.9	8.2	38.2	26.0
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay (s/veh)	25.6	33.5	37.7	31.7	8.5	38.5	30.9	8.2	38.2	26.0
Queue Length 50th (ft)	15	90	79	31	0	19	66	0	50	117
Queue Length 95th (ft)	51	208	183	80	46	66	141	54	132	220
Internal Link Dist (ft)		878		976			1238			453
Turn Bay Length (ft)	150		195		200	70		200	90	
Base Capacity (vph)	642	1065	622	1135	985	632	2177	1007	625	2154
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.07	0.23	0.30	0.06	0.12	0.07	0.15	0.17	0.19	0.25

Intersection Summary

# HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary

## 1: Norwood Avenue & Bell Avenue

12/20/2024

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	43	122	127	186	72	116	46	320	173	119	513	32
Future Volume (veh/h)	43	122	127	186	72	116	46	320	173	119	513	32
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.93	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.96	1.00		0.97
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No			No			No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1885	1885	1885	1841	1841	1841	1856	1856	1856	1856	1856	1856
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	43	122	127	186	72	116	46	320	173	119	513	32
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percent Heavy Veh, %	1	1	1	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3
Cap, veh/h	373	183	191	305	328	265	157	579	249	278	798	50
Arrive On Green	0.21	0.23	0.23	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.09	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.24	0.24
Sat Flow, veh/h	1795	813	847	1753	1841	1489	1767	3526	1515	1767	3363	209
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	43	0	249	186	72	116	46	320	173	119	268	277
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1795	0	1660	1753	1841	1489	1767	1763	1515	1767	1763	1810
Q Serve(g_s), s	1.2	0.0	8.3	5.9	2.0	3.1	1.5	5.0	3.7	3.7	8.3	8.3
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	1.2	0.0	8.3	5.9	2.0	3.1	1.5	5.0	3.7	3.7	8.3	8.3
Prop In Lane	1.00		0.51	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.12
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	373	0	374	305	328	265	157	579	249	278	418	430
V/C Ratio(X)	0.12	0.00	0.67	0.61	0.22	0.44	0.29	0.55	0.70	0.43	0.64	0.64
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	778	0	1246	759	1382	1117	771	2646	1137	763	1323	1358
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	19.4	0.0	21.3	23.1	21.3	11.7	25.8	23.2	7.7	23.0	20.8	20.8
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.1	0.0	0.8	0.7	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.3	1.3	0.4	0.6	0.6
Initial Q Delay(d3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	0.5	0.0	3.1	2.3	0.8	1.3	0.6	1.9	2.0	1.5	3.2	3.3
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh												
LnGrp Delay(d), s/veh	19.5	0.0	22.1	23.8	21.4	12.1	26.2	23.5	9.0	23.4	21.4	21.4
LnGrp LOS	B		C	C	C	B	C	C	A	C	C	C
Approach Vol, veh/h		292			374			539			664	
Approach Delay, s/veh		21.7			19.7			19.1			21.7	
Approach LOS		C			B			B			C	
Timer - Assigned Phs	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	17.2	15.4	9.0	19.0	14.3	18.2	13.4	14.5				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	* 4.6	* 4.6	3.6	* 4.6	3.8	* 4.6	3.9	* 4.6				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	* 26	* 45	26.4	* 45	26.2	* 45	26.1	* 45				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s	3.2	5.1	3.5	10.3	7.9	10.3	5.7	7.0				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.0	0.4	0.0	2.2	0.2	1.2	0.1	1.6				
<b>Intersection Summary</b>												
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay, s/veh			20.6									
HCM 6th LOS			C									
<b>Notes</b>												
* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.												

# HCM 7th Signalized Intersection Summary

## 1: Norwood Avenue & Bell Avenue

12/20/2024

												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	43	122	127	186	72	116	46	320	173	119	513	32
Future Volume (veh/h)	43	122	127	186	72	116	46	320	173	119	513	32
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Width Adj.	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.93	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.96	1.00		0.97
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No			No			No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1885	1885	1885	1841	1841	1841	1856	1856	1856	1856	1856	1856
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	43	122	127	186	72	116	46	320	173	119	513	32
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percent Heavy Veh, %	1	1	1	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3
Cap, veh/h	373	183	191	305	328	265	157	579	249	278	798	50
Arrive On Green	0.21	0.23	0.23	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.09	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.24	0.24
Sat Flow, veh/h	1795	813	847	1753	1841	1489	1767	3526	1515	1767	3363	209
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	43	0	249	186	72	116	46	320	173	119	268	277
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1795	0	1660	1753	1841	1489	1767	1763	1515	1767	1763	1810
Q Serve(g_s), s	1.2	0.0	8.3	5.9	2.0	3.1	1.5	5.0	3.7	3.7	8.3	8.3
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	1.2	0.0	8.3	5.9	2.0	3.1	1.5	5.0	3.7	3.7	8.3	8.3
Prop In Lane	1.00		0.51	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.12
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	373	0	374	305	328	265	157	579	249	278	418	430
V/C Ratio(X)	0.12	0.00	0.67	0.61	0.22	0.44	0.29	0.55	0.70	0.43	0.64	0.64
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	778	0	1246	759	1382	1117	771	2646	1137	763	1323	1358
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	19.4	0.0	21.3	23.1	21.3	11.7	25.8	23.2	7.7	23.0	20.8	20.8
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.1	0.0	0.8	0.7	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.3	1.3	0.4	0.6	0.6
Initial Q Delay(d3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	0.5	0.0	3.1	2.3	0.8	1.3	0.6	1.9	2.0	1.5	3.2	3.3
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh												
LnGrp Delay(d), s/veh	19.5	0.0	22.1	23.8	21.4	12.1	26.2	23.5	9.0	23.4	21.4	21.4
LnGrp LOS	B		C	C	C	B	C	C	A	C	C	C
Approach Vol, veh/h		292			374			539			664	
Approach Delay, s/veh		21.7			19.7			19.1			21.7	
Approach LOS		C			B			B			C	
Timer - Assigned Phs	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	17.2	15.4	9.0	19.0	14.3	18.2	13.4	14.5				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	* 4.6	* 4.6	3.6	* 4.6	3.8	* 4.6	3.9	* 4.6				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	* 26	* 45	26.4	* 45	26.2	* 45	26.1	* 45				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s	3.2	5.1	3.5	10.3	7.9	10.3	5.7	7.0				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.0	0.4	0.0	2.2	0.2	1.2	0.1	1.6				
<b>Intersection Summary</b>												
HCM 7th Control Delay, s/veh			20.6									
HCM 7th LOS			C									
<b>Notes</b>												
* HCM 7th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.												

Lanes, Volumes, Timings  
 2: Norwood Avenue & Jessie Avenue

12/20/2024

												
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (vph)	36	95	215	194	68	41	137	463	100	51	779	44
Future Volume (vph)	36	95	215	194	68	41	137	463	100	51	779	44
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0		100	0		0	100		0	120		0
Storage Lanes	0		1	0		0	1		0	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			65			75		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95
Ped Bike Factor		0.99			0.99		0.99	0.99		0.98	0.99	
Frt			0.850		0.982			0.973			0.992	
Flt Protected		0.986			0.969		0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1837	1583	0	1742	0	1752	3377	0	1752	3471	0
Flt Permitted		0.986			0.969		0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1822	1583	0	1742	0	1746	3377	0	1724	3471	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			215		5			16				4
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			40				30
Link Distance (ft)		838			627			712				1318
Travel Time (s)		19.0			14.3			12.1				30.0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	23					23	2		7	7		2
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)									1			
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
Adj. Flow (vph)	36	95	215	194	68	41	137	463	100	51	779	44
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	131	215	0	303	0	137	563	0	51	823	0
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No						
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right
Median Width(ft)		0			0			12				12
Link Offset(ft)		0			0			0				0
Crosswalk Width(ft)		16			16			16				16
Two way Left Turn Lane								Yes				Yes
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15		9	15		9	15		9	15		9
Number of Detectors	1	2	1	1	2		1	2		1	2	
Detector Template	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left	Thru	
Leading Detector (ft)	20	100	20	20	100		20	100		20	100	
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0	0	0	0		0	0		0	0	
Detector 1 Position(ft)	0	0	0	0	0		0	0		0	0	
Detector 1 Size(ft)	20	6	20	20	6		20	6		20	6	
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 2 Position(ft)		94			94			94				94
Detector 2 Size(ft)		6			6			6				6
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex				Cl+Ex
Detector 2 Channel												

Lanes, Volumes, Timings  
 2: Norwood Avenue & Jessie Avenue

12/20/2024



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Turn Type	Split	NA	Perm	Split	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	6	6		2	2		3	8		7	4	
Permitted Phases			6									
Detector Phase	6	6	6	2	2		3	8		7	4	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0		9.0	7.0		9.0	6.0	
Minimum Split (s)	28.5	28.5	28.5	28.5	28.5		12.5	20.6		13.5	19.6	
Total Split (s)	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0		30.0	50.0		30.0	50.0	
Total Split (%)	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		18.8%	31.3%		18.8%	31.3%	
Maximum Green (s)	36.5	36.5	36.5	36.5	36.5		26.5	45.4		26.5	45.4	
Yellow Time (s)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5		3.5	4.3		3.5	4.3	
All-Red Time (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.3		0.0	0.3	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		3.5	3.5		3.5		3.5	4.6		3.5	4.6	
Lead/Lag							Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?							Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None		None	Min		None	Min	
Walk Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0			7.0			7.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)	17.0	17.0	17.0	18.0	18.0			9.0			8.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	2	2	2	2	2			2			2	
Act Effct Green (s)		14.2	14.2		23.6		14.0	38.6		10.2	31.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.14	0.14		0.24		0.14	0.39		0.10	0.31	
v/c Ratio		0.49	0.52		0.72		0.55	0.42		0.28	0.75	
Control Delay (s/veh)		51.1	11.4		47.5		54.1	24.7		54.8	37.0	
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay (s/veh)		51.1	11.4		47.5		54.1	24.7		54.8	37.0	
LOS		D	B		D		D	C		D	D	
Approach Delay (s/veh)		26.5			47.5			30.5			38.1	
Approach LOS		C			D			C			D	
Queue Length 50th (ft)		75	0		166		79	129		29	230	
Queue Length 95th (ft)		178	73		357		192	249		90	435	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		758			547			632			1238	
Turn Bay Length (ft)			100				100			120		
Base Capacity (vph)		725	755		691		502	1710		502	1708	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0	0		0		0	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0	0		0		0	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0	0		0		0	0		0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.18	0.28		0.44		0.27	0.33		0.10	0.48	

Intersection Summary

Area Type:	Other
Cycle Length:	160
Actuated Cycle Length:	99.1
Natural Cycle:	95
Control Type:	Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio:	0.76
Intersection Signal Delay (s/veh):	35.2
Intersection LOS:	D

Lanes, Volumes, Timings  
 2: Norwood Avenue & Jessie Avenue

12/20/2024

Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.9% ICU Level of Service C  
 Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 2: Norwood Avenue & Jessie Avenue

 Ø2 40 s	 Ø6 40 s	 Ø3 30 s	 Ø4 50 s
		 Ø7 30 s	 Ø8 50 s

Queues

2: Norwood Avenue & Jessie Avenue

12/20/2024



Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Lane Group Flow (vph)	131	215	303	137	563	51	823
v/c Ratio	0.49	0.52	0.72	0.55	0.42	0.28	0.75
Control Delay (s/veh)	51.1	11.4	47.5	54.1	24.7	54.8	37.0
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay (s/veh)	51.1	11.4	47.5	54.1	24.7	54.8	37.0
Queue Length 50th (ft)	75	0	166	79	129	29	230
Queue Length 95th (ft)	178	73	357	192	249	90	435
Internal Link Dist (ft)	758		547		632		1238
Turn Bay Length (ft)		100		100		120	
Base Capacity (vph)	725	755	691	502	1710	502	1708
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.18	0.28	0.44	0.27	0.33	0.10	0.48

