

City of Sacramento  
**Animal Wellbeing Commission Report**  
915 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814  
www.cityofsacramento.org

---

**File ID:** 2026-01114

6/10/2026

---

**Review of Interim Shelter Improvements**

File ID: 2026-01114

**Location:** Citywide

**Recommendation:** Review and comment.

**Contact:** Ryan Hinderman, Interim Animal Care Services Manager, (916) 808-7911, rhinderman@cityofsacramento.org, Community Development Department

**Presenter:** None

**Attachments:**

1-Description/Analysis

2-Shelter Needs Assessment - Front Street Animal Shelter

3-July 29, 2025, City Council Staff Report: Establishing a Capital Improvement Project and Budgetary Adjustments for Animal Care Enhancements and Improvements Project (C15002200)

**Description/Analysis**

**Issue Detail:** In the Needs Assessment of the Front Street Animal Shelter (September 2024) (see Attachment 2), several interim improvements were identified until the longer-term recommendation of constructing a new shelter could be realized. Members of the Animal Wellbeing Commission, in collaboration with UC Davis Koret Shelter Medicine Program and Front Street Animal Shelter staff, selected priority improvements that focused on the safety of animals, staff, and volunteers and could be constructed in the near-term. On July 29, 2025, City Council established a Capital Improvement Project (CIP) and allocated funding to the priority interim improvements (see Attachment 3). The funding that has been secured is being used to retrofit 99 dog kennels to improve safety and efficiency and are located across five separate buildings. To date, improvements have been completed to one kennel, which is now being used as a prototype for improvements to the remaining 98 kennels. Several revisions to the initial prototype are currently being considered. The kennel improvements will be phased with one building completed at a time. Kennel improvements in the first building will commence approximately three weeks after approval of the final prototype, with all five buildings anticipated to be complete by the end of the summer or early fall.

As reflected in the Animal Wellbeing Commission Follow-up Log, Commission members would like to

---

discuss the interim improvements and evaluate whether funds are being used in the most effective way.

**Policy Considerations:** None.

**Economic Impacts:** None.

**Environmental Considerations:** Review and comment on the Interim Shelter Improvements is exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) as it consists of an organizational activity that will not result in direct or indirect physical changes in the environment (CEQA Guidelines Section 15378(b)(5)). Any actions that could result in a physical change in the environment would be identified as projects and would be subject to CEQA review. This report simply provides the status of implementation of the previously approved Capital Improvement Project for Animal Care Enhancements and Improvements Project (C15002200).

**Sustainability:** Not applicable.

**Commission/Committee Action:** Not applicable.

**Rationale for Recommendation:** This discussion will provide an opportunity for the Animal Wellbeing Commission and the public to understand what interim shelter improvements are being made and to provide feedback.

**Financial Considerations:** Not applicable.

**Local Business Enterprise (LBE):** Not applicable.



*City of*  
**SACRAMENTO**

## Front Street Animal Shelter

### Needs Assessment

September 18, 2024

# Acknowledgments

to the following individuals who contributed to the contents contained in this report

## ANIMAL CARE SERVICES CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

---

Leah C. Morris	Chair
Kathleen Garcia	Member
Paul Hefner	Member & Volunteer

## CITY OF SACRAMENTO FRONT STREET ANIMAL SHELTER STAFF

---

Phillip Zimmerman	Animal Care Services Manager
Brittany Alcantar	Veterinary Services Administrator
Jennifer Chikasawa	Administrative Officer
Ashley Gilmore	Registered Veterinarian Technician
Ryan Hinderman	Communications and Customer Service Manager
Leese Johnson	Animal Care Technician
Jennifer Magana	Volunteer Coordinator
Shannon Quinn	Volunteer Coordinator
Lori Rhoades	Dog Foster/Rescue Coordinator
Jody Somel	Senior Animal Care Technician
Jessica Stapp	Animal Care Technician
Lizz Thompson	Shelter Operations Manager
Robin Tomlin	Administrative Analyst
Jenna Topper	Homeless Outreach Coordinator
Desiree Villegas	Registered Veterinarian Technician
Kathryn Welch	Registered Veterinarian Technician

## CITY OF SACRAMENTO FRONT STREET ANIMAL SHELTER VOLUNTEERS

---

James Houpt	Friends of FSAS, Board President
Evelyn Dale	Volunteer
Ann Navarro	Volunteer
Vicki Peterson-Cercley	Volunteer

## CITY COUNCIL DISTRICT OFFICE

---

Katie Valenzuela	City Council District 4, Council Member
Austin Busch-Estrada	City Council District 1, Communications Coordinator
Lela Estrada	City Council District 4, Constituent Affairs Associate
Kayla Lewandowski	City Council District 3, Operations & Communication Director

## SHELTER DESIGN TEAM

---

Bruce Playle	Indigo – Hammond & Playle Architects, Principal
Denae Wagner, DVM, MPVM	UC Davis Koret Shelter Medicine Program
Candace Harrison	Indigo – Hammond & Playle Architects, Project Architect
Yuga Chaudhari	Indigo – Hammond & Playle Architects, Project Designer

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	Executive Summary .....	5
<b>2</b>	Process & Methodology .....	5
A	Scope of study .....	5
B	Animal Care Services Citizen Advisor Committee .....	6
C	Stakeholder inclusion .....	6
D	Vision Statement .....	6
E	Goals and objectives.....	6
F	Shelter design best practices.....	7
<b>3</b>	Existing Conditions .....	10
A	Site size and context.....	10
B	Building size and condition.....	11
C	Summary of existing deficiencies .....	11
<b>4</b>	Facility needs assessment .....	14
A	Animal housing and capacity recommendations .....	14
B	Diversity of housing types needed .....	17
C	Correlation between animal intake and human population of sacramento. ....	17
D	Geographic analysis (where are calls for service coming from) .....	18
E	Program Summary.....	19
F	Noise study conducted .....	20
<b>5</b>	Review of options for the future .....	21
A	Renovate and expand existing shelter .....	21
B	Renovate existing shelter for animal control only, build a new adoption center on new site .....	24
C	Renovate existing shelter, build a second, satellite shelter on new site .....	24
D	Build a new shelter on new site, repurpose the existing site .....	25
<b>6</b>	Hypothetical site option .....	26

<b>7</b>	Cost and timeline.....	28
	A    Renovating and expanding the existing animal shelter .....	28
	B    Hypothetical new animal shelter on new site.....	28
<b>8</b>	Recommendations.....	29
	A    Recommendations, new shelter .....	29
	B    Interim improvements .....	29
<b>9</b>	Appendices	
	•    Appendix A – Front Street Capacity Report by Dr. Denae Wagner, KSMP	
	•    Appendix B – Program Area Summary & Detailed Program Area	
	•    Appendix C – Site Selection Criteria	
	•    Appendix D – Noise Exposure Assessment and Sound Level Survey Report	
	•    Appendix E – The Association of Shelter Veterinarians' Guidelines for Standards of Care in Animal Shelters	

## 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Established in 1910, for over 100 years the City of Sacramento Front Street address has been in use as an animal control site. In the early days of animal control, such facilities were termed "pounds", where stray animals were impounded. Since then, the site has been remodeled to focus on animal care and sheltering with various remodels and improvements including a major expansion in 1992-1993. In 2007 the Cattery building was remodeled with improved cat housing and efficient HVAC system. In 2012 Animal Care Surgery Center was built to improve the veterinary services provided by the Animal Care Services. In 2019 a modular building was built for the ACO to improve functionality of the shelter. However, all these modifications don't meet the current intake of animals at the shelter and doesn't meet the guidelines for standards of care in animal shelters.

It is determined to be infeasible for full remodel of the existing facility to provide recommended housing for animals, to deliver sheltering best practices, to meet shelter goals, and to deliver needed program space. The site is too small to allow for a building addition based on the recommended program area. The cost to repair deficiencies is too great. As a result of these findings, the following recommendations are given.

Recommendations summary :

- Seek a new minimum seven net acre site.
- Build new +/- 50,000 sf building.
- Initiate fundraising campaign.
- Proceed with interim improvements of the existing facility, see Section 8. B for a full list and identified costs.

## 2 PROCESS & METHODOLOGY

### A SCOPE OF STUDY

The scope of this study included a structured approach where first, site visit and facility inspection were conducted to identify any modifications made over the time and animal care issues. Public data on animal intake and adoption services was analyzed based on geographic patterns of animal intake, and areas of high demand for animal control services.

Second, future volume of animal intake and spay/neuter services based on the "The Association of Shelter Veterinarians' Guidelines for Standards of Care in Animal Shelters" were estimated to ensure effective future planning.

Third, the existing site's capability to provide proper standard animal care was evaluated.

Interim improvements and next steps that the City of Sacramento should consider are outlined in the report.

## B ANIMAL CARE SERVICES CITIZEN ADVISOR COMMITTEE

On March 03, 2024, a facility tour was conducted with Animal Care Services Citizens Committee, Indigo Architects and Dr. Denae Wagner from Koret Shelter Medicine Program (KSMP). A thorough analysis of the existing site was conducted to identify current intake capacities, geographic patterns of animal intake, and areas with high demand for animal control services.

The second meeting was held on March 25, 2024. A summary analysis of the existing site, along with recommended programs based on the intake, was presented to the ACSCC by Indigo Architects and Dr. Wagner.



*Facility tour*

## C STAKEHOLDER INCLUSION



*Interactive workshop*

Charette 1 – Defining the need, an interactive workshop was conducted on May 06, 2024, with staff, stakeholders, volunteers and city council in presence to gain valuable input from staff and stakeholders of existing site deficiencies, potential enhancements and visions for the future.

Charette 2 – Shaping the Future, was conducted on May 20, 2024, with staff, stakeholders, volunteers, and city council in presence. This interactive workshop was conducted to provide a feedback loop based on charette 1 to key participants envisioning the future of animal shelter. Participants delved deep into the analysis, insights and primary recommendations for the shelter.

## D VISION STATEMENT

A community where care and resources are accessible for all animals.

## E GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

### i **Healthy housing**

To provide a safe, sanitary, and enriching environment for all animals in the shelter by ensuring that housing facilities meet or exceed the "Guidelines for Standards of Care in Animal Shelters," thereby promoting the physical and mental well-being of every animal in our care.

### ii **Adoption focus**

To create an adoption-focused design for the animal shelter that optimizes the adoption process, enhances the visibility and appeal of adoptable animals, and fosters positive interactions between potential adopters and sheltered animals.

### iii **Functional flow**

Implement a functional flow design for the animal shelter to optimize operations, improve efficiency in animal care, and enhance the overall experience for both sheltered animals, staff, and visitors.

### iv **Economical facility**

Develop an economical facility design for the animal shelter that maximizes efficiency in operations, minimizes construction and maintenance costs, and ensures sustainability while meeting the needs of sheltered animals and staff.

#### **v Shelter medicine best practices**

Implement shelter medicine best practices in the design of the animal shelter to ensure optimal health, well-being, and disease prevention for sheltered animals, thereby improving outcomes and reducing the length of stay.

### **F SHELTER DESIGN BEST PRACTICES**

#### **Pathways, Species Separation and Adjacencies.**

- Pathways, species separation, and adjacencies in animal shelters should be designed according to “The Association of Shelter Veterinarians’ Guidelines for Standards of Care in Animal Shelters” to ensure animal welfare and operational efficiency.
- Pathways should facilitate smooth movement of animals, staff, and visitors, minimizing stress and reducing the risk of disease transmission.
- Species separation is essential, with distinct areas for different types of animals (e.g., dogs, cats, small mammals) to prevent interspecies stress and aggression.
- Adjacencies should be carefully planned, placing related functions near each other, such as intake areas close to quarantine and medical treatment.
- Quiet areas for resting and recovery should be situated away from noisy, high-traffic areas to promote a calm environment.
- These design considerations ensure that the shelter operates smoothly while providing a safe, comfortable environment for all animals.


#### **Animal Housing**

- Animal housing should be designed to meet the highest standard of care, to provide enough housing to meet the current needs, and to ensure the well-being and comfort of animals.
- Housing units should be designed with double compartments to provide animals with separate living and eliminate areas, which helps to maintain cleanliness and reduces stress.
- Animal shelters that facilitate shorter lengths of stay involves efficient layout and streamlined processes that prioritize quick assessment, treatment, and adoption, ensuring animals spend minimal time in the shelter environment.

#### **Dog Housing**

- Adequate space is paramount; kennels should be at least 4 feet wide and 9-12 feet long to allow animals room to move comfortably.
- Indoor/outdoor access is beneficial as it provides animals with fresh air and opportunities for exercise.
- Cages, particularly those for larger animals, should be spacious, ideally 6 feet long, and designed as double compartments to accommodate individual needs or temporary separation when necessary. See figure “Back to Back Kennels”.

**- Back to Back Kennels -  
Indoor / Outdoor or Indoor / Indoor**



**Cat Housing**

The following design considerations aim to enhance the physical and psychological well-being of shelter cats.

- Provide double compartment housing options to support cleanliness and comfort by separating sleeping and elimination areas.
- Group housing for cats, with a minimum of 18 square feet of floor space per cat, limits numbers to small groups of 4-6 cats to prevent overcrowding and reduce stress. Individual rooms, sized at 36 square feet or larger, accommodate bonded pairs comfortably, offering a quiet and private space for companionship.
- Indoor/outdoor access, such as a Catio attached to group or individual rooms, enriches the environment by allowing cats to experience fresh air and sunlight safely.

**Facility Design**

- Efficient animal shelters require careful attention to mechanical systems to ensure air quality without drafts, which can stress animals.
- Plumbing and drainage systems must be efficient to handle waste and ensure a clean water supply, crucial for hygiene and health.
- Noise mitigation strategies are essential to minimize stress levels among animals, while ventilation systems are critical for controlling air quality and preventing the spread of diseases.
- Daylighting and well-designed lighting with occupancy controls and dimming options not only enhance the environment but also support the animals' natural rhythms.
- Finally, using durable, easy-to-clean finishes helps maintain hygiene standards and ensures longevity of shelter facilities

## **Staff & Volunteers**

- Designing a facility to support animal care begins with ensuring adequate working space and support areas for staff to perform their duties efficiently.
- Utilizing safe materials like non-slip flooring enhances safety for both animals and personnel. The facility should be easy to clean and operate, with low maintenance requirements, facilitating daily care routines and promoting hygiene standards.
- Creating a positive work environment is essential; a well-designed facility enables staff to perform their tasks effectively, contributing to the well-being of sheltered animals.
- Minimizing animal handling during daily care reduces stress and supports their overall comfort.
- Providing staff amenities such as break rooms, wellness rooms, quiet spaces, and locker rooms with showers promotes staff well-being and ensures they can work effectively throughout their shifts.


## **Visitors**

- To encourage adoption, animal shelters should prioritize creating low barriers by simplifying adoption processes and fees, promoting a welcoming and positive image of animal care.
- Clear wayfinding signage within the facility helps visitors navigate easily to view animals, both indoors and outdoors.
- Providing diverse housing spaces that allow potential adopters to view animals in different environments enhances their understanding of each animal's personality and needs.
- Designing family-friendly spaces within the shelter encourages visits from families, fostering a welcoming atmosphere for all ages.
- Accessibility considerations, such as ramps and wide pathways, ensure that the shelter is accessible to individuals with disabilities and elderly visitors.
- Overall, these efforts contribute to creating a supportive environment that encourages adoptions and facilitates positive interactions between animals and potential adopters.

### 3 EXISTING CONDITIONS

#### A SITE SIZE AND CONTEXT

Front Street Animal Shelter (FSAS) was constructed in 1992 and is on a 1.8-acre site right beside the I-5 freeway and the I-80 freeway on the east. The automobile museum is across the street on the west side. There is a city-owned parking lot directly north of the museum. A PG&E Facility is on the north side of the animal shelter. The site is served by city sanitary sewer, water, and PG&E electrical services.




Aerial view of existing Front Street Animal Shelter site.

## B BUILDING SIZE AND CONDITION

The main shelter/admin building is 3,100 sf with six outdoor covered kennel buildings approximately 1,000 sf each, a 600-sf modular building serving animal control offices, cattery building of 2,800 sf, kitten/puppy building of 700 sf, intake building of 500 sf, and a surgery center of 1,100 sf. On the site there are few modular storage/shed for food storage. On site there are outdoor scullery, freezer, and laundry areas. Over the years various additions and remodels have occurred to accommodate changing needs.

The facility has 102 dog housing plus some small amount of cage housing ~10 cages and 109 cat housing.

See programming section for a more detailed breakdown of space use.



## C SUMMARY OF EXISTING DEFICIENCIES

The major deficiencies of the existing facility identified by shelter staff, Indigo Architects and Dr. Wagner during the facility inspection include:

### General Facility

- Many interior uses are being utilized outdoors due to space constraints.
- The proximity to a freeway contributes to noise disturbances, affecting both animals and staff.
- Not enough veterinary services and housing to serve the shelter population.
- Species separation is a challenge within the shelter, impacting the management of different types of animals.
- The pathways and flow through the site are not optimized, leading to inefficiencies.




Surgery Center



Outdoor Laundry



Surgery Center



Outdoor Scullery

- Poor wayfinding makes it difficult for both visitors and staff, further complicating operations within the shelter.
- Needs better storage facility for the shelter.



Food Storage Sheds




Storage in Parking Lot

### Pathways

- The current layout of the animal shelter necessitates frequent crossing of different species, which poses safety and health risks.
- Poor adjacencies within the facility impact operational efficiencies.
- Elevated levels of stimulation from the movement of people and dogs through the kennel areas contribute to increased stress among the animals.
- Entry into the kennel areas is negative due to the noisy, scary environment, poor lighting, narrow pathways, and limited visibility.
- Support areas, which should be centralized for efficiency, are instead located on the edges and at opposite ends of the shelter.

### Animal Housing

- Not enough kennels to properly house dogs individually (multiple dogs in nearly all kennels)
- Species separation is lacking throughout facility.



Kennel door opening inside




Kennel sharing

- Though there is separation of species in the areas where cats and dogs are housed the barking dog noise from the kennel area is heard throughout most of these animal housing areas as well as in other areas of the shelter.
- Most species share common pathways, some housing areas are the pathway to other areas of the facility increasing the exposure between species.
- Cats experience high exposure to dogs in this facility.



Curb in front of kennel door



Kennel door lock

- Kennel doors opening inside increase the risk of dogs escaping or becoming aggressive when someone enters the kennel. This becomes inefficient to maneuver, clean or place items like food and water bowls and it is a safety hazard to staff and volunteers. The curb in front of the kennel door is a tripping hazard for staff and volunteers.
- Shelter needs more adequate isolation areas to effectively manage and contain infectious diseases and ensure the health and safety of all animals.
- Shelter needs more meet and greet areas both outdoors and indoors to facilitate comfortable and safe interactions between potential adopters and animals.

## Staff & Volunteer

- The animal shelter faces a lack of appropriate type and amount of administrative space, failing to meet the needs of staff.
- There is a need for more meeting space and amenities such as a break room, meeting rooms, wellness space, and outdoor quiet space.
- Shelter lacks a dedicated volunteer room.
- Inadequate animal housing spaces force staff into co-housing situations or worse, negatively impacting visitor perception.
- The facility deficiencies compromise the staff's ability to properly care for the animals.
- All these issues contribute to staff retention challenges, increased burnout, and a higher risk of injury.



*Admin staff workplace*

## Visitor

- The Animal shelter experiences long wait times for adoptions, partly due to a lack of sufficient meet and greet areas.
- The aging facility contributes to a poor perception of animal care among visitors.
- Additionally, wayfinding within the shelter is difficult, and lack of variety of housing options serves as a barrier to animal adoption.
- The kennels are noisy, narrow, and stressful for the animals, further complicating the adoption process.
- The absence of counseling rooms also hinders the ability to facilitate successful adoptions.



*Reception lobby*

## 4 FACILITY NEEDS ASSESSMENT

### A ANIMAL HOUSING AND CAPACITY RECOMMENDATIONS

Dr. Denae Wagner with the KSMP evaluated the intake statistics provided by FSAS. This provided a basis for the housing capacity recommendations which was then used as a base for developing the programmatic needs for the shelter. Current capacity needs were based on 2023 intake numbers and future needs were based on 2019 intake numbers since these are the highest numbers the shelter has seen.

#### Dog Housing – Current Needs

The current dog housing capacity need should be 240 housing with average length of stay (LOS) of 14 days based on live intake of 5389 annually (449/ month and around 14/day). The existing dog housing is 102 with average LOS is 10-13 days where 23% pups were co-housed for housing calculations. See following table for summary of housing. See Appendix A for more detailed housing calculations.

#### Dog Housing Needs Current:

DOG HOUSING CAPACITY 2023				
Annual intake: 5389 23% pups – co-housed Average Length of stay: 14 days		Housing type		
Housing location	Housing spaces	Real life type Room	Double compartment Kennels	Double compartment cage housing for puppies/small dogs
F L O W	Holding/Adoption	210	Housing variety	
	Flex			
	Quarantine/Confiscate			
Isolation low risk (respiratory)	22			
Isolation high risk with anti-room	8			
<b>Total housing units</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>Comments:</b> Ave intake: 449/month, peak intake 509/month. 2023 intakes were on average 23 % pups which were co-housed for housing calculations. Ave intake along with 10-day aLOS requires about 132 housing units. Peak intake with 10 day aLOS requires 150 housing units, with 14 day aLOS requires 210 housing units. This housing does not include medical housing that may be needed. % Capacity in use: at Peak intake expect 100% capacity; at average intake 80% or less of housing to be occupied		

Notes: Current kennel/housing space: ~102 primarily double compartment front to back kennels

Double  
compartment  
front to

A kennel 16 back(dc)

M kennel 16 dc

S kennel 16 dc

I kennel 22 dc

Q kennel 32 dc

Additional housing: blue room 7 single cages, vet sac, 4 single cages

To make ~100 kennels work giving 2023 intakes and desire for 80% capacity to allow day to day operations to occur, aLOS would need to be around 5 days. Ave. LOS is currently 10-13 days.

The other option is to reduce intakes. Reducing intakes and LOS in the current facility would be beneficial due to inadequate housing capacity and poor kennel conditions at this facility.

Note: 163 dogs in care in mid-March kennel inventory

**Dog Housing – Future Needs**

The future dog housing capacity need will be 272 housing with average length of stay (LOS) of 14 days based on 2019 intake of 5513 annually (459/ month and around 15/day). 2019 data had a lower percentage of puppies (also more conservative for calculating the future). See following table for summary of housing. See Appendix A for more detailed housing calculations.

Dog Housing Needs Future based on 2019 intakes – last best data year due to covid pandemic:

DOG HOUSING CAPACITY for FUTURE: based on peak year intakes (2019) and a 14-day average length of stay (aLOS)					
Annual intake: 5513 (2019) 15% pups – co-housed Ave. LOS: 14 days			Housing Type		
Housing type		Housing spaces	Real life type Room	Double compartment Kennels	Double compartment cage housing for puppies/small dogs
F L O W	Holding/Adoption	240	Provide a variety of housing to meet dog housing needs. Most should be kennel type housing with some real life and cage type housing to accommodate organization and animal needs.		
	Flex				
	Special care				
	Quarantine/Confiscate				
Isolation low risk (respiratory)		24			
Isolation high risk		8			
Total housing units		272	<p><b>Comments:</b> Ave. intakes were 15 dogs/day and peak intakes were 18 dogs/day. In the years 2019-2023 aLOS has been 10 days or less. 2019 data had a lower percentage of puppies (also more conservative for calculating for future). Calculating housing needs at an aLOS of 14 days will provide some flexibility for changes in intakes in the future. This housing does not include medical housing that may be needed – generally expect this to be included in the clinic area. This analysis should be repeated nearer to the time of a new building or remodel for better understanding of dog intake trends and housing needs. The impact of operations and programs can be great and will affect the capacity need of a shelter facility.</p> <p><b>% Capacity in use: at Peak intake, expect 100% capacity; at times of average intake goal is to have 80% or less of housing occupied</b></p>		

**Cat Housing – Current Needs**

The current cat housing capacity need should be 140 housing units using a LOS of 10 days based on live intake of 4148 annually (382/month and 11 per day). The existing cat housing is 109 with average LOS is 10 days. See following table for summary of housing. See Appendix A for more detailed housing calculations.

Cat Housing Needs Current:

CAT HOUSING CAPACITY Needs 2023				
Annual intake: 4148 66% juvenile on ave. 79% juveniles at peak intake Ave. LOS 10 days		Housing Type:		
Housing type	Housing spaces	Double compartment cages	Individual Room	Group Room
F L O W	Holding/Adoption	120	Housing Variety	
	Flex			
	Special care			
	Quarantine			
High risk iso + anti-room	8			
Isolation low risk (respiratory)	12			
<b>Total housing units</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>Comments:</b> Ave. intake 382/month, peak intake 594/month. Ave. intake with a 10-day aLOS requires 84 housing spaces. Peak intake with 10-day aLOS requires 120 housing spaces. This housing does not include medical housing that may be needed. % Capacity in use: at Peak intake, expect 100% capacity; at times of average intake goal is to have 80% or less of housing occupied		

Note: Cats current housing number ~

Cat housing spaces	75	Flow through
CA recovery	19	
Ringworm treatment	6	
Isolation	9	
<b>Total</b>	<b>109</b>	

**Cat Housing – Future Needs**

The future cat housing capacity need will be 188 housing units using a LOS of 10 days based on 2019 intake of 4704 annually (392/month and 13 per day). Intakes are 62% kittens on average. See following table for summary of housing. See Appendix A for more detailed housing calculations.

Cat Housing Needs Future:



CAT HOUSING CAPACITY FUTURE: based on 2019 intakes				
Annual intake: 4704 (2019) 62% juveniles on ave 73% juveniles at peak intake times Ave. LOS 10 days		Housing Type		
Housing type	Housing spaces	Double compartment cages	Individual Room	Group Room
F L O W	Holding/Adoption	164	Provide a variety of housing to meet animal and care needs. Most housing to be cage housing, some individual room and small amount of group housing. Both front and back of house to have a variety of housing to meet agreed upon needs	
	Flex			
	Special care			
	Quarantine			
Isolation low risk (respiratory)	16	TBD		
Isolation High risk (ring worm, others)	8	TBD		
<b>Total housing units</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>Comments:</b> Ave. intake 392/month, peak intake 775/month. Intakes are 62% kittens on average, at peak intake kittens account for 73% of intakes. Ave. intake with a 10 day aLOS requires 130 housing spaces. Peak intake with 10 day aLOS requires 164 housing spaces. This housing does not include medical housing that may be needed- generally expect this to be included in the clinic area. % Capacity in use: at Peak intake, expect 100% capacity; at times of average intake goal is to have 80% or less of housing occupied		

## B DIVERSITY OF HOUSING TYPES NEEDED

See Appendix A for detailed information provided by Dr. Wagner from KSMP.


## C CORRELATION BETWEEN ANIMAL INTAKE AND HUMAN POPULATION OF SACRAMENTO.

There has been concern that population growth may translate to a growth in shelter animal intake. However, there is no correlation between shelter intake and City of Sacramento human population growth. Please see Appendix A for further detail. Pandemic years 2020 and 2021 impacted shelter intakes greatly (reduced) and post pandemic 2022 and 2023 have yet to recover to pre-pandemic intake levels.




## D GEOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS (WHERE ARE CALLS FOR SERVICE COMING FROM)

A review of service requests to the Front Street Animal Shelter indicates that, as anticipated, the majority of service request calls come from within the City of Sacramento. However, it is interesting to note that several calls for assistance come from surrounding communities such as Citrus Heights or Elk Grove.




Source - Front Street Animal Shelter




Source - Front Street Animal Shelter

Furthermore, calls were analyzed by the City Council District to understand which geographic areas appear most reliant on shelter for services or information. Over two years of call analysis, it appears residents of Districts 2, 4 and 5 turn to the shelter for support. This information may be helpful in any shelter relocation considerations. See figure below.



Source - Front Street Animal Shelter



Source - Front Street Animal Shelter

## E PROGRAM SUMMARY

The program includes housing capacity recommendations, existing spaces, program needs identified by FSAS as currently provided or missing and identifying future needs. Please see Appendix B for further detail and analysis.

### Existing

The existing shelter is 29,280 square feet with a site area of 1.8 acres. Since it's 100 years of service, the Front Street Animal Shelter location and facility no longer has enough housing for current animal intake and does not meet sheltering best practices.

### Current & Future Need

Providing for all current and future needs with recommended building and site program areas meeting sheltering best practices requires a 50,000-sf facility with minimum 7-acre site. According to today's best practices, the goal of an animal shelter is to operate at 80% capacity or less. Meaning, 80% of all kennel/housing space is occupied, not 100%. An additional 20% is available for community needs such as extended legal holds, civic emergencies (wildfire, flood), or extreme animal control needs such as hoarding cases. 80% is the new definition of "full" in animal shelter best practices. See figure "Building Program Summary" and Appendix B for the detailed program area.

<b>BUILDING PROGRAM SUMMARY</b>				
<b>SQUARE FEET ANALYSIS</b>				
	Existing (SF)	Net Current & Future Net (SF)	Current & Future Gross (SF)	Current & Future Exterior Covered (SF)
Public Spaces	859	3,400	4,590	168
Feline and Small Animal	1,338	5,103	6,889	257
Canine	7,051	12,086	16,247	13,152
Animal Support Spaces	894	1,684	2,240	0
Vet / Medical	2,133	5,552	7,495	0
Shelter Intake & Processing	582	2,348	3,134	1,200
Administration	3,353	6,479	8,744	252
Building Support & Misc.	90	328	436	0
<b>Interior Totals</b>	<b>15,390</b>	<b>37,000</b>	<b>49,800</b>	<b>15,400</b>
Building Efficiency Factor			74%	
Existing gross area (for rough comparison)			29,280	
			-41.20%	
Exterior Covered			22,516	
Interior & Exterior Covered Totals			72,316	
Exterior Uncovered			12,800	

<b>SITE PROGRAM SUMMARY</b>	
<b>ACERAGE ANALYSIS</b>	
Building & Covered Exterior Area	72,316
Site Program Areas (incl. parking, exercise yards, landscaping, etc.)	141,037
Site Efficiency (Net-to-Gross) Factor of =70%	89,608
<b>Total Site &amp; Building Area (rounded)</b>	<b>302,961</b>
	6.9
Existing Site Area	1.8

## F NOISE STUDY CONDUCTED

As FSAS is right beside the freeway and noise concerns were reported by the staff, Noise Exposure Assessment and Sound Level Survey was conducted by Diana Lutsik from Forensic Analytical Consulting Services.

Results of dosimetry monitoring indicate that average noise exposures for the FSAS employees are below the California Division of Occupational Safety and Health (Cal/OSHA) 8-hr Action Level of 85 decibels (dBA), the adjusted 10-hr Action Level of 83.4 dBA and Permissible Exposure Limit of 90 dBA. While not meeting Cal/OSHA levels that require mitigation, on any given day the noise can be disruptive to operations (for example, it's difficult to have adoption conversations) and can be observed as extremely stressful to the animals housed at the facility.

See Appendix D for Noise Exposure Assessment and Sound Level Survey Report.

## 5 REVIEW OF OPTIONS FOR THE FUTURE


Based on the above findings and housing recommendations provided by Dr. Wagner, the following 4 options were explored following the shelter medicine best practices.

### A RENOVAE AND EXPAND EXISTING SHELTER

#### i Under the Freeway

To maximize site area, visitor, staff and ACO parking was relocated under the freeway. A secure staff entry and ACO entry is from North side. This significantly improves the pathways through the facility for staff, public and animals. A secure vehicle sally port on the north side with a new dog intake building. Secured gate that separates the visitor and staff parking. This provides better vehicular circulation around the site. The ones marked in blue are the existing buildings and new three new kennel buildings, an adoption center, multi-purpose room, admin, vet, and cattery were explored on the site following the best practices for shelter.

Under the freeway site area is approximately one acre, which now brings the total site area to 2.8 acres. This option is not able to meet the recommended 7-acre site area and does not meet the recommended animal housing. This option requires phasing and temporary relocation.




**ii Across the Street**

Following the under the freeway parking from option A i., across the street parking lot site was explored. Adoption center, admin and vet program spaces are placed on this site. This provides separation between the species.

The two sites are interconnected by a bridge, which would allow easy access for staff, animals, and avoid road crossings.

Across the street site is about one acre, which brings to the total site area of 3.8 acres.


While this option showed significant improvements with added site and building area, recommended site of 7 acre and building program areas are not met. This option also requires phasing and temporary relocation.



iii Going up


Following the Option i and ii with parking under the freeway and across the street parking lot, adding two story structures were explored for adoption center and admin space.

While this option also showed significant improvements with added building area, recommended site of 7 acre and building program areas are still not met. This option also requires phasing and temporary relocation.




**B RENOVATE EXISTING SHELTER FOR ANIMAL CONTROL ONLY, BUILD A NEW ADOPTION CENTER ON NEW SITE**

The existing shelter would be renovated to exclusively serve the needs of animal control, enhancing its facilities to provide better care and management for stray or lost animals. Additionally, a new adoption center can be constructed on a separate site, designed to create a welcoming and supportive environment for prospective pet owners and animals awaiting adoption. While this option shows significant improvements, managing two sites seems to create inefficient operations among the staff and veterinary services.



**C RENOVATE EXISTING SHELTER, BUILD A SECOND, SATELLITE SHELTER ON NEW SITE**



The existing shelter would undergo renovations to improve its current facilities, ensuring a better environment for the animals and more efficient operations. In addition to this, a second satellite shelter would be built on a new site. This satellite shelter will help alleviate overcrowding at the main shelter and provide additional resources and space for animal care. While this option as well shows significant improvements, managing two sites seems to create inefficient operations among the staff and veterinary services.

