# City of Sacramento

# Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	2019-00223	Version: 1	Name:		
Туре:	Discussion Iten	n	Status:	Agenda Ready	
File created:	2/6/2019		In control:	Ethics Commission	
On agenda:	2/25/2019		Final action:		
Title:	Ethics Commission Penalty Guidelines				
Sponsors:					
Indexes:					
Code sections:					
Attachments:					
Date	Ver. Action By		Action		Result

# Title: Ethics Commission Penalty Guidelines

File ID: 20189-00223

#### Location: Citywide

#### **Recommendation:**

Review and Approve Pass a Motion approving the Sacramento Ethics Commission Penalty Guidelines.

**Contact:** Kevin Greene, Government Ethics and Transparency Administrator, (916) 808-7267, Office of the City Clerk

**Presenter:** Kevin Greene, Government Ethics and Transparency Administrator, (916) 808-7267, Office of the City Clerk

#### Attachments:

1-Description/Analysis 2-Draft Sacramento Ethics Commission Penalty Guidelines

#### **Description/Analysis**

Issue Detail: In accordance with City Code § 2.112.030.C.6, tThe Sacramento Ethics Commission

(Commission) seeksproposes to adopt the following guidelines applicable to the imposition offor imposing monetary penalties when adjudicating ethics complaints for causes of action brought forward to the Commission under the authority granted to the Commission by City Code § 2.112.030 (A)(2).

These procedures proposed guidelines are intended to ensure a fair, just, and timely process for the resolution of ethics complaints submitted to the Commission by creating a clear process for imposing monetary penalties. These considerations will be used by tThe lindependent Eevaluator will use the guidelines when making recommendations on penalties and for the Commission to consider when adjudicating complaints.

These guidelines include general principles and factors to consider in determining a penalty, and a tiered approach to penalties based on the seriousness of the violation. These guidelines They are advisory only, and does not limit the Commission from using its discretion tomay depart from the guidancethem when unusual or egregious circumstances exist.

# Policy Considerations: None

# Economic Impacts: None

#### Environmental Considerations: None

# Sustainability: None

**Commission/Committee Action:** These Ddraft pPenalty Guidelinesguidelines were developed in conjunction with a subcommittee comprised composed of Commissioners Moylan and Underwood.

**Rationale for Recommendation:** These guidelines will create a just and timely process for the resolution of ethics complaints submitted to the Commission.

Financial Considerations: None

# Local Business Enterprise (LBE): None