Intersection Summary

# HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary

## 2: Norwood Avenue & Jessie Avenue

12/20/2024

												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	36	95	215	194	68	41	137	463	100	51	779	44
Future Volume (veh/h)	36	95	215	194	68	41	137	463	100	51	779	44
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.96	1.00		0.97	1.00		0.97	1.00		0.99
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No			No			No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1870	1870	1870	1856	1856	1856	1856	1856	1856	1856	1856	1856
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	36	95	215	194	68	41	137	463	100	51	779	44
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percent Heavy Veh, %	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Cap, veh/h	100	263	301	253	89	54	188	899	193	134	960	54
Arrive On Green	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.11	0.31	0.31	0.08	0.28	0.28
Sat Flow, veh/h	507	1338	1530	1119	392	237	1767	2869	615	1767	3390	191
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	131	0	215	303	0	0	137	283	280	51	405	418
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1845	0	1530	1748	0	0	1767	1763	1721	1767	1763	1818
Q Serve(g_s), s	5.0	0.0	10.6	13.1	0.0	0.0	6.1	10.6	10.8	2.2	17.3	17.3
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	5.0	0.0	10.6	13.1	0.0	0.0	6.1	10.6	10.8	2.2	17.3	17.3
Prop In Lane	0.27		1.00	0.64		0.14	1.00		0.36	1.00		0.11
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	363	0	301	396	0	0	188	553	539	134	499	515
V/C Ratio(X)	0.36	0.00	0.71	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.73	0.51	0.52	0.38	0.81	0.81
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	835	0	692	791	0	0	581	992	968	581	992	1023
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	28.0	0.0	30.3	29.2	0.0	0.0	34.9	22.6	22.7	35.5	26.9	26.9
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.2	0.0	1.2	1.2	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.3	0.3	0.7	1.2	1.2
Initial Q Delay(d3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	2.2	0.0	3.8	5.4	0.0	0.0	2.6	4.1	4.1	1.0	7.1	7.3
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh												
LnGrp Delay(d), s/veh	28.2	0.0	31.5	30.4	0.0	0.0	36.9	22.9	23.0	36.1	28.1	28.1
LnGrp LOS	C		C	C			D	C	C	D	C	C
Approach Vol, veh/h		346			303			700			874	
Approach Delay, s/veh		30.2			30.4			25.7			28.6	
Approach LOS		C			C			C			C	
Timer - Assigned Phs		2	3	4		6	7	8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s		21.8	12.1	27.4		19.4	9.6	29.9				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s		3.5	3.5	* 4.6		3.5	3.5	* 4.6				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s		36.5	26.5	* 45		36.5	26.5	* 45				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s		15.1	8.1	19.3		12.6	4.2	12.8				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s		1.2	0.1	3.6		0.9	0.0	2.1				
<b>Intersection Summary</b>												
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay, s/veh			28.2									
HCM 6th LOS			C									
<b>Notes</b>												
* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.												

# HCM 7th Signalized Intersection Summary

## 2: Norwood Avenue & Jessie Avenue

12/20/2024



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		↕	↗		↔		↖	↕↔		↖	↕↔	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	36	95	215	194	68	41	137	463	100	51	779	44
Future Volume (veh/h)	36	95	215	194	68	41	137	463	100	51	779	44
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Width Adj.	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.96	1.00		0.97	1.00		0.97	1.00		0.99
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No			No			No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1870	1870	1870	1856	1856	1856	1856	1856	1856	1856	1856	1856
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	36	95	215	194	68	41	137	463	100	51	779	44
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percent Heavy Veh, %	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Cap, veh/h	100	263	301	253	89	54	188	899	193	134	960	54
Arrive On Green	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.11	0.31	0.31	0.08	0.28	0.28
Sat Flow, veh/h	507	1338	1530	1119	392	237	1767	2869	615	1767	3390	191
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	131	0	215	303	0	0	137	283	280	51	405	418
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1845	0	1530	1748	0	0	1767	1763	1721	1767	1763	1818
Q Serve(g_s), s	5.0	0.0	10.6	13.1	0.0	0.0	6.1	10.6	10.8	2.2	17.3	17.3
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	5.0	0.0	10.6	13.1	0.0	0.0	6.1	10.6	10.8	2.2	17.3	17.3
Prop In Lane	0.27		1.00	0.64		0.14	1.00		0.36	1.00		0.11
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	363	0	301	396	0	0	188	553	539	134	499	515
V/C Ratio(X)	0.36	0.00	0.71	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.73	0.51	0.52	0.38	0.81	0.81
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	835	0	692	791	0	0	581	992	968	581	992	1023
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	28.0	0.0	30.3	29.2	0.0	0.0	34.9	22.6	22.7	35.5	26.9	26.9
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.2	0.0	1.2	1.2	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.3	0.3	0.7	1.2	1.2
Initial Q Delay(d3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	2.2	0.0	3.8	5.4	0.0	0.0	2.6	4.1	4.1	1.0	7.1	7.3
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh												
LnGrp Delay(d), s/veh	28.2	0.0	31.5	30.4	0.0	0.0	36.9	22.9	23.0	36.1	28.1	28.1
LnGrp LOS	C		C	C			D	C	C	D	C	C
Approach Vol, veh/h		346			303			700			874	
Approach Delay, s/veh		30.2			30.4			25.7			28.6	
Approach LOS		C			C			C			C	
Timer - Assigned Phs		2	3	4		6	7	8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s		21.8	12.1	27.4		19.4	9.6	29.9				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s		3.5	3.5	* 4.6		3.5	3.5	* 4.6				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s		36.5	26.5	* 45		36.5	26.5	* 45				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s		15.1	8.1	19.3		12.6	4.2	12.8				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s		1.2	0.1	3.6		0.9	0.0	2.1				
<b>Intersection Summary</b>												
HCM 7th Control Delay, s/veh			28.2									
HCM 7th LOS			C									
<b>Notes</b>												
* HCM 7th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.												

Lanes, Volumes, Timings

3: Norwood Avenue & WB 80 On-Ramp/WB 80 Off-Ramp

12/20/2024



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations				↖	↖	↖	↖	↑↑			↑↑	↖
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	291	1	220	258	586	0	0	678	560
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	291	1	220	258	586	0	0	678	560
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	0		50	200		0	0		75
Storage Lanes	0		0	1		1	1		0	0		1
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			35			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00
Ped Bike Factor						0.98	0.99					0.97
Fr <sub>t</sub>						0.850						0.850
Fl <sub>t</sub> Protected				0.950	0.953		0.950					
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	0	0	1649	1654	1553	1736	3471	0	0	3539	1583
Fl <sub>t</sub> Permitted				0.950	0.953		0.950					
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	1649	1654	1532	1733	3471	0	0	3539	1541
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)						218						302
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			40				30
Link Distance (ft)		1264			954			526				712
Travel Time (s)		28.7			21.7			9.0				16.2
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	1					1	1		4	4		1
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)												2
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	2%	2%	2%
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	0	0	291	1	220	258	586	0	0	678	560
Shared Lane Traffic (%)				50%								
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	145	147	220	258	586	0	0	678	560
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right
Median Width(ft)		12			12			12				12
Link Offset(ft)		0			0			0				0
Crosswalk Width(ft)		16			16			16				16
Two way Left Turn Lane												Yes
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15		9	15		9	15		9	15		9
Number of Detectors				1	2	1	1	2				2
Detector Template				Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru				Thru
Leading Detector (ft)				20	100	20	20	100				100
Trailing Detector (ft)				0	0	0	0	0				0
Detector 1 Position(ft)				0	0	0	0	0				0
Detector 1 Size(ft)				20	6	20	20	6				6
Detector 1 Type				Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex				Cl+Ex
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				0.0
Detector 1 Queue (s)				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				0.0
Detector 1 Delay (s)				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				0.0
Detector 2 Position(ft)					94			94				94
Detector 2 Size(ft)					6			6				6
Detector 2 Type					Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex				Cl+Ex
Detector 2 Channel												

Lanes, Volumes, Timings

3: Norwood Avenue & WB 80 On-Ramp/WB 80 Off-Ramp

12/20/2024



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Detector 2 Extend (s)					0.0			0.0			0.0	
Turn Type				Split	NA	Perm	Prot	NA			NA	Perm
Protected Phases				4	4		1	6			2	
Permitted Phases						4						2
Detector Phase				4	4	4	1	6			2	2
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)				8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	6.0			6.0	6.0
Minimum Split (s)				29.0	29.0	29.0	11.5	18.8			17.8	17.8
Total Split (s)				40.0	40.0	40.0	30.0	40.0			40.0	40.0
Total Split (%)				36.4%	36.4%	36.4%	27.3%	36.4%			36.4%	36.4%
Maximum Green (s)				36.0	36.0	36.0	26.5	35.2			35.2	35.2
Yellow Time (s)				3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	4.3			4.3	4.3
All-Red Time (s)				0.5	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.5			0.5	0.5
Lost Time Adjust (s)				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)				4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.8			4.8	4.8
Lead/Lag							Lead				Lag	Lag
Lead-Lag Optimize?							Yes				Yes	Yes
Vehicle Extension (s)				2.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.5			2.5	2.5
Recall Mode				None	None	None	None	Min			Min	Min
Walk Time (s)				7.0	7.0	7.0		7.0			7.0	7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)				18.0	18.0	18.0		7.0			6.0	6.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)				2	2	2		2			2	2
Act Effct Green (s)				12.9	12.9	12.9	15.7	43.9			24.4	24.4
Actuated g/C Ratio				0.19	0.19	0.19	0.24	0.66			0.37	0.37
v/c Ratio				0.45	0.45	0.46	0.62	0.25			0.52	0.74
Control Delay (s/veh)				31.9	32.0	8.1	33.0	5.1			19.2	16.0
Queue Delay				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0
Total Delay (s/veh)				31.9	32.0	8.1	33.0	5.1			19.2	16.0
LOS				C	C	A	C	A			B	B
Approach Delay (s/veh)					21.8			13.7			17.8	
Approach LOS					C			B			B	
Queue Length 50th (ft)				52	53	1	87	35			100	72
Queue Length 95th (ft)				137	138	57	222	96			230	283
Internal Link Dist (ft)		1184			874			446			632	
Turn Bay Length (ft)						50	200					75
Base Capacity (vph)				976	979	996	756	3116			2049	1019
Starvation Cap Reductn				0	0	0	0	0			0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn				0	0	0	0	0			0	0
Storage Cap Reductn				0	0	0	0	0			0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio				0.15	0.15	0.22	0.34	0.19			0.33	0.55

Intersection Summary

Area Type:	Other
Cycle Length:	110
Actuated Cycle Length:	66.5
Natural Cycle:	65
Control Type:	Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio:	0.74
Intersection Signal Delay (s/veh):	17.2
Intersection LOS:	B

# Lanes, Volumes, Timings

## 3: Norwood Avenue & WB 80 On-Ramp/WB 80 Off-Ramp


12/20/2024

Intersection Capacity Utilization 68.3%

ICU Level of Service C

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 3: Norwood Avenue & WB 80 On-Ramp/WB 80 Off-Ramp



Queues

3: Norwood Avenue & WB 80 On-Ramp/WB 80 Off-Ramp

12/20/2024



Lane Group	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Group Flow (vph)	145	147	220	258	586	678	560
v/c Ratio	0.45	0.45	0.46	0.62	0.25	0.52	0.74
Control Delay (s/veh)	31.9	32.0	8.1	33.0	5.1	19.2	16.0
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay (s/veh)	31.9	32.0	8.1	33.0	5.1	19.2	16.0
Queue Length 50th (ft)	52	53	1	87	35	100	72
Queue Length 95th (ft)	137	138	57	222	96	230	283
Internal Link Dist (ft)		874			446	632	
Turn Bay Length (ft)			50	200			75
Base Capacity (vph)	976	979	996	756	3116	2049	1019
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.15	0.15	0.22	0.34	0.19	0.33	0.55

Intersection Summary

# HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary

## 3: Norwood Avenue & WB 80 On-Ramp/WB 80 Off-Ramp

12/20/2024



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations				↙	↖	↗	↘	↑↑			↑↑	↗
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	291	1	220	258	586	0	0	678	560
Future Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	291	1	220	258	586	0	0	678	560
Initial Q (Qb), veh				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)				1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Parking Bus, Adj				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach				No			No			No		
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln				1841	1841	1841	1841	1841	0	0	1870	1870
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h				292	0	0	258	586	0	0	678	0
Peak Hour Factor				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percent Heavy Veh, %				4	4	4	4	4	0	0	2	2
Cap, veh/h				675	0		333	2064	0	0	1115	
Arrive On Green				0.19	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.59	0.00	0.00	0.31	0.00
Sat Flow, veh/h				3506	0	1560	1753	3589	0	0	3647	1585
Grp Volume(v), veh/h				292	0	0	258	586	0	0	678	0
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln				1753	0	1560	1753	1749	0	0	1777	1585
Q Serve(g_s), s				3.0	0.0	0.0	5.7	3.3	0.0	0.0	6.6	0.0
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s				3.0	0.0	0.0	5.7	3.3	0.0	0.0	6.6	0.0
Prop In Lane				1.00		1.00	1.00		0.00	0.00		1.00
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h				675	0		333	2064	0	0	1115	
V/C Ratio(X)				0.43	0.00		0.77	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.61	
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h				3115	0		1147	3039	0	0	3088	
HCM Platoon Ratio				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)				1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh				14.4	0.0	0.0	15.6	4.1	0.0	0.0	11.8	0.0
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh				0.2	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0
Initial Q Delay(d3), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln				1.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh												
LnGrp Delay(d), s/veh				14.6	0.0	0.0	18.5	4.1	0.0	0.0	12.2	0.0
LnGrp LOS				B			B	A			B	
Approach Vol, veh/h					292			844			678	
Approach Delay, s/veh					14.6			8.5			12.2	
Approach LOS					B			A			B	
Timer - Assigned Phs	1	2		4		6						
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	11.2	17.5		11.8		28.7						
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	3.5	4.8		4.0		4.8						
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	26.5	35.2		36.0		35.2						
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s	7.7	8.6		5.0		5.3						
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.5	4.2		0.5		3.2						

### Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay, s/veh	10.9
HCM 6th LOS	B

### Notes

- User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.
- Unsignalized Delay for [WBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

HCM 7th Signalized Intersection Summary  
 3: Norwood Avenue & WB 80 On-Ramp/WB 80 Off-Ramp

12/20/2024



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations				↖	↖	↖	↖	↑↑			↑↑	↗
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	291	1	220	258	586	0	0	678	560
Future Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	291	1	220	258	586	0	0	678	560
Initial Q (Qb), veh				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Width Adj.				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)				1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Parking Bus, Adj				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach				No			No				No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln				1841	1841	1841	1841	1841	0	0	1870	1870
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h				292	0	0	258	586	0	0	678	0
Peak Hour Factor				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percent Heavy Veh, %				4	4	4	4	4	0	0	2	2
Cap, veh/h				675	0		333	2064	0	0	1115	
Arrive On Green				0.19	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.59	0.00	0.00	0.31	0.00
Sat Flow, veh/h				3506	0	1560	1753	3589	0	0	3647	1585
Grp Volume(v), veh/h				292	0	0	258	586	0	0	678	0
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln				1753	0	1560	1753	1749	0	0	1777	1585
Q Serve(g_s), s				3.0	0.0	0.0	5.7	3.3	0.0	0.0	6.6	0.0
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s				3.0	0.0	0.0	5.7	3.3	0.0	0.0	6.6	0.0
Prop In Lane				1.00		1.00	1.00		0.00	0.00		1.00
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h				675	0		333	2064	0	0	1115	
V/C Ratio(X)				0.43	0.00		0.77	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.61	
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h				3115	0		1147	3039	0	0	3088	
HCM Platoon Ratio				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)				1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh				14.4	0.0	0.0	15.6	4.1	0.0	0.0	11.8	0.0
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh				0.2	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0
Initial Q Delay(d3), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln				1.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh												
LnGrp Delay(d), s/veh				14.6	0.0	0.0	18.5	4.1	0.0	0.0	12.2	0.0
LnGrp LOS				B			B	A			B	
Approach Vol, veh/h					292			844			678	
Approach Delay, s/veh					14.6			8.5			12.2	
Approach LOS					B			A			B	
Timer - Assigned Phs	1	2		4		6						
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	11.2	17.5		11.8		28.7						
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	3.5	4.8		4.0		4.8						
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	26.5	35.2		36.0		35.2						
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s	7.7	8.6		5.0		5.3						
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.5	4.2		0.5		3.2						

Intersection Summary		
HCM 7th Control Delay, s/veh		10.9
HCM 7th LOS		B

**Notes**  
 User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.  
 Unsignalized Delay for [WBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

Lanes, Volumes, Timings

4: Norwood Avenue & EB 80 Off-Ramp/EB 80 On-Ramp

12/20/2024

												
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (vph)	274	1	376	0	0	0	0	570	289	367	602	0
Future Volume (vph)	274	1	376	0	0	0	0	570	289	367	602	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0		50	0		0	0		220	180		0
Storage Lanes	1		1	0		0	0		1	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			35		
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00
Ped Bike Factor			0.98						0.97	0.99		
Frt			0.850						0.850			
Flt Protected	0.950	0.953								0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1633	1638	1538	0	0	0	0	3471	1553	1736	3471	0
Flt Permitted	0.950	0.953								0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1633	1638	1518	0	0	0	0	3471	1517	1728	3471	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			282						289			
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			40				30
Link Distance (ft)		759			1046			737				526
Travel Time (s)		17.3			23.8			12.6				12.0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			1	1				7		4	4	7
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)										2		3
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	5%	5%	0%	0%	0%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%
Adj. Flow (vph)	274	1	376	0	0	0	0	570	289	367	602	0
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	50%											
Lane Group Flow (vph)	137	138	376	0	0	0	0	570	289	367	602	0
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No						
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right
Median Width(ft)		12			12			0				12
Link Offset(ft)		0			0			0				0
Crosswalk Width(ft)		16			16			16				16
Two way Left Turn Lane												
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15		9	15		9	15		9	15		9
Number of Detectors	1	2	1					2	1	1	2	
Detector Template	Left	Thru	Right					Thru	Right	Left	Thru	
Leading Detector (ft)	20	100	20					100	20	20	100	
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0	0					0	0	0	0	
Detector 1 Position(ft)	0	0	0					0	0	0	0	
Detector 1 Size(ft)	20	6	20					6	20	20	6	
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex					Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Detector 2 Position(ft)		94						94			94	
Detector 2 Size(ft)		6						6			6	
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex						Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex	
Detector 2 Channel												

Lanes, Volumes, Timings

4: Norwood Avenue & EB 80 Off-Ramp/EB 80 On-Ramp

12/20/2024



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0						0.0			0.0	
Turn Type	Split	NA	Perm					NA	Perm	Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	8	8						6		5	2	
Permitted Phases			8						6			
Detector Phase	8	8	8					6	6	5	2	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	9.0	9.0	9.0					6.0	6.0	8.0	6.0	
Minimum Split (s)	29.0	29.0	29.0					17.8	17.8	11.5	18.8	
Total Split (s)	40.0	40.0	40.0					40.0	40.0	30.0	40.0	
Total Split (%)	36.4%	36.4%	36.4%					36.4%	36.4%	27.3%	36.4%	
Maximum Green (s)	36.0	36.0	36.0					35.2	35.2	26.5	35.2	
Yellow Time (s)	3.5	3.5	3.5					4.3	4.3	3.5	4.3	
All-Red Time (s)	0.5	0.5	0.5					0.5	0.5	0.0	0.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0					4.8	4.8	3.5	4.8	
Lead/Lag								Lag	Lag	Lead		
Lead-Lag Optimize?								Yes	Yes	Yes		
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0					2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	
Recall Mode	None	None	None					Min	Min	None	Min	
Walk Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0					7.0	7.0		7.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)	18.0	18.0	18.0					6.0	6.0		7.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	2	2	2					2	2		2	
Act Effct Green (s)	13.3	13.3	13.3					17.6	17.6	20.4	41.8	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.21	0.21	0.21					0.27	0.27	0.32	0.65	
v/c Ratio	0.40	0.40	0.70					0.60	0.46	0.66	0.26	
Control Delay (s/veh)	28.3	28.4	15.2					24.4	5.8	28.2	5.5	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay (s/veh)	28.3	28.4	15.2					24.4	5.8	28.2	5.5	
LOS	C	C	B					C	A	C	A	
Approach Delay (s/veh)		20.8						18.2			14.1	
Approach LOS		C						B			B	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	48	49	31					95	0	114	37	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	118	120	132					196	57	#295	100	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		679			966			657			446	
Turn Bay Length (ft)			50						220	180		
Base Capacity (vph)	968	971	1014					2012	1001	757	3211	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0					0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0					0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0					0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.14	0.14	0.37					0.28	0.29	0.48	0.19	

Intersection Summary

Area Type:	Other
Cycle Length:	110
Actuated Cycle Length:	64.4
Natural Cycle:	70
Control Type:	Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio:	0.70
Intersection Signal Delay (s/veh):	17.3
Intersection LOS:	B

# Lanes, Volumes, Timings

## 4: Norwood Avenue & EB 80 Off-Ramp/EB 80 On-Ramp

12/20/2024

Intersection Capacity Utilization 68.3%


ICU Level of Service C

Analysis Period (min) 15

# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 4: Norwood Avenue & EB 80 Off-Ramp/EB 80 On-Ramp



Queues

4: Norwood Avenue & EB 80 Off-Ramp/EB 80 On-Ramp

12/20/2024



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Group Flow (vph)	137	138	376	570	289	367	602
v/c Ratio	0.40	0.40	0.70	0.60	0.46	0.66	0.26
Control Delay (s/veh)	28.3	28.4	15.2	24.4	5.8	28.2	5.5
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay (s/veh)	28.3	28.4	15.2	24.4	5.8	28.2	5.5
Queue Length 50th (ft)	48	49	31	95	0	114	37
Queue Length 95th (ft)	118	120	132	196	57	#295	100
Internal Link Dist (ft)		679		657			446
Turn Bay Length (ft)			50		220	180	
Base Capacity (vph)	968	971	1014	2012	1001	757	3211
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.14	0.14	0.37	0.28	0.29	0.48	0.19

Intersection Summary

# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.  
 Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary  
 4: Norwood Avenue & EB 80 Off-Ramp/EB 80 On-Ramp

12/20/2024



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	274	1	376	0	0	0	0	570	289	367	602	0
Future Volume (veh/h)	274	1	376	0	0	0	0	570	289	367	602	0
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0				0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		1.00				1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No						No			No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1826	1826	1826				0	1841	1841	1841	1841	0
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	275	0	0				0	570	0	367	602	0
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percent Heavy Veh, %	5	5	5				0	4	4	4	4	0
Cap, veh/h	698	0					0	915		450	2092	0
Arrive On Green	0.20	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.26	0.00	0.26	0.60	0.00
Sat Flow, veh/h	3478	0	1547				0	3589	1560	1753	3589	0
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	275	0	0				0	570	0	367	602	0
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1739	0	1547				0	1749	1560	1753	1749	0
Q Serve(g_s), s	3.0	0.0	0.0				0.0	6.3	0.0	8.6	3.7	0.0
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	3.0	0.0	0.0				0.0	6.3	0.0	8.6	3.7	0.0
Prop In Lane	1.00		1.00				0.00		1.00	1.00		0.00
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	698	0					0	915		450	2092	0
V/C Ratio(X)	0.39	0.00					0.00	0.62		0.82	0.29	0.00
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	2862	0					0	2814		1062	2814	0
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	15.2	0.0	0.0				0.0	14.3	0.0	15.3	4.3	0.0
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.1	0.0	0.0				0.0	0.5	0.0	2.7	0.1	0.0
Initial Q Delay(d3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	1.0	0.0	0.0				0.0	2.0	0.0	3.2	0.7	0.0
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh												
LnGrp Delay(d), s/veh	15.3	0.0	0.0				0.0	14.8	0.0	18.0	4.3	0.0
LnGrp LOS	B							B		B	A	
Approach Vol, veh/h		275						570			969	
Approach Delay, s/veh		15.3						14.8			9.5	
Approach LOS		B						B			A	
Timer - Assigned Phs		2			5	6		8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s		31.0			14.7	16.2		12.8				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s		4.8			3.5	4.8		4.0				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s		35.2			26.5	35.2		36.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s		5.7			10.6	8.3		5.0				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s		3.7			0.7	3.1		0.5				

Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay, s/veh	12.0
HCM 6th LOS	B

Notes

User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.  
 Unsignalized Delay for [NBR, EBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

HCM 7th Signalized Intersection Summary  
 4: Norwood Avenue & EB 80 Off-Ramp/EB 80 On-Ramp

12/20/2024

												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	274	1	376	0	0	0	0	570	289	367	602	0
Future Volume (veh/h)	274	1	376	0	0	0	0	570	289	367	602	0
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0				0	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Width Adj.	1.00	1.00	1.00				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		1.00				1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No						No			No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1826	1826	1826				0	1841	1841	1841	1841	0
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	275	0	0				0	570	0	367	602	0
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percent Heavy Veh, %	5	5	5				0	4	4	4	4	0
Cap, veh/h	698	0					0	915		450	2092	0
Arrive On Green	0.20	0.00	0.00				0.00	0.26	0.00	0.26	0.60	0.00
Sat Flow, veh/h	3478	0	1547				0	3589	1560	1753	3589	0
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	275	0	0				0	570	0	367	602	0
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1739	0	1547				0	1749	1560	1753	1749	0
Q Serve(g_s), s	3.0	0.0	0.0				0.0	6.3	0.0	8.6	3.7	0.0
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	3.0	0.0	0.0				0.0	6.3	0.0	8.6	3.7	0.0
Prop In Lane	1.00		1.00				0.00		1.00	1.00		0.00
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	698	0					0	915		450	2092	0
V/C Ratio(X)	0.39	0.00					0.00	0.62		0.82	0.29	0.00
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	2862	0					0	2814		1062	2814	0
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	0.00				0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	15.2	0.0	0.0				0.0	14.3	0.0	15.3	4.3	0.0
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.1	0.0	0.0				0.0	0.5	0.0	2.7	0.1	0.0
Initial Q Delay(d3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	1.0	0.0	0.0				0.0	2.0	0.0	3.2	0.7	0.0
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh												
LnGrp Delay(d), s/veh	15.3	0.0	0.0				0.0	14.8	0.0	18.0	4.3	0.0
LnGrp LOS	B						B			B	A	
Approach Vol, veh/h		275						570			969	
Approach Delay, s/veh		15.3						14.8			9.5	
Approach LOS		B						B			A	
Timer - Assigned Phs		2			5	6		8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s		31.0			14.7	16.2		12.8				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s		4.8			3.5	4.8		4.0				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s		35.2			26.5	35.2		36.0				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s		5.7			10.6	8.3		5.0				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s		3.7			0.7	3.1		0.5				
<b>Intersection Summary</b>												
HCM 7th Control Delay, s/veh			12.0									
HCM 7th LOS			B									
<b>Notes</b>												
User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.												
Unsignalized Delay for [NBR, EBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.												