Source - Sacramento 2040 General Plan, August 2023

## D BUILD A NEW SHELTER ON NEW SITE, REPURPOSE THE EXISTING SITE

Selecting a new site could include consideration of where high-volume requests for assistance are received, as noted previously in the geographic analysis of service request calls.


Please see Appendix C for additional Site Selection Criteria.

The new shelter would be designed to incorporate shelter medicine best practices, stress-reduction strategies, such as enrichment programs and comfortable housing environments, to maintain the physical and mental well-being of animals.

Having the recommended site area would help in designating separate areas for different types of animals, such as dogs, cats, and smaller pets, to reduce stress and prevent cross-species disease transmission.

Efficient staffing would be achieved through a strategic layout and technology integration, allowing staff to focus on direct animal care and minimizing routine task workloads.

This option ensures that the new shelter is designed to accommodate current needs of the FSAS and have flexibility to expand in future to accommodate future needs of the animal shelter.




Source – City of Sacramento, Map Gallery

## 6 HYPOTHETICAL SITE OPTION

This option was developed to show what a facility could look like delivering all recommended and desired program spaces with room for future growth and expanded services while meeting all shelter medicine best practices. The plan shows a phased approach with a required site area of 7 acres minimum, and possible expansion to meet the future needs. See figure “Hypothetical Site Plan on 7 acres site”. Phasing would allow the project to proceed if the budget did not allow for the full built-out at the start.

Phase 1 would include all recommended current needs of animal housing, support space, admin space and vet services. Phase 2 would expand boarding functions, add a community classroom, additional admin space for future needs and expanded vet services. See figures “Hypothetical floor plan” and “Hypothetical floor plan with future expansion”.

The program should be revisited prior to any design work beginning on the new shelter.



*Hypothetical site plan on 7 acres site*



Hypothetical floor plan



Hypothetical floor plan with future expansion

## 7 COST AND TIMELINE

### A RENOVATING AND EXPANDING THE EXISTING ANIMAL SHELTER

Renovating and expanding the existing animal shelter will cost around \$30 - \$50 million and requires 6 – 8 years of design and construction. This timeframe and budget are reflective of current economic conditions, including material costs, labor rates, and regulatory requirements.

### B HYPOTHETICAL NEW ANIMAL SHELTER ON NEW SITE

A new animal shelter on a hypothetical site will cost around \$40 - \$60 million and requires 3 – 5 years of design and construction. This timeframe and budget are reflective of current economic conditions, including material costs, labor rates, and regulatory requirements.

The costs account for land acquisition, architectural design, construction, and to provide the shelter with state-of-the-art veterinary, animal care and housing equipment. The extended timeline ensures thorough planning and execution, allowing for detailed site selection, securing necessary permits, and creating a comprehensive design that optimizes operational efficiency and animal welfare.

## 8 RECOMMENDATIONS

It was agreed during charette that renovation on the current site is not an ideal solution for several reasons, main reason being, a renovated site would still have many limitations in terms of recommended site area and recommended current needs for animal housing and requires temporary relocation.

### A RECOMMENDATIONS, NEW SHELTER

Our recommendations are as follows:

- Seek a new 7-acre site.
- Build new +/- 50,000 sf building.
- Initiate fundraising campaign.
- Proceed with interim recommendations, see above.

### B INTERIM IMPROVEMENTS

While looking for a new site, based on the feedback received during the meetings and charrette, the following interim improvements were identified and are listed below by cost.

INTERIM IMPROVEMENTS, LISTED IN ORDER OF COST				
	Location	Description	Expected cost range	
			low-end	high-end
1	Site	Add bus stop for better commute	\$0	\$30,000
2	Site	Visual barrier entry exit walkway from intake to kennel area	\$1,000	\$2,000
3	Site	More signage for improved wayfinding	\$5,000	\$10,000
4	Site	Need a fence behind kennel I and Q	\$10,000	\$15,000
5	Kennel S	Shade covering	\$12,000	\$20,000
6	Kennels	Door/latches needs to be replaced.	\$15,000	\$20,000
7	Site	More outdoor meet and greet spaces for dogs with shade	\$20,000	\$30,000
8	Mammal	Improve mammal housing	\$30,000	\$60,000
9	Kennels	Fix the guillotine doors, repair with some replace	\$60,000	\$120,000
10	Kennels	Improve lighting	\$80,000	\$100,000
11	Kennels	Better ventilation	\$120,000	\$150,000
12	Site	Better food storage location, new modular	\$250,000	\$350,000
13	Kennels	Remove curbs, cover drains	\$350,000	\$500,000
14	Dog	Repurpose existing cat housing for puppy/small dog housing.	\$400,000	\$800,000
15	Dog	Enclosed meet and greet for dogs	\$700,000	\$1,000,000
16	Surgery Center	Expand Surgery Center by 600 sf for uses below	\$720,000	\$900,000
17	Surgery Center	Separate washer and dryer	incl	incl
18	Surgery Center	Relocation of X-Ray room	incl	incl
19	Surgery Center	Expand veterinary services for more intake	incl	incl
20	Cat	Relocate cat housing to temporary structures - 2,000 sf modular	\$800,000	\$1,100,000
21	Parking	Move parking under the freeway making room for modular buildings on existing parking	\$1,700,000	\$2,400,000
Total			\$5,273,000	\$7,607,000
Rounded Total			\$5,300,000	\$7,700,000

# Front Street Housing Capacity Report

June 2024

D. Wagner, B. Stuntebeck

Facility Design Team



This report represents our recommendations for housing capacity. After engaging with your team to learn about your agency and your community, and reviewing your shelter data we have created this report to describe how many housing units are needed based on the currently available data, with refinement following discussion with your team.

Changes in shelter operations, agency programming, or community needs will impact these recommendations.

## General Notes and Housing Guidelines

- Reviewed data 2019-2023 annual
  - To calculate capacity needs we reviewed historical average and peak values. We used 2023 annual data for current needs and 2019 annual data for future needs as it represents intake data most unaffected by the pandemic.
  - If substantial changes in operations or management will be made that will affect intakes or outcomes in the future we recommend recalculating capacity needs given those parameters.
  - The capacity calculations are based on the historical data you have provided. Inaccuracies or missing information in the source data may impact the estimates we provide for housing capacity. As we share your data check that it accurately represents your organization.
- Housing capacity (number of housing units)
  - = number of intakes per day x target length of stay
  - Live intakes are used to calculate shelter capacity needs.
  - Animals in foster are not included in the housing capacity calculations.
  - Housing needs for juvenile animals (puppies and kittens) 4 weeks to < 6 months old are calculated assuming co-housing an average of 2 juveniles per housing unit.
  - We calculate housing capacity to meet peak needs.
    - Intakes in time periods of highest (peak) intake are accounted for in calculations of flow-through housing needs.
      - Flow through housing is housing needed for adoption/hold, flex, quarantine/confiscate not including hoarding cases.

- Additional housing is needed for isolation, medical care, and any special needs.
  - Emergency and hoarding case housing (large intakes) is not designed into the facility as permanent housing and should be accounted for in multiple ways (partnerships with other organizations, use of fairground facilities, others etc.) as well as with use of temporary housing - pop-up cages and other short term housing that can be assembled for use (need storage for this as well as suitable location for use- ex: multipurpose room, garage space, staging area, etc.)
- Species separation
  - Species separation should be a high priority throughout the animal’s shelter experience from transportation to the facility to outcome.
  - Predator and prey species should not be housed together.
  - Pathways should minimize cross species exposure.
  - Cats can be particularly sensitive to dog exposure. Cats should be housed as distant to dogs as possible with special attention to limiting dog barking noise, visual sight, and smell of dogs.
- **Sheltering best practices average length of stay (aLOS) goals:**
  - **Dogs: adult 10-14 days or less**
  - **Cats: adult 10 days or less**
  - **Juvenile dogs and cats 5-7 days or less**

*These average LOS goals are used to calculate your housing capacities.*
- Dog and cat housing capacity recommendations are based on adult animals being individually housed in housing units with a [double-compartment configuration](#).
  - Individual animals vary widely in the types/size of housing that will meet their physical and behavioral needs. We recommend having a variety of housing unit types and sizes to have flexibility in meeting animal and staff care needs.
  - Co-housing can occur- it should only be done when it’s a benefit to the individual animals and not to increase facility capacity. To help ensure appropriate capacity co-housed animals should each have a housing unit assigned such that when co-housed there is a housing unit empty.
  - Dogs: with short lengths of stay (aLOS < 14 days) we generally recommend shelters have mostly double compartment kennel housing with some real-life type rooms (double compartment) and some small dog/puppy cage housing also double compartment.
    - We recommend **5’ wide kennels** (do not go below 4’ width). 5’ kennels provide dogs with adequate space and allow staff better ease and efficiency providing daily care needs.
    - We recommend **10-12' long kennels** (do not go below 9’ long). Each compartment can be equal in length or have one larger and one smaller. Recommend the side with bed/food/water be at least **5’ in length**.
    - Provide some XL kennels – **6’ wide** - to accommodate very large dogs, mom and pups, etc.
    - Real life type rooms (predominately glass enclosed rooms) can provide spacious viewing type rooms, these housing units tend to provide a quieter environment

outside the unit – especially seen used in adoption areas where the public may visit but can also be valuable in back housing areas. These can be isolating for some dogs so proper selection of dogs for use is needed for a good fit.

- Cage housing for small dogs and puppies: we recommend cages that are **6’ long and 28” deep x 30” tall (with a transfer door or portal at the middle of the unit)**. These should be side-to-side double compartment and can be double stacked. We do not recommend front-to-back double compartment cage housing. Cage housing can be preferable to kennel housing for small dogs/pups as cage units can be set up in ways to better meet comfort needs and reduce disease risks of puppies/small dogs and when set up in a separate ward are often quieter.
- Cats: with short lengths of stay (aLOS <10 days) can primarily be cage housed (can be double stacked) with some individual room and group room housing.
  - Double compartment cage housing is an economical, easy to clean, durable, and humane housing option for most cats who are expected to stay in the shelter for less than 10 days.
    - *Note that having 2 compartments vs. a spacious single compartment cage supports efficient task completion, better separation between main living area and bathroom area and safe, low-stress interactions between people and cats.*
  - Cage housing should provide at least **11 square feet of flooring space**.
    - A double-compartment cage that is 5’ wide and 28” deep will provide 11.65 ft<sup>2</sup> of floor space.
      - *Note: when retrofitting existing housing units (e.g., installing portals between 2 single compartment cat cages) 8 square feet is the minimum recommended floor space for cat housing units. This can be accomplished between two traditional 2x2 type cage housing units.*
    - *When purchasing new cage housing units for cats select option that have at least 11 square feet of floor space.*
    - *# square feet = width in inches x depth in inches ÷ 144*
    - *Cages 24-30” high are recommended for double stacking. Don’t exceed 30” height or the bank will be too high for efficient and safe daily care by staff.*
  - Individual room or kennel run housing provides housing variety for cats that do not do well in either cage or group housing or have or are expected to have a longer length of stay in care.
    - Double compartment kennel runs typically used for dogs can be a great housing option for cats (so long as there is no exposure to dogs during use).
    - Recommended room size **36 square feet** or greater – this can accommodate 1-2 adult cats.
    - Provide outdoor space whenever possible in the form of a screened-in porch that has a person door and a cat door.

- Group housing
      - Provide **18 square feet or more of floor space per cat** housed.
      - Keep cat numbers per room small (ideally no more than 4 – 6 cats) even if you decide to make larger rooms, and practice all-in, all-out management.
        - A room for up to 4 cats should be **72 square feet** or greater.
        - All-in, all-out management: This means that no additional cats are added to a group until each individual of the previous group has been removed. This strategy helps limit infectious disease risks.
      - Provide outdoor space whenever possible in the form of a screened-in porch that has a person door and a cat door.
- Outdoor access and Outdoor yards
  - Having outdoor spaces to meet animal needs enhances the animal’s experience in the shelter environment and increases low-cost space for use.
  - Ensure that dogs have outdoor yards (variety of sizes) for exercise and play.
  - Including outdoor experiences for cat housing be it for individual or room housing can be beneficial.
  - Outdoor yard features can peak public interest and participation in support of the shelter facility.
  - Indoor exercise and play areas (multipurpose room can be used for this) are important for dealing with weather and climate needs.
- 2023 average length of stay (aLOS)
  - Dogs: 10 days (6 days 2019)
  - Puppies: 8 days (6 days 2019)
  - Cats: 12 days (12 2019)
  - Kittens: 11 days (5 days 2019)

*See addendum at the end of the report for breakdown of dog LOS*
- Current shelter housing capacity
  - Dogs: ~102 housing units kennel totals plus some small amount of cage housing ~10 cages.
  - Cats: 109 housing unit/spaces total
    - Cat housing spaces 75 (flow through housing)
    - CA recovery 19
    - Ringworm TMT 6
    - Cat isolation 9
  - Small mammals: are housed in the cat condos area of cat adoption.
- High priority challenges of this facility

- Not enough kennels to properly house dogs individually (multiple dogs in nearly all kennels)
- Not enough veterinary services – space and staffing to serve the shelter population nor community needs.
- Species separation is lacking throughout facility.
  - Though there is separation of species in the areas where cats and dogs are housed the barking dog noise from the kennel area is heard throughout most of these animal housing areas as well as in other areas of the shelter.
  - Most species share common pathways, some housing areas are the pathway to other areas of the facility increasing the exposure between species.
  - Cats experience particularly high exposure to dogs in this facility.
- Stressful environment impacts animal welfare and makes it hard to make well informed decisions.
- Not enough people spaces to provide services (current and needed).
- Facility deficiencies in total negatively impact the chance for positive outcomes for animals as well as impairs staff ability to do the good work they desire to do.
- Public service is impaired by the poor facility resulting in congestion and long waiting time for services (this impacts the chances of animals moving through this facility efficiently and safely to positive outcomes).

## Dog Data and Housing Capacity Calculations

Dog data:

Intakes by month,  
all ages

Intake Condition	(Multiple Items)	Removed dead
Intake Type	(Multiple Items)	Removed disposal, euthanasia request, commclinic, foster
Animal Type	DOG	(Select dogs only)
Age Category	(All)	
Intake Subtype	(Multiple Items)	Removed FOUND RPT, LOST RPT, WEB

Count of Animal ID#	Column Labels	2020	2021	2022	2023
Row Labels	2019				
1	550	488	284	277	480
2	471	374	213	270	425
3	484	276	223	362	509
4	395	82	238	366	369
5	419	148	291	365	428
6	393	205	242	370	435
7	538	209	356	372	483
8	511	211	264	371	453
9	415	215	297	419	408
10	440	256	359	404	426
11	410	230	281	380	478
12	487	217	345	433	495
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>5513</b>	<b>2911</b>	<b>3393</b>	<b>4389</b>	<b>5389</b>

**Intakes by Month**  
**- Puppies**

Intake Condition	(Multiple Items)	Removed dead
Intake Type	(Multiple Items)	Removed disposal, euthanasia request, commclinic, foster
Animal Type	DOG	(Select dogs only)
Age Category	PUPPY	(Select puppies only)
Intake Subtype	(Multiple Items)	Removed FOUND RPT, LOST RPT, WEB

Count of Animal ID#	Column Labels	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Row Labels						
1		68	66	36	43	97
2		61	70	31	35	104
3		75	41	26	47	118
4		41	7	22	70	62
5		44	28	83	49	77
6		63	23	35	69	100
7		110	13	65	55	112
8		94	24	37	88	114
9		62	29	42	103	111
10		60	24	75	59	85
11		76	16	36	73	139
12		81	23	54	68	127
<b>Grand Total</b>		<b>835</b>	<b>364</b>	<b>542</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>1246</b>

**RTO Rate for Strays - Dogs/Puppies Combined**

Year	Stray Intake	RTO	RTO Rate
2019	4409	1669	38%
2020	2278	960	42%
2021	2629	1157	44%
2022	3315	1207	36%
2023	4120	1525	37%

2023 Data, total live dog intakes: 5389

Adults 4143

Juvenile: 1246

(juveniles are co-housed for determining housing needs)

Ave. intake Housing needs (23% juveniles):	<u>7</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>14 days</u>
	93	132	185 housing units
Peak combined intake: 509 dogs – March (391 adults and 118 juveniles, 23% juveniles)			
Peak intake housing needs:	<u>7</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>14 days</u>
	105	150	210 housing units

*Note: 23% juveniles for intakes are high, expect to see about 10% or less. If the % of puppies decrease (say due to increased access to s/n) with accompanied decrease in intakes numbers but if intakes numbers remain the same or increase – more housing will be needed due to higher percentage of dogs (adults) needing individual housing. If current intakes were 10% juvenile then the housing units needed at peak (14 ave LOS) would be 224 vs 210 that is provided above.*

## Dog Housing Capacity Recommendations:

### Dog Housing Needs Current:

DOG HOUSING CAPACITY 2023				
Annual intake: 5389 23% pups – co-housed Average Length of stay: 14 days		Housing type		
Housing location		Housing spaces	Real life type Room	Double compartment Kennels
F L O W	Holding/Adoption	210	Housing variety	
	Flex			
	Quarantine/Confiscate			
Isolation low risk (respiratory)		22		
Isolation high risk with anti-room		8		
<b>Total housing units</b>		<b>240</b>	<b>Comments:</b> Ave intake: 449/month, peak intake 509/month. 2023 intakes were on average 23 % pups which were co-housed for housing calculations. Ave intake along with 10-day aLOS requires about 132 housing units. Peak intake with 10 day aLOS requires 150 housing units, with 14 day aLOS requires 210 housing units. This housing does not include medical housing that may be needed. <b>% Capacity in use: at Peak intake expect 100% capacity; at average intake 80% or less of housing to be occupied</b>	

Notes: Current kennel/housing space: ~102 primarily double compartment front to back kennels

Double  
compartment  
front to

A kennel 16 back(dc)

M kennel 16 dc

S kennel 16 dc

I kennel 22 dc

Q kennel 32 dc

Additional housing: blue room 7 single cages, vet sac, 4 single cages

To make ~100 kennels work giving 2023 intakes and desire for 80% capacity to allow day to day operations to occur, aLOS would need to be around 5 days. Ave. LOS is currently 10-13 days. The other option is to reduce intakes. Reducing intakes and LOS in the current facility would be beneficial due to inadequate housing capacity and poor kennel conditions at this facility.

Note: 163 dogs in care in mid-March kennel inventory

Dog Housing Needs Future based on 2019 intakes –  
last best data year due to covid pandemic:

DOG HOUSING CAPACITY for FUTURE: based on peak year intakes (2019) and a 14-day average length of stay (aLOS)				
Annual intake: 5513 (2019) 15% pups – co-housed Ave. LOS: 14 days		Housing Type		
Housing type	Housing spaces	Real life type Room	Double compartment Kennels	Double compartment cage housing for puppies/small dogs
F L O W	Holding/Adoption	240	Provide a variety of housing to meet dog housing needs. Most should be kennel type housing with some real life and cage type housing to accommodate organization and animal needs.	
	Flex			
	Special care			
	Quarantine/Confiscate			
Isolation low risk (respiratory)	24			
Isolation high risk	8			
<b>Total housing units</b>	<b>272</b>	<p><b>Comments:</b> Ave. intakes were 15 dogs/day and peak intakes were 18 dogs/day. In the years 2019-2023 aLOS has been 10 days or less. 2019 data had a lower percentage of puppies (also more conservative for calculating for future). Calculating housing needs at an aLOS of 14 days will provide some flexibility for changes in intakes in the future. This housing does not include medical housing that may be needed – generally expect this to be included in the clinic area. This analysis should be repeated nearer to the time of a new building or remodel for better understanding of dog intake trends and housing needs. The impact of operations and programs can be great and will affect the capacity need of a shelter facility.</p> <p><b>% Capacity in use: at Peak intake, expect 100% capacity; at times of average intake goal is to have 80% or less of housing occupied</b></p>		

## Cat Data and Housing Capacity Calculations

### Cat Data:

#### Intakes by Month - Cats/Kittens Combined

Intake Condition	(Multiple Items)	Removed dead
Intake Type	(Multiple Items)	Removed disposal, euthanasia request, commclinic, foster
Animal Type	CAT	(Select cats)
Age Category	(Multiple Items)	(Select both cats & kittens)
Intake Subtype	(Multiple Items)	Removed FOUND RPT, LOST RPT, WEB

Count of Animal ID#	Column Labels	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Row Labels						
1		157	126	77	65	101
2		172	110	62	91	115
3		268	119	165	228	256
4		360	139	368	305	423
5		775	260	510	518	508
6		565	228	339	424	594
7		458	294	229	348	485
8		536	196	373	342	408
9		521	208	215	269	414
10		474	203	217	319	449
11		254	131	115	213	234
12		164	69	101	151	161
<b>Grand Total</b>		<b>4704</b>	<b>2083</b>	<b>2771</b>	<b>3273</b>	<b>4148</b>

**Intakes by Month**  
**- Kittens**

Intake Condition	(Multiple Items)	Removed dead
Intake Type	(Multiple Items)	Removed disposal, euthanasia request, commclinic, foster
Animal Type	CAT	(Select cats)
Age Category	KITTEN	(Select kittens only)
Intake Subtype	(Multiple Items)	Removed FOUND RPT, LOST RPT, WEB

Count of Animal ID#	Column Labels	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Row Labels						
1		62	47	20	17	41
2		44	38	10	31	40
3		95	60	93	123	113
4		213	88	294	232	295
5		569	211	430	438	398
6		432	181	282	339	471
7		357	238	180	282	377
8		428	146	234	272	327
9		418	169	154	192	348
10		367	164	146	253	360
11		161	88	69	155	143
12		93	22	43	53	94
<b>Grand Total</b>		<b>3239</b>	<b>1452</b>	<b>1955</b>	<b>2387</b>	<b>3007</b>

% Juvenile by Month 2019 vs 2023

2019 % Juvenile	2023 % Juvenile	Month
39%	41%	1
26%	35%	2
35%	44%	3
59%	70%	4
73%	78%	5
76%	79%	6
78%	78%	7
80%	80%	8
80%	84%	9
77%	80%	10
63%	61%	11
57%	58%	12

2023 Data, total live cat intakes: 4148 (juveniles are co-housed for determining housing needs)

Adults 4148

Juvenile: 3007

Ave. intake Housing needs (66% juveniles):

$$\frac{7}{50} \frac{10 \text{ days}}{72 \text{ housing units}}$$

Peak combined intake: 594 cats and kittens – June (123 adults and 471 juveniles, 79% juveniles)

Peak intake housing needs:

$$\frac{7}{84} \frac{10 \text{ days}}{120 \text{ housing units}}$$

## Cat Housing Recommendations:

Cat Housing Needs Current:

CAT HOUSING CAPACITY Needs 2023					
Annual intake: 4148 66% juvenile on ave. 79% juveniles at peak intake Ave. LOS 10 days		Housing Type:			
Housing type		Housing spaces	Double compartment cages	Individual Room	Group Room
F L O W	Holding/Adoption	120	Housing Variety		
	Flex				
	Special care				
	Quarantine				
High risk iso + anti-room		8			
Isolation low risk (respiratory)		12			
<b>Total housing units</b>		<b>140</b>	<b>Comments:</b> Ave. intake 382/month, peak intake 594/month. Ave. intake with a 10-day aLOS requires 84 housing spaces. Peak intake with 10-day aLOS requires 120 housing spaces. This housing does not include medical housing that may be needed. <b>% Capacity in use: at Peak intake, expect 100% capacity; at times of average intake goal is to have 80% or less of housing occupied</b>		

Note: Cats current housing number ~

Cat housing spaces	75	Flow through
CA recovery	19	
Ringworm treatment	6	
Isolation	9	
<b>Total</b>	<b>109</b>	

Cat Housing Needs Future:

CAT HOUSING CAPACITY FUTURE: based on 2019 intakes					
Annual intake: 4704 (2019) 62% juveniles on ave 73% juveniles at peak intake times Ave. LOS 10 days		Housing Type			
Housing type		Housing spaces	Double compartment cages	Individual Room	Group Room
F L O W	Holding/Adoption	164	Provide a variety of housing to meet animal and care needs. Most housing to be cage housing, some individual room and small amount of group housing. Both front and back of house to have a variety of housing to meet agreed upon needs		
	Flex				
	Special care				
	Quarantine				
Isolation low risk (respiratory)		16	TBD		
Isolation High risk (ring worm, others)		8	TBD		
<b>Total housing units</b>		<b>188</b>	<p><b>Comments:</b> Ave. intake 392/month, peak intake 775/month. Intakes are 62% kittens on average, at peak intake kittens account for 73% of intakes. Ave. intake with a 10 day aLOS requires 130 housing spaces. Peak intake with 10 day aLOS requires 164 housing spaces. This housing does not include medical housing that may be needed- generally expect this to be included in the clinic area.</p> <p><b>% Capacity in use: at Peak intake, expect 100% capacity; at times of average intake goal is to have 80% or less of housing occupied</b></p>		


# Addendum:

Dogs

## Distribution of LOS in shelter

Days in shelter 2023

blank	60
0	713
1-10	2822
11-20	751
21-30	298
31-40	135
41-50	96
51-60	37
61-70	26
71-80	19
81-90	9
90+	10



0's (713) – 342 RTO, 254 Foster, ~80 EA, 21 adopt, 15 transfer, 4 died

Blanks (60) – no outcome date

Dog and Cat intake data 2024 Through March 18<sup>th</sup>



2024 INTAKE	DOGS		
	ADULT	JUVENILE	TOTAL
JANUARY	420	101	521
FEBRUARY	355	122	477
MARCH*	215	71	286

2024 INTAKE	CATS		
	ADULT	JUVENILE	TOTAL
JANUARY	72	48	120
FEBRUARY	86	52	138
MARCH*	69	32	101

\*March 1 - March 18

### Historical Shelter Intakes vs Sacramento Human Population

Changes in shelter intakes with human population growth. No correlation noted. Pandemic years 2020 and 2021 impacted shelter intakes greatly (reduced) and post pandemic 2022 and 2023 have yet to recover to pre-pandemic intake levels.




sacramento  
 growth rate 2008-2022  
 ~14 %

INTAKE	DOGS	CATS
2008	5235	6175
2009	4893	6348
2010	5319	6200
2011	5470	5087
2012	5590	3972
2013	5619	3798
2014	5604	4236
2015	5402	5344
2016	5572	5316
2017	5940	4852
2018	5734	4528
2019	5439	4692
2020	2902	2084
2021	3388	2769
2022	4373	3268
2023	5244	4129

Sacramento  
Population

462712  
468051  
467246  
470788  
473430  
476645  
481284  
486741  
492284  
498386  
504185  
509748  
523416  
525041  
528001

525,041 (2021)



[Explore more →](#)

Sources include: United States Census Bureau

[Feedback](#)

<b>BUILDING PROGRAM SUMMARY</b>					
<b>SQUARE FEET ANALYSIS</b>					
	Existing Net	Current & Future	Current & Future	Current & Future	
	(SF)	Net (SF)	Gross (SF)	Exterior	Covered (SF)
Public Spaces	859	3,400	4,590		168
Feline and Small Animal	1,338	5,103	6,889		257
Canine	7,051	12,086	16,247		13,152
Animal Support Spaces	894	1,684	2,240		0
Vet / Medical	2,133	5,552	7,495		0
Shelter Intake & Processing	582	2,348	3,134		1,200
Administration	3,353	6,479	8,744		252
Building Support & Misc.	90	328	436		0
Interior Totals	15,390	37,000	49,800		15,400
Building Efficiency Factor			74%		
Existing gross area (for rough comparison)			29,280		
			-41.20%		
Exterior Covered			22,516		
Interior & Exterior Covered Totals			72,316		
Exterior Uncovered			12,800		

<b>SITE PROGRAM SUMMARY</b>	
<b>ACERAGE ANALYSIS</b>	
Building & Covered Exterior Area	72,316
Site Program Areas (incl. parking, exercise yards, landscaping, etc.)	141,037
Site Efficiency (Net-to-Gross) Factor of =70%	89,608
Total Site & Building Area (rounded)	302,961
	6.9
Existing Site Area	1.8

Space Description	# Rooms	Housing Units		Size	Existing Net (for rough comparison)	Current & Future Net (SF)	Circulation Multiplier	Current & Future Gross (SF)	Current & Future Exterior Covered (SF)	Comments
		Existing	Recommended							
<b>Public Spaces</b>										
Vestibule	1	-	-	8 x 12 =	0	96	1.35	130		
Lobby	1	-	-	16 x 20 =	171	320	1.35	432		incl. waiting area - separate cats & dogs
Reception Desk - Adoption / Redemption	1	-	-	4 x 16 =	25	64	1.35	86		
Retail	0	-	-	8 x 10 =	0	0	1.35	0		
Donation Room	1	-	-	8 x 12 =	15	96	1.35	130		Existing is corner in lobby.
Counseling Rooms	2	-	-	9 x 10 =	0	180	1.35	243		not included
Public Restrooms	2	-	-	9 x 10 =	100	180	1.35	243		
Janitorial	1	-	-	8 x 8 =	0	64	1.35	86		
Community Room	1	-	-	30 x 60 =	548	1800	1.35	2430		60 people tables & chairs w/ diving wall
Community Room covered Patio	1	-	-	12 x 14 =					168	
Community Room - Kitchen	1	-	-	8 x 20 =	0	160	1.35	216		
Community Room Storage	2	-	-	10 x 10 =	0	200	1.35	270		
Volunteer Room	1	-	-	15 x 16 =	0	240	1.35	324		
<b>Subtotal - Public Spaces:</b>					<b>859</b>	<b>3,400</b>		<b>4,590</b>	<b>168</b>	
<b>Feline and Small Animal</b>										
Reptile / Small Mammals	1			8 x 9 =	0	72	1.35	97		
Small Mammals / Exotics	2			8 x 9 =	0	144	1.35	194		
Cat Holding / Adopt Group Room	2	1	2	8 x 9 =	60	144	1.35	194		
Catio	2			8 x 6 =			1		96	
Individual Room	4	1	4	4.5 x 5 =	40	90	1.35	122		
Catio	3			4.5 x 5 =			1		67.5	
Dbl. Compartment Cages: 30"x60", stac	7	48	106	10 x 25 =	162	1,750	1.35	2363		14-18 cats max each rm w/ sinks
Cat Flex	8			2.5 x 5 =	152	100	1.35	135		
Group Room	2	6	2	8 x 9 =	0	144	1.35	194		back of house
Catio	1			8 x 6 =			1		48	
Individual Room	2	0	2	5 x 5 =	0	45	1.35	61		back of house
Catio	2			5 x 5 =			1		45	
Dbl. Compartment Cages: 30"x60", stac	4	24	48	10 x 20 =	340	800	1.35	1080		sinks in each room. 8-12 cats each room
Get Acquainted	3	-	-	9 x 10 =	0	270	1.35	365		
Cat Foster Housing / Flex Room	1	-	-	6.0 x 8 =	0	48	1.35	65		
Cat Prep/ Workroom	3	-	-	12 x 14 =	205	504	1.35	680		
Quarantine/ Confiscate	0	6	0	8 x 10 =	107	0	1.35	0		
Isolation Cats - low risk	4	9	16	10 x 15 =	157	600	1.35	810		4 room of 4, sink in each room
Isolation Ante-Room	1			8 x 9 =	0	72	1.35	97		Direct access into Iso High Risk
Isolation High Risk (rinworm)	2	12	8	10 x 16 =	115	320	1.35	432		Int. access into ante-room & ext. access
<b>Subtotal - Feline &amp; Small Animal:</b>		<b>107</b>	<b>188</b>		<b>1,338</b>	<b>5,103</b>		<b>6,889</b>	<b>257</b>	

Space Description	# Rooms	Existing	Recommended	Size	Existing Net (for rough comparison)	Current & Future Net (SF)	Circulation Multiplier	Current & Future Gross (SF)	Current & Future Exterior Covered (SF)	Comments
<b>Canine</b>										
Get Acquainted	4	-	-	10 x 10 =	0	400	1.35	540		
Real Life Room	6	-	6	8 x 8 =	0	384	1.35	518		
Stray/Adopt Puppy / Small Dog - dbl. compa	3	-	14	12 x 18 =	0	648	1.35	875		1 room of 6, 2 room of 4 with sinks
Stray/Adopt Kennels - standard	140	102	140	4.5 x 6 =	3,240	3780	2	7560		10 rooms of 14. Mix of std and lg. Sinks in room.
Outdoor Portion	106	-	-	4.5 x 8 =	-	-		-	3816	
Stray/Adopt Kennels - large	10	102	10	6.0 x 6 =	3,240	360	2	720		
Outdoor Portion	106	-	-	6.0 x 8 =	-	-		-	5088	
Flex Kennels - standard	36	-	36	4.5 x 6 =	0	972	2	1944		4 rooms of 10 w/ sink. 1 room 4. 1 large kennel. Sinks in room.
Outdoor Portion	36	-	-	4.5 x 8 =	-	1296	1	-	1296	
Stray/Adopt Kennels - large	4	-	4	6.0 x 6 =	0	144	2	288		4 rooms of 10 w/ sink. 1 room 4. 1 large kennel. Sinks in room.
Outdoor Portion	36	-	-	6.0 x 8 =	-	1728	1	-	1728	
Flex - Small dog / puppy - dbl.compartment	2	-	12	10 x 18 =	0	360	1.35	486		2 room of 6 with sink, cages stacked 2 high 1 room of 8, 1 room 10 - off view. 1 large kennel ea pod. Sinks in room.
Dog Quarantine/ Confiscate Kennels	18	2	18	4.5 x 6 =	183	486	2	972		
Outdoor Portion	18	-	-	4.5 x 8 =	-	-		-	648	
Isolation (low risk) - Kennels	16	2	18	4.5 x 6 =	123	432	2	864		Off view, 3 rooms of 5, 1 room of 6 with sinks in rooms
Outdoor Portion	14	-	-	4.5 x 8 =	-	-	1	-	504	
Isolation (low risk) small dog - double compa	1	2	6	12 x 18 =	0	216	1.35	292		1 room with sink, cages stacked 2 high
Isolation High Risk Ante-Room	1	-	-	8 x 9 =	0	72	1.35	97		Direct access into Iso High Risk Int. access into ante-room & ext. access - 3 rooms of 6
Isolation (high risk) - Kennels	1	0	4	14 x 28 =	115	392	1.35	529		
Outdoor Portion	2	-	-	4.5 x 8 =	-	-		-	72	
Isolation (high risk) small dog - double compa	1	2	4	8 x 12 =	0	96	1.35	130		1 room with sink, cages stacked 2 high
Dog Holding Workrooms	4	-	-	8 x 10 =	150	320	1.35	432		dispersed through campus
<b>Subtotal - Canine:</b>		<b>212</b>	<b>272</b>		<b>7,051</b>	<b>12,086</b>		<b>16,247</b>	<b>13,152</b>	
<b>Animal Support Spaces</b>										
Laundry w/ clean laundry storage - Dogs	1	-	-	15 x 24 =	64	360	1.33	479		
Laundry w/ clean laundry storage - Cats	1	-	-	12 x 13 =	0	156	1.33	207		
General Storage	2	-	-	11 x 15 =	70	330	1.33	439		
Food Storage	1	-	-	14 x 25 =	160	350	1.33	466		
Grooming - Dog	1	-	-	10 x 14 =	94	140	1.33	186		
Grooming - Cat	1	-	-	8 x 12 =	58	96	1.33	128		
Food Prep/Dish Washing Room	1	-	-	14 x 18 =	448	252	1.33	335		
<b>Subtotal - Animal Support Space:</b>					<b>894</b>	<b>1,684</b>		<b>2,240</b>	<b>0</b>	

Space Description	# Rooms	Existing	Recommended	Size	Existing Net (for rough comparison)	Current & Future Net (SF)	Circulation Multiplier	Current & Future Gross (SF)	Current & Future Exterior Covered (SF)	Comments
<b>Vet / Medical</b>										
Public low-cost clinic										
Vestibule	1	-	-	7 x 8 =	0	56	1.35	76		
Reception - low cost clinic	1	-	-	9 x 18 =	0	162	1.35	219		
Lobby / Waiting Waiting	1	-	-	16 x 24 =	0	384	1.35	518		Separate dog and cat waiting area
Public Restroom	1	-	-	7 x 9 =	0	63	1.35	85		
Cat Exam Room	1	-	-	8 x 10 =	0	80	1.35	108		Near lobby
Dog Exam Room	1	-	-	8 x 10 =	0	80	1.35	108		Near lobby
Flex Exam Room	1	-	-	8 x 10 =	0	80	1.35	108		Near lobby
Low Cost Clinic - dog short term hold	1	-	-	11 x 13 =	0	143	1.35	193		Near lobby. 3 kennels
Low Cost Clinic - cat short term hold	1	-	-	8 x 10 =	0	80	1.35	108		Near lobby.9 cages
Low Cost Clinic - Medical Treatment	1	-	-	12 x 34 =	0	408	1.35	551		Separate dog and cat tables with staff work table
Check in - Staff work area	1	-	-	8 x 12 =	0	96	1.35	130		
Clinic Manager	1	-	-	8 x 8 =	0	64	1.35	86		Near lobby
Euthansia	1	-	-	10 x 7 =	294	70	1.35	95		Near lobby
Outdoor Comfort Garden	1	-	-	14 x 25 =					350	
<b>Subtotal Public low-cost clinic:</b>					<b>294</b>	<b>1,766</b>		<b>2,384</b>	<b>350</b>	
Shelter Medicine Clinic										Direct connection to shelter
Foster Staff Workroom / Storage	1	-	-	18 x 10 =	35	180	1.35	243		
Foster Exam	1	-	-	9 x 10 =	35	90	1.35	122		
Foster Ward	1	-	-	7 x 9 =	35	63	1.35	85		
Laundry / Freezer storage	1	-	-	9 x 18 =	35	162	1.35	219		
Staff Laundry	1	-	-	2 x 6 =	35	12	1.35	16		
Staff Break	1	-	-	12 x 14 =	35	168	1.35	227		
Medical Staff Meeting Room	1	-	-	14 x 16 =	35	224	1.35	302		
Staff Restroom	2	-	-	7 x 9 =	35	126	1.35	170		
Staff Wellness Room	1	-	-	11 x 8 =	35	88	1.35	119		
Shelter Medicine Treatment Area	1	-	-	16 x 18 =	35	288	1.35	389		2 treatment tables, one wet, work desk and sink
Shelter Medical Cats	1	-	-	9 x 11 =	35	99	1.35	134		
Shelter Medical Dogs	1	-	-	10 x 11 =	35	110	1.35	149		
Lab/Pharmacy	1	-	-	2 x 6 =	0	12	1.35	16		locking cabinet in treatment area
Medical Storage	1	-	-	6 x 7 =	35	42	1.35	57		
Janitorial	1	-	-	4 x 6 =	0	24	1.35	32		
Medical Staff Open Work Area		-	-	14 x 18 =	35	0	1.35	0		5 workstations
Veterinarian (2 FTE + 3 future)	1	-	-	12 x 12 =	181	144	1.35	194		1 large shared office
<b>Subtotal Shelter Medicine Clinic:</b>					<b>671</b>	<b>1,832</b>		<b>2,473</b>	<b>0</b>	

Space Description	# Rooms	Existing	Recommended	Size	Existing Net (for rough comparison)	Current & Future Net (SF)	Circulation Multiplier	Current & Future Gross (SF)	Current & Future Exterior Covered (SF)	Comments
<b>Surgery &amp; Pre / Post Op Housing</b>										
Surgery Prep	1	-	-	16 x 28 =	0	448	1.35	605		
Surgery Room - 4 tables, 2 rooms	2	-	-	13 x 16 =	230	416	1.35	562		
Recovery Beach	2	-	-	4 x 6 =	0	48	1.35	65		
Dental	1	-	-	14 x 12 =	0	168	1.35	227		
Radiograph (X-ray)	1	-	-	9 x 10 =	155	90	1.35	122		
Dog Pre / Post Op Housing - Kennels	1	18	6	11 x 32 =	155	352	1.35	475		3 double compartment
Dog Pre / Post Op Housing - Cages	1	-	6	10 x 24 =	314	240	1.35	324		6 cages
Cat Pre/Post Op	1	6	24	10 x 18 =	314	180	1.35	243		
Medical Gas Closet	1	-	-	3 x 4 =	0	12	1.35	16		
<b>Subtotal Surgery:</b>		<b>24</b>	<b>36</b>		<b>1,168</b>	<b>1,954</b>		<b>2,638</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Total Vet Services:</b>					<b>2,133</b>	<b>5,552</b>		<b>7,495</b>	<b>350</b>	
<b>Shelter Intake &amp; Processing</b>										
Processing	1	-	-	10 x 14 =	130	140	1.33	186		
Pet Food Pantry Building	1	-	-	30 x 40 =	40	1200	1.33	1596	1,200	Near public entry
Crate, Trap, Equipment Storage	1	-	-	18 x 24 =	64	432	1.33	575		
Cat Intake / Exam Room	2	-	-	12 x 12 =	174	288	1.35	389		1 back of house, 1 front of house
Dog Intake / Exam Room	2	-	-	12 x 12 =	174	288	1.35	389		1 back of house, 1 front of house
					<b>582</b>	<b>2,348</b>		<b>3,134</b>	<b>1,200</b>	
<b>Administration</b>										
Shelter Operations										
Animal Care Services Manager	1			12 x 14 =	210	168	1.35	227		private office
Program Specialist	1			12 x 12 =	156	144	1.35	194		private office
Sr. Animal Care Technician	1			10 x 10 =	138	100	1.35	135		
Animal Care Technician	16			2.5 x 5 =	200	200	1.35	270		
Communications & Customer Service										
Program Specialist	2			7 x 7 =	98	98	1.35	132		workstation for 2 people
Customer Service Supervisor	2			7 x 7 =	98	98	1.35	132		
Customer Service Representative	2			6 x 6 =	72	72	1.35	97		at front desk
Public Information Coordinator	2			7 x 7 =	98	98	1.35	132		
Administrative Analyst	2			6 x 6 =	72	72	1.35	97		
Foster & Rescue										
Animal Services Coordinator - Foster & Intern - Foster & Rescue	4			7 x 7 =	150	196	1.35	265		workstation for 2 people
	1			2 x 4 =	8	8	1.35	11		
Volunteer Coordination / Adoption Outreach										
Animal Services Coordinator - Volunteer	1			7 x 7 =	98	49	1.35	66		1 workstation for 2 people
Animal Services Coordinator - Adoption	1			7 x 7 =	49	49	1.35	66		
Program Specialist - Volunteer Coordination	1			7 x 7 =	49	49	1.35	66		

Space Description	# Rooms	Existing	Recommended	Size	Existing Net (for rough comparison)	Current & Future Net (SF)	Circulation Multiplier	Current & Future Gross (SF)	Current & Future Exterior Covered (SF)	Comments
Animal Services Coordinator - Foster & Intern Hoteling Station	1			10 x 12 =	120	120	1.35	162		2 FTE, 1 shared office
Social Worker	2			2 x 4 =	8	16	1.35	22		
Animal Control	2			2 x 4 =	0	16	1.35	22		
Chief Animal Control Officer	1			12 x 12 =	120	144	1.35	194		private office
Senior Animal Control Officer	2			10 x 12 =	200	240	1.35	324		private office
Animal Control Officer	1			7 x 7 =	49	49	1.35	66		
Animal Control Officer I	1			2 x 7 =	49	14	1.35	19		1 shared workstation
Animal Control Officer II	1			2 x 7 =	60	14	1.35	19		1 shared workstation
Animal Control Officer I/II	2			2 x 7 =	60	28	1.35	38		2 shared workstations
Conference Room	1			14 x 16 =	0	224	1.35	302		8-10 people
ACO break area	1			12 x 14 =	0	168	1.35	227		adjacent to outdoor patio
Secure Evidence Storage	1			10 x 6 =	0	60	1.35	81		
Interview Room	1			10 x 10 =	0	100	1.35	135		
Animal Care Homeless Response Team										
Veterinarian	1			4 x 6 =	0	24	1.35	32		Open workarea with 4 workstations
Registered Veterinarian Technician	1			4 x 6 =	0	24	1.35	32		
Animal Services Coordinator	1			4 x 6 =	0	24	1.35	32		
Animal Control Officer I	1			4 x 6 =	0	24	1.35	32		
Vet Services										
Administrative Analyst	1	-	-	7 x 7 =	0	49	1.35	66		workdesk in treatment area
Staff & Volunteer Training Room	1			30 x 40	0	1200	1.35	1620		40 people, tables and chairs
Conference Room	1			12 x 26 =	170	312	1.35	421		12-15 people
Conference Room	3			12 x 14 =	0	504	1.35	680		4-6 people
Staff Restrooms / Locker & Shower Rooms	2			20 x 28 =	612	1120	1.35	1512		M/W with: 2 showers, 3 stalls, 3 sinks. 24 lockers.
All Gender Restrooms / Locker & Shower	1			12 x 10 =	54	120	1.35	162		
Staff Wellness Room	1	-	-	11 x 8 =	35	88	1.35	119		
Admin Staff Break Room	1			12 x 18 =	250	216	1.35	292		adjacent to outdoor patio
Exterior Covered Patio	1			14 x 18 =					252	
General Storage	1			6 x 6 =	70	36	1.33	48		
Office Supply / Copy Room	1			8 x 8 =	0	64	1.35	86		
File Storage	1			8 x 10 =	0	80	1.33	106		
<b>Subtotal:</b>					<b>3,353</b>	<b>6,479</b>		<b>8,744</b>	<b>252</b>	

Space Description	# Rooms	Existing	Recommended	Size	Existing Net (for rough comparison)	Current & Future Net (SF)	Circulation Multiplier	Current & Future Gross (SF)	Current & Future Exterior Covered (SF)	Comments
<b>Building Support &amp; Misc.</b>										
Central Janitorial	1			8 x 8 =	40	64	1.33	85		
Mechanical Room	0			14 x 14 =	30	0	1.33	0		Assumes rooftop
Electrical Room	1			10 x 10 =	20	100	1.33	133		
Data / Telecom Room	1			10 x 10 =	0	100	1.33	133		
Central Cleaning Pump Room	1			8 x 8 =	0	64	1.33	85		
<b>Subtotal:</b>					<b>90</b>	<b>328</b>		<b>436</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Interior Totals</b>					<b>15,390</b>	<b>37,000</b>	<b>1.35</b>	<b>49,800</b>	<b>15,400</b>	rounded
<b>Building Efficiency Factor</b>								<b>74%</b>		
<b>Existing gross area (for rough comparison)</b>								<b>29,280</b>	<b>6,240</b>	Includes outdoor support areas
								<b>-41.20% increase</b>		
<b>Exterior Covered</b>										
Sallyport / Truck wash	1			40 x 66 =	0		1	2,640		2 bays, incl. stor. & outdoor crate wash
Covered large equipment storage (forklift)	1			40 x 50	0		1	2,000		
Barn	1			38 x 34 =	320		1	1,292		
Outdoor Staging Area with crate wash station	1			40 x 20 =	0		1	800		
Cooler	1			9 x 16 =	295		1	144		
Feral Cats (outdoor pens)	3			8 x 10 =	0		1	240		
Storage Shed	0			- x - =	635		1			0 new eliminates all storage sheds
Storage Cargo Containers	0			- x - =	160		1			0 new eliminates all cargo containers
								<b>7,116</b>		
<b>Exterior Covered Totals</b>									<b>22,516</b>	
<b>Interior &amp; Exterior Covered Totals</b>								<b>72,316</b>		
<b>Exterior Uncovered</b>										
Dog Exercise Areas	4			40 x 50 =	6,240			8,000		partial cover with shade cloth
Dog Exercise Areas - large	1			60 x 80 =	0			4,800		partial cover with shade cloth. Can be divided into 2 or 3 smaller
								<b>12,800</b>		

August 16, 2024

Following are attributes desirable for a site on which to locate a new Sacramento Animal Shelter. These criteria are proposed for use in identifying candidate sites in the City of Sacramento. It is not likely that any site will meet all criteria, and these criteria are not ranked in importance at this time.

### 1. Location & Accessibility

- a. Location has good public identity, and is easily found by public visitors, important for facilitating animal adoption by the public. Examples: in town, near shopping, visible from freeway, etc.
- b. Location accessible by public transit is desired.
- c. Location is served by nearby main streets and arterials, making access easy.

### 2. Environmental & Planning

- a. Adjacent land uses are compatible with intended use as animal shelter.
- b. Any nearby residential uses are far enough away to avoid potential conflict or complaints, typically from barking dogs.
- c. Ideally, use of the site as an animal shelter is a permitted use.
- d. Building permit for use as an animal shelter should require no rezoning or extensive planning approval process, special studies, EIR, etc.

### 3. Size & Configuration

- a. Site should be 5 - 7 acres in size net usable area to fit building and site programming, this includes 25% future growth factor. Larger sites provide more future growth area.
- b. Site is sufficiently regular in shape allowing functional and efficient facility layout.
- c. Corner sites often provide best public visibility, also the possibility of separating service and public access by locating them on two separate sides of the site.
- d. Minimum primary street frontage of 200-300 feet also allows adequate separation of public and service access.

### 4. Acquisition & Cost

- a. The site is already owned by the City of Sacramento, avoiding the need for acquisition.
- b. The site is owned by a single owner who is a willing seller at a reasonable, appraised price.
- c. The site is owned by another public agency with whom a swap is possible, helping to reduce or minimize acquisition cost.
- d. Site is already served by adequate utilities: electric power, potable water, fire water, natural gas, storm drainage, sanitary sewer and cable/ fiber.
- e. Site already has emergency vehicle access (EVA) for fire fighting vehicles without the need for roadway improvements or additional roadways to be built.

### 5. Survivability & Resilience

- a. Animal shelter serves an important support role during public emergencies, e.g. fire, grid outage, other.
- b. Site is not located in a 100-year FEMA flood zone.
- c. Underground electric service is desirable as it indicates presence of redundant, looped primary feed from serving utility (note: sites with overhead electrical service will not have loop service).
- d. Site is not under flight path within 1 mile of an airport.



May 7, 2024

# Noise Exposure Assessment and Sound Level Survey Report

**Front Street Animal Shelter  
2127 Front Street  
Sacramento, CA 95818**

Prepared for:

**Bruce Playle**  
Indigo Hammond & Playle Architects, LLP  
909 5<sup>th</sup> Street  
Davis, CA 95616  
530-750-0756 | [bplayle@indigoarch.com](mailto:bplayle@indigoarch.com)

Prepared By:

**Diana Lutsik**  
Forensic Analytical Consulting Services  
7625 Sunrise Boulevard, Suite 104  
Citrus Heights, CA 95610  
916-726-1303 | [diana.lutsik@facs.com](mailto:diana.lutsik@facs.com)

FACS Project #PJ81345

# Contents

<b>Executive Summary</b> .....	1
<b>Introduction</b> .....	2
<b>Scope of Work</b> .....	2
<b>Site and Process Characterization</b> .....	3
<b>Methodology</b> .....	3
<b>Findings</b> .....	3
<b>Conclusions</b> .....	4
<b>Requirements and Recommendations</b> .....	5
<b>Limitations</b> .....	5

**Appendix A: Noise Dosimetry Summary and Report**

**Appendix B: Sound Level Survey Results**



## Executive Summary

Forensic Analytical Consulting Services, Inc. (FACS) conducted an occupational noise exposure assessment and sound level survey for Indigo Hammond & Playle Architects, LLP at Front Street Animal Shelter located at 2127 Front Street in Sacramento, California. The assessment was conducted on April 19, 2024, and consisted of personal exposure monitoring of five (5) employees working in different buildings/areas of the facility and collection of sound level measurements at various locations across the facility and summarized based on the activity performed. FACS understood that the workload and activities completed during the assessment were representative of typical operations and a typical work shift.

The noise exposure assessment and sound level survey aimed to characterize employee exposure profiles for noise, identify hazardous noise levels during a representative work shift, and to provide recommendations for personal protective equipment and further assessment or control, as necessary.

Results of dosimetry monitoring indicated that average noise exposures for the Front Street Animal Shelter employees were below the California Division of Occupational Safety and Health (Cal/OSHA) 8-hr Action Level of 85 decibels (dBA), the adjusted 10-hr Action Level of 83.4 dBA and Permissible Exposure Limit of 90 dBA.

The sound level measurements during the activities performed throughout the duration of the work shift ranged from 38.9 dBA to 98.9 dBA. The following areas within the facility exceeded an 85 dBA sound pressure level:

- Reception
- Quarantine Kennel (Q Building)
- Isolation Kennel (I Building)
- M Kennel (M Building)
- Stray Kennel (S Building)
- A Kennel (A Building)

Based on the worker noise exposure monitoring results, the monitored employees are not required to be enrolled in a hearing conservation program.

A more detailed discussion of assessment findings, conclusions, and recommendations is provided below.

## Introduction

Forensic Analytical Consulting Services, Inc. (FACS) was retained by Indigo Hammond & Playle Architects, LLP to conduct an occupational noise exposure assessment and a sound level survey at the Front Street Animal Shelter located at 2127 Front Street in Sacramento, California. The noise exposure assessment consisted of monitoring five (5) workers throughout their work shift while performing various activities at the facility. Sound level measurements were also recorded in various areas throughout the Front Street Animal Shelter facility where noise may be generated and are summarized in the appendices of this report.

The noise exposure and sound level survey aimed to characterize employee exposure profiles for noise, identify hazardous noise levels during a representative work shift, and to provide recommendations for personal protective equipment (PPE) and further assessment or control, as necessary in accordance with Title 8 California Code of Regulations (CCR) §5095-5100.

The noise exposure assessment and sound level survey were conducted on April 19, 2024, by Elijah Mula, Environmental Health Specialist II with FACS, under the supervision of Diana Lutsik, Project Manager with FACS. Technical oversight was provided by Sylvia Fontes and Michelle Rosales, Certified Industrial Hygienists (CIHs) from FACS.

This report contains findings and recommendations from the assessment, as well as a description of methods used, and activities conducted during the exposure assessment process. The findings contained in this report are based solely on the results of sample analysis and on-site observations from the assessment date listed. Additional sites or exposures not discussed in this report are not represented.

## Scope of Work

FACS conducted the following scope of work during this project:

- Site and process characterization.
- Monitoring of five (5) Front Street Animal Shelter employees during a shift for noise exposure in accordance with Cal/OSHA 8 CCR §5095-5100.
- Conducting a sound level survey in and around the facility.
- Generating a written report highlighting findings and recommendations for engineering controls and personal protective equipment.

Results for the noise exposure and calculated time-weighted average levels are presented in Table 1 of Appendix A. The results of the sound level survey are presented in Table 2 of Appendix B

## Site and Process Characterization

Front Street Animal Shelter is a facility that works on the care of stray animals. The work being done is the intake of said animals and working to get them adopted by a family. The shelter employees' work activities include feeding, washing, and ensuring the animals are secure. Other tasks include moving animals through processing, providing medical attention, and facilitating meeting time with families. The shelter's primary animals at the facility are dogs and cats.

On the day of the exposure assessment, FACS monitored five (5) employees working in various locations of the animal shelter facility. The employees work shift activities included cleaning, feeding, caring, administrative work, and other tasks to care for the animals.

## Methodology

A calibrated personal noise dosimeter (Casella model CEL-350 dBadge2) was worn by five (5) employees. The dosimeter was clipped to the top-middle of the employee's shoulder. These are battery-powered units that consist of a microphone and compact data logger. The unit measures sound levels in decibels using an A-weighted scale (dBA) at a frequency of approximately six times per second. The instrument then calculates and logs the one-minute average sound levels throughout the monitoring period. At the completion of the monitoring period, the instrument calculates the time-weighted average (TWA) sound level exposure, which is directly comparable to the California Division of Occupational Safety and Health (Cal/OSHA) Permissible Exposure Limit (PEL) of 90 dBA and Action Level (AL) of 85 dBA.

Dosimeters were set on the A-scale (slow response) and accumulated data using both the Cal/OSHA Hearing Conservation (monitor threshold set to 80 dBA, exchange rate of 5 dBA) and Permissible Exposure Limit (monitor threshold set to 90 dBA, exchange rate of 5 dBA) parameters. The threshold is the decibel level at which the dosimeter begins accumulating data, wherein the dosimeter calculates noise levels below the threshold as zero.

Five (5) dosimeters were used to assess the employees' full shift noise exposure for all employees monitored. The monitoring period was approximately eight (8) hours of the employee's eight (10) hour work shift and included their lunch break (for two of the five employees monitored). Prior to fitting the dosimeter on the employee, the dosimeter was calibrated against a 114 dBA Casella CEL-120/2 acoustic calibrator. At the completion of the work shift, the data from each of the dosimeters was downloaded onto a personal computer and noise dosimetry reports were created.

Dosimetry was supplemented during the work shift with area sound level measurements made in various locations where the monitored employees were working using a calibrated Casella CEL-63X Type 2 sound level meter (SLM). The sound level meter was also calibrated against a 114 dBA Casella CEL-120/2 acoustic calibrator.

## Findings

### Noise Dosimetry

The measured 8-hour TWA personal exposure level for the animal shelter employees ranged from 67.5 to 79.2 dBA (when compared to the Hearing Conservation criteria), and 62.3 to 77.2 dBA (when compared to the PEL Criteria), which were below both the Cal/OSHA 8-hr Action Level of 85 dBA, calculated 10-hour Action Level of 83.4 dBA and Cal/OSHA PEL of 90 dBA.

The monitored employees performed various routine work activities with the animals in the various buildings on the site. Hearing protection was not worn by the employees during the assessment. It is worth noting that the first hour and a half of employees' exposure was not monitored due to FACS' instructions to arrive onsite at 8 am. Reportedly, the first hour of the employees' work shifts tends to be associated with high noise exposure from dog barking when they wake up the animals.

Results of the noise dosimetry are summarized in Table 1 of Appendix A.

### Sound Level Measurement

The sound level measurements during the activities performed throughout the duration of the work shift ranged from 38.9 dBA to 98.9 dBA. The following areas within the facility exceeded 85 dBA sound pressure level:

- Reception
- Quarantine Kennel (Q Building)
- Isolation Kennel (I Building)
- M Kennel (M Building)
- Stray Kennel (S Building)
- A Kennel (A Building)

Results of the sound pressure level recorded in various locations of the facility during FACS' assessment are provided in Table 2 of Appendix B.

## Conclusions

Based on this assessment, the following conclusions were reached:

1. The calculated TWA results of the personal dosimetry collected during the assessed work tasks indicated that employee exposures to noise were below the regulatory exposure limits (Cal/OSHA PEL and Action Level).
2. Sound level measurements indicated that noise levels exceeded 85 dBA during the day in the following areas:
  - a. Reception
  - b. Quarantine Kennel (Q Building)
  - c. Isolation Kennel (I Building)
  - d. M Kennel (M Building)
  - e. Stray Kennel (S Building)
  - f. A Kennel (A Building)
3. Based on the worker noise exposure monitoring results, the monitored employees are not required to be enrolled in a hearing conservation program for the tasks monitored.

## Requirements and Recommendations

Based on this assessment, the following recommendations are provided:

1. Based on the results of the noise assessment, a formal hearing conservation program is not required.
2. Ensure that noise warning signs are posted at entrances to areas where noise levels are expected to exceed 85 dBA.
  - a. Post at entrance to, or periphery of, noise-hazardous areas (85 dBA to 100 dBA) with appropriate CAUTION sign.



3. Notify all affected employees of the monitoring results within 15 days of receiving this report either individually in writing or by posting the results in an appropriate location that is accessible to employees. Retain a copy of this report for 30 years.
4. Regulatory standards require the facility to keep a copy of this report for at least two years. However, it is recommended that the report be kept for at least fifteen years unless there is a change in production, process, equipment, or controls that results in a potential or anticipated change in exposures. If changes to production, process, equipment, or controls are implemented follow-up monitoring should be conducted.

## Limitations

This investigation is limited to the conditions and practices observed and information made available to FACS. The methods, conclusions and recommendations provided are based on FACS' judgment, expertise, and the standard of practice for professional service. They are subject to the limitations and variability inherent in the methodology employed. As with all environmental investigations, this investigation is limited to the defined scope and does not purport to set forth all hazards, nor indicate that other hazards do not exist.

Please do not hesitate to contact our offices at (916) 260-2780 with any questions or concerns. Thank you for the opportunity to assist Indigo Hammond & Playle Architects in promoting a more healthful environment.

Respectfully,  
FORENSIC ANALYTICAL

Diana Lutsik  
Project Manager

Reviewed by:  
FORENSIC ANALYTICAL



Michelle Rosales, MPH, CIH  
Director of Environmental Health Services

# Appendix A

## Noise Dosimetry Summary and Report

Table 1: Personal Noise Dosimetry Summary Results  
Front Street Animal Shelter, April 19, 2024

Dosimeter Serial #	Employee Name/Job Title	Total Time Sampled (hrs:min) (Start / End Time)	Calculated TWA HC Criteria (dBA)	Calculated TWA PEL Criteria	Max Sound Level (dBA)	Peak Sound Level (dBZ)
<b>Quarantine Kennel (Q Building)</b>						
1590551	Daniel Cook / Animal Care Technician	7h:25min (0830 / 1112) (1209 / 1653)	73.7	68.3	111.7	143.5
<b>Isolation Kennel (I Building)</b>						
3397714	Donald Gray / Animal Care Technician	8h:11min (0840 / 1651)	79.2	77.2	111.2	140.0
<b>Cattery</b>						
2194181	Maurin Neves / Animal Care Technician	7h:18min (0836 / 1114) (1210 / 1652)	78.5	76.4	110.9	131.1
<b>Medical Building</b>						
3397821	Marco Kroon / Registered Veterinary Technician	8h:24min (0832 / 1657)	78	75.3	114.5	132.1
<b>Administrative Building/Reception</b>						
2194263	Luke Mcelwee	7h:22 min (0828 / 1048) (1152 / 1654)	67.5	62.3	101.8	128.3
<b>Cal/OSHA Hearing Conservation Action Level</b>						
<b>Cal/OSHA Hearing Conservation Adjusted 10-Hr Action Level</b>			85	--	--	--
<b>Cal/OSHA Permissible Noise Exposure – 8-hr TWA</b>			83.4	--	--	--
<b>Cal/OSHA Max/Peak Noise Exposure Limit</b>			--	--	115	140


**Notes:**

HC – Hearing Conservation; PEL – Permissible Exposure Limit; dBA = decibels, A scale, slow response  
 Calculated 8-hour TWA = noise exposure in dBA expressed as an 8-hour time-weighted average, assuming similar exposure for the non-monitored portions of the work shift. HC Criteria results were calculated using a 5-dB exchange rate, and 80dB threshold (OSHA Hearing Conservation parameter). PEL Criteria results were calculated using a 5-dB exchange rate, and 90dB threshold (OSHA PEL parameter).  
 Max = the highest sound level reading within the monitoring period; Peak = the absolute highest noise level in dB over the monitoring  
 Sampling equipment consisted of calibrated Casella CEL-350 dBadge or Casella dBadge 2 personal noise dosimeters (Type 2, A-weighted, set at a 5-dB exchange rate per OSHA parameters), placed around the top-middle of employee's shoulder.