Lanes, Volumes, Timings  
5: Norwood Avenue & Harris Avenue

12/20/2024

												
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (vph)	122	13	31	9	26	87	44	665	11	56	623	305
Future Volume (vph)	122	13	31	9	26	87	44	665	11	56	623	305
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0		140	100		0	85		0	110		0
Storage Lanes	0		1	1		1	1		0	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			35			40			30		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95
Ped Bike Factor			0.97		0.99	0.98	0.99	0.99			0.99	
Frt			0.850			0.850		0.998			0.951	
Flt Protected		0.957			0.987		0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1609	1429	0	1786	1538	1770	3531	0	1736	3272	0
Flt Permitted		0.957			0.987		0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1609	1398	0	1782	1518	1768	3531	0	1736	3272	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			37			87		1				48
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			40				30
Link Distance (ft)		735			595			331				737
Travel Time (s)		16.7			13.5			5.6				16.8
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			5	5			1					1
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			1			1			2			2
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles (%)	13%	13%	13%	5%	5%	5%	2%	2%	2%	4%	4%	4%
Adj. Flow (vph)	122	13	31	9	26	87	44	665	11	56	623	305
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	135	31	0	35	87	44	676	0	56	928	0
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No						
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right
Median Width(ft)		0			0			12				12
Link Offset(ft)		0			0			0				0
Crosswalk Width(ft)		16			16			16				16
Two way Left Turn Lane												
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15		9	15		9	15		9	15		9
Number of Detectors	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	2		1	2	
Detector Template	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru		Left	Thru	
Leading Detector (ft)	20	100	20	20	100	20	20	100		20	100	
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	
Detector 1 Position(ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	
Detector 1 Size(ft)	20	6	20	20	6	20	20	6		20	6	
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex							
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 2 Position(ft)		94			94			94				94
Detector 2 Size(ft)		6			6			6				6
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex				Cl+Ex
Detector 2 Channel												

Lanes, Volumes, Timings  
5: Norwood Avenue & Harris Avenue

12/20/2024



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Turn Type	Split	NA	pm+ov	Split	NA	Perm	Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	6	6	3	2	2		3	8		7	4	
Permitted Phases			6			2						
Detector Phase	6	6	3	2	2	2	3	8		7	4	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	10.0	7.0		9.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	28.6	28.6	13.5	14.8	14.8	14.8	13.5	19.6		12.5	25.6	
Total Split (s)	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0		40.0	40.0	
Total Split (%)	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	
Maximum Green (s)	36.4	36.4	36.5	36.2	36.2	36.2	36.5	35.4		36.5	35.4	
Yellow Time (s)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	4.3		3.5	4.3	
All-Red Time (s)	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.3		0.0	0.3	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		3.6	3.5		3.8	3.8	3.5	4.6		3.5	4.6	
Lead/Lag			Lead				Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes				Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.5		2.0	2.5	
Recall Mode	None		None	None								
Walk Time (s)	7.0	7.0						7.0			7.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)	18.0	18.0						8.0			14.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	2	2						2			2	
Act Effct Green (s)		13.7	24.2		11.5	11.5	10.4	37.4		9.5	36.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.17	0.30		0.14	0.14	0.13	0.47		0.12	0.46	
v/c Ratio		0.49	0.06		0.13	0.29	0.19	0.40		0.27	0.60	
Control Delay (s/veh)		38.7	5.9		36.8	12.0	38.5	18.1		40.6	20.6	
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay (s/veh)		38.7	5.9		36.8	12.0	38.5	18.1		40.6	20.6	
LOS		D	A		D	B	D	B		D	C	
Approach Delay (s/veh)		32.6			19.2			19.4			21.8	
Approach LOS		C			B			B			C	
Queue Length 50th (ft)		67	0		16	0	21	124		27	186	
Queue Length 95th (ft)		120	15		50	44	60	224		71	324	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		655			515			251			657	
Turn Bay Length (ft)			140				85			110		
Base Capacity (vph)		761	931		840	760	839	1650		823	1531	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.18	0.03		0.04	0.11	0.05	0.41		0.07	0.61	

Intersection Summary

Area Type:	Other
Cycle Length:	160
Actuated Cycle Length:	80.1
Natural Cycle:	85
Control Type:	Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio:	0.61
Intersection Signal Delay (s/veh):	21.7
Intersection LOS:	C

Lanes, Volumes, Timings  
 5: Norwood Avenue & Harris Avenue

12/20/2024

Intersection Capacity Utilization 61.0% ICU Level of Service B  
 Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 5: Norwood Avenue & Harris Avenue

 Ø2	 Ø6 40 s	 Ø3	 Ø4
		 Ø7 40 s	 Ø8 40 s

Queues

5: Norwood Avenue & Harris Avenue

12/20/2024



Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Lane Group Flow (vph)	135	31	35	87	44	676	56	928
v/c Ratio	0.49	0.06	0.13	0.29	0.19	0.40	0.27	0.60
Control Delay (s/veh)	38.7	5.9	36.8	12.0	38.5	18.1	40.6	20.6
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay (s/veh)	38.7	5.9	36.8	12.0	38.5	18.1	40.6	20.6
Queue Length 50th (ft)	67	0	16	0	21	124	27	186
Queue Length 95th (ft)	120	15	50	44	60	224	71	324
Internal Link Dist (ft)	655		515			251		657
Turn Bay Length (ft)		140			85		110	
Base Capacity (vph)	761	931	840	760	839	1650	823	1531
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.18	0.03	0.04	0.11	0.05	0.41	0.07	0.61

Intersection Summary

# HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary

## 5: Norwood Avenue & Harris Avenue

12/20/2024

												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	122	13	31	9	26	87	44	665	11	56	623	305
Future Volume (veh/h)	122	13	31	9	26	87	44	665	11	56	623	305
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.98	1.00		0.96	1.00		0.98	1.00		0.98
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No			No			No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1707	1707	1707	1826	1826	1826	1870	1870	1870	1841	1841	1841
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	122	13	31	9	26	87	44	665	11	56	623	305
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percent Heavy Veh, %	13	13	13	5	5	5	2	2	2	4	4	4
Cap, veh/h	236	25	350	71	205	229	152	1261	21	156	804	394
Arrive On Green	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.09	0.35	0.35	0.09	0.36	0.36
Sat Flow, veh/h	1476	157	1414	464	1339	1491	1781	3576	59	1753	2255	1104
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	135	0	31	35	0	87	44	330	346	56	483	445
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1634	0	1414	1803	0	1491	1781	1777	1858	1753	1749	1611
Q Serve(g_s), s	4.8	0.0	1.1	1.1	0.0	3.3	1.5	9.4	9.4	1.9	15.5	15.6
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	4.8	0.0	1.1	1.1	0.0	3.3	1.5	9.4	9.4	1.9	15.5	15.6
Prop In Lane	0.90		1.00	0.26		1.00	1.00		0.03	1.00		0.69
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	262	0	350	277	0	229	152	627	655	156	624	574
V/C Ratio(X)	0.52	0.00	0.09	0.13	0.00	0.38	0.29	0.53	0.53	0.36	0.77	0.77
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	939	0	936	1030	0	852	1027	993	1039	1010	977	900
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	24.3	0.0	18.4	23.1	0.0	24.1	27.2	16.3	16.3	27.1	18.1	18.1
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.6	1.7
Initial Q Delay(d3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	1.8	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.0	1.1	0.6	3.3	3.5	0.8	5.9	5.5
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh												
LnGrp Delay(d), s/veh	24.9	0.0	18.5	23.2	0.0	24.5	27.6	16.8	16.8	27.7	19.7	19.8
LnGrp LOS	C		B	C		C	C	B	B	C	B	B
Approach Vol, veh/h		166			122			720			984	
Approach Delay, s/veh		23.7			24.1			17.5			20.2	
Approach LOS		C			C			B			C	
Timer - Assigned Phs		2	3	4		6	7	8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s		13.5	8.9	27.2		13.7	9.1	26.9				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s		3.8	3.5	* 4.6		3.6	3.5	* 4.6				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s		36.2	36.5	* 35		36.4	36.5	* 35				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s		5.3	3.5	17.6		6.8	3.9	11.4				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s		0.3	0.0	5.0		0.6	0.1	3.1				
<b>Intersection Summary</b>												
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay, s/veh			19.7									
HCM 6th LOS			B									
<b>Notes</b>												
* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.												

# HCM 7th Signalized Intersection Summary

## 5: Norwood Avenue & Harris Avenue

12/20/2024



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		↕	↗		↕	↗	↗	↕↗		↗	↕↗	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	122	13	31	9	26	87	44	665	11	56	623	305
Future Volume (veh/h)	122	13	31	9	26	87	44	665	11	56	623	305
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Width Adj.	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.98	1.00		0.96	1.00		0.98	1.00		0.98
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No			No			No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1707	1707	1707	1826	1826	1826	1870	1870	1870	1841	1841	1841
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	122	13	31	9	26	87	44	665	11	56	623	305
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percent Heavy Veh, %	13	13	13	5	5	5	2	2	2	4	4	4
Cap, veh/h	236	25	350	71	205	229	152	1261	21	156	804	394
Arrive On Green	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.09	0.35	0.35	0.09	0.36	0.36
Sat Flow, veh/h	1476	157	1414	464	1339	1491	1781	3576	59	1753	2255	1104
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	135	0	31	35	0	87	44	330	346	56	483	445
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1634	0	1414	1803	0	1491	1781	1777	1858	1753	1749	1611
Q Serve(g_s), s	4.8	0.0	1.1	1.1	0.0	3.3	1.5	9.4	9.4	1.9	15.5	15.6
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	4.8	0.0	1.1	1.1	0.0	3.3	1.5	9.4	9.4	1.9	15.5	15.6
Prop In Lane	0.90		1.00	0.26		1.00	1.00		0.03	1.00		0.69
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	262	0	350	277	0	229	152	627	655	156	624	574
V/C Ratio(X)	0.52	0.00	0.09	0.13	0.00	0.38	0.29	0.53	0.53	0.36	0.77	0.77
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	939	0	936	1030	0	852	1027	993	1039	1010	977	900
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	24.3	0.0	18.4	23.1	0.0	24.1	27.2	16.3	16.3	27.1	18.1	18.1
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.6	1.7
Initial Q Delay(d3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	1.8	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.0	1.1	0.6	3.3	3.5	0.8	5.9	5.5
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh												
LnGrp Delay(d), s/veh	24.9	0.0	18.5	23.2	0.0	24.5	27.6	16.8	16.8	27.7	19.7	19.8
LnGrp LOS	C		B	C		C	C	B	B	C	B	B
Approach Vol, veh/h		166			122			720			984	
Approach Delay, s/veh		23.7			24.1			17.5			20.2	
Approach LOS		C			C			B			C	
Timer - Assigned Phs		2	3	4		6	7	8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s		13.5	8.9	27.2		13.7	9.1	26.9				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s		3.8	3.5	* 4.6		3.6	3.5	* 4.6				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s		36.2	36.5	* 35		36.4	36.5	* 35				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s		5.3	3.5	17.6		6.8	3.9	11.4				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s		0.3	0.0	5.0		0.6	0.1	3.1				
<b>Intersection Summary</b>												
HCM 7th Control Delay, s/veh			19.7									
HCM 7th LOS			B									
<b>Notes</b>												
* HCM 7th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.												