**Summary**

Result Information	Original		
Run No.	1		
Serial Number	1590551		
Run Start Date & Time	4/18/2024 8:30:00 AM		
Measurement Duration	02:41:57		
Run End Date & Time	4/18/2024 11:11:57 AM		
Overload	No		
Overload (Duration)	00:00:00		
Under Range (Duration)	00:05:12		
Over 140 dB (Duration)	00:00:00		
Cal (before) Date	4/18/2024 8:23:55 AM		
Cal (before) SPL	114.0 dB		
Dosimeter Information	Original		
Dosimeter	D1	D2	
LAeq	81.7 dB		
LCeq	83.2 dB		
LAleq	90.7 dB		
LApeak	135.6 dB		
LCpeak	137.2 dB		
LZpeak	137.3 dB		
LAFmax	118.2 dB		
LASmax	110.1 dB		
LCeq - LAeq	1.5 dB		
Motion Index	82.8%		
LAVG	75.2 dB	68.0 dB	
TWA 8Hrs	67.4 dB	60.2 dB	
Projected TWA 8Hrs	75.2 dB	68.0 dB	
Dose %	4.3%	1.6%	
Projected Dose %	12.9%	4.7%	
LAS Exceedance Duration	00:00:00	00:00:00	
LEX 8Hrs	77.0 dB		
Projected LEX 8Hrs	81.7 dB		
Lepd	77.0 dB		
Projected Lepd	81.7 dB		
Pa2Hrs	0.20		
Pa2Sec	576.1		
ISO Dose %	50.0%		
Projected ISO Dose %	148.2%		
ISO Exposure Points	50		
Projected ISO Exposure Points	148		
LAE	121.6 dB		

Note(s)	Start Date & Time	End Date & Time	Note
---------	-------------------	-----------------	------




**Summary**

Result Information	Original		
Run No.	2		
Serial Number	1590551		
Run Start Date & Time	4/18/2024 12:09:28 PM		
Measurement Duration	04:43:22		
Run End Date & Time	4/18/2024 4:52:50 PM		
Overload	Yes		
Overload (Duration)	00:00:00		
Under Range (Duration)	02:00:57		
Over 140 dB (Duration)	00:00:00		
Cal (before) Date	4/18/2024 8:23:55 AM		
Cal (before) SPL	114.0 dB		

Dosimeter Information	Original		
Dosimeter	D1	D2	
LAeq	79.9 dB		
LCeq	81.1 dB		
LAleq	88.7 dB		
LApeak	143.5 dB		
LCpeak	143.5 dB		
LZpeak	143.5 dB		
LAFmax	120.6 dB		
LASmax	111.7 dB		
LCeq - LAeq	1.2 dB		
Motion Index	59.8%		
LAVG	65.9 dB	64.9 dB	
TWA 8Hrs	62.1 dB	61.1 dB	
Projected TWA 8Hrs	65.9 dB	64.9 dB	
Dose %	2.1%	1.8%	
Projected Dose %	3.6%	3.1%	
LAS Exceedance Duration	00:00:00	00:00:00	
LEX 8Hrs	77.6 dB		
Projected LEX 8Hrs	79.9 dB		
Lepd	77.6 dB		
Projected Lepd	79.9 dB		
Pa2Hrs	0.20		
Pa2Sec	660.8		
ISO Dose %	57.4%		
Projected ISO Dose %	97.2%		
ISO Exposure Points	57		
Projected ISO Exposure Points	97		
LAE	122.2 dB		

Note(s)	Start Date & Time	End Date & Time	Note
---------	-------------------	-----------------	------




**Summary**

Result Information	Original
Run No.	1
Serial Number	3397714
Run Start Date & Time	4/18/2024 8:39:54 AM
Measurement Duration	08:11:12
Run End Date & Time	4/18/2024 4:51:06 PM
Overload	No
Overload (Duration)	00:00:00
Under Range (Duration)	02:58:07
Over 140 dB (Duration)	00:00:00
Cal (before) Date	4/18/2024 8:21:17 AM
Cal (before) SPL	114.0 dB

Dosimeter Information	Original	
Dosimeter	D1	D2
LAeq	85.1 dB	
LCeq	86.6 dB	
LAleq	92.2 dB	
LApeak	139.5 dB	
LCpeak	139.9 dB	
LZpeak	140.0 dB	
LAFmax	119.3 dB	
LASmax	111.2 dB	
LCeq - LAeq	1.6 dB	
Motion Index	68.2%	
LAVG	77.5 dB	75.5 dB
TWA 8Hrs	77.7 dB	75.7 dB
Projected TWA 8Hrs	77.7 dB	75.7 dB
Dose %	18.1%	13.7%
Projected Dose %	18.1%	13.7%
LAS Exceedance Duration	00:00:00	00:00:00
LEX 8Hrs	85.2 dB	
Projected LEX 8Hrs	85.2 dB	
Lepd	85.2 dB	
Projected Lepd	85.2 dB	
Pa2Hrs	1.00	
Pa2Sec	3771.1	
ISO Dose %	327.4%	
Projected ISO Dose %	327.4%	
ISO Exposure Points	327	
Projected ISO Exposure Points	327	
LAE	129.7 dB	

Note(s)	Start Date & Time	End Date & Time	Note
---------	-------------------	-----------------	------



**Key**

- LAeq
- LASmax
- LZpeak
- LCeq

**Cursor**

Time: 18/04/2024 12:45:30

LAeq: 97.7 dB  
LASmax: 98.7 dB  
LZpeak: 112.2 dB  
LCeq: 98.9 dB  
LCpeak: 112.0 dB  
Motion: Moving

**Zone**

Enabled


Start:   
End:   
Note:

**Summary**

Result Information	Original
Run No.	1
Serial Number	2194181
Run Start Date & Time	4/18/2024 8:36:24 AM
Measurement Duration	02:37:46
Run End Date & Time	4/18/2024 11:14:10 AM
Overload	No
Overload (Duration)	00:00:00
Under Range (Duration)	00:56:42
Over 140 dB (Duration)	00:00:00
Cal (before) Date	4/18/2024 8:22:25 AM
Cal (before) SPL	114.0 dB

Dosimeter Information	Original	
Dosimeter	D1	D2
LAeq	69.2 dB	
LCeq	74.5 dB	
LAlaq	76.9 dB	
LApeak	112.2 dB	
LCpeak	113.6 dB	
LZpeak	115.4 dB	
LAFmax	98.2 dB	
LASmax	91.9 dB	
LCeq - LAeq	5.3 dB	
Motion Index	72.1%	
LAVG	49.7 dB	21.3 dB
TWA 8Hrs	41.7 dB	13.2 dB
Projected TWA 8Hrs	49.7 dB	21.3 dB
Dose %	0.1%	0.0%
Projected Dose %	0.4%	0.0%
LAS Exceedance Duration	00:00:00	00:00:00
LEX 8Hrs	64.4 dB	
Projected LEX 8Hrs	69.2 dB	
Lepd	64.4 dB	
Projected Lepd	69.2 dB	
Pa2Hrs	0.00	
Pa2Sec	31.8	
ISO Dose %	2.8%	
Projected ISO Dose %	8.4%	
ISO Exposure Points	3	
Projected ISO Exposure Points	8	
LAE	109.0 dB	

Note(s)	Start Date & Time	End Date & Time	Note
---------	-------------------	-----------------	------



**Key**

- LAeq
- LASmax
- LZpeak
- LCeq
- LCpeak

**Cursor**

Time: 18/04/2024 09:55:17

LAeq: 44.1 dB  
LASmax: 46.9 dB  
LZpeak: 79.6 dB  
LCeq: 66.9 dB  
LCpeak: 75.6 dB  
Motion: Moving

**Zone**


Enabled

Start:   
End:   
Note:

**Summary**

Result Information	Original		
Run No.	2		
Serial Number	2194181		
Run Start Date & Time	4/18/2024 12:10:54 PM		
Measurement Duration	04:41:25		
Run End Date & Time	4/18/2024 4:52:19 PM		
Overload	No		
Overload (Duration)	00:00:00		
Under Range (Duration)	00:44:11		
Over 140 dB (Duration)	00:00:00		
Cal (before) Date	4/18/2024 8:22:25 AM		
Cal (before) SPL	114.0 dB		
Dosimeter Information	Original		
Dosimeter	D1	D2	
LAeq	87.5 dB		
LCeq	89.0 dB		
LAleq	95.5 dB		
LApeak	129.0 dB		
LCpeak	130.8 dB		
LZpeak	131.1 dB		
LAfmax	117.0 dB		
LASmax	110.9 dB		
LCeq - LAeq	1.5 dB		
Motion Index	74.4%		
LAVG	79.0 dB	77.0 dB	
TWA 8Hrs	75.2 dB	73.1 dB	
Projected TWA 8Hrs	79.0 dB	77.0 dB	
Dose %	12.8%	9.7%	
Projected Dose %	21.9%	16.5%	
LAS Exceedance Duration	00:00:00	00:00:00	
LEX 8Hrs	85.2 dB		
Projected LEX 8Hrs	87.5 dB		
Lepd	85.2 dB		
Projected Lepd	87.5 dB		
Pa2Hrs	1.10		
Pa2Sec	3814.5		
ISO Dose %	331.1%		
Projected ISO Dose %	564.8%		
ISO Exposure Points	331		
Projected ISO Exposure Points	565		
LAE	129.8 dB		

Note(s)	Start Date & Time	End Date & Time	Note
---------	-------------------	-----------------	------



**Key**

- LAeq
- LASmax
- LZpeak
- LCeq
- LCpeak

**Cursor**

Time: 18/04/2024 14:31:37

LAeq: 82.7 dB  
LASmax: 82.4 dB  
LZpeak: 103.3 dB  
LCeq: 84.9 dB  
LCpeak: 103.4 dB  
Motion: Moving

**Zone**

Enabled

Start:   
End:   
Note:


Report On dBadge2

**Summary**

Result Information	Original
Run No.	1
Serial Number	3397821
Run Start Date & Time	4/18/2024 8:32:46 AM
Measurement Duration	08:24:26
Run End Date & Time	4/18/2024 4:57:12 PM
Overload	No
Overload (Duration)	00:00:00
Under Range (Duration)	01:44:38
Over 140 dB (Duration)	00:00:00
Cal (before) Date	4/18/2024 8:23:27 AM
Cal (before) SPL	114.0 dB

Dosimeter Information	Original	
Dosimeter	D1	D2
LAeq	84.9 dB	
LCeq	85.4 dB	
LAleq	93.3 dB	
LApeak	131.3 dB	
LCpeak	132.1 dB	
LZpeak	132.1 dB	
LAfmax	122.0 dB	
LASmax	114.5 dB	
LCeq - LAeq	0.5 dB	
Motion Index	68.4%	
LAVG	76.1 dB	73.4 dB
TWA 8Hrs	76.5 dB	73.8 dB
Projected TWA 8Hrs	76.5 dB	73.8 dB
Dose %	15.3%	10.6%
Projected Dose %	15.3%	10.6%
LAS Exceedance Duration	00:00:00	00:00:00
LEX 8Hrs	85.1 dB	
Projected LEX 8Hrs	85.1 dB	
Lepd	85.1 dB	
Projected Lepd	85.1 dB	
Pa2Hrs	1.00	
Pa2Sec	3738.5	
ISO Dose %	324.5%	
Projected ISO Dose %	324.5%	
ISO Exposure Points	325	
Projected ISO Exposure Points	325	
LAE	129.7 dB	

Note(s)	Start Date & Time	End Date & Time	Note
---------	-------------------	-----------------	------



**Key**

- LAeq
- LASmax
- LZpeak
- LCeq

**Cursor**

Time: 18/04/2024 12:44:59

LAeq: 58.2 dB  
LASmax: 67.9 dB  
LZpeak: 81.5 dB  
LCeq: 67.3 dB  
LCpeak: 76.7 dB  
Motion: Moving

**Zone**

Enabled


Start:   
End:   
Note:

**Summary**

Result Information	Original
Run No.	1
Serial Number	2194263
Run Start Date & Time	4/18/2024 8:28:24 AM
Measurement Duration	02:19:43
Run End Date & Time	4/18/2024 10:48:07 AM
Overload	No
Overload (Duration)	00:00:00
Under Range (Duration)	01:44:51
Over 140 dB (Duration)	00:00:00
Cal (before) Date	4/18/2024 8:24:31 AM
Cal (before) SPL	114.0 dB

Dosimeter Information	Original	
Dosimeter	D1	D2
LAeq	74.0 dB	
LCeq	76.2 dB	
LAleq	82.9 dB	
LApeak	129.5 dB	
LCpeak	128.1 dB	
LZpeak	128.3 dB	
LAFmax	107.3 dB	
LASmax	101.8 dB	
LCeq - LAeq	2.2 dB	
Motion Index	55.9%	
LAVG	63.2 dB	53.9 dB
TWA 8Hrs	54.3 dB	45.0 dB
Projected TWA 8Hrs	63.2 dB	53.9 dB
Dose %	0.7%	0.2%
Projected Dose %	2.4%	0.7%
LAS Exceedance Duration	00:00:00	00:00:00
LEX 8Hrs	68.6 dB	
Projected LEX 8Hrs	74.0 dB	
Lepd	68.6 dB	
Projected Lepd	74.0 dB	
Pa2Hrs	0.00	
Pa2Sec	83.9	
ISO Dose %	7.3%	
Projected ISO Dose %	25.0%	
ISO Exposure Points	7	
Projected ISO Exposure Points	25	
LAE	113.2 dB	

Note(s)	Start Date & Time	End Date & Time	Note
---------	-------------------	-----------------	------



**Key**

- LAeq
- LASmax
- LZpeak
- LCeq
- LCpeak

**Cursor**

Time: 18/04/2024 09:38:16

LAeq: 50.3 dB  
LASmax: 50.7 dB  
LZpeak: 85.8 dB  
LCeq: 63.6 dB  
LCpeak: 84.1 dB  
Motion: Stationary

**Zone**


Enabled

Start:   
End:   
Note:

**Summary**

Result Information	Original		
Run No.	2		
Serial Number	2194263		
Run Start Date & Time	4/18/2024 11:52:05 AM		
Measurement Duration	05:02:17		
Run End Date & Time	4/18/2024 4:54:22 PM		
Overload	No		
Overload (Duration)	00:00:00		
Under Range (Duration)	02:04:45		
Over 140 dB (Duration)	00:00:00		
Cal (before) Date	4/18/2024 8:24:31 AM		
Cal (before) SPL	114.0 dB		
Dosimeter Information	Original		
Dosimeter	D1	D2	
LAeq	77.9 dB		
LCeq	79.6 dB		
LAlaq	85.3 dB		
LApeak	125.8 dB		
LCpeak	124.5 dB		
LZpeak	125.5 dB		
LAFmax	107.6 dB		
LASmax	101.8 dB		
LCeq - LAeq	1.7 dB		
Motion Index	8.3%		
LAVG	68.5 dB	62.9 dB	
TWA 8Hrs	65.1 dB	59.5 dB	
Projected TWA 8Hrs	68.5 dB	62.9 dB	
Dose %	3.2%	1.5%	
Projected Dose %	5.1%	2.3%	
LAS Exceedance Duration	00:00:00	00:00:00	
LEX 8Hrs	75.8 dB		
Projected LEX 8Hrs	77.9 dB		
Lepd	75.8 dB		
Projected Lepd	77.9 dB		
Pa2Hrs	0.10		
Pa2Sec	442.5		
ISO Dose %	38.4%		
Projected ISO Dose %	61.0%		
ISO Exposure Points	38		
Projected ISO Exposure Points	61		
LAE	120.4 dB		

Note(s)	Start Date & Time	End Date & Time	Note
---------	-------------------	-----------------	------



## Appendix B

### Sound Level Survey Results


**Table 2: Sound Pressure Level Results  
Front Street Animal Shelter  
April 19, 2024**

Facility Area	Sound Level (dBA)	Allowable Duration (hours)
Administrative building (Liz Office – no radio)	38.9	9541.5
Administrative building (Liz Office – with radio)	51.6	1640.6
Main office – administrative work (some talking)	39.8 - 58.4	639.1
Laundry area near freeway (no Caltran work)	71 – 74.5	68.6
Stary cat room (no cats/ambient sound)	50.9	1807.8
Back side of A kennel (no Caltran work)	69.5 – 73.7	76.6
A kennel (minor barking/whining)	58.2 – 88.2	10.3
Outside Cattery near freeway (no Caltran)	68.4 – 70.2	124.5
Cattery throughout	44.1 – 53.0	1351.2
M Kennel (minor barking)	58.1 – 85.7	14.5
Outside S Kennel (Exterior, some barking)	85.4 – 98.1	2.6
I Kennel exterior (2 dogs barking)	87.8 – 98.9	2.3
I kennel interior (no barking, cleaning kennels)	68.1 – 72.7	88.0
Back side of I kennel (near freeway)	74.6 – 75.8	57.3
Exterior (between I and Q kennel, with barking)	89.7 – 95.9	3.5
Q kennel (barking outside)	62.6 – 79.2	35.8
Q kennel exterior (near freeway)	77.1 – 78.1	41.6
Outside Vet office (near freeway)	63.1 – 66.9	196.7
Vet office (no barking, some talking)	58.9 – 68.7	153.3
Stray (S) Kennel, multiple dogs barking	55.5 – 91.6	6.4
Reception Building (one dog whining)	56.2 – 68.3	162.0
Reception Building (one dog barking)	90.0 – 95.7	3.6

<sup>1</sup>Allowable duration is calculated based on Cal/OSHA requirements for a 100% exposure dose.

dBA: A-weighted decibels

SPL: Sound pressure level



**Right People  
Right Perspective  
Right Now**

[www.facs.com](http://www.facs.com)



JOURNAL OF  
**SHELTER MEDICINE &  
COMMUNITY ANIMAL HEALTH**



**THE ASSOCIATION OF SHELTER VETERINARIANS'  
GUIDELINES FOR STANDARDS OF CARE IN  
ANIMAL SHELTERS**

**Second Edition - December 2022**



# Authors

**Lena DeTar\* DVM, MS, DACVPM, DABVP**  
**(Shelter Medicine Practice)** Maddie's Shelter Medicine Program, Cornell University College of Veterinary Medicine, Ithaca, NY

**Erin Doyle\* DVM, DABVP**  
**(Shelter Medicine Practice)** American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, Boston, MA

**Jeanette O'Quin\* DVM, MPH, DACVPM, DABVP**  
**(Shelter Medicine Practice)** The Ohio State University College of Veterinary Medicine, Columbus, OH

**Chumkee Aziz DVM, DABVP**  
**(Shelter Medicine Practice)** University of California-Davis, Koret Shelter Medicine Program, Houston, TX

**Elizabeth Berliner DVM, DABVP**  
**(Shelter Medicine; Canine & Feline Practice)**  
American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, Ithaca, NY

**Nancy Bradley-Siemens DVM, MNM, MS, DABVP**  
**(Shelter Medicine Practice)** Shelter and Community Medicine, Midwestern University, College of Veterinary Medicine, Glendale, AZ

**Philip Bushby DVM, MS, DACVS**  
Shelter Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine, Mississippi State University, Starkville, MS

**Staci Cannon DVM, MPH, DACVPM, DABVP**  
**(Shelter Medicine Practice)** University of Georgia College of Veterinary Medicine, Athens, GA

**Brian DiGangi DVM, MS, DABVP**  
**(Canine & Feline Practice; Shelter Medicine Practice)**  
University of Florida College of Veterinary Medicine, Gainesville, FL

**Uri Donnett DVM, MS, DABVP**  
**(Shelter Medicine Practice)** Dane County Humane Society, Madison, WI

**Elizabeth Fuller DVM**  
Charleston Animal Society, Charleston, SC

**Elise Gingrich DVM, MPH, MS, DACVPM, DABVP**  
**(Shelter Medicine Practice)** American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, Fort Collins, CO

**Brenda Griffin, DVM, MS, DACVIM (SAIM), DABVP**  
**(Shelter Medicine Practice)** University of Florida College of Veterinary Medicine, Gainesville, FL

**Stephanie Janeczko DVM, MS, DABVP**  
**(Canine & Feline Practice; Shelter Medicine Practice),**  
CAWA, American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, New York, NY

**Cristie Kamiya DVM, MBA, CAWA**  
Humane Society Silicon Valley, Milpitas, CA

**Cynthia Karsten DVM, DABVP**  
**(Shelter Medicine Practice)** University of California-Davis, Koret Shelter Medicine Program, Sacramento, CA

**Sheila Segurson, DVM, DACVB**  
Maddie's Fund, Pleasanton, CA

**Martha Smith-Blackmore DVM**  
Forensic Veterinary Investigations, LLC, Boston, MA

**Miranda Spindel DVM, MS**  
Shelter Medicine Help, Fort Collins, CO

\*Editors

# Acknowledgements

The ASV would like to recognize and thank the authors of the first edition of the *ASV Guidelines for Standards of Care in Animal Shelters* for their time and dedication to creating and sharing this transformative document: Sandra Newbury, Mary Blinn, Philip Bushby, Cynthia Barker Cox, Julie Dinnage, Brenda Griffin, Kate Hurley, Natalie Isaza, Wes Jones, Lila Miller, Jeanette O'Quin, Gary Patronek, Martha Smith-Blackmore, Miranda Spindel.

We would like to acknowledge the following people for their assistance with the presentation of this document:

- Dr. Denae Wagner for drafting figures of dog and cat primary enclosure set-up
- Katie Mihalenko for graphic design
- Gene Summerlin for legal consultation
- Abigail Appleton, PMP, CAWA, for technical support creating the checklist of key actionable statements
- Open Academia for publishing services

# Contents

Introduction .....	1
Purpose .....	1
About this document .....	1
Audience .....	1
Scope .....	1
Format .....	2
Ethical framework for animal welfare .....	2
Sheltering today .....	3
1. Management and Record Keeping .....	5
1.1. General .....	5
1.2. Management structure .....	5
1.3. Establishment of policies and protocols .....	6
1.4. Training .....	6
1.5. Record keeping and animal identification .....	6
2. Population Management .....	8
2.1. General .....	8
2.2. Determining capacity for care .....	8
2.3. Operating within capacity for care .....	9
Admission planning .....	9
Outcome planning .....	9
Length of stay .....	9
Pathway planning .....	10
Population rounds .....	10
2.4. Monitoring population data .....	10
3. Animal Handling .....	12
3.1. General .....	12
3.2. Restraint .....	12
3.3. Handling equipment .....	12
3.4. Handling feral cats .....	13
4. Facilities .....	14
4.1. General .....	14
4.2. Primary enclosures .....	14
Individual primary enclosure size .....	14
Primary enclosure set-up .....	15
Additional considerations .....	15
4.3. Co-housing .....	16

Co-housing enclosure set-up . . . . .	16
Selecting animals for co-housing . . . . .	17
Monitoring co-housed animals . . . . .	17
4.4. Isolation housing . . . . .	17
4.5. Surfaces and drainage . . . . .	18
4.6. Heating, ventilation, and air quality . . . . .	18
4.7. Noise control . . . . .	18
4.8. Lighting . . . . .	19
4.9. Enrichment spaces . . . . .	19
4.10. Intake spaces. . . . .	19
4.11 Drop boxes . . . . .	19
4.12. Facility design and planning . . . . .	19
5. Sanitation. . . . .	23
5.1. General . . . . .	23
5.2. Definitions . . . . .	23
5.3. Sanitation practices . . . . .	23
Sanitizing primary enclosures . . . . .	24
Spot cleaning primary enclosures . . . . .	24
5.4 Reducing pathogen spread . . . . .	25
Personal protective equipment. . . . .	25
Hand hygiene . . . . .	25
Equipment & supplies . . . . .	25
5.5. Other shelter areas . . . . .	26
5.6. Wildlife, rodent, & insect control . . . . .	26
6. Medical Health . . . . .	29
6.1. General . . . . .	29
6.2. Veterinary oversight and medical recordkeeping. . . . .	29
6.3. Medical assessment . . . . .	30
6.4. Essential wellness and preventive care . . . . .	30
Vaccination. . . . .	30
Core vaccines in shelters . . . . .	31
Noncore vaccines . . . . .	32
Vaccine schedules . . . . .	32
Parasites . . . . .	32
Nutrition . . . . .	32
Pregnant, nursing, and neonatal animals . . . . .	33
6.5. Responding to health concerns . . . . .	33
Pain management. . . . .	33

Emergency medical care . . . . .	34
Responding to infectious disease . . . . .	34
Outbreak reponse . . . . .	34
6.6. Population health surveillance . . . . .	34
6.7. Rehoming considerations . . . . .	35
7. Shelter Surgery . . . . .	38
7.1. General . . . . .	38
7.2. Spay-Neuter . . . . .	38
Practices and protocols . . . . .	38
Identifying altered animals . . . . .	38
7.3. Other surgeries . . . . .	39
Dentistry . . . . .	39
8. Forensics . . . . .	41
8.1. General . . . . .	41
8.2. Laws and regulations . . . . .	41
8.3. Forensic investigation policies . . . . .	41
8.4. The veterinary forensic evaluation . . . . .	41
Veterinary forensic examination . . . . .	42
Documentation . . . . .	42
8.5. Managing evidence . . . . .	42
8.6. Training . . . . .	42
9. Behavior and Mental Well-being . . . . .	44
9.1. General . . . . .	44
9.2. Stress and welfare . . . . .	44
9.3. Intake . . . . .	44
9.4. Environmental management . . . . .	45
Housing . . . . .	45
Daily routine . . . . .	45
9.5. Enrichment and socialization . . . . .	45
Time out of enclosure . . . . .	45
Interactions with people and other animals . . . . .	45
Playgroups . . . . .	46
Enrichment within enclosures . . . . .	46
Socialization of puppies and kittens . . . . .	46
9.6. Behavior assessment . . . . .	46
9.7. Responding to behavior or welfare concerns . . . . .	47
Animal training . . . . .	47
Behavior modification . . . . .	47

Behavior medication . . . . .	47
Animals with long term stays . . . . .	48
9.8. Risk assessment of animals displaying aggressive behavior . . . . .	48
9.9. Rehoming considerations . . . . .	49
10. Euthanasia . . . . .	52
10.1. General . . . . .	52
10.2. Euthanasia process . . . . .	52
Euthanasia methods . . . . .	52
10.3. Environment and equipment . . . . .	53
10.4. Personnel considerations . . . . .	53
11. Animal Transport and Relocation Programs . . . . .	55
11.1. General . . . . .	55
11.2. Responsibilities for relocation programs . . . . .	55
11.3. Responsibilities at the source . . . . .	55
11.4. Responsibilities during transport . . . . .	56
Primary enclosure and occupancy . . . . .	56
Special cases . . . . .	56
Vehicles . . . . .	57
Monitoring and care . . . . .	57
Aggregation . . . . .	58
11.5. Responsibilities at the destination . . . . .	58
12. Disaster Response . . . . .	59
12.1. General . . . . .	59
12.2. Mitigation . . . . .	59
12.3. Preparedness . . . . .	59
12.4. Response . . . . .	60
12.5. Recovery . . . . .	61
13. Public Health . . . . .	62
13.1. General . . . . .	62
13.2. Personal protective measures . . . . .	62
Hand hygiene . . . . .	62
13.3. Workplace hazards . . . . .	62
Chemical hazards . . . . .	62
Physical hazards . . . . .	62
Biological hazards . . . . .	63
13.4. Human well-being . . . . .	64
Appendices . . . . .	67

## Introduction

### Purpose

The Association of Shelter Veterinarians' (ASV) Guidelines for Standards of Care in Animal Shelters [‘The Guidelines<sup>1</sup>’] was originally published in 2010. While animal sheltering has evolved substantially in the last decade, this second edition shares the same fundamental goals. To provide:

- a set of common standards for the care and welfare of companion animals in shelters based on scientific evidence and expert consensus
- guidance that helps animal welfare organizations reduce overcrowding, stress, disease, and improve safety
- a tool for animal welfare organizations and communities to assess and improve their shelters
- references for creating regulations and statutes around sheltering, and benchmarks for organizational change
- guidance for animal housing in existing facilities and priorities for the design of new construction
- a living document that responds to developments in shelter medicine and animal care research and practice

Both documents share the guiding principle that meeting each animal's physical and emotional needs is the fundamental obligation of a shelter regardless of the mission of the organization or the challenges involved in meeting those needs.

### About this document

This second edition keeps the intent and format of the original document, while incorporating important updates based on the growing body of animal sheltering science and recommendations rooted in practical experience. To undertake this revision, the Board of Directors of the ASV formed a task force of 19 shelter veterinarians from a pool of nominees and original authors. Task force members were selected from those active within the ASV community to provide diversity and breadth in their areas of expertise, geographical locations, and current or previous roles in a variety of shelter types. Task force members completed literature reviews and consulted subject matter experts to inform their contributions. Funding to support the research, development, and publication of this document was provided by the ASV. No commercial or industry funding was used.

This consensus document, which represents the collective input and agreement of all task force members, took 3 years to create. This second edition was approved unanimously by the ASV Board of Directors in December 2022.

### Audience

The Guidelines for Standards of Care in Animal Shelters, Second Edition, is written for organizations of any size or type who provide temporary housing for companion animals. The term *shelter* used here includes foster-based rescues, nonprofit humane societies and SPCAs, municipal animal services facilities, and hybrid organizations. The Guidelines are also applicable to any organization that routinely cares for populations of companion animals, including companion animal sanctuaries, cat cafés, vet clinics, pet stores, dog breeding operations, research facilities (including universities), and service, military, or sporting dog organizations. This document was written for organizations working in every community, including those with significant numbers of homeless pets, those with the capacity to take in animals from other locations, and those whose pet population challenges vary by species, time of year, and other circumstances.

The term *personnel* is used in this document to include all paid and volunteer team members caring for animals in shelters and foster-based organizations. This document is intended to guide all personnel, including administrative, medical, behavior, and animal care staff; volunteers; foster caregivers; sole operators; and those filling any other role that supports animal well-being.

### Scope

Although many practice recommendations and examples are included, these Guidelines are not a detailed manual for shelter operations. As with the previous document, the aim is to provide guiding standards of care to meet animals' needs, while allowing shelters to determine exactly how those standards are met in their own operating protocols, based on their mission or mandate, resources, challenges, and community needs.

In this document, we have deliberately limited our focus to the care of cats and dogs who make up the majority of animals admitted to shelters in the United States every year. When caring for other species, similar operational principles can be applied to meet the unique needs of those animals.

The ASV recognizes the importance of activities supporting pet retention and access to veterinary care, and that shelters are playing a large role in providing those services.<sup>2</sup> Informed community engagement is critical in supporting the health of animals in their communities, with impacts on shelter intake and human health.<sup>3</sup> Although these services are addressed where they intersect with shelter admission and outcome policies and decisions, this document does not focus specifically on how shelters support owned animals or community pet welfare.

**Format**

These Guidelines have been divided into 13 sections; 11 have been updated from the original document and two are new. The document is intended to be read in its entirety because concepts build upon one another. A glossary is included as [Appendix A](#); a checklist of key actionable statements is available on the ASV website. Lists of helpful resources are also included in [appendices](#) for ease of access. As an evidence-based document, the many references included direct the reader to the science and research behind specific recommendations.

As with the original document, the key actionable statements use an *unacceptable*, *must*, *should*, or *ideal* format:

- **Unacceptable** indicates practices that need to be avoided or prevented without exception
- **Must** indicates practices for which adherence is necessary to ensure humane care
- **Should** indicates practices that are strongly recommended, and compliance is expected in most circumstances
- **Ideal** indicates practices that are implemented when resources allow

The ASV recognizes that each organization is uniquely situated and faces challenges that may impact their ability to implement the practices recommended. The ranked format of statements allows organizations to set priorities for improving their operations and facilities. This is not a legal document; shelters should be aware that state and local laws and regulations may supersede the recommendations made here.

*Ethical framework for animal welfare*

The ethical principles for animal welfare used in the original Guidelines document were the Five Freedoms: the

freedom from hunger and thirst; the freedom from discomfort; the freedom from pain, injury, or disease; the freedom to express normal behavior; and the freedom from fear and distress.<sup>1,4</sup>

While these principles are valuable for defining essential elements of animal welfare, their focus is on avoiding negative experiences. Positive experiences and welfare are also essential to promote a life worth living.<sup>5</sup> For example, shelters do more than ensure animals do not go hungry; they regularly provide species- and life stage-specific food that nourishes, provides interest, and satisfies without overfilling. Food can be even more enriching when provided in a context of social contact and animal training.

The Five Domains model, derived from the Five Freedoms, illustrates how better or worse nutrition, environment, physical health, and behavioral opportunities combine to inform an animal’s mental state, which, in turn, informs their overall welfare.<sup>6</sup> This model does two new things. First, it gives a spectrum for each domain, for example, allowing not just the absence of pain but including the feelings of comfort and fitness (Table 1).


Second, this model illustrates that positive welfare states can still occur even when one or more important needs are not completely satisfied. For example, a stray cat with a healing pelvic fracture on cage rest (restricted agency, pain) may still have an overall positive welfare state when appropriately treated and housed in an enriched foster home. Negative mental states are also possible even if only one need is unmet. For example, a well-fed and physically healthy dog confined long-term to a kennel (restricted agency) may have profound mental distress and overall negative welfare.

When nutritional, environmental, physical, and emotional needs are increasingly satisfied, animals have increasingly positive mental states and demonstrate this

Table 1. The Five Domains that contribute to an animal’s welfare status

	<b>1. Nutrition</b>	<b>2. Environment</b>	<b>3. Health</b>	<b>4. Opportunity</b>	<b>5. Mental state</b>
Positive experiences	Enough food and water Fresh clean water Balanced, variety of food	Comfortable Temperate Routine Clean Interest/variety	Physical health Good function Good body condition Restful sleep	Choice of environment Choice of interaction Behavioral variety (play, hunt, forage, engage, rest) Novelty	Satisfied Engaged Comfortable Affectionate Playful Confident Calm Encouraged
Negative experiences	Restricted water Restricted food Poor quality Monotonous	Too cold or hot Too dark or bright Too loud or quiet Unpredictable Malodorous Soiled Monotonous Uncomfortable	Body dysfunction or impairment Disease Pain Poor fitness	Barren cage Confined space Separation from people or species Restraint Unavoidable sensory inputs	Fearful or anxious Frustrated Bored, lonely Exhausted Ill, painful Uncomfortable Hungry, thirsty

Adapted from Mellor<sup>6</sup>



© ASV 2022

Figure 1. The Five Domains of animal welfare in action

through physical manifestations of good health and behavior (Figure 1).

In this document, we set out to help shelters achieve positive welfare in each of these Five Domains within the necessary constraints of animal and human safety and infectious disease control. In addition to following the Guidelines in this document, we hope that shelters will examine existing practices in light of the Five Domains framework and identify new ways to tip the balance toward positive well-being for the animals in their care.

#### Sheltering today

This document was created during a period of social upheaval, with a global pandemic, climate events, and racial inequity protests impacting communities around the world. Both the COVID-19 pandemic and increasingly frequent damaging weather events have accentuated the critical role that shelters play in keeping animals safe and preserving the human–animal bond. The willingness of communities to help shelters was also highlighted during the pandemic, when entire organizations pivoted to foster care and pursued creative alternatives to intake. Inviting members of the community to be a part of the safety-net has created opportunities for new programs and bigger impacts.

At the same time, the animal welfare industry has been reflecting on how sheltering and animal control practices contribute to systemic inequities in their communities, including the ways that shelters admit,

transport, and adopt out animals. This reflection has emphasized the need for accessible, non-punitive services for pet owners in our communities, the benefits of culturally sensitive community engagement, and the need to work toward representing the diversity of our communities in our personnel and profession (ASV's Commitment to Diversity, Equity and Inclusion).<sup>7</sup> Staffing and work environment challenges, during the pandemic and beyond, have reiterated the need for shelters to be healthy, supportive, and inclusive places to work and volunteer (ASV's Well-being of Shelter Veterinarians and Staff).<sup>8</sup>

Confronting these challenges together has created a stronger, more interconnected animal welfare community. The ASV offers this document as a tool to help shelters connect to expert guidance and measure themselves against a common standard, to help personnel find compassion satisfaction, to solidify the shelter's role in supporting their community, and to elevate the welfare of animals in their care.

#### References

1. Newbury S, Blinn MK, Bushby PA, et al. *Guidelines for Standards of Care in Animal Shelters*. The Association of Shelter Veterinarians; 2010:1–67.
2. Shelter Animals Count. Community Services Data Matrix. 2021:1–10. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. [https://shelteranimalscount-cms-production.s3.us-east-2.amazonaws.com/sac\\_communityservicesdatamatrix\\_202101\\_c1ddc2b4b6.pdf](https://shelteranimalscount-cms-production.s3.us-east-2.amazonaws.com/sac_communityservicesdatamatrix_202101_c1ddc2b4b6.pdf)

3. Clinical and Translational Science Awards Consortium Community Engagement Key Function Committee Task Force on the Principles of Community Engagement. *Principles of Community Engagement*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Silberberg M, Cook J, Drescher C, McCloskey DJ, Weaver S, Ziegahn L, eds. National Institutes of Health and Human Services; 2011.
4. Elischer M. *The Five Freedoms: A History Lesson in Animal Care and Welfare*. Michigan State University Extension; 2019. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. [https://www.canr.msu.edu/news/an\\_animal\\_welfare\\_history\\_lesson\\_on\\_the\\_five\\_freedoms](https://www.canr.msu.edu/news/an_animal_welfare_history_lesson_on_the_five_freedoms)
5. Mellor DJ. Animal emotions, behaviour and the promotion of positive welfare states. *N Z Vet J*. 2012;60(1):1–8. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00480169.2011.619047>.
6. Mellor DJ. Updating animal welfare thinking: moving beyond the “five freedoms” towards “A life worth living.” *Animals*. 2016;6(3):21. doi: 10.3390/ani6030021
7. Association of Shelter Veterinarians. ASV’s Commitment to Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion. 2020.
8. Association of Shelter Veterinarians. Position Statement: Well-being of Shelter Veterinarians and Staff. 2022.

## I. Management and Record Keeping

### 1.1 General

A well-run sheltering organization of any size is built on a foundation of planning, training, and oversight. This foundation is an essential part of implementing the guidelines presented in this document. Shelters must have a clearly defined mission or mandate, adequate personnel, up-to-date policies and protocols, a system for training and supervising personnel, and management practices aligned with these guidelines.

The shelter's mission or mandate should reflect the needs of the community it serves. Tools that aid shelters in defining their purpose include community needs assessments and strategic planning. A community needs assessment reveals what services are already being provided in the community and where needs are unmet. Programs and collaborations have the biggest impact when they reflect principles of community engagement, including respect for each other's values and cultures.<sup>1</sup> The community's needs should be regularly reviewed, and strategies and goals updated accordingly.

Strategic planning is an organizational process used to define the shelter's essential programs and goals, and then purposefully allocate resources (e.g. shelter space, personnel, and finances) toward achieving these goals. This planning positively impacts an organization's ability to achieve its stated objectives.<sup>2</sup> Strategic plans are most effective when reviewed regularly, often quarterly, to ensure progress is being made and goals are still relevant.

Animal shelter administration requires the balance of a complex set of considerations, including a focus on collaboration and the establishment of best practices. When developing organizational level policies and protocols, administrators are encouraged to consult industry-specific professional organizations for guidance and to learn from the experience of others in the field.<sup>3-5</sup> Because animal health and welfare is woven into every facet of shelter operations, veterinarians should be integrally involved with development and implementation of the shelter's organizational policies and protocols.

### 1.2 Management structure

Shelters must have a clearly defined organizational structure that outlines accountability, responsibility, and authority for management decisions. This organizational structure must be communicated to all staff and volunteers. Organizational charts are visual tools that enable all personnel to understand roles and responsibilities, supporting clear communication across departments. This blueprint of the organization can be used by

team members learning about the organization, by those in leadership planning for growth and transition, and by external partners establishing a collaborative relationship with the organization. Lines of authority, responsibility, and supervision should be in writing, reviewed periodically, and updated when roles change.

Decision-making must take into account resource allocation as well as population and individual animal health and welfare. Decisions involving the allocation of resources, whether at the organizational, population, or individual animal level, are best made by personnel aware of organizational priorities and the shelter's capacity for care.

Authority and responsibility for tasks and decision-making must be given only to those who have the appropriate knowledge, training, and when applicable, credentials. For example, resource-based decisions (e.g. to treat or to euthanize an individual animal) may be made by shelter personnel, but medical treatment decisions (e.g. which drug to treat with) need to involve a veterinarian.

The practice of veterinary medicine and surgery is restricted to those with a valid license. In the United States, veterinary practice is defined by state or territorial practice acts. These acts generally cover the diagnosis and treatment of medical conditions, prescription of pharmaceuticals, surgery, and the tasks that other personnel (e.g. technicians, assistants, veterinary students, and others) may perform under direct or indirect veterinary supervision.<sup>6</sup> Several states and the AVMA Model Veterinary Practice Act have sections specific to population medicine and the provision of veterinary oversight through standard written protocols and timely visits to the premises where animals are housed.<sup>7,8</sup>

Some medical procedures (e.g. microchipping and alternative therapies) may be restricted to veterinarians in some states and not in others.<sup>9</sup> Shelters can maximize capacity for medical services by using veterinary technicians and other veterinary professionals to the extent of their capabilities. Providing veterinary care via telemedicine extends veterinary bandwidth and can improve animal welfare.<sup>10</sup>

A formal relationship with a veterinarian must be in place to ensure oversight of medical and surgical care in the shelter. Many shelters employ one or more veterinarians, others may use local veterinary clinics, and some use paid or unpaid contract veterinarians. A shelter's veterinarian must have knowledge about their particular population and should have training or experience in shelter medicine. The shelter's veterinarian should be consulted on all policies and protocols related to the maintenance of medical and behavioral animal health (see [Medical Health](#)).

Furthermore, veterinarians may be uniquely suited to provide training and continuing education, communicate with external stakeholders, and engage in organizational policy and protocol development in shelters.

### *1.3 Establishment of policies and protocols*

Organizational policies are a framework of high-level decisions that ensure operations remain consistent with the shelter's mission and priorities. Shelter policies help ensure that animal needs do not overwhelm the resources available to meet those needs, since operating beyond an organization's capacity for care is unacceptable (see [Population Management](#)). Important policies for sheltering organizations include intake, treatable conditions, euthanasia, adoption, transport, and community animal services.

Shelter protocols are critical tools that ensure consistent daily operations in keeping with organizational policies. Protocols must be developed and documented in sufficient detail to achieve and maintain the standards described in this document and should be reviewed and updated regularly. All personnel must have access to up-to-date protocols. How shelters provide this access will vary by organization and may include digital or paper documents. Shelter management must routinely monitor and ensure compliance with protocols. [Appendix B](#) provides a comprehensive list of protocols recommended in these Guidelines.

Shelters are obligated to comply with all local, state, and national regulations, which need to be reviewed regularly. In some cases, existing regulations may represent outdated practice or lower standards of care and can restrict or even conflict with current best practices. When implementation of these Guidelines does not align with government regulations or policies, shelters are encouraged to support endeavors for legislative change.

### *1.4 Training*

Effective training of personnel (i.e. paid and unpaid staff and volunteers) is necessary to ensure safe and humane animal care and the safety of people.<sup>11</sup> Personnel training should incorporate all relevant aspects of working in the organization. In addition to operating protocols for daily tasks, effective training programs include broader topics that help staff to perform their duties well, such as communication techniques; data management; animal husbandry; staff well-being; and diversity, equity, and inclusion ([Appendix B](#)).

Onboarding is an important part of introducing new personnel to any organization. Shelters must provide training for each shelter task, and personnel must demonstrate skills and knowledge before proficiency is assumed. For example, new animal care staff could complete virtual training materials on sanitation and work with a

senior staff member prior to being assigned to sanitize enclosures.

Documentation of training should be maintained and reviewed regularly as a part of professional development and performance reviews. Ongoing feedback about performance, both in-the-moment and through formal reviews, is an important element of professional growth for personnel at all levels. When licensing or certification is required to perform specialized duties, as in veterinary care or euthanasia, personnel performing these tasks must be credentialed.<sup>12,13</sup> Continuing education must be provided for all personnel in order to improve skills and maintain credentials. Investing in training requires time and resources but is key to program success.

To ensure employee, volunteer, and public safety, shelters must provide all personnel the information and training needed to recognize and protect themselves against common zoonotic conditions (see [Public Health](#)). In addition, shelter personnel having any form of contact with animals should have proper training in basic animal handling skills, animal body language, and bite prevention strategies. This training reduces risk for staff and volunteers and provides a more humane experience for animals.

### *1.5 Record keeping and animal identification*

Shelter animal identification and maintenance of animal records are essential for shelter operations. Shelters must adhere to the elements of record-keeping defined within regulatory requirements.

Given the wide availability of technology, digital systems should be used for record keeping, preferably software systems designed for animal shelters. With proper utilization, shelter software or spreadsheet programs allow organizations to better manage resources, schedules, and shelter processes. The software system used by a shelter should be able to generate basic population level reports as well as individual animal records. Population-level data inform management strategies (see [Population Management](#)) and allow regular assessment and reporting of organizational goals and activities.<sup>14</sup>

No matter the system used, each animal must have a unique identifier and individual record. This identifier (e.g. name and number) is established at or prior to admission and ensures consistency and accuracy in care and record keeping for that animal. Shelter software programs typically generate a 'kennel card' based on animal information entered into the system, which can be displayed on or near the animal's primary enclosure for easy reference by personnel and the public.

Because animals may move within and between areas, shelters must have an organized system by which animal identification information can be quickly and easily matched to animals in enclosures and their shelter records.

Table 1.1. Essential elements of an animal shelter record

Animal information	Unique identifier (number/name) Intake type (source) Date of intake Species Age/age category (estimate or known) Sex Spay-neuter status Physical description Weight
Identification	Photograph of the animal Microchip scan results Identifying markings (tattoos, tags, scars, etc.)
History	Medical conditions and services received Behavioral events and experiences People and animals lived with Home environment
In-shelter care activities	Medical findings, treatments, and procedures Behavioral findings, plans, and treatments Housing locations by time
Outcome information	Outcome type Date of outcome

Since identification may be challenging when animals are outside of their enclosures, co-housed with similar animals, or in foster homes, a means of identification should be physically affixed (e.g. collar and tag) or permanently inserted (microchip), when it is safe to do so.

Shelter records should capture all pertinent medical and behavioral information (Table 1.1.) Records must be maintained for animals in foster care and other off-site housing locations just as they are for shelter-housed animals.

## References

- Clinical and Translational Science Awards Consortium Community Engagement Key Function Committee Task Force on the Principles of Community Engagement. *Principles of Community Engagement*. In: Silberberg M, Cook J, Drescher C, McCloskey DJ, Weaver S, Ziegahn L, eds. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. National Institutes of Health and Human Services; 2011, pages 1–188.
- George B, Walker RM, Monster J. Does Strategic Planning Improve Organizational Performance? A Meta-Analysis. *Public Adm Rev*. 2019;79(6):810–819. doi: 10.1111/PUAR.13104
- Association of Animal Welfare Administrators. *Resources*. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://theaawa.org/page/Resources>.
- National Animal Care and Control Association. Home: National Animal Care & Control Association. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. [www.naca.com](http://www.naca.com)
- Association of Shelter Veterinarians. Association of Shelter Veterinarians: Home. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. [www.sheltervet.org](http://www.sheltervet.org)
- Association of Shelter Veterinarians. *Position Statement: Veterinary Supervision in Animal Shelters*. 2021;1. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. [https://www.sheltervet.org/assets/docs/position-statements/VeterinarySupervision in Animal Shelters PS 2021.pdf](https://www.sheltervet.org/assets/docs/position-statements/VeterinarySupervision%20in%20Animal%20Shelters%20PS%202021.pdf).
- American Veterinary Medical Association, AVMA. AVMA Policy: Model Veterinary Practice Act. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2021. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.avma.org/sites/default/files/2021-01/model-veterinary-practice-act.pdf>.
- American Association of Veterinary State Boards. *Veterinary Medicine and Veterinary Technology Practice Act Model (PAM)*. 2019. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.aavsb.org/board-services/member-board-resources/practice-act-model/>.
- American Veterinary Medical Association. *Policy: Complementary, Alternative, and Integrative Veterinary Medicine*, Schaumburg IL, 2022.
- Association of Shelter Veterinarians. *ASV Telemedicine Position Statement*. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. [https://www.sheltervet.org/assets/docs/position-statements/Telemedicine PS 2021.pdf](https://www.sheltervet.org/assets/docs/position-statements/Telemedicine%20PS%202021.pdf).
- National Research Council (U.S.). Committee for the Update of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, Institute for Laboratory Animal Research (U.S.). *Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals*. National Academies Press; 2011, Washington DC.
- American Association of Veterinary State Boards. *Licensing Boards for Veterinary Medicine*, Schaumburg IL.
- American Veterinary Medical Association. *State Laws Governing Euthanasia*. 2022. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.avma.org/advocacy/state-and-local-advocacy/state-laws-governing-euthanasia>.
- Shelter Animals Count. *Basic Data Matrix*. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. [https://www.shelteranimalscount.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/BasicDataMatrix\\_SAC.pdf](https://www.shelteranimalscount.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/BasicDataMatrix_SAC.pdf).

## 2. Population Management

### 2.1 General

Shelters must practice active population management, which is the process of intentionally and efficiently planning services for each animal in the shelter's care. Individual animals are managed in consideration of the shelter's ability to care for that animal and their entire population in a manner consistent with the guidelines outlined in this document. Population management includes pre-intake planning, protocols for care and services, ongoing daily evaluation, outcome planning, and response to changing conditions of the shelter and the animal.<sup>1</sup>

Every organization has limits to its ability to provide care. Limits include financial and physical resources, personnel hours and skills, housing and operations space, and the opportunity for live outcomes. These limitations define the number and type of animals for which an organization can provide humane care, also known as the organization's *capacity for care*. The concept of capacity for care is not unique to animal sheltering and is recognized in veterinary hospitals, other animal care fields, human healthcare, hospitality, and other industries.<sup>2,3</sup>

Operating beyond an organization's capacity for care is an unacceptable practice. When shelter populations tax the organization's ability to provide care for their animals, living conditions worsen, and population health and well-being are compromised.<sup>4,5</sup> Delays in recognizing problems and providing services negatively impact animal welfare and prolong the length of stay (LOS) for animals in shelters. Alternatively, working to maintain the population within the shelter's capacity for care has been linked to decreased LOS, decreased disease and euthanasia rates, and increased live outcomes.<sup>6,7</sup> Policies and protocols must be in place to ensure an organization operates within its capacity for care.

### 2.2 Determining capacity for care

The most visible factor in determining the shelter's capacity for care is housing capacity, or the number of available humane housing units. Housing units include in-shelter enclosures as well as foster homes and off-site housing. Housing capacity calculations must be based on the ability to promote each animal's positive welfare. Housing units that are too small or otherwise inappropriate cannot be included (see [Facilities](#)). The number of humane housing units available may exceed an organization's capacity for care, since the organization's capacity is also determined by shelter personnel, resources, and available outcomes.

The time and skills of shelter personnel is another critical component of a shelter's capacity for care. Trained personnel must be scheduled to meet daily animal care

needs and efficiently and effectively accomplish each critical task. A standard estimate such as 15 minutes per animal per day<sup>8</sup> may roughly calculate the time needed for cleaning and feeding in some facilities, but it does not account for variations in housing designs and sanitation protocols, the time needed for training personnel, and the provision of enrichment and additional care.<sup>9</sup> Personnel time needed for essential care tasks such as sanitation, feeding, and enrichment is best estimated using direct observation to calculate the average time per task. These estimates, when multiplied by the number of animals in care, can guide staffing levels and schedules. Direct observation is also useful for estimating the time needed for personnel to complete other critical tasks, such as intake, rounds, assessments, and outcome processes.

Animals with medical and behavioral challenges may need more care time per day and may also require services from personnel with advanced skills or credentials. When these services are provided by external partners, a shelter's capacity for care will also be affected by the capacity of these partners. Services such as surgery, veterinary visits, or transport should be scheduled in anticipation of an animal's eligibility for that service. Proactive scheduling can maximize the use of external partner capacity.

Foster programs must have sufficient personnel to provide support to caregivers and animals. Foster support includes tasks such as maintaining a foster caregiver database, communicating with foster caregivers, scheduling appointments, and facilitating outcomes. Medical, surgical, and behavioral services for foster animals must be provided in a manner that promotes animal welfare and minimizes LOS.

Shelter resources, including finances and material goods, are another critical factor in determining an organization's capacity for care. If a shelter cannot afford or otherwise procure supplies or necessary services for the animals in their facility, animal welfare will be compromised. There is no standard estimate for calculating cost of care per animal but using historical organizational information and comparing budgets with similar organizations can help shelters manage their available resources.

Shelters should engage with one another to leverage resources and maximize each organization's strengths. Thoughtful partnerships avoid redundancy and increase the community's capacity to help animals. For example, a small organization with limited medical resources can partner with a larger organization with a full-service hospital, or a brick-and-mortar organization can partner with a foster-based organization to house animals with kennel-induced stress. In addition to partnering with other animal welfare organizations, collaborating with

human service professionals, such as social workers, housing advocates, and home care providers, can support pet retention and prevent relinquishment.

### 2.3 Operating within capacity for care

Shelters experience a high demand for their services. Working within their capacity for care maximizes each shelter's impact through thoughtful planning and efficient decision-making. An organization's policies for admissions and outcomes should be based on their mandate, mission, and the needs of their community. When organizations find that they are frequently near or over their capacity for care, strategic planning can be a valuable process to address how a shelter's capacity for care and their community's needs can better align (see [Management and Record Keeping](#)).

#### 2.3.1 Admission planning

When appropriate, admission policies should prioritize retention over shelter intake. Helping pets stay with their owner or caregiver preserves the human-animal bond, eliminates the stress of shelter admission, and addresses discriminatory admissions practices.<sup>10</sup> Owners may be able to keep their pet if given access to services, supplies, or information.<sup>11</sup>

Decisions about intake must consider whether admission is the best option for the animal or their situation. Gathering and providing information prior to admission can support intake diversion. For example, finders can be provided information about neonatal kitten care, so that they can rear kittens in their home until they are old enough to be adopted.

Admission must be balanced with the ability to provide appropriate outcomes, minimize LOS, and ensure the shelter remains within its capacity for care. Population management begins prior to admission: an animal must only be admitted if the shelter can provide the care they require. For welfare or safety reasons, some animals may need to be admitted so that euthanasia can be provided.

When admission is deemed the best solution for an animal, situation, and shelter, appropriate intake scheduling ensures that the shelter has the capacity to care for this animal and the animals already in care.<sup>12,13</sup> Intake by appointment is recommended even for shelters with high intake demand and open admissions policies and can be used to control the flow of animals into the shelter.<sup>11,13,14</sup>

Organizations that are impacted by unpredicted intakes (e.g. disasters and large-scale investigations) must have a plan to flex their operations to increase their capacity for care. Compromising the welfare of animals and personnel is not an acceptable strategy for meeting the increased care demands of unpredicted intakes. Increasing a shelter's capacity requires more than identifying additional humane housing units; all aspects of care need to flex to

match, including increased animal care personnel and hours, medical and behavioral care services and providers, resources to supply and fund the response, and a range of available outcomes.<sup>15</sup>

#### 2.3.2 Outcome planning

Every attempt must be made to locate a lost animal's owner, including careful screening for identification and microchips, in the field and at the time of intake. Field agents and admissions personnel require ready access to lost pet data and social media in order to cross-check identifying features of animals being picked-up or brought in. Lost pets are usually found close to home and may be returned to their owner without shelter admission.<sup>16,17</sup> Reunification of pets can be an opportunity to provide owners with services or information promoting identification (microchipping and ID tags), spay-neuter, training, or fence-building programs. Shelters can also support community members working to reunite animals with their owners directly.

In addition to prioritizing pet retention and reunification, shelters should remove barriers to local outcomes. Removing barriers can include:

- accessible and convenient open hours
- adoption and reclaim services in languages spoken by the community
- affordable adoption and reclaim fees
- adoption and outreach events that reach the entire community
- inclusive adoption policies

Imposing strict policies or requirements on adopters (e.g. employment status, landlord checks, home visits, and veterinary references) is discriminatory, prolongs LOS in the shelter, and prevents future adoptions.<sup>18</sup> Strategies that support pet retention, reunification, and local adoption acknowledge the community's ability and desire to provide care for their pets.

Relocation of animals for adoption can be a valuable strategy for live outcomes while working to address population challenges and remove barriers to local outcomes (see [Animal Relocation and Transport](#)). Destination shelters need to critically consider their capacity for care before making the decision to take in transported animals. These programs are not a replacement for partnership building within the local community.

#### 2.3.3 Length of stay

The number of animals a shelter has in its care on any given day is a product of the number of animals it admits and the length of time they stay in the shelter's care (i.e. LOS).

$$\text{Average Daily Population} = \text{Average Daily Admissions} \times \text{Average Length of Stay}$$

If two shelters take in the same number of animals each year, the shelter with the shorter average LOS will have fewer animals in care each day (Table 2.1).

Caring for fewer animals at a time allows shelters to provide better welfare and creates the capacity to provide care for animals who require longer stays.<sup>1</sup> Or, when it is within the shelter's capacity and mission to do so, shortening average LOS can allow the shelter to take in more animals or expand other services.

*Table 2.1.* Example of the relationship between length of stay and shelter population

Average admissions per day	Average length of stay (days)	Average daily population (animals)	Admissions per year (animals)
10	7	70	3,650
10	14	140	3,650
10	21	210	3,650

### 2.3.4 Pathway planning

LOS can be minimized through effective pathway planning. Pathway planning is a proactive process that anticipates the services and care an animal will require to achieve an appropriate outcome.<sup>12</sup> A pathway is selected in consideration of available housing, personnel, resources, and the likelihood of achieving the outcome while maintaining good welfare. Planning ahead prevents needless delays that add days to a shelter stay.

Policies that detail which medical and behavioral conditions a shelter can treat help personnel make swift, measured decisions when an animal's needs may be beyond their ability to provide care. Although legal holding periods and time in medical or foster care may extend the time in care, efficient planning of services can also decrease LOS for these animals.

For shelters with both an on-site and foster population, determining whether to pursue foster placement for an animal is a key part of pathway decision-making. Medical or behavioral care that can reasonably occur outside of the shelter, either in foster care or after adoption, should be identified to minimize time in the shelter environment. Regardless of whether animals are on site or in foster care, decision-making and animal movement must optimize LOS.

### 2.3.5 Population rounds

To ensure that each animal has a clear plan and that all needs and critical points of service are promptly met, the entire shelter population, including animals housed in foster or off-site, must be regularly assessed by knowledgeable personnel with decision-making ability and authority. The personnel involved in this assessment,

often called population or 'daily' rounds, will vary based on the shelter population and organizational structure. Population rounds work best when participants include a small group of people who represent relevant departments or teams, including intake, medical, behavior, management, daily care, and outcome personnel (individuals may represent multiple areas). Participants collectively provide and consider all aspects of each animal's pathway, needs, and next steps.

The population rounds team answers the following for each animal:

- How are you doing?
- What is your pathway?
  - Are there updates or concerns that change this pathway?
- What are your next steps?

The outcome of population rounds is a task list for each participant or team. Any needs identified during population rounds that could compromise welfare or extend the shelter stay must be addressed promptly. Although population rounds are recommended daily for most shelters, it is more important that population rounds occur frequently enough that animal care, including for those in foster, is not delayed.

Additionally, all animals physically in the shelter must be monitored daily to identify housing, care, or service needs. Monitoring these needs helps a shelter determine whether they are within or over their capacity for care. A shelter animal inventory, including all animals in foster care, should be taken and reconciled daily. This ensures that no animals are missing, data collection is accurate, and population levels are within capacity for care. This inventory can be taken during population rounds or daily monitoring.<sup>1</sup>

### 2.4 Monitoring population data

Keeping track of shelter metrics and population statistics over time is a key component of successful population management. Population level statistics are available as reports from shelter software programs or can be generated manually using commonly available spreadsheet programs. At a minimum, shelters must track monthly intake and outcome type for each species by age group.<sup>19</sup>

Data collection should include information about health and behavior status at intake and outcome. Tracking this information allows shelters to understand the effects of shelter care on animal health and well-being. For example, discovering a trend where animals that are healthy at the time of intake subsequently become ill warrants investigation into the shelter's population management practices.<sup>20</sup>

LOS data, broken down by age category, species, status, and location, should be regularly analyzed to identify

bottlenecks, mismatched resources, and capacity for care concerns.<sup>1,9</sup> Population level data should be reviewed and analyzed regularly to ensure that operations align with the organization's goals, purpose, and policies.<sup>9</sup> For example, when an organization's mandate is to admit stray, injured, or at-risk animals, redirecting healthy community cats to return-to-field services creates capacity to care for the animals that the organization is required to serve.<sup>21</sup>

Because local capacity to support animal welfare is maximized when organizations collaborate, population level metrics are ideally monitored as a community through transparent sharing of data. Sharing data can help communities strategically leverage resources, increase efficiency, and maximize impact for community animals and people. Organizations can share their data directly or participate in national data sharing databases such as Shelter Animals Count.<sup>22</sup> Although useful for tracking shelter goals year over year, outcome-based metrics do not account for quality of life or animals still in the shelter's care. Live release rates or save rates must be evaluated in the context of animal welfare and cannot be used alone as a measure of success.<sup>9</sup> Aversion to euthanasia is not an excuse for crowding and poor welfare.

## References

- Newbury S, Hurley K. Population Management. In: Miller L, Zawistowski S, eds. *Shelter Medicine for Veterinarians and Staff*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Ames, IA: Wiley Blackwell; 2013:93–113.
- Rewa OG, Stelfox HT, Ingolfsson A, et al. Indicators of Intensive Care Unit Capacity Strain: A Systematic Review. *Crit Care*. 2018;22(1):86. doi: 10.1186/s13054-018-1975-3
- Alalmal A, Arun A, Alalmal AA, Gunaseelan D. Operational Need and Importance of Capacity Management into Hotel Industry – A Review. *Int J Adv Sci Technol*. 2020;29(7):122–130. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/350616399>.
- Dybdall K, Strasser R, Katz T, et al. All Together Now: Group Housing for Cats. *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 2003;11(1):816–825. doi: 10.1016/j.jfms.2009.03.001
- Hurley KF, Kraus S, Sykes JE. 17: Prevention and Management of Infection in Canine Populations. In: Sykes JE, ed. *Greene's Infectious Diseases of the Dog and Cat*. 5<sup>th</sup> ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 2022:197–203.
- Karsten CL, Wagner DC, Kass PH, Hurley KF. An Observational Study of the Relationship between Capacity for Care as an Animal Shelter Management Model and Cat Health, Adoption and Death in Three Animal Shelters. *Vet J*. 2017;227:15–22. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2017.08.003
- Janke N, Berke O, Flockhart T, Bateman S, Coe JB. Risk Factors Affecting Length of Stay of Cats in an Animal Shelter : A Case Study at the Guelph Humane Society, 2011–2016. *Prev Vet Med*. 2017;148(October):44–48. doi: 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2017.10.007
- National Animal Care and Control Association. *Determining Kennel Staffing Needs*. 2020. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.nacanet.org/determining-kennel-staffing-needs>.
- Scarlett JM, Greenberg MJ, Hoshizaki T. *Every Nose Counts: Using Metrics in Animal Shelters*. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform; 2017. Ithaca NY.
- Ly LH, Gordon E, Protopopova A. Inequitable Flow of Animals In and Out of Shelters: Comparison of Community-Level Vulnerability for Owner-Surrendered and Subsequently Adopted Animals. *Front Vet Sci*. 2021;8:784389. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2021.784389
- Hobson SJ, Bateman S, Coe JB, Oblak M, Veit L. The Impact of Deferred Intake as Part of Capacity for Care (C4C) on Shelter Cat Outcomes. *J Appl Anim Welf Sci*. 2021;00(00):1–12. doi: 10.1080/10888705.2021.1894148
- Hurley K, Miller L. In: Miller L, Janeczko S, Hurley K, eds. *Infectious Disease Management in Animal Shelters*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Hoboken, Chapter 1 Introduction to Infectious Disease Management in Animal Shelters 1–12, NJ: Wiley Blackwell; 2021.
- Hurley KF. The Evolving Role of Triage and Appointment-Based Admission to Improve Service, Care and Outcomes in Animal Shelters. *Front Vet Sci*. 2022;9:809340. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2022.809340
- National Animal Control Association. *Guideline on Appointment-Based Pet Intake into Shelters*. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.nacanet.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/NACA-Guideline-on-Appointment-Based-Pet-Intake-into-Shelters.pdf>.
- Griffin B. Wellness. In: Miller L, Janeczko S, Hurley KF, eds. *Infectious Disease Management in Animal Shelters*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley Blackwell; 2021:13–45.
- Lord LK, Wittum TE, Ferketich AK, Funk JA, Rajala-Schultz PJ. Search and Identification Methods that Owners Use to Find a Lost Dog. *JAVMA*. 2007;230(2):211–216.
- Lord LK, Wittum TE, Ferketich AK, Funk JA, Rajala-Schultz PJ. Search and Identification Methods that Owners Use to Find a Lost Cat. *JAVMA*. 2007;230(2):217–220.
- University of Wisconsin-Madison School of Veterinary Medicine Shelter Medicine Program. *Support for Open Adoptions*. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.uwsheltermedicine.com/library/resources/support-for-open-adoptions>.
- Shelter Animals Count. *Basic Data Matrix*. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. [https://www.shelteranimalscount.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/BasicDataMatrix\\_SAC.pdf](https://www.shelteranimalscount.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/BasicDataMatrix_SAC.pdf).
- Scarlett J. Data Surveillance. In: Miller L, Janeczko S, Hurley K, eds. *Infectious Disease Management in Animal Shelters*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley Blackwell; 2021:46–58.
- National Animal Care & Control Association. *Animal Control Intake of Free-Roaming Cats*. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.nacanet.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/Animal-Control-Intake-of-Free-Roaming-Cats.pdf>.
- Shelter Animals Count. Shelter Animals Count: Home. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.shelteranimalscount.org/>

### 3. Animal Handling

#### 3.1 General

Safe and humane handling is an essential part of supporting animal well-being. When fear and stress are minimized, animals are calmer and more willing to interact, resulting in safer and more successful interactions. Handling must be humane and appropriate for the individual animal and situation. Humane handling requires

- on-going observation and assessment of behavior with adjustments to the animal's handling plan as needed
- appropriate choice and management of environment
- sufficient number of trained personnel
- suitable equipment readily available and in good working condition

Considering how animals perceive their environment and making adjustments to minimize potential stressors can reduce or prevent negative emotional responses. These adjustments might include a slow introduction, providing a hiding option during handling (e.g. with a towel), covering a table surface to improve traction, keeping voices low, and the use of gentle but consistent touch to reduce unpredictability.<sup>1,2</sup> To create a positive emotional response to human handling, shelter personnel should offer high-value treats or food when handling animals or performing procedures. Treats and toys can engage, distract, and reward animals before, during, and immediately after handling.<sup>3,4</sup> When needed, medication should be used to minimize fear, anxiety, and stress and enhance safety during handling<sup>5-9</sup> (see Behavior).

#### 3.2 Restraint

Resistance to handling is almost always the result of fear or anxiety. Improper or forceful use of restraint techniques and equipment can escalate a high stress situation, increasing the likelihood of animal or human injury.<sup>10</sup> Gentle handling with minimal restraint can improve safety and compliance during care tasks for most animals. The minimal amount of physical restraint needed to accomplish necessary animal care without injury to people or animals must be used.<sup>11,12</sup>

Forceful restraint methods must not be used, except in extraordinary circumstances. Extraordinary circumstances include situations in which a human or animal is in immediate danger, and other low-stress handling options, sedation, or delays are not possible. Forceful restraint methods include scruffing cats<sup>12</sup> or pinning dogs to the ground. For example, a short period of forceful restraint may be required for an animal that needs to

be captured and removed from an unsafe environment. Techniques that rely on dominance theory, such as alpha rolls, are inhumane.<sup>5,11,13</sup>

Alternatives to forceful restraint include distraction with food or toys, positive reinforcement, use of towels, blocking visual stimuli, sedation, and proper use of humane handling equipment (Table 3.1). Selecting a quiet environment, preparing all necessary materials in advance, and involving a person the animal has a bond with can help minimize fear, anxiety, and stress and reduce the restraint required.<sup>14,15</sup> If repeated handling is required, training the animal to allow common tasks or to cooperate with handling equipment such as the use of a muzzle is a valuable strategy. Use of sedatives or behavior medications can be the most humane and effective option for frightened, fractious, or feral animals for the delivery of necessary care.<sup>1</sup>

Handling must minimize the risk of escape. Attention to security of enclosures and carriers, building and vehicle exit points, and minimizing fearful stimuli that trigger flight behavior are important during daily care and when moving animals inside and outside the facility. Being recaptured after escape is profoundly stressful for many animals and creates additional risk of injury to the animal and personnel.<sup>4</sup> Delaying handling to allow the animal to calm down can minimize stress and reduce the risk of escape.

#### 3.3 Handling equipment

Using humane handling equipment minimizes animal stress during necessary procedures and daily care, prevents escape, and promotes animal and human safety. For example, rather than carrying a cat in their arms,

Table 3.1. Humane handling equipment by species

Equipment	Dogs	Cats
Live trap	✓	✓
Trap divider	✓	✓
Transport carrier and cat den	✓	✓
Towel/blanket	✓	✓
Rolling transport kennel	✓	○
Capture net (e.g. floor net and cat nabber)	○	○
Squeeze cage	✓	✓
Purpose designed protective gloves	✓	✓
Flexible snare	○	✗
Muzzle	✓	○
Press gate/panel/cage shield	✓	✓
Vision blocking device (e.g. calming cap and e-collar)	✓	○
Syringe pole	✓	✓
Control pole (catch or rabies pole)	○	✗

Legend: ✓=recommended; ○=situational use; ✗=inappropriate

personnel can transport cats through the shelter in carriers. A variety of humane equipment that facilitates animal handling with minimal or no hands-on contact must be available (Table 3.1). Handling equipment also has the potential to increase fear or injury if used in a forceful manner or not maintained in good working order.

Control poles (i.e. catch poles or rabies poles) are designed to keep a dog's head at a safe distance from a handler. They are not meant to lift, push, or pull a dog and are not appropriate for routine use. Control poles must only be used when alternatives for handling dogs are insufficient to protect human safety. To prevent the need for daily removal of dogs that are not deemed safe to walk on a leash, double compartment housing is recommended.