Lanes, Volumes, Timings  
6: Norwood Avenue & Silver Eagle Road

12/20/2024



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (vph)	203	74	93	22	84	39	107	547	16	23	465	186
Future Volume (vph)	203	74	93	22	84	39	107	547	16	23	465	186
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	105		0	0		0	90		0	50		0
Storage Lanes	1		0	1		0	1		0	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			35			55		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95
Ped Bike Factor	0.99	0.99		0.99	0.99		0.99	0.99		0.99	0.99	
Frt		0.916			0.952			0.996			0.957	
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1719	1641	0	1752	1746	0	1770	3522	0	1752	3324	0
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1712	1641	0	1748	1746	0	1764	3522	0	1741	3324	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		44			16			2			45	
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			40			30	
Link Distance (ft)		790			308			560			983	
Travel Time (s)		18.0			7.0			9.5			22.3	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	3		2	2		3	3		4	4		3
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			2			2						2
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles (%)	5%	5%	5%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%
Adj. Flow (vph)	203	74	93	22	84	39	107	547	16	23	465	186
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	203	167	0	22	123	0	107	563	0	23	651	0
Enter Blocked Intersection	No											
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right									
Median Width(ft)		12			12			12			12	
Link Offset(ft)		0			0			0			0	
Crosswalk Width(ft)		16			16			16			16	
Two way Left Turn Lane								Yes			Yes	
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15		9	15		9	15		9	15		9
Number of Detectors	1	2		1	2		1	2		1	2	
Detector Template	Left	Thru										
Leading Detector (ft)	20	100		20	100		20	100		20	100	
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Detector 1 Position(ft)	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Detector 1 Size(ft)	20	6		20	6		20	6		20	6	
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex										
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 2 Position(ft)		94			94			94			94	
Detector 2 Size(ft)		6			6			6			6	
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex	
Detector 2 Channel												

Lanes, Volumes, Timings  
 6: Norwood Avenue & Silver Eagle Road

12/20/2024



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Turn Type	Prot	NA										
Protected Phases	1	6		5	2		3	8		7	4	
Permitted Phases												
Detector Phase	1	6		5	2		3	8		7	4	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	9.0		10.0	10.0		9.0	8.0		9.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	13.5	27.9		13.5	27.5		12.5	23.6		12.5	27.6	
Total Split (s)	30.0	30.0		30.0	30.0		30.0	40.0		30.0	40.0	
Total Split (%)	23.1%	23.1%		23.1%	23.1%		23.1%	30.8%		23.1%	30.8%	
Maximum Green (s)	26.5	26.1		26.5	26.5		26.5	35.4		26.5	35.4	
Yellow Time (s)	3.5	3.9		3.5	3.5		3.5	4.3		3.5	4.3	
All-Red Time (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.3		0.0	0.3	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	3.5	3.9		3.5	3.5		3.5	4.6		3.5	4.6	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag										
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes										
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Recall Mode	None	None		None	None		None	Min		None	Min	
Walk Time (s)		7.0			7.0			7.0			7.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)		17.0			17.0			12.0			16.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		2			2			2			2	
Act Effct Green (s)	15.1	27.3		11.0	13.1		11.3	27.2		9.9	20.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.21	0.38		0.15	0.18		0.16	0.38		0.14	0.28	
v/c Ratio	0.56	0.25		0.08	0.37		0.38	0.42		0.09	0.67	
Control Delay (s/veh)	36.5	17.6		37.7	31.1		38.2	19.5		38.7	27.1	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay (s/veh)	36.5	17.6		37.7	31.1		38.2	19.5		38.7	27.1	
LOS	D	B		D	C		D	B		D	C	
Approach Delay (s/veh)		28.0			32.2			22.5			27.6	
Approach LOS		C			C			C			C	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	75	31		8	40		41	71		8	114	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	208	119		41	120		128	213		43	264	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		710			228			480			903	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	105						90			50		
Base Capacity (vph)	695	715		708	715		715	1930		708	1816	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.29	0.23		0.03	0.17		0.15	0.29		0.03	0.36	

Intersection Summary	
Area Type:	Other
Cycle Length:	130
Actuated Cycle Length:	72.1
Natural Cycle:	85
Control Type:	Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio:	0.68
Intersection Signal Delay (s/veh):	26.2
Intersection LOS:	C

Lanes, Volumes, Timings  
 6: Norwood Avenue & Silver Eagle Road

12/20/2024

Intersection Capacity Utilization 60.9% ICU Level of Service B  
 Analysis Period (min) 15


Splits and Phases: 6: Norwood Avenue & Silver Eagle Road

 Ø1  30 s	 Ø2  30 s	 Ø3  30 s	 Ø4  40 s
 Ø5  30 s	 Ø6  30 s	 Ø7  30 s	 Ø8  40 s

Queues

6: Norwood Avenue & Silver Eagle Road

12/20/2024



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Lane Group Flow (vph)	203	167	22	123	107	563	23	651
v/c Ratio	0.56	0.25	0.08	0.37	0.38	0.42	0.09	0.67
Control Delay (s/veh)	36.5	17.6	37.7	31.1	38.2	19.5	38.7	27.1
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay (s/veh)	36.5	17.6	37.7	31.1	38.2	19.5	38.7	27.1
Queue Length 50th (ft)	75	31	8	40	41	71	8	114
Queue Length 95th (ft)	208	119	41	120	128	213	43	264
Internal Link Dist (ft)		710		228		480		903
Turn Bay Length (ft)	105				90		50	
Base Capacity (vph)	695	715	708	715	715	1930	708	1816
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.29	0.23	0.03	0.17	0.15	0.29	0.03	0.36

Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary  
 6: Norwood Avenue & Silver Eagle Road

12/20/2024



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	↖	↗		↖	↗		↖	↕		↖	↗	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	203	74	93	22	84	39	107	547	16	23	465	186
Future Volume (veh/h)	203	74	93	22	84	39	107	547	16	23	465	186
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.97	1.00		0.97	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.97
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No			No			No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1826	1826	1826	1856	1856	1856	1870	1870	1870	1856	1856	1856
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	203	74	93	22	84	39	107	547	16	23	465	186
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percent Heavy Veh, %	5	5	5	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	3
Cap, veh/h	297	200	252	91	188	87	232	1221	36	85	646	256
Arrive On Green	0.17	0.28	0.28	0.05	0.16	0.16	0.13	0.35	0.35	0.05	0.26	0.26
Sat Flow, veh/h	1739	723	909	1767	1185	550	1781	3525	103	1767	2441	968
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	203	0	167	22	0	123	107	275	288	23	335	316
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1739	0	1632	1767	0	1735	1781	1777	1851	1767	1763	1646
Q Serve(g_s), s	6.2	0.0	4.6	0.7	0.0	3.6	3.1	6.7	6.7	0.7	9.7	9.8
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	6.2	0.0	4.6	0.7	0.0	3.6	3.1	6.7	6.7	0.7	9.7	9.8
Prop In Lane	1.00		0.56	1.00		0.32	1.00		0.06	1.00		0.59
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	297	0	452	91	0	275	232	616	641	85	467	436
V/C Ratio(X)	0.68	0.00	0.37	0.24	0.00	0.45	0.46	0.45	0.45	0.27	0.72	0.73
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	821	0	758	834	0	819	841	1120	1167	834	1112	1038
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	21.9	0.0	16.3	25.6	0.0	21.4	22.6	14.2	14.2	25.8	18.7	18.8
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	1.0	0.0	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.8	0.9
Initial Q Delay(d3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	2.4	0.0	1.6	0.3	0.0	1.4	1.2	2.3	2.4	0.3	3.6	3.5
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh												
LnGrp Delay(d), s/veh	22.9	0.0	16.5	26.1	0.0	21.8	23.1	14.4	14.4	26.4	19.5	19.7
LnGrp LOS	C		B	C		C	C	B	B	C	B	B
Approach Vol, veh/h		370			145			670			674	
Approach Delay, s/veh		20.0			22.5			15.8			19.8	
Approach LOS		C			C			B			B	
Timer - Assigned Phs	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	13.1	12.8	10.8	19.5	6.4	19.5	6.2	24.1				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	3.5	* 3.9	3.5	* 4.6	3.5	3.9	3.5	* 4.6				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	26.5	* 27	26.5	* 35	26.5	26.1	26.5	* 35				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s	8.2	5.6	5.1	11.8	2.7	6.6	2.7	8.7				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.3	0.4	0.1	2.8	0.0	0.6	0.0	2.0				
<b>Intersection Summary</b>												
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay, s/veh				18.6								
HCM 6th LOS				B								
<b>Notes</b>												
* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.												

HCM 7th Signalized Intersection Summary  
 6: Norwood Avenue & Silver Eagle Road

12/20/2024



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	↖	↗		↖	↗		↖	↕		↖	↗	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	203	74	93	22	84	39	107	547	16	23	465	186
Future Volume (veh/h)	203	74	93	22	84	39	107	547	16	23	465	186
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Width Adj.	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.97	1.00		0.97	1.00		0.99	1.00		0.97
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No			No				No
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1826	1826	1826	1856	1856	1856	1870	1870	1870	1856	1856	1856
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	203	74	93	22	84	39	107	547	16	23	465	186
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percent Heavy Veh, %	5	5	5	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	3
Cap, veh/h	297	200	252	91	188	87	232	1221	36	85	646	256
Arrive On Green	0.17	0.28	0.28	0.05	0.16	0.16	0.13	0.35	0.35	0.05	0.26	0.26
Sat Flow, veh/h	1739	723	909	1767	1185	550	1781	3525	103	1767	2441	968
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	203	0	167	22	0	123	107	275	288	23	335	316
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1739	0	1632	1767	0	1735	1781	1777	1851	1767	1763	1646
Q Serve(g_s), s	6.2	0.0	4.6	0.7	0.0	3.6	3.1	6.7	6.7	0.7	9.7	9.8
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	6.2	0.0	4.6	0.7	0.0	3.6	3.1	6.7	6.7	0.7	9.7	9.8
Prop In Lane	1.00		0.56	1.00		0.32	1.00		0.06	1.00		0.59
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	297	0	452	91	0	275	232	616	641	85	467	436
V/C Ratio(X)	0.68	0.00	0.37	0.24	0.00	0.45	0.46	0.45	0.45	0.27	0.72	0.73
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	821	0	758	834	0	819	841	1120	1167	834	1112	1038
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	21.9	0.0	16.3	25.6	0.0	21.4	22.6	14.2	14.2	25.8	18.7	18.8
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	1.0	0.0	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.8	0.9
Initial Q Delay(d3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	2.4	0.0	1.6	0.3	0.0	1.4	1.2	2.3	2.4	0.3	3.6	3.5
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh												
LnGrp Delay(d), s/veh	22.9	0.0	16.5	26.1	0.0	21.8	23.1	14.4	14.4	26.4	19.5	19.7
LnGrp LOS	C		B	C		C	C	B	B	C	B	B
Approach Vol, veh/h		370			145			670			674	
Approach Delay, s/veh		20.0			22.5			15.8			19.8	
Approach LOS		C			C			B			B	
Timer - Assigned Phs	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	13.1	12.8	10.8	19.5	6.4	19.5	6.2	24.1				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	3.5	* 3.9	3.5	* 4.6	3.5	3.9	3.5	* 4.6				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	26.5	* 27	26.5	* 35	26.5	26.1	26.5	* 35				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s	8.2	5.6	5.1	11.8	2.7	6.6	2.7	8.7				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.3	0.4	0.1	2.8	0.0	0.6	0.0	2.0				

Intersection Summary												
HCM 7th Control Delay, s/veh			18.6									
HCM 7th LOS			B									

Notes  
 \* HCM 7th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

Lanes, Volumes, Timings  
1: Norwood Avenue & Bell Avenue

12/20/2024

												
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (vph)	11	51	79	134	60	69	110	382	205	73	395	15
Future Volume (vph)	11	51	79	134	60	69	110	382	205	73	395	15
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	150		0	195		200	70		200	90		0
Storage Lanes	1		0	1		1	1		1	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	35			50			70			45		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95
Ped Bike Factor	0.99	0.98		0.99		0.97	0.99		0.97		0.99	
Frt		0.909				0.850			0.850		0.995	
Flt Protected	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1787	1687	0	1770	1863	1583	1787	3574	1599	1787	3552	0
Flt Permitted	0.950			0.950			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1777	1687	0	1756	1863	1548	1778	3574	1561	1787	3552	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		49				69			205			2
Link Speed (mph)		25			40			40				30
Link Distance (ft)		958			1056			1318				533
Travel Time (s)		26.1			18.0			22.5				12.1
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	3		5	5		3	2					2
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			2			5			3			1
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles (%)	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Adj. Flow (vph)	11	51	79	134	60	69	110	382	205	73	395	15
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	11	130	0	134	60	69	110	382	205	73	410	0
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No						
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right
Median Width(ft)		12			12			12				12
Link Offset(ft)		0			0			0				0
Crosswalk Width(ft)		16			16			16				16
Two way Left Turn Lane								Yes				Yes
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15		9	15		9	15		9	15		9
Number of Detectors	1	2		1	2	1	1	2	1	1		2
Detector Template	Left	Thru		Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	
Leading Detector (ft)	20	100		20	100	20	20	100	20	20		100
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Detector 1 Position(ft)	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Detector 1 Size(ft)	20	6		20	6	20	20	6	20	20		6
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
Detector 2 Position(ft)		94			94			94				94
Detector 2 Size(ft)		6			6			6				6
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex				Cl+Ex
Detector 2 Channel												