Because control poles can cause significant injury and even death, it is unacceptable to use control poles on cats or small dogs. Any restraint method, including control poles, cat tongs, or slip-leads, that causes significant compression of the neck or thorax can cause substantial or life-threatening injury and profound emotional trauma in cats.<sup>4,12,16</sup>

Animals for whom handling equipment is necessary for long-term safe handling should receive positive reinforcement training to minimize fear, anxiety, and distress during its use.<sup>11</sup>

Aggressive behavior between dogs can occur unexpectedly for a variety of reasons, and humans can be severely injured when trying to intervene. Animal shelters must have written protocols and readily accessible equipment for breaking up dog fights to prevent human and animal injury. Equipment may include air horns, whistles, citronella spray, blankets, break sticks, panels, and water hoses<sup>17,18</sup> (see [Behavior](#)).

### 3.4 Handling feral cats

Specific handling procedures are necessary for feral cats, including the use of live traps, cat dens, squeeze cages, trap dividers, purposely designed cage nets, and multi-compartment enclosures.<sup>16,19-21</sup> This equipment permits personnel to safely sedate or anesthetize extremely fearful cats with injectable medication, to provide food and sanitation, to transfer cats from one enclosure to another, and to release outside, all without hands-on handling.

## References

- Moffat K. Addressing Canine and Feline Aggression in the Veterinary Clinic. *Vet Clin North Am – Small Anim Pract.* 2008;38(5):983–1003. doi: 10.1016/j.cvsm.2008.04.007
- Griffin B. *Fear Free Shelters*. 2022. <https://fearfreeshelters.com/>.
- Herron ME, Shreyer T. The Pet-Friendly Veterinary Practice: A Guide for Practitioners. *Vet Clin North Am – Small Anim Pract.* 2014;44(3):451–481. doi: 10.1016/j.cvsm.2014.01.010
- Janezko S. Feline Intake and Assessment. In: Weiss E, Mohan-Gibbons H, Zawistowski S, eds. *Animal Behavior for Shelter Veterinarians and Staff*. Ames, IA: Elsevier Saunders; 2015:191–217.
- Hammerle M, Horst C, Levine E, et al. 2015 AAHA Canine and Feline Behavior Management Guidelines. *J Am Anim Hosp Assoc.* 2015;51(4):205–221. doi: 10.5326/JAAHA-MS-6527
- Stevens BJ, Frantz EM, Orlando JM, et al. Efficacy of a Single Dose of Trazodone Hydrochloride Given to Cats Prior to Veterinary Visits to Reduce Signs of Transport- and Examination-Related Anxiety. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2016;249(2):202–207. doi: 10.2460/javma.249.2.202
- van Haafden KA, Eichstadt Forsythe LR, Stelow EA, et al. Effects of a Single Preappointment Dose of Gabapentin on Signs of Stress in Cats during Transportation and Veterinary Examination. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2017;251(10):1175–1181. doi: 10.2460/javma.251.10.1175
- Pankratz KE, Ferris KK, Griffith EH, Sherman BL. Use of Single-Dose Oral Gabapentin to Attenuate Fear Responses in Cage-Trap Confined Community Cats: A Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Field Trial. *J Feline Med Surg.* 2018;20(6):535–543. doi: 10.1177/1098612X17719399
- Erickson A, Harbin K, Macpherson J, Rundle K, Overall KL. A Review of Pre-Appointment Medications to Reduce Fear and Anxiety in Dogs and Cats at Veterinary Visits. *Can Vet J.* 2021;62(09):952–960.
- Herron ME, Shofer FS, Reisner IR. Survey of the Use and Outcome of Confrontational and Non-Confrontational Training Methods in Client-Owned Dogs Showing Undesired Behaviors. *Appl Anim Behav Sci.* 2009;117(1–2):47–54. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2008.12.011
- Yin S. *Low Stress Handling, Restraint and Behavior Modification of Dogs and Cats*. Cattledog Publishing; 2009. Davis CA.
- Rodan I, Dowgray N, Carney HC, et al. 2022 AAFP / ISFM Cat Friendly Veterinary Interaction Guidelines: Approach and Handling Techniques. *J Feline Med Surg.* 2022;24(11):1093–1132.
- American Veterinary Society on Animal Behavior. *Position Statement on the Use of Dominance Theory*. 2008:1–4. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. [https://avsab.filbcdn.net/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/Dominance\\_Position\\_Statement-download.pdf](https://avsab.filbcdn.net/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/Dominance_Position_Statement-download.pdf).
- American Veterinary Society of Animal Behavior. *Position Statement on Positive Veterinary Care: What Is a Positive Veterinary Experience?* 2016. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://avsab.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/Positive-Veterinary-Care-Position-Statement-download.pdf>.
- Taylor S, Denis KS, Collins S, et al. 2022 ISFM/AAFP Cat Friendly Veterinary Environment Guidelines. *J Feline Med Surg.* 2022;24(11):1133–1163.
- Levy JK, Wilford CL. Management of Stray and Feral Community Cats. In: Miller L, Zawistowski SL, eds. *Shelter Medicine for Veterinarians and Staff*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Ames, IA; 2013:669–688.
- Mullinax L, Sie K, Velez M. Inter-Dog Playgroup Guidelines. Shelter Playgroup Alliance.2019:4–65.
- Association of Shelter Veterinarians. *Position Statement: Playgroups for Shelter Dogs*. 2019. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. [https://avsab.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/Punishment\\_Position\\_Statement-download\\_-\\_10-6-](https://avsab.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/Punishment_Position_Statement-download_-_10-6-).
- Slater M. Behavioral ecology of free-roaming/community cats. In: Weiss E, Mohan-Gibbons H, Zawistowski S, eds. *Animal Behavior for Shelter Veterinarians and Staff*. 1st ed. Ames, IA: Wiley Blackwell; 2015:102–128.
- Griffin B. Care and Control of Community Cats. In: Little S, ed. *The Cat: Clinical Medicine and Management*. 1st ed. St. Louis, MO: Elsevier Saunders; 2011:1290–1309. John Wiley and Sons, Hoboken NJ.
- Griffin B. Care and Control of Community Cats. In: Little S, ed. *The Cat*. 2011.

## 4. Facilities

### 4.1 General

The facility plays a critical role in the care provided to animals who are admitted into animal shelters. While community-centered sheltering practices and foster programs are reducing the demand for in-shelter care in some areas, providing housing for animals remains an essential part of sheltering operations. Thoughtful planning and use of the shelter building and grounds are important parts of supporting the physical and emotional health of shelter populations while meeting the organization's mission and goals.<sup>1</sup> The shelter facility must include sufficient space to allow for the execution of essential shelter operations and programs as required by mission or mandate.

The quality and set-up of animal housing impacts every aspect of their experience within the facility and plays a pivotal role in managing disease.<sup>2</sup> Poor housing is one of the greatest shortcomings observed in shelters and has a substantially negative impact on both health and well-being. Both the quantity and design of housing must be appropriate for the species, the number of animals receiving care, and the expected length of stay. Facility design and use must provide for proper separation of animals by species, predator/prey status, health status, and behavior. Housing in foster care should meet or exceed the guidelines for in-shelter housing.

### 4.2 Primary enclosures

A primary enclosure is an area of confinement such as a cage, kennel, or housing unit where an animal spends the majority of their time. Shelters must have a variety of housing units available to meet the individual needs of animals, including physical, behavioral, and medical needs. These needs will vary based on species, life stage, individual animal personality, prior socialization, and past experience.<sup>1</sup> Appropriate primary enclosures provide complexity and allow choice within the environment to help support positive welfare<sup>3</sup> (see [Behavior](#)).

The primary enclosure must be structurally sound and maintained in safe, working condition to prevent injury and escape. There can be no sharp edges, gaps, or other defects that could cause injury or trap a limb or other body part. Primary enclosures with wire-mesh bottoms or slatted floors are unacceptable because they can cause pain, discomfort, and injury. Enclosure sides that are entirely wire or chain-link increase the risk of disease transmission, animal stress, and injury. Solid barriers are recommended where animal contact can occur.

The use of cages or crates intended for short-term, temporary confinement or travel is also unacceptable as primary enclosures. These include airline crates, transport carriers,

live traps, and wire crates. It is unacceptable to stack or arrange enclosures in a manner that increases animal stress and discomfort, compromises ventilation, or allows for waste material contamination between housing units.

#### 4.2.1 Individual primary enclosure size

Animals must be able to make normal postural adjustments within their primary enclosure, including standing and walking several steps, sitting normally, laying down at full body length, and holding the tail completely erect.<sup>1,3-6</sup> Primary enclosure size significantly impacts overall health and well-being. Larger enclosures generally provide animals more choice, permit additional enrichment, and make it possible to safely interact with people and other animals for socialization or cohousing. In cats, sufficiently sized housing reduces stress and respiratory disease incidence.<sup>7,8</sup> Individual adult cat housing that is less than 8 ft<sup>2</sup> (0.75 m<sup>2</sup>) of floor space is unacceptable.<sup>8</sup> Ideally, individual cat housing provides 11 ft<sup>2</sup> (1.0 m<sup>2</sup>) or more of floor space.<sup>7</sup> For dogs, the minimum recommended kennel dimensions differ widely based on body size.<sup>9</sup>

The primary enclosure must allow animals to sit, sleep, and eat away from areas of their enclosures where they defecate and urinate.<sup>8</sup> Housing with two or more appropriately sized compartments provides this separation and gives animals more choice and control over their environment and interactions. It also facilitates spot cleaning, reduces fomite transmission, and increases personnel safety<sup>3,5</sup> (see [Sanitation](#)). Because of all these benefits, multi-compartment enclosures should be provided for the majority of animals housed in the shelter.

Multi-compartment housing is particularly important for newly admitted, fractious, quarantined, sick, and juvenile animals. Enriched room-sized primary enclosures (i.e. real-life rooms) may also benefit from separate elimination areas. Single compartment housing may be necessary for animals with specific medical conditions, which increases the importance of enhanced in-kennel enrichment and supervised out of kennel time (see [Behavior](#)).

Cats prefer spending time on raised surfaces and high structures rather than being on the floor.<sup>10,11</sup> Cat housing units should be elevated off the floor. Housing cats at human eye level reduces stress, facilitates positive interactions with personnel and visitors, and improves ease of monitoring.<sup>5,6,12</sup> Cat cages should face away from each other or be spaced more than 4 ft (1.2 m) apart to prevent droplet transmission of respiratory pathogens while sneezing, coughing, or vocalizing.<sup>13-15</sup>

Primary enclosures with indoor-outdoor access are ideal for most animals, especially when held long term. Some shelters in temperate climates may have primary enclosures that are fully outdoors. Enclosures that include outdoor

space must protect animals from adverse weather; provide choice for thermoregulation; protect from predators; and prevent escape, theft, or harassment. It is recommended that all enclosed outdoor spaces have double-door entry points to keep animals safe and reduce the risk of escape.

#### 4.2.2 Primary enclosure set-up

In addition to the size and structural layout, the set-up of the enclosure and care items provided are important in meeting the welfare needs of shelter animals (Figures 4.1 & 4.2).<sup>1</sup> The enclosure needs to be large enough to accommodate the necessary set-up without impeding the animal's ability to move or stretch.

All dogs should be given the opportunity to hide within their enclosure, especially young, small, fearful, and anxious animals. Options for canine hiding areas include a covered crate within the enclosure or a visual barrier over part of the kennel front.

A soft resting place that elevates animals off of the floor should be made available for all animals to ensure comfort, keep animals dry, and support thermoregulation.

All cats must be given the opportunity to hide within their enclosure. A hiding place provides the choice to be seen or not seen and a place to feel safe and protected.<sup>11,16</sup> Options for feline hiding places include feral cat dens, perches covered with towels, cardboard boxes, and partial

coverings over enclosure doors. Cats with hiding places spend less time trying to hide and are more likely to approach adopters.<sup>17,18</sup>

To ensure that cats can display natural behaviors, feline primary enclosures must allow scratching, climbing, and perching. Cats must have a litter box large enough to comfortably accommodate their entire body and allow for proper posturing.<sup>19,20</sup> Litter boxes that are too small impact welfare and potentially lead to house soiling behavior.<sup>20</sup>

#### 4.2.3 Additional considerations

Appropriately sized, enriched primary enclosures are critical for all animals regardless of their length of stay in the shelter. Housing that provides animals with additional space, enrichment, and choice within their enclosure must be provided for animals remaining in the shelter long-term (i.e. more than 2 weeks). Foster care, while beneficial for many animals, can be particularly valuable when animals require a longer length of stay, such as protracted legal holds or long-term medical care.

Animals for whom handling poses an acute welfare or safety risk need to be housed in enclosures that allow humane, touch-free daily care (i.e. multi-compartment). It is unacceptable to house animals in an enclosure that would require the use of forceful animal handling equipment for daily cleaning and care (see [Animal Handling](#)).




Figure 4.1. Canine primary enclosure set-up




Figure 4.2. Feline primary enclosure set-up

Except for a brief, emergency situation, it is unacceptable to house animals in facility spaces not intended for animal housing (e.g. bathrooms and hallways). Shelters may have multiuse spaces such as offices set up for animal housing; these planned spaces differ from unplanned practices such as placing temporary kennels in areas unequipped for sanitation or delivery of care.

Tethering is an unacceptable method of confinement for any animal.<sup>21</sup> Tethering can cause significant stress and frustration and is best avoided even when used briefly during the cleaning of primary enclosures. Multi-compartment enclosures, thoughtful timing of walks and playgroups, or the use of securely enclosed exercise areas are good alternatives to tethering.

### 4.3 Cohousing

Cohousing, or keeping more than one animal in an enclosure, can improve animal welfare in some circumstances by facilitating social contact with other animals of the same species.<sup>22–29</sup> However, cohousing also known as group housing, is not suitable for every situation. Mental and physical benefits of cohousing need to be carefully weighed against risks to health and safety. If shelters are cohousing animals, they need to prioritize animal well-being and keep population levels within their capacity for care.

#### 4.3.1 Cohousing enclosure set-up

The size and set-up of enclosures used for cohousing require special considerations. The size of a primary enclosure for cohousing must allow each animal to express a variety of normal behaviors and maintain distance from roommates when they choose to do so. Meeting these needs often requires more space per animal than required for individual enclosures, particularly when unfamiliar animals are cohoused. The optimal space requirements for cohousing vary based on species, as well as size, activity level, and behavior.<sup>27</sup> A minimum of 18 ft<sup>2</sup> (1.7 m<sup>2</sup>) of floor space per adult cat should be provided for cohousing.<sup>4</sup>

Quality and complexity of cohousing environments is essential to support the welfare of all animals living in the enclosure.<sup>26,30,31</sup> Appropriate resources (e.g. food, water, bedding, litter boxes, and toys) must be provided to minimize competition or resource guarding and ensure access by all cohoused animals. Functional space can be maximized by spacing resources out throughout the enclosure. For cohoused cats, a variety of elevated resting perches and hiding places must be provided to increase complexity and choice within the living space.<sup>22,32–36</sup> The ability to choose resting places, social interactions, elimination spaces, and toys contributes to behavioral stability within groups.

Cohousing areas may require enhanced measures to prevent escape. Double door entry at the enclosure's

entrance can provide additional protection when entering or exiting. When housed in a retrofitted area, cats may be able to dislodge ceiling panels or duct covers unless care is taken to secure them.<sup>37</sup>

#### 4.3.2 Selecting animals for cohousing

Random cohousing of animals in shelters is an unacceptable practice.<sup>25</sup> Cohousing requires careful selection of animals by trained personnel to balance the benefits and risks for individual animals and the group. Unrelated or unfamiliar animals must not be cohoused until health and behavior are assessed.<sup>27</sup>

When cohoused, animals need to be intentionally matched for age, sex, health, and behavioral compatibility. Monitoring after introduction is essential to recognize signs of stress or negative interactions (e.g. guarding food or other resources) that may necessitate separation. Given their increased welfare needs, animals predicted to have longer lengths of stay may benefit most from cohousing, particularly when foster care is not available.

Regardless of the size of the enclosure, no more than six adult cats should be cohoused in a primary enclosure.<sup>5</sup> When cohousing is indicated, pairs are preferred for dogs to maximize safety and biosecurity, and no more than two to four adult dogs should be cohoused in a primary enclosure.<sup>3</sup> Larger groups of any species are challenging to monitor and increase the risk of conflict and infectious disease transmission. It is preferable to cohause the minimum number of adult animals together needed to achieve a social benefit.

Housing young puppies and kittens with their mother and littermates is important for physical and emotional development, as well as the establishment of species-specific behaviors. Because of their susceptibility to infectious disease, puppies and kittens under 20 weeks of age must not be cohoused with unfamiliar animals except when the benefits outweigh the risks for all animals involved.<sup>38</sup> For example, after a careful medical and behavioral assessment, a single orphaned kitten or puppy may be paired with another orphan or a surrogate mother (see [Behavior](#)).

Introducing new animals can result in stress for individuals and the group. Dogs should be introduced outside of their primary enclosures in pairs or groups to determine compatibility prior to cohousing.<sup>3,27</sup> In addition, turnover within groups must be minimized to reduce stress and social conflicts as well as the risk of infectious disease exposure and transmission.<sup>22,39,40</sup>

The use of smaller enclosures with fewer animals, rather than large rooms with large groups of animals, minimizes the need for frequent introductions, group reorganization, and allows for more effective monitoring.<sup>41,42</sup> Smaller cohousing spaces facilitate an ‘all-in/all-out’ approach,

where all animals leave before more are added. This strategy allows enclosures to be completely sanitized before a new group of animals moves in and eliminates the risks associated with new introductions.

#### 4.3.3 Monitoring cohoused animals

Individual animals and group dynamics must be monitored to recognize signs of stress and social conflicts in cohousing enclosures.<sup>24,43</sup> Monitoring, especially after a new animal is introduced into a group and during feeding time, is critical to ensure that all animals are benefitting. In addition to daily monitoring for resource guarding and other signs of social conflict, regular physical examinations including measurement of body weight can ensure that cohoused animals are not suffering due to unrecognized social conflicts.

Not all animals are well suited to cohousing. Individual enriched housing must be provided for animals who are fearful or behave aggressively toward other animals, are stressed by the presence of other animals, require individual monitoring, or are ill and require treatment that cannot be provided in cohousing.<sup>22,41</sup> Cohousing animals who fight with one another is unacceptable.

#### 4.4 Isolation housing

Shelters must have a means of isolating infectious animals from the general population to prevent the spread of infectious disease. Isolation housing must meet the medical and behavioral needs of ill animals, including being of sufficient size with appropriate set-up. Different species must not be housed within the same isolation room.<sup>1</sup>

Separate isolation areas must be provided for animals with different highly contagious diseases to prevent coinfections with multiple pathogens. For example, dogs with parvovirus infection need to be separated from those with infectious respiratory disease. This separation is more readily accomplished in flexible-use rooms with a smaller number of enclosures. Animals that already have coinfections (e.g. ringworm and upper respiratory infection) will need veterinary input to determine the most appropriate isolation housing.

To avoid exposure of healthy animals to sick animals, isolation rooms must be designed so that they do not open directly into another animal housing area. A corridor or vestibule can be used to access isolation rooms and also serve as a space to put on and remove personal protective equipment (PPE). Isolation rooms should have access to a sink for handwashing and be set up with space for treatments, examinations, and storage for dedicated supplies.

Isolation rooms must be clearly labeled to indicate current use and necessary precautions. Human and animal traffic through isolation spaces should be limited<sup>1</sup> (see [Medical Health](#)). Limiting foot traffic reduces the risk

of spreading infection to others outside of isolation and reduces stress for ill animals during recovery. Ideally, isolation rooms are designed with windows to allow observation of animals from a corridor without needing to repeatedly enter the room.<sup>1</sup>

When no isolation options exist, makeshift separation can be accomplished by housing contagious dogs at least 25 ft (7.6 m) from unaffected dog enclosures and covering enclosure doors.<sup>44</sup> Contagious ill cats may be separated from others in their individual enclosures in a general ward if they can be cared for without fomite transmission to other cats. These options will not be as effective at reducing transmission as isolation.

#### 4.5 Surfaces and drainage

Primary enclosures and all animal areas must be able to be fully sanitized and withstand repeated cleanings. Nonporous surfaces are important in cages and kennels, as well as high traffic areas such as walkways or play rooms. A sealed, impermeable surface, such as resinous epoxy or resinous urethane, is recommended for shelter flooring and should be considered for new facilities. Linoleum or tiles may be acceptable flooring in low-risk areas. However, these materials are less durable, more challenging to sanitize due to seams and grout lines, and may harbor infectious pathogens in areas that are damaged or worn. Regardless of flooring type, points where walls meet floors should be sealed to prevent water intrusion and the accumulation of organic matter and pathogens.

Drainage systems must be designed to prevent standing water and cross-contamination of waste between housing units. Many design options exist. To aid in this effort, floors should be gently sloped to enable waste and water to run into the drains, particularly in animal housing areas. Drain covers must be designed to prevent injury or escape and should be easily removable for routine cleaning. Similarly, outdoor primary enclosures or portions of primary enclosures that are outdoors must have nonporous, durable floors that allow for sanitation and proper drainage.

#### 4.6 Heating, ventilation, and air quality

It is essential that housing areas allow each animal to comfortably maintain normal body temperature.<sup>9,45</sup> To ensure humane and comfortable conditions, environmental temperature must be maintained between 64°F (18°C) and 80°F (26.6°C).<sup>38,45</sup> Breed, body condition, medical health, haircoat, facial conformation, and age impact an animal's ability to regulate their body temperature.

Animals must be monitored individually to ensure the environmental temperature is comfortable, and necessary measures must be taken if an animal appears too cold or too hot. If an animal cannot be kept comfortable with adjustments to the thermostat and airflow, additional

measures need to be taken. These might include provision of additional bedding if too cold, providing frozen treats or ice if too hot, or relocating the animal. The relative humidity should be maintained between 30 and 70%.<sup>47-49</sup>

Proper ventilation removes heat, dampness, odor, airborne microbes, and pollutant gasses such as ammonia and carbon dioxide while allowing for the introduction of fresh, oxygenated air. Fresh air is essential for the well-being of shelter animals and personnel, as well as for limiting the spread of infectious disease.<sup>50</sup> Ventilation must be maintained at a high enough rate to ensure adequate air quality in all areas of the shelter including in the primary enclosure. Ventilation rates may need to be adjusted seasonally, especially if air movement occurs primarily through active heating or cooling.

Ventilation must not compromise recommended ambient temperatures.<sup>38</sup> The standard recommendation for ventilation of animal facilities is between 10 and 20 room air exchanges per hour with fresh air.<sup>38,51-53</sup> Ventilation requirements vary depending on population density and presence of pollutants in the air. A facility may require a higher ventilation rate when it is at full capacity compared to when it is relatively empty, as animals themselves are a major source of heat, humidity, and carbon dioxide. All ventilation systems must be regularly maintained based on manufacturer recommendations. Carbon dioxide monitors may be useful in monitoring the success of ventilation equipment and use.

To improve ventilation, barred enclosure doors are recommended over plexiglass doors or fully enclosed units. When housing units are fully enclosed, they require individual-unit mechanical ventilation. Barred doors improve air flow and also allow for adopter interaction and behavior training.

Because canine respiratory pathogens can be easily transmitted through the air, air from isolation areas should be exhausted outside and not recirculated. Separate air exchanges for feline isolation areas are a lower priority since cats do not readily transmit pathogens through the air.<sup>14,15</sup>

Air purification technologies, such as ultraviolet germicidal irradiation (UVGI), may act as an adjunct to a traditional HVAC system to improve indoor air quality. However, ultraviolet irradiation must not be relied on as the sole method for ensuring good air quality or infectious disease prevention.<sup>54-62</sup> Although attention to ventilation and air quality is important, it will not overcome the harmful effects of inadequate housing, poor sanitation, or lax population management.

#### 4.7 Noise control

Noise must be minimized in animal housing areas. Cat and dog hearing is sensitive, and noise levels that are

uncomfortable for humans are likely to be very uncomfortable for animals (see *Behavior*). Noise and vibration-producing equipment and mechanical systems should be located as far away from animal housing as possible.<sup>63</sup>

Even reasonable volumes may be stressful for shelter animals, particularly if sounds are sudden or unpredictable such as the slamming of cage doors or tossing of metal bowls.<sup>64,65</sup> Prevention and mitigation strategies to minimize the impact of noise should be implemented in facility design, added to existing facilities, and incorporated into shelter operations. These strategies can include arrangement of cages; material selection for cages, doors, and latches; and decisions about where to house individual animals.

Barking can be a significant source of shelter noise. Appropriate facility design, environmental management, enrichment strategies, and behavior modification can dramatically reduce noise levels related to barking.<sup>66–68</sup> Because the causes and solutions to barking are multifactorial, preventing visual contact between dogs should not be used as a sole strategy to reduce barking.<sup>69,70</sup>

#### 4.8 Lighting

Lighting should promote a safe working environment and effective observation of animals and the enclosure. Facilities should be designed to offer as much natural light as possible. Exposure to sunlight in a manner that maintains daily circadian rhythms improves health and well-being for animals and for shelter personnel.<sup>71</sup> When natural lighting is not available and artificial light is used, it should approximate natural light in duration and intensity to support circadian rhythms.<sup>72</sup> If it is necessary to keep lights on after dark for safety or by regulation, a fixture that emits red-orange light is preferred. Because of the way dog and cat eyes function, a red light creates a darker space for animals at night, allowing them to sleep more normally.<sup>71</sup>

#### 4.9 Enrichment spaces

Dedicated indoor or outdoor enrichment, exercise, and training spaces allow shelters to safely provide opportunities that improve welfare for animals. These spaces need to be clearly marked, prevent escape, provide protection from the elements, and limit exposure to disease and parasites. All enclosed outdoor spaces should have double door entry points to keep animals safe and reduce the risk of escape.

#### 4.10 Intake spaces

Designed appropriately, shelter lobbies provide a welcoming environment for clients and help reduce animal stress. Shelter admission areas should be separated from adoptions and other client-facing areas.<sup>51</sup> If a different space is not available, placing a divider within the lobby

or scheduling intake appointments outside of adoption hours can functionally separate admissions from adoptions.

Animal well-being during the admission process is supported by creating separate species areas within the lobby and intake examination space.<sup>6,8,51,71</sup> To allow for safe and efficient processes, animal intake should occur in a designated quiet space away from the main pattern of foot traffic.<sup>73</sup> Cages and kennels in intake areas should only hold animals until their initial intake assessment has been completed.<sup>6,8</sup> Intake rooms should have elevated surfaces to place animals in carriers off of floor level.<sup>8,10,74</sup>

#### 4.11 Drop boxes

The use of ‘drop boxes’ where live animals are placed in unmonitored receptacles for later intake is unacceptable. This practice can result in safety risks for humans and animals, animal suffering, infectious disease exposure, or death. Alternatives for community animals requiring after hours emergency care include posting on-call phone numbers for animal services, creating drop-off arrangements with police departments, or creating care agreements with local veterinary emergency clinics.

#### 4.12 Facility design and planning

Well-designed shelter facilities support the well-being of animals and personnel and allow smooth and efficient operations. In order to meet the changing needs of the community and services offered by the shelter, flexibility in operational and spatial use should be incorporated into designs for remodeling and new facilities. Areas that can be readily adapted for multiple purposes over time can reduce the need for future renovations. When designing a new facility or undertaking a significant renovation, shelters should consult with a shelter veterinarian and an architect experienced in shelter design.

Shelters must avoid large warehouse type rooms when designing housing. Instead, multiple smaller rooms with fewer primary enclosures per area are strongly preferred.<sup>75</sup> Small wards reduce noise, limit disease exposure and transmission, provide flexibility in meeting individual animal needs, and permit close monitoring of individual animals.

When remodeling or planning a new facility, the movement of animals, people, and supplies should be incorporated into the design. For example, placing housing for difficult to handle dogs close to the facility entry point will improve personnel and animal safety. Animal shelter design should provide an environment that also serves the needs of personnel and clients. Areas for training, work breaks, meetings, and private discussions support personnel well-being, client–staff interactions, and client–animal interactions.

## References

- Griffin B. Wellness. In: Miller L, Janeczko S, Hurley KF, eds. *Infectious Disease Management in Animal Shelters*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley Blackwell; 2021:13–45.
- Hurley K, Miller L. In: Miller L, Janeczko S, Hurley K, eds. *Chapter 1 Introduction to Infectious Disease Management in Animal Shelters*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley Blackwell; 2021: 1–12.
- Hubrecht R, Wickens S, Kirkwood J. The Welfare of Dogs in Human Care. In: Serpell J, ed. *The Domestic Dog: Its Evolution, Behavior and Interactions with People*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2016:271–299.
- Wagner D, Newbury S, Kass P, Hurley K. Elimination Behavior of Shelter Dogs Housed in Double Compartment Kennels. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(5):5–9. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0096254
- Wagner D, Hurley K, Stavisky J. Shelter Housing for Cats: Principles of Design for Health, Welfare And Rehoming. *J Feline Med Surg*. 2018;20(7):635–642. doi: 10.1177/1098612X18781388
- Wagner D, Hurley K, Stavisky J. Shelter Housing for Cats: 2. Practical Aspects of Design and Construction, and Adaptation of Existing Accommodation. *J Feline Med Surg*. 2018;20(7): 643–652. doi: 10.1177/1098612X18781390
- Kessler MR, Turner DC. Effects of Density and Cage Size on Stress in Domestic Cats (*Felis Silvestris Catus*) Housed in Animal Shelters and Boarding Catteries. *Anim Welf*. 1999;8(3):259–267.
- Wagner DC, Kass PH, Hurley KF. Cage Size, Movement In and Out of Housing During Daily Care, and Other Environmental and Population Health Risk Factors for Feline Upper Respiratory Disease in Nine North American Animal Shelters. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(1):1–15. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0190140
- New Zealand Ministry for Primary Industries: Regulation and Assurance Branch. *Code of Welfare: Dogs*. 2018:1–45. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.agriculture.govt.nz/dmsdocument/1445-pigs-animal-welfare-code-of-welfare>.
- McCobb EC, Patronek GJ, Marder A, Dinnage JD, Stone MS. Assessment of Stress Levels Among Cats in Four Animal Shelters. *JAVMA*. 2005;226(4):548–555. doi: 10.2460/javma.2005.226.548
- Stella J, Croncy C. Coping Styles in the Domestic Cat (*Felis Silvestris Catus*) and Implications for Cat Welfare. *Animals*. 2019;9(6):1–20. doi: 10.3390/ani9060370
- Fantuzzi JM, Miller KA, Weiss E. Factors Relevant to Adoption of Cats in an Animal Shelter. *J Appl Anim Welf Sci*. 2010;13(2):174–179. doi: 10.1080/10888700903583467
- Povey RC, Johnson RH. Observations on the Epidemiology and Control of Viral Respiratory Disease in Cats. *J Small Anim Pract*. 1970;11(7):485–494. doi: 10.1111/j.1748-5827.1970.tb05599.x
- Gaskell RM, Wardley RC. Feline Viral Respiratory Disease: A Review with Particular Reference to its Epizootiology and Control. *J Small Anim Pract*. 1977;19(1–12):1–16. doi: 10.1111/j.1748-5827.1978.tb05452.x
- Wardley RC, Povey RC. Aerosol Transmission of Feline Calciviruses. An Assessment of Its Epidemiological Importance. *Br Vet J*. 1977;133(5):504–508. doi: 10.1016/S0007-1935(17)33993-3
- Ellis JJ, Stryhn H, Spears J, Cockram MS. Environmental Enrichment Choices of Shelter Cats. *Behav Processes*. 2017;141(April):291–296. doi: 10.1016/j.beproc.2017.03.023
- Stella JL, Croncy CC, Buffington CT. Behavior and Welfare of Domestic Cats Housed in Cages Larger than U.S. Norm. *J Appl Anim Welf Sci*. 2017;20(3):296–312. doi: 10.1080/10888705.2017.1317252
- Kry K, Casey R. The Effect of Hiding Enrichment on Stress Levels and Behaviour of Domestic Cats (*Felis Sylvestris Catus*) in a Shelter Setting and the Implications for Adoption Potential. *Anim Welf*. 2007;16:375–383.
- Carney HC, Sadek TP, Curtis TM, et al. AAFP and ISFM Guidelines for Diagnosing and Solving House-Soiling Behavior in Cats. *J Feline Med Surg*. 2014;16(7):579–598. doi: 10.1177/1098612X14539092
- Guy NC, Hopson M, Vanderstichel R. Litterbox Size Preference in Domestic Cats (*Felis Catus*). *J Vet Behav Clin Appl Res*. 2014;9(2):78–82. doi: 10.1016/j.jveb.2013.11.001
- Humane Society of the United States. *Chaining and Tethering Dogs FAQ*. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.humanesociety.org/resources/chaining-and-tethering-dogs-faq>.
- Griffin B, Hume K. Recognition and Management of Stress in Housed Cats. In: August J, ed. *Consultations in Feline Internal Medicine*. 5<sup>th</sup> ed. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier Saunders; 2006:717–734.
- Kessler MR, Turner DC. Stress and Adaptation of Cats (*Felis Silvestris Catus*) Housed Singly, In Pairs and In Groups in Boarding Catteries. *Anim Welf*. 1997;6(3):243–254.
- Mertens PAP, Unshelm J. Effects of Group and Individual Housing on the Behavior of Kennel Dogs in Animal Shelters. *Anthrozoos*. 1996;9(1):40–51. doi: 10.2752/089279396787001662
- Wells DL. A Review of Environmental Enrichment for Kennelled Dogs, *Canis Familiaris*. *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 2004; 85(3–4):307–317. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2003.11.005
- Hubrecht RC, Serpell JA, Poole TB. Correlates of Pen Size and Housing Conditions on the Behaviour of Kennelled Dogs. *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 1992;34(4):365–383. doi: 10.1016/S0168-1591(05)80096-6
- Grigg EK, Marie Nibblett B, Robinson JQ, Smits JE. Evaluating Pair Versus Solitary Housing in Kennelled Domestic Dogs (*Canis Familiaris*) Using Behaviour and Hair Cortisol: A Pilot Study. *Vet Rec Open*. 2017;4(1):1–14. doi: 10.1136/vetreco-2016-000193
- McMillan FD. The Psychobiology of Social Pain: Evidence for a Neurocognitive Overlap with Physical Pain and Welfare Implications for Social Animals with Special Attention to the Domestic Dog (*Canis Familiaris*). *Physiol Behav*. 2016;167:154–171. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2016.09.013
- Hennessy MB, Willen RM, Schiml PA. Psychological Stress, Its Reduction, and Long-Term Consequences: What Studies with Laboratory Animals Might Teach Us about Life in the Dog Shelter. *Animals*. 2020;10:2061. doi: 10.3390/ani10112061
- Griffin B. DNU: Feline Care in the Animal Shelter. In: *Shelter Medicine for Veterinarians and Staff*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Oxford; 2013: 145–184. doi: 10.1002/9781119421511.ch10
- Rochlitz I. Recommendations for the Housing of Cats in the Home, in Catteries and Animal Shelters, in Laboratories and in Veterinary Surgeries. *J Feline Med Surg*. 1999;1(3):181–191. doi: 10.1016/S1098-612X(99)90207-3
- Dowling JM. All Together Now: Group Housing for Cats. *Anim Shelter*. 2003:13.
- Overall K. Recognizing and Managing Problem Behavior in Breeding Catteries. In: *Consultations in Feline Internal Medicine*. 1997:3.
- Rochlitz I, Podberscek A, Broom D. Welfare of Cats in a Quarantine Cattery. *Vet Rec*. 1998;143:35–39. doi: 10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004
- de Oliveira A, Tercariol C, Genaro G. The Use of Refuges by Commonly Housed Cats. *Animals*. 2015;5(2):245–258. doi: 10.3390/ani5020245
- Desforgues EJ, Moesta A, Farnworth MJ. Effect of a Shelf-Furnished Screen on Space Utilisation and Social Behaviour

- of Indoor Group-Housed Cats (*Felis Silvestris Catus*). *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 2016;178:60–68. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2016.03.006
37. Griffin B. Population Wellness: Keeping Cats Physically and Behaviorally Healthy. In: Little S, ed. *The Cat: Clinical Medicine and Management*. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. St. Louis, MO: Elsevier Saunders; 2012:1312–1356.
  38. Van Sluyters RC, Ballinger Mi, Bayne K, Al E. *Guidelines for the Care and Use of Mammals in Neuroscience and Behavioral Research*. Washington, DC: Institute for Laboratory Animal Research (ILAR); 2003.
  39. Crowell-Davis SL, Curtis TM, Knowles RJ. Social Organization in the Cat: A Modern Understanding. *J Feline Med Surg*. 2004;6(1):19–28. doi: 10.1016/j.jfms.2003.09.013
  40. Finka LR, Ellis SLH, Stavisky J. A Critically Appraised Topic (CAT) to Compare the Effects of Single and Multi-Cat Housing on Physiological and Behavioural Measures of Stress in Domestic Cats in Confined Environments. *BMC Vet Res*. 2014;10:73. doi: 10.1186/1746-6148-10-73
  41. Kessler MR, Turner DC. Socialization and Stress in Cats (*Felis Silvestris Catus*) Housed Singly and in Groups in Animal Shelters. *Anim Welf*. 1999;8(1):15–26.
  42. *The Welfare of Cats (AWNS 3)*. Rochlitz I, ed. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Springer; 2007. doi: 10.1201/b21911
  43. Arhant. Assessment of Behavior and Physical Condition of Shelter Cats as Animal-Based Indicators of Welfare. *J Vet Behav*. 2015;10(5):399–406. doi: 10.1016/j.jveb.2015.03.006
  44. Sykes JE. Canine Viral Respiratory Infections Etiology and Epidemiology. In: Sykes JE, ed. *Canine and Feline Infectious Diseases*. First. St Louis, MO: Elsevier; 2014:170–181.
  45. American Veterinary Medical Association. *AVMA Policy: Companion Animal Care Guidelines*. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.avma.org/policies/companion-animal-care-guidelines>.
  46. National Research Council (U.S.). Committee for the Update of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, Institute for Laboratory Animal Research (U.S.). *Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2011.
  47. United States Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service. *USDA Animal Care: Animal Welfare Act and Animal Welfare Regulations 'Blue Book'*. 2019:205. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://market.android.com/details?id=book-0zUzmJ32rvQC%0A> [https://books.google.com/books/about/USDA\\_Animal\\_Care\\_Animal\\_Welfare\\_Act\\_and.html?hl=&id=zgC6ybZ0RKsC](https://books.google.com/books/about/USDA_Animal_Care_Animal_Welfare_Act_and.html?hl=&id=zgC6ybZ0RKsC).
  48. Arundel AV, Sterling EM, Biggin JH, Sterling TD. Indirect Health Effects of Relative Humidity in Indoor Environments. *Environ Health Perspect*. 1986;65(3):351–361. doi: 10.1289/ehp.8665351
  49. Ahlawat A, Wiedensohler A, Mishra SK. An Overview on the Role of Relative Humidity in Airborne Transmission of Sars-Cov-2 in Indoor Environments. *Aerosol Air Qual Res*. 2020;20(9):1856–1861. doi: 10.4209/aaqr.2020.06.0302
  50. Cat Fanciers Association. *CFA Cattery Standard Minimum Requirements*. 2019. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <http://cfa.org/breeders/catteries/catterystandards.aspx>.
  51. Schlaffer L, Bonacci P. Shelter Design. In: Miller L, Zawistowski S, eds. *Shelter Medicine for Veterinarians and Staff*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Ames, IA: Wiley Blackwell; 2013:21–35.
  52. Council of Europe. *European Convention for the Protection of Vertebrate Animals Used for Experimental and Other Scientific Purposes*. 2009. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <http://www.coe.int/en/web/conventions/full-list/-/conventions/treaty/123>.
  53. Johnson T. The Animal shelter building: design and maintenance of a healthy and efficient facility. In: Miller L, Zawistowski SL, eds. *Shelter Medicine for Veterinarians and Staff*. First. Hoboken, NJ: Blackwell; 2004:55–66.
  54. Pearce-Walker JL, Troup JL, Ives R, et al. Investigation of the Effects of an Ultraviolet Germicidal Irradiation System on Concentrations of Aerosolized Surrogates for Common Veterinary Pathogen. *Am J Vet Res*. 2020;81(6):506–513. doi: 10.2460/ajvr.81.6.506
  55. Tomb RM, Maclean M, Coia JE, et al. New Proof-of-Concept in Viral Inactivation: Virucidal Efficacy of 405 nm Light Against Feline Calicivirus as a Model for Norovirus Decontamination. *Food Environ Virol*. 2017;9(2):159–167. doi: 10.1007/s12560-016-9275-z
  56. Nuanualsuwan S, Mariam T, Himathongkham S, Cliver DO. Ultraviolet Inactivation of Feline Calicivirus, Human Enteric Viruses and Coliphages. *Photochem Photobiol*. 2002;76(4):406–410. doi: 10.1562/0031-8655(2002)076<0406:uiofch>2.0.co;2
  57. Rutala WA, Weber DJ. *Guideline for Disinfection and Sterilization in Healthcare Facilities*, 2008: update May 2019. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Department of Health and Human Services 2020:8–163.
  58. Kim D, Kang D. UVC Led Irradiation Effectively Inactivates Aerosolized Viruses. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2018;84(17):1–11. doi: 10.1016/B978-1-4377-0795-3.00017-X
  59. Thurston-Enriquez JA, Haas CN, Jacangelo J, Gerba CP. Chlorine Inactivation of Adenovirus Type 40 and Feline Calicivirus. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2003;69(7):3979–3985. doi: 10.1128/AEM.69.7.3979-3985.2003
  60. Dee S, Otake S, Deen J. Use of a Production Region Model to Assess the Efficacy of Various Air Filtration Systems for Preventing Airborne Transmission of Porcine Reproductive and Respiratory Syndrome Virus and Mycoplasma Hypopneumoniae: Results from a 2-Year Study. *Virus Res*. 2010;154(1–2):177–184. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2010.07.022
  61. Wood C, Tanner B, Higgins L, Dennis J, Luempert L. Effectiveness of a steam cleaning unit for disinfection in a veterinary hospital. *Am J Vet Res*. 2014;75(12):1083–1088.
  62. Cadnum JL, Jencson AL, Livingston SH, et al. Evaluation of an Electrostatic Spray Disinfectant Technology for Rapid Decontamination of Portable Equipment and Large Open Areas in the Era of SARS-CoV-2. *Am J Infect Control*. 2020;48(8):951–954. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2020.06.002
  63. Hubrecht R. Comfortable Quarters for Dogs in Research Institutions. In: Reinhardt V, ed. *Comfortable Quarters for Laboratory Animals*. 9<sup>th</sup> ed. 2002:57–62.
  64. Eagan BH, Gordon E, Fraser D. The Effect of Animal Shelter Sound on Cat Behaviour and Welfare. *Anim Welf*. 2021;30(4):431–440. doi: 10.7120/09627286.30.4.006
  65. Stella J, Crony C, Buffington T. Environmental Factors that Affect the Behavior and Welfare of Domestic Cats (*Felis Silvestris Catus*) Housed in Cages. *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 2014;160(1):94–105. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2014.08.006
  66. Coppola CCL, Enns RM, Grandin T, et al. Noise in the Animal Shelter Environment: Building Design and the Effects of Daily Noise Exposure. *J Appl Anim Welf Sci*. 2006;9(1):1–7. doi: 10.1207/s15327604jaws0901
  67. Amaya V, Paterson MBA, Descovich K, Phillips CJC, Au CJCP. Effects of Olfactory and Auditory Enrichment on Heart Rate Variability in Shelter Dogs. 2020;10(8):1385. doi: 10.3390/ani10081385
  68. Janeczko S, Miller L, Zawistowski S. Canine Housing and Husbandry for Behavioral Well-Being. In: DiGangi B, Cussen VA,

- Reid PJ, Collins KA, eds. *Animal Behavior for Shelter Veterinarians and Staff*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Hoboken: Wiley Blackwell; 2022:236–262.
69. Wells DL, Hepper PG. A Note on the Influence of Visual Conspecific Contact on the Behaviour of Sheltered Dogs. *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 1998;60(1):83–88. doi: 10.1016/S0168-1591(98)00146-4
70. Martin AL, Walthers CM, Pattillo MJ, Catchpole JA, Mitchell LN, Dowling EW. Impact of Visual Barrier Removal on the Behavior of Shelter-Housed Dogs. *J Appl Anim Welf Sci*. 2022:1–11. doi: 10.1080/10888705.2021.2021407
71. Pollard V, Shoults A. The Fear Free Design Movement. In: *Practical Guide to Veterinary Hospital Design: From Renovations to New Builds*. Lakewood, CO: AAHA Press; 2018:51–55.
72. Boubekri M, Cheung IN, Reid KJ, Wang CH, Zee PC. Impact of Windows and Daylight Exposure on Overall Health and Sleep Quality of Office Workers: A Case-Control Pilot Study. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2014;10(6):603–611. doi: 10.5664/jcsm.3780
73. UC Davis Koret Shelter Medicine Program. *Shelter Intake and Pathway Planning*. Information Sheet: Shelter Design and Housing. 2021. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.sheltermedicine.com/library/resources/?r=shelter-intake-and-pathway-planning>.
74. Taylor S, Denis KS, Collins S, et al. 2022 ISFM/AAFP Cat Friendly Veterinary Environment Guidelines. *J Feline Med Surger*. 2022;24(11):1133–1163. doi: 10.1177/1098612X221128763
75. Hurley KF, Miller L. Introduction to Disease Management in Animal Shelters. In: Miller L, Hurley K, eds. *Infectious Disease Management in Animal Shelters*. 1st ed. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2009:5–16.

## 5. Sanitation

### 5.1 General

Maintaining a sanitary environment is an integral part of supporting health and welfare and minimizing the risk of infectious disease. Whether or not infectious disease occurs is dependent on the interaction of several factors: the animal (e.g. species, age, and immunity), the pathogen (e.g. infectious dose and ability to survive outside of the body), and the environment (e.g. temperature, housing, and amount of pathogens present), and how each of these factors are managed<sup>1</sup> (Fig. 5.1).

Through cleaning and the proper use of disinfectants, the number of pathogens in the environment is reduced, decreasing the likelihood of spread.<sup>2</sup> A clean shelter increases the comfort level of the animals and personnel, and presents a positive image of the shelter to the public.<sup>3,4</sup> Protocols for proper sanitation are essential for any sheltering program.

### 5.2 Definitions

Cleaning is defined as the manual removal of urine, fecal matter, food waste, hair, bodily fluids, and other debris from the environment.<sup>2,4,5</sup> Oils and grime found on surfaces, especially soiled, porous, or rough surfaces, can interfere with the ability to kill pathogens<sup>6</sup> (see Appendix E). Detergents and degreasers break down oil and grime with soap-like action and can remove up to 90% of environmental pathogens.<sup>3,7-9</sup>




Figure 5.1. Factors impacting disease transmission in the shelter.

Disinfection, typically by the application of a chemical product to a clean surface for a specific time period, is the process of killing most of the remaining pathogens.<sup>9</sup> Sanitation refers to the combination of cleaning and disinfection. Cleaning and disinfection are separate steps, even when using a detergent-disinfectant combination product that is labeled for both purposes.<sup>2</sup>

Sterilization is the destruction of all pathogens (e.g. viruses, bacteria, and fungi), including spores, and is generally reserved for surgical instruments and other equipment necessary for sterile procedures.<sup>9</sup> True sterilization of cage and kennel surfaces does not occur.

### 5.3 Sanitation practices

Shelters must have a sanitation plan for all locations in which animals are present, including enclosures, common-use areas, foster homes, and outdoor spaces. Sanitation protocols are used to describe which areas to sanitize, which products to use, and how to use them.<sup>4</sup>

Sanitation protocols should be based on pathogens, routes, and risk of transmission. Sanitation protocols must include steps for removal of organic matter, cleaning, and disinfection.<sup>4</sup> Ideally, sanitation protocols will be developed in consultation with a veterinarian experienced in shelter medicine.<sup>4</sup> Those making decisions about sanitation protocols need to be familiar with the active ingredients of common disinfectants, target pathogens, and potential routes of transmission. An increasing number of resources provide guidelines tailored to the shelter environment.<sup>6,10,11</sup>

Sanitation products must be diluted and used according to label instructions or published recommendations. Solutions that are too weak may be ineffective, and those that are too strong may be harmful to animals and people.<sup>4,9</sup> Some disinfectants such as quaternary ammonium products and bleach can be harmful when animals contact or ingest them, even at recommended dilutions, so removing the residue is an essential step.<sup>3,4</sup>

Disinfectants used in animal areas must be effective against non-enveloped viruses, such as parvovirus, panleukopenia, and calicivirus. Several studies have found that quaternary ammonium-based products, which are commonly used in shelters and veterinary clinics, do not eliminate non-enveloped viruses in spite of label claims.<sup>12-15</sup> Other products, such as accelerated hydrogen peroxide, potassium peroxydisulfate, and bleach products, are effective against non-enveloped pathogens and dermatophytes at the appropriate concentration and contact time.<sup>2,12-15</sup>

Adequate sanitation cannot be accomplished by using water alone, by spraying and quickly wiping off a

disinfectant, or by using a disinfectant with no detergent properties (i.e. bleach) without cleaning first.<sup>2,4</sup> Alternative methods of disinfection such as ultraviolet light, steam, freezing, and air filtration systems must not be relied on as the sole means of sanitation in shelters.<sup>9,16-24</sup>

Sufficient personnel must be assigned to complete sanitation tasks promptly each day so that animals spend most of their time in sanitary conditions. Industry guidelines recommend a minimum of 9 min per animal per day for routine cleaning of enclosures.<sup>25</sup> The actual time needed to accomplish daily sanitation will vary based on population, housing size and type, specific products and protocols, and facility use. Calculating how long proper sanitation typically takes per housing unit can provide better estimates of sanitation staffing needs in individual shelters (see [Population Management](#)).

Sanitation should proceed in an order that minimizes both the risk of pathogen transmission from infected animals and the exposure of vulnerable animals. In general, the recommended order of cleaning and care, from first to last, is:

- healthy puppies and kittens
- healthy adult animals
- unhealthy animals<sup>3</sup>

This order of cleaning may be customized to include specific animals or subpopulations (e.g. different infectious diseases and immune-compromised animals) based on the specific needs of the shelter, population, and protocols.<sup>5,26</sup>

Sanitation practices should be observed regularly to ensure consistency with written protocols. Observation of sanitation practices provides an opportunity to identify and correct deviations from the protocols.<sup>3</sup> It is important to ensure that contact times are observed, supplies are readily available, and equipment is adequate for the job.

Pathogen risks in a shelter can change over time, and shelters may need to alter sanitation protocols when disease rates increase or a more difficult to kill pathogen is identified. During an outbreak, protocols should be reviewed and practices observed to ensure efficacy against suspected pathogens.<sup>11,27</sup> Pathogens can be spread inadvertently when protocols are improper or practices are not in line with protocols. Common mistakes include incorrect choice of disinfectant, under or over-dilution, not observing contact times, etc.<sup>28,29</sup>

### 5.3.1 Sanitizing primary enclosures

Sanitizing primary enclosures is critical to ensure health and comfort. Enclosures must be completely sanitized before being occupied by a different animal.<sup>4</sup> This process, also known as deep cleaning, is important even if an animal has only occupied a primary enclosure for a short period of time, the enclosure is not visibly soiled, or the

animal appears healthy. Animals are capable of shedding pathogens without showing signs of illness.<sup>30</sup> Sanitation is indicated when enclosures are heavily soiled, an infectious disease is diagnosed and on a regular schedule based on use. Table 5.1 shows basic steps and indications for sanitation of primary enclosures.

Sanitation methods significantly impact animal health and welfare. Splattering or soaking animals when spraying water, cleaning, or disinfection products can cause significant distress. It is unacceptable to spray primary enclosures while animals are inside them.<sup>3,4,31</sup> Animals need to be removed from nearby housing compartments when overspray is likely.

Adequate drainage is essential for animal housing areas regularly hosed or sprayed with cleaning fluids.<sup>32,33</sup> Drainage systems or operational practices (e.g. squeegee and towel drying) must prevent the accumulation of standing water. Dry surfaces are required before animal use because they promote animal comfort and drying aids in the inactivation of pathogens.

Ideally, mopping is avoided in animal housing areas. Mops may harbor pathogens, allowing them to be deposited in other locations.<sup>4</sup> However, mopping may be necessary when sanitizing animal enclosures and ward hallways that do not have drains. When mopping cannot be avoided, personnel must ensure that both cleaning and disinfection of the floor surface occur. Mop heads require disposal or sanitation and drying between uses, including between cleaning and disinfection products and between housing areas.

### 5.3.2 Spot cleaning primary enclosures

When an animal will remain in their enclosure and it has not been heavily soiled, complete sanitation of the enclosure may not be necessary or supportive of animal health.<sup>3,4,34,35</sup> Daily cleaning is essential, even in cage-free or home environments, but can often be accomplished using a spot cleaning method.

During spot cleaning, an animal may remain in their enclosure or be given out-of-kennel enrichment. Multi-compartment enclosures facilitate spot-cleaning by allowing personnel to clean in the other compartment to avoid animal contact. Spot cleaning should be conducted at least daily when an animal will remain in the same enclosure. Soiled bedding, old food, urine, and feces are removed, the area tidied, and food and water resupplied (Table 5.1).

Spot cleaning is typically less stressful for animals as it requires less animal handling and does not remove familiar scents.<sup>36</sup> Spot cleaning is particularly important for shy or under-socialized animals, and animals with mild diseases worsened by stress (e.g. feline infectious respiratory disease).

Table 5.1. Basic steps for cleaning primary enclosures

Sanitizing	Spot cleaning
Remove animal (or move to different compartment)	Keep animal in enclosure (or give out of kennel enrichment)
Remove all items	Remove bowls, wet or soiled items
Remove all organic material	Remove all organic material
Apply detergent solution and physically scrub all surfaces	Clean soiled areas with detergent and single-use towel as needed
Rinse all surfaces and then remove standing water	Wipe surfaces to remove detergent
Apply disinfectant solution for appropriate contact time	Replace care items
Rinse all surfaces as indicated and then remove standing water	
Reset enclosure	

#### 5.4 Reducing pathogen spread

Fomites are objects that may be contaminated with pathogens and contribute to transmission of disease. Hands, work clothing, medical equipment, food bowls, litter boxes, toys, and cleaning and handling equipment may serve as fomites.<sup>4</sup> Care to avoid the spread of disease through fomites is important during sanitation and when interacting with animals in the shelter.

##### 5.4.1 Personal protective equipment

Personal protective equipment (PPE) is a physical barrier that reduces the spread of disease when used properly. PPE should be selected based on specific pathogens and exposure risks within each population (see [Public Health](#)). As the health of the population varies, the type of protective equipment needed may also vary. Appropriate PPE should be used in each area and disposed of or sanitized before proceeding to care for other animals<sup>37</sup> ([Appendix C](#)).

PPE may need to be changed between individual enclosures or areas based on disease risk because contaminated PPE can contribute to pathogen spread. Protective garments must be changed between handling each animal when there is a high risk for disease transmission.<sup>38</sup> Staff training, adequate supplies, and facility set-up (e.g. location of trash receptacles) allow for proper use and removal of PPE. Personnel should wash hands after removing PPE.

##### 5.4.2 Hand hygiene

Hand sanitation is a key part of preventing disease transmission.<sup>37,39</sup> Hand hygiene stations should be available in or near every area where contact with animals occurs.<sup>40</sup> Ideally, hand hygiene stations are sinks that allow washing with soap and water, and drying with single use towels. At a minimum, hand hygiene stations provide hand sanitizer with at least 60% alcohol.<sup>41</sup> Because hand sanitizers are ineffective against some of the most concerning pathogens in shelters (e.g. parvovirus, calicivirus, and ringworm), hand sanitizers should not be relied on as the sole means of hand hygiene.<sup>41,42</sup>

Proper handwashing technique includes wetting hands with clean, running water; applying and scrubbing with soap for at least 20 seconds; rinsing with clean water; and drying thoroughly with a fresh towel or forced air.<sup>43</sup> Proper hand sanitizer techniques include applying 1–2 pumps of gel product to one hand and then rubbing hands together until all surfaces are covered and dry (approximately 20 seconds). Hand sanitizer should only be used on hands that are visibly clean.<sup>41</sup>

Sanitation protocols must address hand hygiene for shelter staff, volunteers, and visitors.<sup>3,4,37</sup> Although all people can move pathogens around, shelter personnel are significantly more likely to do this while they complete daily care tasks compared to shelter visitors.<sup>44</sup>

##### 5.4.3 Equipment and supplies

All items that come into contact with animals should be sanitized on a regular basis, whenever visibly soiled, and when in direct contact with bodily fluids. In the case of disease outbreaks or when proper sanitation of supplies is not possible between animals, the use of disposable items may be warranted. It is essential to note that gloves, clothes, and shoes can serve as fomites, underscoring the importance of the proper use and replacement of PPE.

Separate cleaning supplies must be designated for each shelter area or be sanitized prior to use in each area. Some supplies need to be changed or sanitized between enclosures, such as rags or towels. Other supplies, such as mop heads and squeegees, can be changed between areas, unless there is a high risk of disease transmission.

Transport cages and traps, as well as vehicle compartments used for animal transport, must be sanitized before being occupied by a different animal.<sup>45</sup> Mobile equipment such as rolling trash cans, shopping carts, and food or treatment carts should be assigned to one area or be sanitized between areas.<sup>45,46</sup> Sanitation of these items includes wheels and outside contact surfaces. Objects with scratched, damaged, and porous surfaces are difficult or impossible to completely disinfect and should be used with caution or discarded between animals.<sup>47</sup> These

objects include plastic litter pans, airline carriers, and plastic or unglazed ceramic water bowls.

All bedding and other textiles used at the shelter must be discarded or laundered and thoroughly dried when visibly soiled and before reuse with a different animal.<sup>45</sup> Items that are heavily soiled may need to be laundered separately from other textiles.<sup>29,48,49</sup> Organic debris (e.g. feces) should be removed from items before laundering.<sup>37</sup> Items that cannot be readily disinfected, such as leather gloves and muzzles, may contribute to disease spread when used with animals who appear ill and/or during a disease outbreak.<sup>45</sup> Routine cleaning or laundering of bedding could fail to remove non-enveloped viruses and dermatophytes; in these situations, discarding the items in question or using pathogen-specific laundry protocols is recommended.<sup>29,49</sup>

Automatic watering devices and water bottles should not be used if the watering valve cannot be sanitized before being used by another animal.<sup>50,51</sup> Food and water bowls must be sanitized in a different location or at a different time than litter pans or items soiled by feces, to prevent cross contamination.<sup>4,52</sup> Dishwashers have excellent mechanical washing action and attain high temperatures which destroy the majority of pathogens but may not destroy non-enveloped viruses such as parvoviruses.<sup>26,53</sup> The best way to inactivate these viruses is through the application of a disinfectant to the dishes following the dishwasher cycle. When a dishwasher is not available, disinfectant can be applied following thorough washing and rinsing by hand.<sup>52</sup> Basins used to sanitize food and water bowls and litter pans should be thoroughly sanitized between uses.<sup>3</sup>

### 5.5 Other shelter areas

Foot traffic plays a role in fomite transmission throughout the shelter and grounds; dedicated boots that can be sanitized or disposable shoe covers should be used in potentially contaminated or protected areas, such as isolation and surgery.<sup>4,54,55</sup> Footbaths must not be relied on for infectious disease control in the shelter.<sup>4,56,57</sup> This is because achieving adequate contact time is impractical, and the accumulation of organic debris within the bath inactivates many disinfectants. Poorly maintained footbaths create environments that encourage pathogen growth and contribute to disease spread. It is unacceptable for animals to walk through footbaths.<sup>3</sup>

Animal waste and bodily fluids must be removed from indoor common spaces as soon as possible.<sup>5,58</sup> After removal, the area needs to be sanitized properly. Feces must be removed from outdoor areas between animals or groups.<sup>59</sup> To reduce parasite egg accumulation in the environment, daily removal of feces is acceptable, although immediate removal is preferred.

Outdoor areas around the shelter must be kept clean, recognizing it is impossible to disinfect gravel, dirt, and grass surfaces.<sup>29</sup> Surface covers (e.g. pea gravel, mulch,

and rubber chips) can be replaced or recovered regularly to reduce contaminant load. To manage this risk, many shelters designate certain outdoor areas for use by specific animals. This allows closure of an area when needed while still preserving other areas for continued use. Access to areas that cannot be sanitized should be restricted to adult animals who have been vaccinated, dewormed, and appear healthy, or animals for whom the benefits of such access outweigh the risks of disease exposure or transmission.<sup>60,61</sup>

Standing water should not be allowed to accumulate in or around the shelter because mosquitos breed and many pathogens thrive in wet environments.<sup>62,63</sup> Well drained substrates and exposure to sunlight aid in the destruction of pathogens; however, some pathogens survive even in environmental extremes.

### 5.6 Wildlife, rodent, and insect control

Rodents and insects may harbor pathogens that can spread to shelter animals through direct ingestion, contamination of pet food, or contamination of the environment. Areas of food storage are particularly vulnerable to infestation. All food must be protected from wildlife, rodents, and insects.<sup>64,65</sup> Properly storing food bags in sealed bins, promptly cleaning spills or waste, and resealing and refrigerating opened food containers (animal or human) can help mitigate infestations. Rodent and insect control solutions must be safe, humane, and effective.<sup>66</sup> Integrated pest management plans are recommended and utilize a variety of environmental measures to reduce the need for pesticides, rodenticides, and insecticides.<sup>67</sup>

## References

- Ahrens W, Krickeberg K, Pigeot I. An Introduction to Epidemiology. In: Ahrens W, Pigeot I, eds. *Handbook of Epidemiology*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. New York, NY: Springer Science and Business Media LLC; 2015:3–13.
- Weese JS. 14: cleaning and Disinfection. In: Sykes JE, ed. *Greene's Infectious Diseases of the Dog and Cat*. 5<sup>th</sup> ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 2022:162–169.
- Steneroden K. Sanitation. In: Miller L, Zawistowski S, eds. *Shelter Medicine for Veterinarians and Staff*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Ames, IA: Wiley Blackwell; 2013:37–47.
- Karsten CL. Sanitation. In: Miller L, Janeczko S, Hurley KF, eds. *Infectious Disease Management in Animal Shelters*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley Blackwell; 2021:166–190.
- Smith M, Humane. *Operational Guide: Sanitation and Disease Control in the Shelter Environment*. 2010. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <http://unddr.org/uploads/documents/OperationalGuide.pdf>
- Dvorak G, Roth J, Amass S. *Disinfection 101*. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. [www.cfsph.iastate.edu](http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu)
- Russell A, Hugel W. Chemical Disinfectants. In: Linton AH, Hugel WB, Russell AD, eds. *Disinfection in Veterinary and Farm Animal Practice*. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications; 1987:12–42.
- Morgan-Jones S. Practical Aspects of Disinfection and Infection Control. In: Linton A, Hugel W, Russell A, eds. *Disinfection in Veterinary and Farm Animal Practice*. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications; 1987.