Lanes, Volumes, Timings  
1: Norwood Avenue & Bell Avenue

12/20/2024



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Turn Type	Prot	NA		Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	1	6		5	2		3	8		7	4	
Permitted Phases						2			8			
Detector Phase	1	6		5	2	2	3	8	8	7	4	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	11.0	9.0		11.0	9.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	11.0	8.0	
Minimum Split (s)	14.8	31.6		14.8	27.6	27.6	13.6	27.6	27.6	14.9	21.6	
Total Split (s)	30.0	50.0		30.0	50.0	50.0	30.0	50.0	50.0	30.0	50.0	
Total Split (%)	18.8%	31.3%		18.8%	31.3%	31.3%	18.8%	31.3%	31.3%	18.8%	31.3%	
Maximum Green (s)	26.2	45.4		26.2	45.4	45.4	26.4	45.4	45.4	26.1	45.4	
Yellow Time (s)	3.5	4.3		3.5	4.3	4.3	3.5	4.3	4.3	3.5	4.3	
All-Red Time (s)	0.3	0.3		0.3	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	3.8	4.6		3.8	4.6	4.6	3.6	4.6	4.6	3.9	4.6	
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag		Lead	Lead	Lead	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes		Yes								
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Recall Mode	None	None		None	None	None	None	Min	Min	None	Min	
Walk Time (s)		7.0			7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)		20.0			16.0	16.0		16.0	16.0		10.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)		2			2	2		2	2		2	
Act Effct Green (s)	13.0	12.2		12.6	21.2	21.2	11.4	13.2	13.2	11.9	13.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.21	0.19		0.20	0.33	0.33	0.18	0.21	0.21	0.19	0.21	
v/c Ratio	0.03	0.35		0.38	0.09	0.12	0.34	0.51	0.42	0.21	0.53	
Control Delay (s/veh)	25.8	19.5		30.6	18.8	7.1	31.2	26.6	7.3	29.9	26.8	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay (s/veh)	25.8	19.5		30.6	18.8	7.1	31.2	26.6	7.3	29.9	26.8	
LOS	C	B		C	B	A	C	C	A	C	C	
Approach Delay (s/veh)		20.0			21.8			21.7			27.4	
Approach LOS		C			C			C			C	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	3	26		42	13	0	35	65	0	22	68	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	20	83		131	61	33	112	147	54	84	163	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		878			976			1238			453	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	150			195		200	70		200	90		
Base Capacity (vph)	790	1278		782	1398	1178	796	2681	1222	787	2666	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.01	0.10		0.17	0.04	0.06	0.14	0.14	0.17	0.09	0.15	

Intersection Summary


Area Type:	Other
Cycle Length:	160
Actuated Cycle Length:	63.4
Natural Cycle:	90
Control Type:	Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio:	0.54
Intersection Signal Delay (s/veh):	23.3
Intersection LOS:	C

Lanes, Volumes, Timings  
 1: Norwood Avenue & Bell Avenue

12/20/2024

Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.5% ICU Level of Service A  
 Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 1: Norwood Avenue & Bell Avenue



Queues

1: Norwood Avenue & Bell Avenue

12/20/2024



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Group Flow (vph)	11	130	134	60	69	110	382	205	73	410
v/c Ratio	0.03	0.35	0.38	0.09	0.12	0.34	0.51	0.42	0.21	0.53
Control Delay (s/veh)	25.8	19.5	30.6	18.8	7.1	31.2	26.6	7.3	29.9	26.8
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay (s/veh)	25.8	19.5	30.6	18.8	7.1	31.2	26.6	7.3	29.9	26.8
Queue Length 50th (ft)	3	26	42	13	0	35	65	0	22	68
Queue Length 95th (ft)	20	83	131	61	33	112	147	54	84	163
Internal Link Dist (ft)		878		976			1238			453
Turn Bay Length (ft)	150		195		200	70		200	90	
Base Capacity (vph)	790	1278	782	1398	1178	796	2681	1222	787	2666
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.01	0.10	0.17	0.04	0.06	0.14	0.14	0.17	0.09	0.15

Intersection Summary

# HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary

## 1: Norwood Avenue & Bell Avenue

12/20/2024

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	11	51	79	134	60	69	110	382	205	73	395	15
Future Volume (veh/h)	11	51	79	134	60	69	110	382	205	73	395	15
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.96	1.00		0.97	1.00		0.97	1.00		0.97
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No			No			No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1885	1885	1885	1870	1870	1870	1885	1885	1885	1885	1885	1885
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	11	51	79	134	60	69	110	382	205	73	395	15
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percent Heavy Veh, %	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
Cap, veh/h	305	105	162	325	296	244	277	676	292	249	629	24
Arrive On Green	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.18	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.19	0.19	0.14	0.18	0.18
Sat Flow, veh/h	1795	650	1007	1781	1870	1543	1795	3582	1548	1795	3515	133
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	11	0	130	134	60	69	110	382	205	73	201	209
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1795	0	1657	1781	1870	1543	1795	1791	1548	1795	1791	1857
Q Serve(g_s), s	0.3	0.0	3.7	3.4	1.4	1.3	2.8	5.0	3.6	1.9	5.3	5.4
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	0.3	0.0	3.7	3.4	1.4	1.3	2.8	5.0	3.6	1.9	5.3	5.4
Prop In Lane	1.00		0.61	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.07
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	305	0	267	325	296	244	277	676	292	249	321	333
V/C Ratio(X)	0.04	0.00	0.49	0.41	0.20	0.28	0.40	0.56	0.70	0.29	0.63	0.63
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	915	0	1464	908	1653	1363	922	3165	1368	912	1582	1641
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	17.8	0.0	19.6	18.6	18.8	7.5	19.6	18.9	6.3	19.9	19.5	19.5
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	1.2	0.2	0.8	0.7
Initial Q Delay(d3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	0.1	0.0	1.3	1.2	0.5	0.6	1.0	1.8	1.9	0.7	2.1	2.1
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh												
LnGrp Delay(d), s/veh	17.8	0.0	20.1	18.9	18.9	7.7	19.9	19.2	7.5	20.1	20.2	20.2
LnGrp LOS	B		C	B	B	A	B	B	A	C	C	C
Approach Vol, veh/h		141			263			697			483	
Approach Delay, s/veh		19.9			16.0			15.9			20.2	
Approach LOS		B			B			B			C	
Timer - Assigned Phs	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	13.3	12.7	11.5	13.8	13.2	12.9	11.0	14.3				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	* 4.6	* 4.6	3.6	* 4.6	3.8	* 4.6	3.9	* 4.6				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	* 26	* 45	26.4	* 45	26.2	* 45	26.1	* 45				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s	2.3	3.4	4.8	7.4	5.4	5.7	3.9	7.0				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.0	0.3	0.1	1.6	0.2	0.6	0.1	1.9				
<b>Intersection Summary</b>												
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay, s/veh			17.6									
HCM 6th LOS			B									
<b>Notes</b>												
* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.												

# HCM 7th Signalized Intersection Summary

## 1: Norwood Avenue & Bell Avenue

12/20/2024

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	11	51	79	134	60	69	110	382	205	73	395	15
Future Volume (veh/h)	11	51	79	134	60	69	110	382	205	73	395	15
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Width Adj.	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.96	1.00		0.97	1.00		0.97	1.00		0.97
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No			No			No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1885	1885	1885	1870	1870	1870	1885	1885	1885	1885	1885	1885
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	11	51	79	134	60	69	110	382	205	73	395	15
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percent Heavy Veh, %	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
Cap, veh/h	305	105	162	325	296	244	277	676	292	249	629	24
Arrive On Green	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.18	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.19	0.19	0.14	0.18	0.18
Sat Flow, veh/h	1795	650	1007	1781	1870	1543	1795	3582	1548	1795	3515	133
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	11	0	130	134	60	69	110	382	205	73	201	209
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1795	0	1657	1781	1870	1543	1795	1791	1548	1795	1791	1857
Q Serve(g_s), s	0.3	0.0	3.7	3.4	1.4	1.3	2.8	5.0	3.6	1.9	5.3	5.4
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	0.3	0.0	3.7	3.4	1.4	1.3	2.8	5.0	3.6	1.9	5.3	5.4
Prop In Lane	1.00		0.61	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.07
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	305	0	267	325	296	244	277	676	292	249	321	333
V/C Ratio(X)	0.04	0.00	0.49	0.41	0.20	0.28	0.40	0.56	0.70	0.29	0.63	0.63
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	915	0	1464	908	1653	1363	922	3165	1368	912	1582	1641
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	17.8	0.0	19.6	18.6	18.8	7.5	19.6	18.9	6.3	19.9	19.5	19.5
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	1.2	0.2	0.8	0.7
Initial Q Delay(d3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	0.1	0.0	1.3	1.2	0.5	0.6	1.0	1.8	1.9	0.7	2.1	2.1
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh												
LnGrp Delay(d), s/veh	17.8	0.0	20.1	18.9	18.9	7.7	19.9	19.2	7.5	20.1	20.2	20.2
LnGrp LOS	B		C	B	B	A	B	B	A	C	C	C
Approach Vol, veh/h		141			263			697			483	
Approach Delay, s/veh		19.9			16.0			15.9			20.2	
Approach LOS		B			B			B			C	
Timer - Assigned Phs	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	13.3	12.7	11.5	13.8	13.2	12.9	11.0	14.3				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	* 4.6	* 4.6	3.6	* 4.6	3.8	* 4.6	3.9	* 4.6				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	* 26	* 45	26.4	* 45	26.2	* 45	26.1	* 45				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s	2.3	3.4	4.8	7.4	5.4	5.7	3.9	7.0				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.0	0.3	0.1	1.6	0.2	0.6	0.1	1.9				
<b>Intersection Summary</b>												
HCM 7th Control Delay, s/veh			17.6									
HCM 7th LOS			B									
<b>Notes</b>												
* HCM 7th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.												

Lanes, Volumes, Timings  
 2: Norwood Avenue & Jessie Avenue

12/20/2024

												
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (vph)	32	52	163	179	72	35	208	674	140	61	540	17
Future Volume (vph)	32	52	163	179	72	35	208	674	140	61	540	17
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0		100	0		0	100		0	120		0
Storage Lanes	0		1	0		0	1		0	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			65			75		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	0.95	0.95
Ped Bike Factor		0.99	0.97		0.99		0.99	0.99		0.99	0.99	
Frt			0.850		0.983			0.974			0.995	
Flt Protected		0.981			0.970		0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1845	1599	0	1787	0	1787	3461	0	1787	3552	0
Flt Permitted		0.981			0.970		0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1837	1563	0	1777	0	1774	3461	0	1781	3552	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			163		4			15				2
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			40				30
Link Distance (ft)		838			627			712				1318
Travel Time (s)		19.0			14.3			12.1				30.0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	9		5	5		9	3		2	2		3
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			1			2			3			2
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles (%)	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Adj. Flow (vph)	32	52	163	179	72	35	208	674	140	61	540	17
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	84	163	0	286	0	208	814	0	61	557	0
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No						
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right
Median Width(ft)		0			0			12				12
Link Offset(ft)		0			0			0				0
Crosswalk Width(ft)		16			16			16				16
Two way Left Turn Lane								Yes				Yes
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15		9	15		9	15		9	15		9
Number of Detectors	1	2	1	1	2		1	2		1	2	
Detector Template	Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru		Left	Thru		Left	Thru	
Leading Detector (ft)	20	100	20	20	100		20	100		20	100	
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0	0	0	0		0	0		0	0	
Detector 1 Position(ft)	0	0	0	0	0		0	0		0	0	
Detector 1 Size(ft)	20	6	20	20	6		20	6		20	6	
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex		Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Detector 2 Position(ft)		94			94			94				94
Detector 2 Size(ft)		6			6			6				6
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex				Cl+Ex
Detector 2 Channel												

Lanes, Volumes, Timings  
2: Norwood Avenue & Jessie Avenue

12/20/2024



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Turn Type	Split	NA	Perm	Split	NA		Prot	NA		Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	6	6		2	2		3	8		7	4	
Permitted Phases			6									
Detector Phase	6	6	6	2	2		3	8		7	4	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0		9.0	7.0		9.0	6.0	
Minimum Split (s)	28.5	28.5	28.5	28.5	28.5		12.5	20.6		13.5	19.6	
Total Split (s)	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0		30.0	50.0		30.0	50.0	
Total Split (%)	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%		18.8%	31.3%		18.8%	31.3%	
Maximum Green (s)	36.5	36.5	36.5	36.5	36.5		26.5	45.4		26.5	45.4	
Yellow Time (s)	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5		3.5	4.3		3.5	4.3	
All-Red Time (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.3		0.0	0.3	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		3.5	3.5		3.5		3.5	4.6		3.5	4.6	
Lead/Lag							Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?							Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None		None	Min		None	Min	
Walk Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0			7.0			7.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)	17.0	17.0	17.0	18.0	18.0			9.0			8.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	2	2	2	2	2			2			2	
Act Effct Green (s)		12.8	12.8		20.0		16.2	30.4		10.2	20.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.15	0.15		0.23		0.19	0.35		0.12	0.24	
v/c Ratio		0.30	0.43		0.68		0.61	0.65		0.28	0.65	
Control Delay (s/veh)		41.3	10.9		41.1		43.8	28.0		46.4	35.3	
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay (s/veh)		41.3	10.9		41.1		43.8	28.0		46.4	35.3	
LOS		D	B		D		D	C		D	D	
Approach Delay (s/veh)		21.3			41.2			31.3			36.4	
Approach LOS		C			D			C			D	
Queue Length 50th (ft)		38	0		125		94	180		28	128	
Queue Length 95th (ft)		111	61		311		242	371		96	285	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		758			547			632			1238	
Turn Bay Length (ft)			100				100			120		
Base Capacity (vph)		841	801		816		591	1968		591	2014	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0	0		0		0	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0	0		0		0	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0	0		0		0	0		0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.10	0.20		0.35		0.35	0.41		0.10	0.28	

Intersection Summary

Area Type:	Other
Cycle Length:	160
Actuated Cycle Length:	86
Natural Cycle:	95
Control Type:	Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio:	0.68
Intersection Signal Delay (s/veh):	32.9
Intersection LOS:	C

Lanes, Volumes, Timings  
 2: Norwood Avenue & Jessie Avenue

12/20/2024

Intersection Capacity Utilization 63.7% ICU Level of Service B  
 Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 2: Norwood Avenue & Jessie Avenue

 Ø2 40 s	 Ø6 40 s	 Ø3 30 s	 Ø4 50 s
		 Ø7 30 s	 Ø8 50 s

Queues

2: Norwood Avenue & Jessie Avenue

12/20/2024



Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Lane Group Flow (vph)	84	163	286	208	814	61	557
v/c Ratio	0.30	0.43	0.68	0.61	0.65	0.28	0.65
Control Delay (s/veh)	41.3	10.9	41.1	43.8	28.0	46.4	35.3
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay (s/veh)	41.3	10.9	41.1	43.8	28.0	46.4	35.3
Queue Length 50th (ft)	38	0	125	94	180	28	128
Queue Length 95th (ft)	111	61	311	242	371	96	285
Internal Link Dist (ft)	758		547		632		1238
Turn Bay Length (ft)		100		100		120	
Base Capacity (vph)	841	801	816	591	1968	591	2014
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.10	0.20	0.35	0.35	0.41	0.10	0.28

Intersection Summary

# HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary

## 2: Norwood Avenue & Jessie Avenue

12/20/2024



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		↕	↗		↔		↖	↕↔		↖	↕↔	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	32	52	163	179	72	35	208	674	140	61	540	17
Future Volume (veh/h)	32	52	163	179	72	35	208	674	140	61	540	17
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.97	1.00		0.97	1.00		0.97	1.00		0.97
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No			No			No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1885	1885	1885	1885	1885	1885	1885	1885	1885	1885	1885	1885
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	32	52	163	179	72	35	208	674	140	61	540	17
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percent Heavy Veh, %	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Cap, veh/h	120	195	264	237	95	46	257	856	178	166	853	27
Arrive On Green	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.14	0.29	0.29	0.09	0.24	0.24
Sat Flow, veh/h	705	1145	1552	1116	449	218	1795	2936	609	1795	3541	111
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	84	0	163	286	0	0	208	411	403	61	273	284
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1850	0	1552	1783	0	0	1795	1791	1754	1795	1791	1861
Q Serve(g_s), s	2.6	0.0	6.3	9.7	0.0	0.0	7.3	13.7	13.7	2.1	8.8	8.9
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	2.6	0.0	6.3	9.7	0.0	0.0	7.3	13.7	13.7	2.1	8.8	8.9
Prop In Lane	0.38		1.00	0.63		0.12	1.00		0.35	1.00		0.06
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	314	0	264	379	0	0	257	522	512	166	431	448
V/C Ratio(X)	0.27	0.00	0.62	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.81	0.79	0.79	0.37	0.63	0.63
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	1043	0	875	1005	0	0	735	1256	1230	735	1256	1305
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	23.4	0.0	24.9	23.9	0.0	0.0	26.9	21.1	21.1	27.6	22.0	22.0
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.2	0.0	0.9	1.2	0.0	0.0	2.3	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.6	0.6
Initial Q Delay(d3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	1.1	0.0	2.2	4.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	5.1	5.1	0.9	3.5	3.7
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh												
LnGrp Delay(d), s/veh	23.5	0.0	25.8	25.1	0.0	0.0	29.2	22.1	22.1	28.1	22.6	22.6
LnGrp LOS	C		C	C			C	C	C	C	C	C
Approach Vol, veh/h		247			286			1022			618	
Approach Delay, s/veh		25.0			25.1			23.5			23.1	
Approach LOS		C			C			C			C	
Timer - Assigned Phs		2	3	4		6	7	8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s		17.3	12.8	20.2		14.5	9.5	23.5				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s		3.5	3.5	* 4.6		3.5	3.5	* 4.6				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s		36.5	26.5	* 45		36.5	26.5	* 45				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s		11.7	9.3	10.9		8.3	4.1	15.7				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s		1.1	0.2	2.3		0.6	0.1	3.2				
<b>Intersection Summary</b>												
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay, s/veh			23.8									
HCM 6th LOS			C									
<b>Notes</b>												
* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.												

# HCM 7th Signalized Intersection Summary

## 2: Norwood Avenue & Jessie Avenue

12/20/2024



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		↕	↗		↕		↗	↕↗		↗	↕↗	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	32	52	163	179	72	35	208	674	140	61	540	17
Future Volume (veh/h)	32	52	163	179	72	35	208	674	140	61	540	17
Initial Q (Qb), veh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Width Adj.	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)	1.00		0.97	1.00		0.97	1.00		0.97	1.00		0.97
Parking Bus, Adj	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach		No			No			No			No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln	1885	1885	1885	1885	1885	1885	1885	1885	1885	1885	1885	1885
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h	32	52	163	179	72	35	208	674	140	61	540	17
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percent Heavy Veh, %	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Cap, veh/h	120	195	264	237	95	46	257	856	178	166	853	27
Arrive On Green	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.14	0.29	0.29	0.09	0.24	0.24
Sat Flow, veh/h	705	1145	1552	1116	449	218	1795	2936	609	1795	3541	111
Grp Volume(v), veh/h	84	0	163	286	0	0	208	411	403	61	273	284
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln	1850	0	1552	1783	0	0	1795	1791	1754	1795	1791	1861
Q Serve(g_s), s	2.6	0.0	6.3	9.7	0.0	0.0	7.3	13.7	13.7	2.1	8.8	8.9
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s	2.6	0.0	6.3	9.7	0.0	0.0	7.3	13.7	13.7	2.1	8.8	8.9
Prop In Lane	0.38		1.00	0.63		0.12	1.00		0.35	1.00		0.06
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h	314	0	264	379	0	0	257	522	512	166	431	448
V/C Ratio(X)	0.27	0.00	0.62	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.81	0.79	0.79	0.37	0.63	0.63
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h	1043	0	875	1005	0	0	735	1256	1230	735	1256	1305
HCM Platoon Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh	23.4	0.0	24.9	23.9	0.0	0.0	26.9	21.1	21.1	27.6	22.0	22.0
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh	0.2	0.0	0.9	1.2	0.0	0.0	2.3	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.6	0.6
Initial Q Delay(d3), s/veh	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln	1.1	0.0	2.2	4.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	5.1	5.1	0.9	3.5	3.7
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh												
LnGrp Delay(d), s/veh	23.5	0.0	25.8	25.1	0.0	0.0	29.2	22.1	22.1	28.1	22.6	22.6
LnGrp LOS	C		C	C			C	C	C	C	C	C
Approach Vol, veh/h		247			286			1022			618	
Approach Delay, s/veh		25.0			25.1			23.5			23.1	
Approach LOS		C			C			C			C	
Timer - Assigned Phs		2	3	4		6	7	8				
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s		17.3	12.8	20.2		14.5	9.5	23.5				
Change Period (Y+Rc), s		3.5	3.5	* 4.6		3.5	3.5	* 4.6				
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s		36.5	26.5	* 45		36.5	26.5	* 45				
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s		11.7	9.3	10.9		8.3	4.1	15.7				
Green Ext Time (p_c), s		1.1	0.2	2.3		0.6	0.1	3.2				

Intersection Summary		
HCM 7th Control Delay, s/veh		23.8
HCM 7th LOS		C

**Notes**  
 \* HCM 7th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

Lanes, Volumes, Timings

3: Norwood Avenue & WB 80 On-Ramp/WB 80 Off-Ramp

12/20/2024



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations				↖	↖	↖	↖	↑↑			↑↑	↖
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	251	0	309	324	812	0	0	547	391
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	251	0	309	324	812	0	0	547	391
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	0		50	200		0	0		75
Storage Lanes	0		0	1		1	1		0	0		1
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			35			25		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00
Ped Bike Factor						0.98	0.98					0.95
Fr t						0.850						0.850
Flt Protected				0.950	0.950		0.950					
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	0	0	1698	1698	1599	1787	3574	0	0	3574	1599
Flt Permitted				0.950	0.950		0.950					
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	1698	1698	1574	1766	3574	0	0	3574	1524
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)						174						261
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			40				30
Link Distance (ft)		1264			954			526				712
Travel Time (s)		28.7			21.7			9.0				16.2
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	3					3	6		9	9		6
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)									4			2
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	0	0	251	0	309	324	812	0	0	547	391
Shared Lane Traffic (%)				50%								
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	125	126	309	324	812	0	0	547	391
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right
Median Width(ft)		12			12			12				12
Link Offset(ft)		0			0			0				0
Crosswalk Width(ft)		16			16			16				16
Two way Left Turn Lane												Yes
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15		9	15		9	15		9	15		9
Number of Detectors				1	2	1	1	2				2
Detector Template				Left	Thru	Right	Left	Thru				Thru
Leading Detector (ft)				20	100	20	20	100				100
Trailing Detector (ft)				0	0	0	0	0				0
Detector 1 Position(ft)				0	0	0	0	0				0
Detector 1 Size(ft)				20	6	20	20	6				6
Detector 1 Type				Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex				Cl+Ex
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				0.0
Detector 1 Queue (s)				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				0.0
Detector 1 Delay (s)				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				0.0
Detector 2 Position(ft)					94			94				94
Detector 2 Size(ft)					6			6				6
Detector 2 Type					Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex				Cl+Ex
Detector 2 Channel												

Lanes, Volumes, Timings

3: Norwood Avenue & WB 80 On-Ramp/WB 80 Off-Ramp

12/20/2024



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Detector 2 Extend (s)					0.0			0.0			0.0	
Turn Type				Split	NA	Perm	Prot	NA			NA	Perm
Protected Phases				4	4		1	6			2	
Permitted Phases						4						2
Detector Phase				4	4	4	1	6			2	2
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)				8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	6.0			6.0	6.0
Minimum Split (s)				29.0	29.0	29.0	11.5	18.8			17.8	17.8
Total Split (s)				40.0	40.0	40.0	30.0	40.0			40.0	40.0
Total Split (%)				36.4%	36.4%	36.4%	27.3%	36.4%			36.4%	36.4%
Maximum Green (s)				36.0	36.0	36.0	26.5	35.2			35.2	35.2
Yellow Time (s)				3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	4.3			4.3	4.3
All-Red Time (s)				0.5	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.5			0.5	0.5
Lost Time Adjust (s)				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)				4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.8			4.8	4.8
Lead/Lag							Lead				Lag	Lag
Lead-Lag Optimize?							Yes				Yes	Yes
Vehicle Extension (s)				2.0	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.5			2.5	2.5
Recall Mode				None	None	None	None	Min			Min	Min
Walk Time (s)				7.0	7.0	7.0		7.0			7.0	7.0
Flash Dont Walk (s)				18.0	18.0	18.0		7.0			6.0	6.0
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)				2	2	2		2			2	2
Act Effct Green (s)				13.0	13.0	13.0	17.1	39.1			18.1	18.1
Actuated g/C Ratio				0.21	0.21	0.21	0.28	0.63			0.29	0.29
v/c Ratio				0.35	0.35	0.66	0.65	0.35			0.51	0.61
Control Delay (s/veh)				26.4	26.4	18.8	28.9	6.1			21.4	12.4
Queue Delay				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0
Total Delay (s/veh)				26.4	26.4	18.8	28.9	6.1			21.4	12.4
LOS				C	C	B	C	A			C	B
Approach Delay (s/veh)					22.3			12.6			17.7	
Approach LOS					C			B			B	
Queue Length 50th (ft)				40	40	41	95	53			79	33
Queue Length 95th (ft)				111	112	149	259	140			186	152
Internal Link Dist (ft)		1184			874			446			632	
Turn Bay Length (ft)						50	200					75
Base Capacity (vph)				1079	1079	1064	836	3359			2222	1046
Starvation Cap Reductn				0	0	0	0	0			0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn				0	0	0	0	0			0	0
Storage Cap Reductn				0	0	0	0	0			0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio				0.12	0.12	0.29	0.39	0.24			0.25	0.37

Intersection Summary

Area Type:	Other
Cycle Length:	110
Actuated Cycle Length:	61.6
Natural Cycle:	65
Control Type:	Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio:	0.66
Intersection Signal Delay (s/veh):	16.5
Intersection LOS:	B

Lanes, Volumes, Timings

3: Norwood Avenue & WB 80 On-Ramp/WB 80 Off-Ramp


12/20/2024

Intersection Capacity Utilization 61.7%

ICU Level of Service B

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 3: Norwood Avenue & WB 80 On-Ramp/WB 80 Off-Ramp



Queues

3: Norwood Avenue & WB 80 On-Ramp/WB 80 Off-Ramp

12/20/2024



Lane Group	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Group Flow (vph)	125	126	309	324	812	547	391
v/c Ratio	0.35	0.35	0.66	0.65	0.35	0.51	0.61
Control Delay (s/veh)	26.4	26.4	18.8	28.9	6.1	21.4	12.4
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay (s/veh)	26.4	26.4	18.8	28.9	6.1	21.4	12.4
Queue Length 50th (ft)	40	40	41	95	53	79	33
Queue Length 95th (ft)	111	112	149	259	140	186	152
Internal Link Dist (ft)		874			446	632	
Turn Bay Length (ft)			50	200			75
Base Capacity (vph)	1079	1079	1064	836	3359	2222	1046
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.12	0.12	0.29	0.39	0.24	0.25	0.37

Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary  
 3: Norwood Avenue & WB 80 On-Ramp/WB 80 Off-Ramp

12/20/2024



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations				↙	↖	↗	↘	↑↑			↑↑	↗
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	251	0	309	324	812	0	0	547	391
Future Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	251	0	309	324	812	0	0	547	391
Initial Q (Qb), veh				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)				1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Parking Bus, Adj				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach				No			No			No		
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln				1885	1885	1885	1885	1885	0	0	1885	1885
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h				251	0	0	324	812	0	0	547	0
Peak Hour Factor				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percent Heavy Veh, %				1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1
Cap, veh/h				702	0		411	2093	0	0	959	
Arrive On Green				0.20	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.58	0.00	0.00	0.27	0.00
Sat Flow, veh/h				3591	0	1598	1795	3676	0	0	3676	1598
Grp Volume(v), veh/h				251	0	0	324	812	0	0	547	0
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln				1795	0	1598	1795	1791	0	0	1791	1598
Q Serve(g_s), s				2.4	0.0	0.0	6.8	4.9	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s				2.4	0.0	0.0	6.8	4.9	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0
Prop In Lane				1.00		1.00	1.00		0.00	0.00		1.00
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h				702	0		411	2093	0	0	959	
V/C Ratio(X)				0.36	0.00		0.79	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.57	
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h				3238	0		1192	3158	0	0	3158	
HCM Platoon Ratio				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)				1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh				13.9	0.0	0.0	14.5	4.5	0.0	0.0	12.6	0.0
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh				0.1	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0
Initial Q Delay(d3), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln				0.8	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.7	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.0
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh												
LnGrp Delay(d), s/veh				14.0	0.0	0.0	17.0	4.5	0.0	0.0	13.0	0.0
LnGrp LOS				B			B	A			B	
Approach Vol, veh/h					251			1136			547	
Approach Delay, s/veh					14.0			8.1			13.0	
Approach LOS					B			A			B	
Timer - Assigned Phs	1	2		4		6						
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	12.6	15.5		11.8		28.1						
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	3.5	4.8		4.0		4.8						
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	26.5	35.2		36.0		35.2						
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s	8.8	7.3		4.4		6.9						
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.6	3.3		0.5		4.7						

Intersection Summary

HCM 6th Ctrl Delay, s/veh	10.3
HCM 6th LOS	B

Notes

User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.  
 Unsignalized Delay for [WBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

# HCM 7th Signalized Intersection Summary

## 3: Norwood Avenue & WB 80 On-Ramp/WB 80 Off-Ramp

12/20/2024



Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations				↖	↖	↖	↖	↑↑			↑↑	↗
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	251	0	309	324	812	0	0	547	391
Future Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	251	0	309	324	812	0	0	547	391
Initial Q (Qb), veh				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Width Adj.				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT)				1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00
Parking Bus, Adj				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Work Zone On Approach				No			No				No	
Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln				1885	1885	1885	1885	1885	0	0	1885	1885
Adj Flow Rate, veh/h				251	0	0	324	812	0	0	547	0
Peak Hour Factor				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Percent Heavy Veh, %				1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1
Cap, veh/h				702	0		411	2093	0	0	959	
Arrive On Green				0.20	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.58	0.00	0.00	0.27	0.00
Sat Flow, veh/h				3591	0	1598	1795	3676	0	0	3676	1598
Grp Volume(v), veh/h				251	0	0	324	812	0	0	547	0
Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln				1795	0	1598	1795	1791	0	0	1791	1598
Q Serve(g_s), s				2.4	0.0	0.0	6.8	4.9	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0
Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s				2.4	0.0	0.0	6.8	4.9	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0
Prop In Lane				1.00		1.00	1.00		0.00	0.00		1.00
Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h				702	0		411	2093	0	0	959	
V/C Ratio(X)				0.36	0.00		0.79	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.57	
Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h				3238	0		1192	3158	0	0	3158	
HCM Platoon Ratio				1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Upstream Filter(I)				1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
Uniform Delay (d), s/veh				13.9	0.0	0.0	14.5	4.5	0.0	0.0	12.6	0.0
Incr Delay (d2), s/veh				0.1	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0
Initial Q Delay(d3), s/veh				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
%ile BackOfQ(50%),veh/ln				0.8	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.7	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.0
Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh												
LnGrp Delay(d), s/veh				14.0	0.0	0.0	17.0	4.5	0.0	0.0	13.0	0.0
LnGrp LOS				B			B	A			B	
Approach Vol, veh/h					251			1136			547	
Approach Delay, s/veh					14.0			8.1			13.0	
Approach LOS					B			A			B	
Timer - Assigned Phs	1	2		4			6					
Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s	12.6	15.5		11.8			28.1					
Change Period (Y+Rc), s	3.5	4.8		4.0			4.8					
Max Green Setting (Gmax), s	26.5	35.2		36.0			35.2					
Max Q Clear Time (g_c+I1), s	8.8	7.3		4.4			6.9					
Green Ext Time (p_c), s	0.6	3.3		0.5			4.7					

### Intersection Summary

HCM 7th Control Delay, s/veh	10.3
HCM 7th LOS	B

### Notes

User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.  
 Unsignalized Delay for [WBR, SBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

Lanes, Volumes, Timings

4: Norwood Avenue & EB 80 Off-Ramp/EB 80 On-Ramp

12/20/2024



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (vph)	466	12	369	0	0	0	0	670	246	264	534	0
Future Volume (vph)	466	12	369	0	0	0	0	670	246	264	534	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0		50	0		0	0		220	180		0
Storage Lanes	1		1	0		0	0		1	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			35		
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00
Ped Bike Factor			0.98						0.97	0.99		
Frt			0.850						0.850			
Flt Protected	0.950	0.955								0.950		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1665	1674	1568	0	0	0	0	3539	1583	1787	3574	0
Flt Permitted	0.950	0.955								0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	1665	1674	1547	0	0	0	0	3539	1540	1776	3574	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			224						246			
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			40				30
Link Distance (ft)		759			1046			737				526
Travel Time (s)		17.3			23.8			12.6				12.0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			1	1			8		6	6		8
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)									4			1
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles (%)	3%	3%	3%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%
Adj. Flow (vph)	466	12	369	0	0	0	0	670	246	264	534	0
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	49%											
Lane Group Flow (vph)	238	240	369	0	0	0	0	670	246	264	534	0
Enter Blocked Intersection	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lane Alignment	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right	Left	Left	Right
Median Width(ft)		12			12			0				12
Link Offset(ft)		0			0			0				0
Crosswalk Width(ft)		16			16			16				16
Two way Left Turn Lane												
Headway Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Turning Speed (mph)	15		9	15		9	15		9	15		9
Number of Detectors	1	2	1					2	1	1	2	
Detector Template	Left	Thru	Right					Thru	Right	Left	Thru	
Leading Detector (ft)	20	100	20					100	20	20	100	
Trailing Detector (ft)	0	0	0					0	0	0	0	
Detector 1 Position(ft)	0	0	0					0	0	0	0	
Detector 1 Size(ft)	20	6	20					6	20	20	6	
Detector 1 Type	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex					Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	Cl+Ex	
Detector 1 Channel												
Detector 1 Extend (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Queue (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Detector 1 Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Detector 2 Position(ft)		94						94			94	
Detector 2 Size(ft)		6						6			6	
Detector 2 Type		Cl+Ex						Cl+Ex			Cl+Ex	
Detector 2 Channel												

Lanes, Volumes, Timings

4: Norwood Avenue & EB 80 Off-Ramp/EB 80 On-Ramp

12/20/2024



Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Detector 2 Extend (s)		0.0						0.0				0.0
Turn Type	Split	NA	Perm					NA	custom	Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	8	8						6		5	2	
Permitted Phases			8						2			
Detector Phase	8	8	8					6	2	5	2	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	9.0	9.0	9.0					6.0	6.0	8.0	6.0	
Minimum Split (s)	29.0	29.0	29.0					17.8	18.8	11.5	18.8	
Total Split (s)	40.0	40.0	40.0					40.0	40.0	30.0	40.0	
Total Split (%)	36.4%	36.4%	36.4%					36.4%	36.4%	27.3%	36.4%	
Maximum Green (s)	36.0	36.0	36.0					35.2	35.2	26.5	35.2	
Yellow Time (s)	3.5	3.5	3.5					4.3	4.3	3.5	4.3	
All-Red Time (s)	0.5	0.5	0.5					0.5	0.5	0.0	0.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0					4.8	4.8	3.5	4.8	
Lead/Lag								Lag		Lead		
Lead-Lag Optimize?								Yes		Yes		
Vehicle Extension (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0					2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	
Recall Mode	None	None	None					Min	Min	None	Min	
Walk Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0					7.0	7.0		7.0	
Flash Dont Walk (s)	18.0	18.0	18.0					6.0	7.0		7.0	
Pedestrian Calls (#/hr)	2	2	2					2	2		2	
Act Effct Green (s)	16.9	16.9	16.9					19.2	38.6	15.7	38.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.26	0.26	0.26					0.29	0.59	0.24	0.59	
v/c Ratio	0.55	0.55	0.65					0.64	0.24	0.61	0.25	
Control Delay (s/veh)	28.3	28.3	15.8					24.7	1.7	31.3	7.0	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay (s/veh)	28.3	28.3	15.8					24.7	1.7	31.3	7.0	
LOS	C	C	B					C	A	C	A	
Approach Delay (s/veh)		22.9						18.5			15.1	
Approach LOS		C						B			B	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	81	82	44					113	0	89	44	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	203	204	166					243	28	220	97	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		679			966			657			446	
Turn Bay Length (ft)			50						220	180		
Base Capacity (vph)	1001	1007	1020					2082	1425	791	3256	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0					0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0					0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0					0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.24	0.24	0.36					0.32	0.17	0.33	0.16	

Intersection Summary

Area Type:	Other
Cycle Length:	110
Actuated Cycle Length:	65.1
Natural Cycle:	65
Control Type:	Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio:	0.65
Intersection Signal Delay (s/veh):	18.9
Intersection LOS:	B