9. Rutala WA, Weber DJ. *Guideline for Disinfection and Sterilization in Healthcare Facilities*, 2008: update May 2019. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Department of Health and Human Services; 2020:8–163.
10. DiGangi BA, Kommedal AT. Sanitation and Surgical Asepsis. In: Polak KC, Kommedal AT, eds. *Field Manual for Small Animal Medicine*. First. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley-Blackwell; 2018:263–288.
11. Dvorak G, Rovid Spickler A. Disinfection 101. In: Peterson C, Dvorak G, Rovid Spickler A, eds. *Maddie's Infection Control Manual for Animal Shelters for Veterinary Personnel*. Ames, IA: Iowa State University, Center for Food Security and Public Health; 2008:42–64.
12. Eleraky NZ, Potgieter LND, Kennedy MA. Virucidal Efficacy of Four New Disinfectants. *J Am Anim Hosp Assoc*. 2002;38(3):231–234. doi: 10.5326/0380231
13. Moriello KA, Deboer DJ, Volk LM, Sparkes A, Robinson A. Development of an In Vitro, Isolated, Infected Spore Testing Model for Disinfectant Testing of *Microsporum Canis* Isolates. *Vet Dermatol*. 2004;15(3):175–180. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3164.2004.00390.x
14. Scott F. Virucidal Disinfectants and Feline Viruses. *Am J Vet Res*. 1980;41:410–414. doi: 10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004
15. Kennedy M, Mellon V, Caldwell G, Potgieter LND. Virucidal Efficacy of the Newer Quaternary Amonium Compounds. *J Am Anim Hosp Assoc*. 1995;31(3):254–258.
16. Pearce-Walker JI, Troup DJ, Ives R, et al. Investigation of the Effects of an Ultraviolet Germicidal Irradiation System on Concentrations of Aerosolized Surrogates for Common Veterinary Pathogen. *Am J Vet Res*. 2020;81(6):506–513. doi: 10.2460/ajvr.81.6.506
17. Cadnum JL, Jenson AL, Livingston SH, et al. Evaluation of an Electrostatic Spray Disinfectant Technology for Rapid Decontamination of Portable Equipment and Large Open Areas in the Era of SARS-CoV-2. *Am J Infect Control*. 2020;48(8):951–954. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2020.06.002
18. Tomb RM, Maclean M, Coia JE, et al. New Proof-of-Concept in Viral Inactivation: Virucidal Efficacy of 405 nm Light Against Feline Calicivirus as a Model for Norovirus Decontamination. *Food Environ Virol*. 2017;9(2):159–167. doi: 10.1007/s12560-016-9275-z
19. Nuanalsuwan S, Mariam T, Himathongkham S, Cliver DO. Ultraviolet Inactivation of Feline Calicivirus, Human Enteric Viruses and Coliphages. *Photochem Photobiol*. 2002;76(4): 406–410. doi: 10.1562/0031-8655(2002)076<0406:uiofch>2.0.co;2
20. Department of Human Health Services. *Enforcement Policy for Sterilizers, Disinfectant Devices, and Air Purifiers during the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Public Health Emergency*. 2020. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents>
21. Kim D, Kang D. UVC LED Irradiation Effectively Inactivates Aerosolized Viruses. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2018;84(17):1–11.
22. Thurston-Enriquez JA, Haas CN, Jacangelo J, Gerba CP. Chlorine Inactivation of Adenovirus Type 40 and Feline Calicivirus. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2003;69(7):3979–3985. doi: 10.1128/AEM.69.7.3979-3985.2003
23. Dee S, Otake S, Deen J. Use of a Production Region Model to Assess the Efficacy of Various Air Filtration Systems for Preventing Airborne Transmission of Porcine Reproductive and Respiratory Syndrome Virus and Mycoplasma Hyopneumoniae: Results from a 2-Year Study. *Virus Res*. 2010;154(1–2):177–184. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2010.07.022
24. Wood C, Tanner B, Higgins L, Dennis J, Luempert L. Effectiveness of a Steam Cleaning Unit for Disinfection in a Veterinary Hospital. *Am J Vet Res*. 2014;75(12):1083–1088.
25. National Animal Care and Control Association. *NACA Guidelines*. National Animal Care and Control Association, ed. Murrieta, CA: NACA Board of Directors; 2014.
26. Gilman N. Sanitation in the Animal Shelter. In: Miller L, Zawistowski SL, eds. *Shelter Medicine for Veterinarians and Staff*. Ames, IA: Blackwell; 2004:67–78.
27. O'Quin J. Outbreak Management. In: Miller L, Zawistowski S, eds. *Shelter Medicine for Veterinarians and Staff*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Ames, IA: Wiley Blackwell; 2013:349–370.
28. Miller L, Hurley K, Dvorak G, Petersen C. Sanitation and Disinfection. In: Miller L, Hurley K, eds. *Infectious Disease Management in Animal Shelters*. Ames, IA: Wiley-Blackwell; 2009:49–60.
29. Petersen C, Dvorak G, Spickler AR, eds. *Maddie's Infection Control Manual*. Ames, IA: Iowa State University Center for Food Security and Public Health; 2008.
30. Lavan R, Knesl O. Prevalence of Canine Infectious Respiratory Pathogens in Asymptomatic Dogs Presented at US Animal Shelters. *J Small Anim Pract*. 2015;56:572–576. doi: 10.1111/jsap.12389
31. Miller L, Zawistowski S. Housing, Husbandry, and Behavior of Dogs in Animal Shelters. In: Weiss E, Mohan-Gibbons H, Zawistowski S, eds. *Animal Behavior for Shelter Veterinarians and Staff*. Ames, IA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2015:145–159.
32. Schlafler L, Bonacci P. Shelter Design. In: Miller L, Zawistowski S, eds. *Shelter Medicine for Veterinarians and Staff*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Ames, IA: Wiley Blackwell; 2013:21–35.
33. Pollard V, Shoults A. The Fear Free Design Movement. In: *Practical Guide to Veterinary Hospital Design: From Renovations to New Builds*. Lakewood, CO: AAHA Press; 2018:51–55.
34. UC Davis Koret Shelter Medicine Program. *Spot Cleaning Cat Cages*. Accessed Oct 29, 2020. [https://www.sheltermedicine.com/library/resources/?r=spot-cleaning-cat-cages#:~:text=Spot cleaning is a method,and handling cats during cleaning.](https://www.sheltermedicine.com/library/resources/?r=spot-cleaning-cat-cages#:~:text=Spot%20cleaning%20is%20a%20method,and%20handling%20cats%20during%20cleaning.) Published 2015.
35. Allen MC. Spot-Cleaning Cat Cages. *Animal Sheltering Magazine*. Accessed Oct 29, 2020. <https://www.animalsheltering.org/magazine/articles/spot-cleaning-cat-cages>.
36. Patronek GJ, Lacroix CA. Developing an Ethic for the Handling, Restraint, and Discipline of Companion Animals in Veterinary Practice. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2001;218(4):514–517. doi: 10.2460/javma.2001.218.514
37. Stull JW, Bjorvik E, Bub J, Dvorak G, Petersen C, Troyer HL. 2018 AAHA Infection Control, Prevention, and Biosecurity Guidelines. *J Am Anim Hosp Assoc*. 2018;54(6):297–326. doi: 10.5326/JAAHA-MS-6903
38. Center for Disease Control and Prevention. *Personal Protective Equipment (PPE): Coaching and Training Frontline Health Care Professionals*. 2018:1–45. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.cdc.gov/infectioncontrol/pdf/strive/PPE103-508.pdf>.
39. Mathur P. Hand Hygiene: Back to the Basics of Infection Control. *Indian J Med Res*. 2011;134(5):611–620.
40. The National Association of State Public Health Veterinarians Animal Contact Compendium Committee. Public Health Compendium of Measures to Prevent Disease Associated with Animals in Public Settings, 2017. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2017;251(11):1268–1292.
41. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *When & How to Use Hand Sanitizer in Community Settings*. 2020. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.cdc.gov/handwashing/show-me-the-science-hand-sanitizer.html>

42. Liu P, Yuen Y, Hsiao HM, Jaykus LA, Moe C. Effectiveness of Liquid Soap and Hand Sanitizer against Norwalk Virus on Contaminated Hands. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2010;76(2):394–399. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01729-09
43. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *When and How to Wash Your Hands.* 2022. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.cdc.gov/handwashing/when-how-handwashing.html>
44. Aziz M. *Looking for a Reference or Source for the Recommendation of Allowing the Public to Pet Shelter Animals While They Are in Their Cages or Runs. Question.* 2015. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.sheltermedicine.com/library/resources/?r=looking-for-a-reference-or-source-for-the-recommendation-of-allowing-the-public-to-pet-shelter-animals-while-they-are-in-their-cages-or-runs>.
45. Boone SA, Gerba CP. Significance of Fomites in the Spread of Respiratory and Enteric Viral Disease. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2007;73(6):1687–1696. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02051-06
46. Blenkarn J. *Potential Compromise of Hospital Hygiene by Clinical Waste Carts. J Hosp Infect.* 2006;63(4):423–427. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin.2006.03.002
47. Latorre AA, Van Kessel JS, Karns JS, et al. Biofilm in Milking Equipment on a Dairy Farm as a Potential Source of Bulk Tank Milk Contamination with *Listeria Monocytogenes.* *J Dairy Sci.* 2010;93(6):2792–2802. doi: 10.3168/jds.2009-2717
48. Moriello KA. Decontamination of Carpet Exposed to *Microsporum Canis* Hairs and Spores. *J Feline Med Surg.* 2017;19(4):435–439. doi: 10.1177/1098612X16634390
49. Moriello KA. Decontamination of Laundry Exposed to *Microsporum Canis* Hairs and Spores. *J Feline Med Surg.* 2017;19(4):435–439. doi: 10.1177/1098612X16634390
50. Costello T, Watkins L, Strain M, Bean W, Toth LA, Rehg JE. Effectiveness of Rack Sanitation Procedures for Elimination of Bacteria from Automatic Watering Manifolds. *Contemp Top Lab Anim Sci.* 1998;37(2):50–x1.
51. Macy JD, Cameron GA, Ellis SL, Hill EA, Compton SR. Assessment of Static Isolator Cages with Automatic Watering when Used with Conventional Husbandry Techniques as a Factor in the Transmission of Mouse Hepatitis Virus. *Contemp Top Lab Anim Sci.* 2002;41(4):30–35.
52. Weese JS, Rousseau J. Survival of *Salmonella* Copenhagen in Food Bowls Following Contamination with Experimentally Inoculated Raw Meat: effects of Time, Cleaning, and Disinfection. *Can Vet J.* 2006;47(9):887–889.
53. Lawler D. Prevention and Management of Infection in Kennels. In: Greene C, ed. *Infectious Diseases of the Dog and Cat.* 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. St. Louis, MO: W.B. Saunders; 2006:1046–1051.
54. Morley P, Morris N, Hyatt D, Van Metre D. Evaluation of the Efficacy of Disinfectant Footbaths as Used in Veterinary Hospitals. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2005;226(12):2053–2058. doi: 10.2460/javma.2005.226.2053
55. Stockton K, Morley P, Hyatt D, et al. Evaluation of the Effects of Footwear Hygiene Protocols on Nonspecific Bacterial Contamination of Floor Surfaces in an Equine Hospital. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2006;228(7):1068. doi: 10.2460/javma.228.7.1068
56. Amass SF, Abvp D, Vlwerberg BD, Ragland D, Dowell CA, Anderson CD. Evaluating the Efficacy of Boot Baths in Biosecurity Protocols. *Swine Heal Prod.* 2000;8(4):169–173.
57. Amass S, Arighi M, Kinyon J, Hoffman L, Schneider J, Draper D. Effectiveness of Using a Mat Filled with a Peroxygen Disinfectant to Minimize Shoe Sole Contamination in a Veterinary Hospital. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2006;228(9):1391–1396. doi: 10.2460/javma.228.9.1391
58. Committee NA of SPHVVIC. Compendium of Veterinary Standard Precautions for Zoonotic Disease Prevention in Veterinary Personnel. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2015;247(11):1252–1265. doi: 10.2460/javma.247.11.1252
59. Avcioglu H, Balkaya I. The Relationship of Public Park Accessibility to Dogs to the Presence of *Toxocara* Species Ova in the Soil. *Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 2011;11(2):177–180. doi: 10.1089/vbz.2009.0244
60. Bugg RJ, Robertson ID, Elliot AD, Thompson RCA. Gastrointestinal Parasites of Urban Dogs in Perth, Western Australia. *Vet J.* 1999;157(3):295–301. doi: 10.1053/tvj.1998.0327
61. Schultz RD, Thiel B, Mukhtar E, Sharp P, Larson LJ. Age and Long-Term Protective Immunity in Dogs and Cats. *J Comp Pathol.* 2010;142(1):S102–S108. doi: 10.1016/j.jcpa.2009.10.009
62. Kronenwetter-Koepel TA, Meece JK, Miller CA, Reed KD. Surveillance of Above- and Below-Ground Mosquito Breeding Habitats in a Rural Midwestern Community: Baseline Data for Larvicidal Control Measures against West Nile Virus Vectors. *Clin Med Res.* 2005;3(1):3–12. doi: 10.3121/cmr.3.1.3
63. Stockwell PJ, Wessell N, Reed DR, et al. A Field Evaluation of Four Larval Mosquito Control Methods in Urban Catch Basins. *J Am Mosq Control Assoc.* 2006;22(4):666–671. doi: 10.2987/8756-971X(2006)22[666:AFE0FL]2.0.CO;2
64. New Zealand Ministry for Primary Industries: Regulation and Assurance Branch. *Code of Welfare: Dogs.* 2018:1–45. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.agriculture.govt.nz/dmsdocument/1445-pigs-animal-welfare-code-of-welfare>
65. Urban JE, Broce A. Flies and Their Bacterial Loads in Greyhound Dog Kennels in Kansas. *Curr Microbiol.* 1998;36(3):164–170. doi: 10.1007/PL00006761
66. Mason G, Littin KE. The Humaneness of Rodent Pest Control. *Anim Welf.* 2003;12(1):1–37.
67. Environmental Protection Agency. *Integrated Pest Management Tools: Resources to Support IPM Implementation.* 2021. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.epa.gov/ipm/integrated-pest-management-tools-resources-support-ipm-implementation>.

## 6. Medical Health

### 6.1 General

Comprehensive shelter medical programs are the foundation of humane sheltering. The World Health Organization describes *health* as a state of complete physical, mental, and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity.<sup>1</sup> Health care for animals in shelters is a necessity and must include attention to overall well-being.<sup>2,3</sup>

Shelter medical care must begin at or before intake and continue throughout the shelter stay.<sup>4-6</sup> Animals may arrive at shelters already experiencing health challenges, while others may develop issues during their stay. When a shelter admits an animal, they become responsible for providing all of the medical and wellness care that the animal needs, or promptly finding an outcome that meets those needs. When medical treatment is necessary, it must be provided in a timely fashion.

Shelters must provide species-appropriate preventive health care; this includes implementing protocols that strengthen resistance to disease and minimize exposure to pathogens, such as vaccination, parasite control, good nutrition, and appropriate handling and housing location.<sup>7</sup> Shelters can experience severe disease outbreaks without proactive management, monitoring, and communication.

Individual animal health must be addressed within the balance of decisions and practices that support overall population health. Population health is impacted when spread of disease is likely, when long lengths of stay place the shelter over its capacity for care, and when treatment costs reduce the shelter's resources to provide care for other animals (see [Population Management](#)).

A shelter's capacity to provide medical care for individual animals is impacted by:

- the availability of resources to safely and humanely provide treatment and maintain welfare during the treatment period
- the duration of care
- the number of animals needing treatment
- likelihood and consequences of disease transmission
- the likelihood of recovery
- and the animal's potential for a live outcome

Prompt identification and communication of health conditions, and the development of protocols for conditions that are routinely treated or managed by the shelter provide transparency and support timely decision-making. Shelters should have a protocol for making decisions about which animals and conditions to treat, and which animals and conditions they cannot treat.

Tracking disease rates and outcomes for medical cases provides important measures of shelter population health.<sup>8</sup> Key indicators of healthcare program deficiencies include the decline of animal health and welfare after intake, sick or injured animals held without prompt treatment, wide-scale disease outbreaks, animals dying or being euthanized as a result of shelter-acquired disease or injury, and chronically high rates of disease. Prevention of disease in shelters through proactive planning of animal pathways (see [Population Management](#)) and preventive healthcare supports better animal health and welfare, saves resources, and improves the well-being of shelter personnel.<sup>9</sup>

### 6.2 Veterinary oversight and medical recordkeeping

A formal relationship with a veterinarian must be in place to ensure oversight of medical and surgical care in the shelter. Personnel providing medical care must have the skills and equipment to administer prescribed treatments safely and effectively.

Evidence-based protocols are essential for providing a consistent approach to addressing the health of individual animals and populations entering shelters.<sup>10</sup> All medical practices and protocols must be developed in consultation with the shelter's veterinarian (see [Management and Record Keeping](#)). Ensuring compliance with healthcare plans and protocols, on a population or individual level, is part of veterinary oversight. In addition to providing details of diagnosis and treatment, shelter medical protocols include instructions for animal housing, sanitation, decision-making, and communication.<sup>11</sup> When a medical concern falls outside of standard protocols or does not respond to treatment as expected, a veterinarian must be consulted.

Medications and treatments must only be administered by prescription or in accordance with written protocols provided by a veterinarian.<sup>12</sup> Medication should only be prescribed when there exists a reasonable presumptive diagnosis, the ability to administer as directed, and a plan to monitor the course of disease, so that success or failure can be determined.<sup>13</sup> Giving medications when not needed, such as prescribing antibiotics to prevent viral infections, can cause harmful side-effects and promote antibiotic resistance.

When drugs are used or dispensed, it must be done in accordance with federal and state regulations.<sup>14</sup> These regulations may limit use or dispensing of off-label and compounded drugs. When dispensed or when required by state regulations for in-shelter use, prescription drug labels include:

- name of the prescribing veterinarian
- clinic or shelter name, phone number, and address

- patient identification and species
- date dispensed and expiration date
- drug name, form, and amount
- directions for use
- cautionary statements<sup>15</sup>

Accurate medical records are an essential part of an animal's shelter record. A medical history must be requested for all animals presented to the shelter and added to the medical record. Shelters must document all medical care rendered to each animal in the medical record.<sup>16</sup> Medical records include accurate identifying information; signalment (age, sex, species, and reproductive status); and a dated list of physical exam findings, vaccinations, diagnostic test results, procedures, and treatments (including medications with dose and route of administration). A record of the animal's medical care must be provided in hardcopy or electronic form when the animal leaves the shelter's care.

### 6.3 Medical assessment

Collecting information about animal health before admission allows the shelter to offer medical services that can prevent intake, such as spay-neuter, outpatient care, or referral to other accessible programs.<sup>17</sup> When intake to the shelter is necessary, each animal's individual health status must be evaluated, documented, and monitored beginning at intake.

Each animal must receive at least a cursory health assessment by trained personnel at intake to check for signs of infectious disease or problems that require emergency medical care.<sup>5,18</sup> The intake assessment must include confirmation of the animal's estimated age, sex, physical description, and the presence of any identification and microchips. Administration of core vaccinations (Table 6.1) and parasite prevention is typically paired with this intake assessment.

A comprehensive physical examination by a veterinarian or trained personnel should also be performed. Ideally, this physical exam is performed within 24 hours of intake. Timely initial assessment and examination allow prompt treatment of medical conditions, establish a health baseline for each animal, and allow recognition of changes in health during the animal's time in care. Screening tests can be a part of this assessment, including FeLV and FIV testing and management in animal shelter's policy<sup>19</sup> (see [ASV Position Statement](#)).<sup>20</sup> Findings from any assessments and examinations are documented in the individual animal's medical record and used to inform housing and flow-through planning.

Animals with signs of infectious disease at intake should be isolated until determined to be low-risk to the population. Separating potentially infectious sick animals reduces the risk of fomite transmission by personnel and prevents spread through shared environments.

Quarantining healthy animals at intake is not generally recommended. Quarantines are appropriate only for animals with a history of direct, high-risk infectious disease exposure. Unnecessary holds increase length of stay and are detrimental to animal health and organizational goals (see [Population Management](#)).

Some animals are more susceptible and require greater protection from possible disease exposure. Heightened precautions to prevent disease transmission should be taken when handling more susceptible animals, such as juveniles, older animals, and those with underlying conditions. Precautions typically include placement in foster care, limiting the number of people in contact, using personal protective equipment (PPE), and providing care for the most vulnerable first ([Appendix C](#)).

Trained personnel must visually observe the health and well-being of every animal at least once every 24 hours.<sup>16</sup> Ideally, daily monitoring observations take place before cleaning, so that food intake and condition of the enclosure, including feces, urine, or vomit, can be noted. Medical staff are essential members of the shelter's comprehensive care team; a medical staff member should attend population rounds with representatives from other departments (see [Population Management](#)).

Animals staying in the shelter long-term require regular medical assessment. At minimum, an examination by trained personnel, including weighing and body condition score, should be repeated on a monthly basis. A comprehensive exam should be performed at least every 6 months while in shelter care, including animals in foster. More frequent examinations are necessary for animals with chronic conditions and when new concerns are observed.

### 6.4 Essential wellness and preventive care

Prevention and early detection of health concerns in the shelter is critical to supporting physical and emotional well-being. Vaccination, parasite control, proper nutrition, and addressing specific care needs for individual animals improves the health of individuals and populations, while saving the shelter time and resources. For example, grooming and bathing are essential components of animal care and must be provided when necessary for animal health or comfort.<sup>11</sup>

#### 6.4.1 Vaccination

A timely vaccination program is fundamental to preventing severe disease outbreaks in animal shelters.<sup>21,22</sup> Shelters must have a written vaccination protocol developed under the supervision of the shelter's veterinarian (see [Management and Record Keeping](#)). Shelter vaccine protocols differ from protocols used in private practice because shelter animals are subject to an increased risk of infectious disease.<sup>11,23</sup> Risk factors include stressors, exposure to other animals, age, previous preventive care, and pathogen levels

Table 6.1. Vaccination schedule for animals housed in shelter facilities

Core vaccines	Route	Species	Starting age	Frequency <20 weeks	Frequency adults
MLV DAPP	SQ	Dog	4 weeks	Intake, every 2 weeks	Intake, suggested booster in 2–4 weeks
MLV FVRCP	SQ	Cat	4 weeks	Intake, every 2 weeks	Intake, suggested booster in 2–4 weeks
MLV Bord/PI	IN	Dog	3 weeks	Once at intake	Once at intake
Rabies	SQ	Dog and cat	12 weeks	Once	Once

MLV, modified live virus; DAPP, distemper-, adeno-, parvo-, and parainfluenza; FVRCP, feline viral rhinotracheitis, calicivirus, and panleukopenia; Bord/PI, *Bordetella* and parainfluenza virus; SQ, subcutaneous; IN, intranasal.

in the environment.<sup>11,24–27</sup> Key differences in protocols compared to those recommended in private practice include an earlier and longer age range for juveniles, a shorter time span between vaccines, and different core and noncore products.<sup>11,23</sup>

Shelters must properly handle and store vaccines according to manufacturer guidelines. Proper handling includes refrigeration along the supply chain and within the shelter, preventing freezing, reconstitution according to manufacturer instructions, and discarding modified live vaccines reconstituted more than 1 hour prior to use.<sup>4,25,27–29</sup> Proper technique for vaccine administration is important for efficacy and safety. This includes use of the dose and route indicated by the manufacturer, a sterile syringe and a fresh needle, and gentle handling.<sup>4,28–30</sup> The location for specific vaccine injections should follow administration site guidelines.<sup>28,30</sup> Recording the serial and batch number information in the medical record is required for rabies vaccines and is recommended for all vaccines in case of adverse reactions, recalls, or vaccine failures.

Shelters must have protocols for recognizing, managing, and reporting adverse vaccine reactions, and required treatments must be accessible.<sup>25,31</sup> This includes protocols for accidental subcutaneous administration of intranasal vaccines, which can lead to significant infection or allergic reactions.<sup>4</sup> Management of vaccine reactions might include alerting the veterinarian, close monitoring, administration of medications, or referral to an emergency clinic, depending on the situation and severity of the reaction.<sup>27</sup> Vaccine reactions need to be reported to the manufacturer.<sup>32</sup>

#### 6.4.2 Core vaccines in shelters

A core vaccine is one given to all eligible animals and is withheld only in extraordinary circumstances.<sup>27</sup> For all core vaccines except rabies, shelters should use modified live virus or recombinant vaccines (MLV) rather than killed products because they provide a faster immune response.<sup>33–35</sup> This includes vaccines for puppies, kittens, animals with FeLV or FIV, and pregnant and nursing animals.<sup>30,36</sup> Cerebellar hypoplasia is a theoretical complication of MLV panleukopenia vaccination of pregnant cats; however, the risk of abortion, maternal, and kitten death due to panleukopenia generally outweighs this concern in shelters.<sup>37,38</sup>

MLV vaccines create effective, long-lasting immunity to distemper-, parvo-, adeno-, and panleukopenia viruses in dogs and cats with competent immune systems within days of initial vaccination and may provide partial protection sooner.<sup>33,39,40</sup> MLV vaccines also decrease symptoms and duration of herpes-, calici-, and parainfluenza virus and *Bordetella* infections.<sup>25,34,35,41,42</sup>

#### Dogs

A subcutaneous MLV vaccine for canine distemper-, adeno-, parvo-, and parainfluenza viruses (DAPP) is core for shelter puppies and dogs.<sup>21</sup> An intranasal vaccine containing both *Bordetella* and parainfluenza virus (Bord/PI), with or without adenovirus, is also core for shelter puppies and dogs.<sup>21</sup> The intranasal route is important to maximize efficacy and activate respiratory immune cells, which can provide additional protection against other infectious respiratory diseases.<sup>43,44</sup>

#### Cats

A subcutaneous MLV vaccine for feline viral rhinotracheitis, calicivirus, and panleukopenia viruses (FVRCP) is core for shelter cats and kittens. Feline intranasal vaccination for herpes and calicivirus has a similar efficacy to the injectable, but there is questionable reliability of intranasal vaccination against panleukopenia virus.<sup>23,39</sup> Using both subcutaneous and intranasal vaccines together is safe but has not been shown to increase immunity over either product alone. The intranasal vaccine may provide protection against herpes and calicivirus to young kittens through reduced maternal antibody interference.<sup>23</sup>

#### Rabies

Eligible dogs and cats should be vaccinated against rabies before leaving shelter care.<sup>11</sup> Rabies vaccines must be administered following state and local guidelines and the most recent Compendium for Animal Rabies Prevention and Control.<sup>45–48</sup> Specific regulations for how rabies vaccination is to be documented and who can administer the vaccine vary by state. Puppies and kittens that are too young for rabies vaccination may be adopted or transported with the recommendation that new caretakers provide vaccination when old enough. Rabies vaccination of animals under

12 weeks of age, although considered off-label, appears safe and may be of value in some situations (e.g. return-to-field).<sup>49</sup> Feral cats should receive all core vaccines at the time of spay-neuter, regardless of age.<sup>50</sup>

After the initial series (see Table 6.1), vaccination protocols for animals housed long-term in shelters are best guided by the shelter's veterinarian.

#### 6.4.3 Noncore vaccines

Noncore vaccines (e.g. Canine influenza, *Leptospira*, Lyme; Feline Bordetella, Chlamydia, leukemia virus, etc.) may be useful when prescribed by a veterinarian for specific animals, subpopulations, or in the face of diagnosed outbreaks. When deciding whether to use noncore vaccines, it is important to consider the onset of immunity and the number of boosters, as many of these vaccinations may not be fully effective for 10–14 days after the final dose.<sup>23</sup>

#### 6.4.4 Vaccine schedules

Adult animals must be vaccinated with core vaccines at or before intake (Table 6.1). Revaccination 2–4 weeks later is suggested for those still in shelter care, especially when disease risk is high. Animals housed in shelters should be vaccinated with core vaccines even if ill or pregnant, as the individual and population risks of not vaccinating outweigh the small risk of vaccination.<sup>25,30,38</sup> Vaccinating an animal with all core products on the same day or during a surgical procedure does not decrease immune responsiveness to those vaccines or significantly increase the chance of adverse reactions.<sup>29,36,51–53</sup>

Puppies and kittens housed in shelter facilities must begin core vaccinations at or before intake starting at 4 weeks old and must be revaccinated every 2 weeks until 20 weeks old.<sup>4,25,28</sup> Shelter personnel and veterinarians can use dentition, behavior, body weight, and available history to estimate age when date of birth is unknown.<sup>54</sup> In juvenile shelter-housed animals, frequent vaccination is critical to ensure that animals develop their own protective antibodies as soon as possible after antibodies provided by their mother wane.<sup>28,55</sup> When no longer housed in the shelter facility (i.e. in foster or adopted), juvenile vaccine schedules may be adjusted.

The risk of puppies and kittens contracting and spreading infections such as parvo, distemper, and panleukopenia can be greatly reduced by housing litters in individual foster homes until they are old enough for spay-neuter and adoption. Puppies and kittens housed in foster care must begin core vaccinations at or before intake starting at 4 weeks old and must be revaccinated at the veterinarian's discretion every 2–4 weeks until 20 weeks old.<sup>4,25,28</sup> Assessment of infectious disease risk in the foster home will determine whether a shorter or longer interval is appropriate.

It is not recommended to delay placement outcomes (e.g. adoption and transport) to allow response to vaccination or to receive a booster. The safer alternative is to secure an outcome with the recommendation that new caretakers continue a veterinary-directed vaccination protocol that reflects the animal's new lifestyle and disease risks.

#### 6.4.5 Parasites

Parasites, both internal and external, are one of the most common health concerns seen in shelter dogs and cats.<sup>56</sup> Some animal parasites can also impact human health (e.g. roundworms, hookworms, mites, ticks, and fleas). Animals should receive anti-parasite treatments at or before intake and throughout their shelter stay.

An effective parasite control program, including medications and environmental control, should be designed with the supervision of a veterinarian. Considerations include the impact of the parasite on individual animals, the shelter population, and human health. Because risks vary geographically, it is important to identify the parasites of concern in the shelter and in the community the animal comes from, including those received through relocation programs. Effective protocols tailor treatments to the species and life stage of their animals, including age, pregnancy, and lactation.<sup>57–61</sup> For example, treatment for coccidia may be considered for juvenile animals at intake to reduce disease severity and environmental contamination.

All dogs and cats must be treated for roundworms and hookworms at intake, starting at 2 weeks of age, because these organisms can cause harm to people, especially children.<sup>62</sup> Parasite treatment also reduces contamination of the shelter environment where animals and humans may be exposed. Since most parasite eggs or cysts are shed in high numbers through feces and are difficult or impossible to kill, feces should be promptly removed from animal housing and exercise areas.<sup>63,64</sup> Good sanitation practices, especially mechanical cleaning of soiled areas, reduce the potential for spread.<sup>56</sup>

Regardless of geographic location, all shelters should have policies regarding testing, prevention, and management of heartworm disease.<sup>65–69</sup> This policy may specify in-shelter prevention, treatment and management protocols, or may describe a plan for referral of adopters to local veterinarians for testing or care.

#### 6.4.6 Nutrition

Shelters should seek veterinary input when developing a feeding protocol for their animal population. Food that is consistent with the nutritional needs, health status, and species of the individual animal must be provided at least daily. Food must be fresh, palatable, free from contamination, and not shared between enclosures. Feeding a consistent diet can support animal health and streamline feeding protocols. Fresh, clean water must be available to

animals unless there is a medical reason for water to be withheld for a prescribed period of time.

The amount and frequency of feeding vary depending on life stage, species, size, activity level, health status of the animal, and the particular diet chosen. Ideally, healthy adult dogs are fed twice daily, and cats are fed multiple small meals or allowed to forage throughout the day. When managing starved animals or those with unique nutritional needs, veterinary input must be sought. Healthy puppies and kittens as well as lactating and pregnant animals must be fed small amounts frequently or have food available through the day (i.e. free-choice).

Food intake must be monitored daily. Loss of appetite or inability to eat are health concerns that require medical attention. Since animals have highly variable metabolic requirements, each animal should be fed to meet individual needs and prevent excessive gain or loss of body weight.<sup>54,70</sup> Body condition and hydration status of animals must be monitored. When animals are cohoused, matching animals with similar nutritional needs or having a process for feeding separately is important. Cohoused animals should be monitored during feeding times, so that appetite and conflicts around food may be addressed.

Food and water dishes must be safe, sufficient in number, and of adequate size. For litters and cohoused adults, providing at least one food dish per animal is recommended. Distributing dishes throughout the enclosure can help prevent guarding behavior (see [Facilities](#)).

Supplies of food must be stored in a manner to prevent spoilage or contamination, including refrigeration for perishable foods. Food waste creates a health hazard through spoilage and attraction of pests.

#### 6.4.7 Pregnant, nursing, and neonatal animals

Shelters should have a protocol for the care of pregnant, nursing, and neonatal animals.<sup>71</sup> This includes whether or not an animal will be spayed or allowed to go to term (see [Surgery](#)). Shelters housing pregnant, nursing, or neonatal animals must ensure that additional disease prevention, nutrition, and stress reduction measures are taken, to protect these vulnerable populations. Housing pregnant and nursing animals in foster care provides significant medical and behavioral benefits, including minimizing risk of infectious disease transmission and facilitating more consistent monitoring. Pregnant and neonatal animals may require urgent interventions, so protocols for accessing emergency care, additional training, and resources are needed to support these populations.

### 6.5 Responding to health concerns

Any animal observed to be experiencing pain, suffering, or distress; rapidly deteriorating health; life-threatening problems; or suspected zoonotic medical conditions must

be promptly assessed and managed.<sup>16</sup> Communication is a key part of facilitating care. Protocols for documenting and reporting health concerns are essential.

Protocols for common diseases and health conditions, which specify diagnostics, medical care, and management (e.g. housing, PPE, and outcomes) are an integral part of any shelter health program. Infectious disease protocols must include measures both to minimize transmission and to ensure appropriate care of the infected animals. The response to each disease will look different for every organization, due to the variety of pathogens encountered, modes of transmission, and types of facilities. The shelter veterinarian should be consulted on all policies and protocols related to the maintenance of medical and behavioral animal health (see [Management and Record Keeping](#)).

#### 6.5.1 Pain management

Animals with acute or chronically painful medical conditions are often cared for by shelters. Pain must be recognized and treated to alleviate suffering. Treatment of pain can include providing euthanasia. Unrelieved pain is a significant welfare concern and can result in chronic physical manifestations, such as weight loss, muscle breakdown, increased blood pressure, and prolonged recovery from illness or injury, as well as mental and emotional distress.<sup>72</sup> Failure to provide treatment for pain is unacceptable.

Recognizing and alleviating pain in a wide variety of species can be complex and difficult.<sup>73</sup> Individual animals react differently to painful stimuli and may show a variety of clinical and behavioral signs.<sup>2</sup> Observation of behavior and knowledge of the causes of pain are the most accurate ways of assessing pain in animals; if a procedure, injury, or condition is known to be painful in humans, it can be assumed to be painful in animals. Several published scales are available to assess pain in animals.<sup>74</sup> When an animal is suspected to be painful, it is the responsibility of shelter staff to follow veterinary protocols and request veterinary assessment.

Protocols for the treatment of painful conditions should be created by a veterinarian. Pain control provided must be of an appropriate strength and duration to preempt or relieve pain. When pain can be anticipated, as with surgical procedures, pain control should be provided before the painful event. The use of controlled drugs must be supervised by a veterinarian as required by regulatory statutes.

Non-pharmacological approaches to pain (e.g. the presence of littermates, a quiet environment, massage, physical therapy, heat, and deep bedding) can supplement pharmacologic interventions to help increase comfort and alleviate anxiety.

Animals must be reassessed frequently to determine the efficacy of pain relief provided. When the pain relief

provided is inadequate, emergency medical care must be provided.

### 6.5.2 Emergency medical care

An emergency medical plan must be in place to provide appropriate and timely veterinary care for any animal who is injured, in distress, or showing signs of significant illness.<sup>16</sup> The emergency medical plan must indicate how staff will recognize and report medical conditions requiring emergency care. The emergency medical plan should specify whether emergency services are provided on site or through an outside veterinary clinic. Animals housed outside the shelter facility (e.g. in foster or off-site adoption centers) are subject to the same guidance. Foster care providers should be given clear instructions about how and when to access emergency and after-hours care.

If the emergency medical plan cannot be implemented or fails to relieve suffering, the animal should be euthanized.<sup>16</sup> Many shelters care for animals they do not legally own, such as those impounded as strays, held as evidence in legal cases, or boarded for owners requiring temporary assistance. Agreements between the shelter and relevant parties can clarify emergency medical care expectations. The comfort and welfare of the animal is the shelter's highest concern. The legal status of the animal must not prevent treatment to relieve suffering. This includes providing euthanasia if suffering cannot be alleviated.

### 6.5.3 Responding to infectious disease

Shelters must have a means of isolating contagious animals. Animals with a suspected infectious disease must be isolated until diagnosis by a veterinarian or treatment determines them to be a low risk to the general population. Isolation may be accomplished onsite or through placement in an appropriate facility, such as a veterinary clinic or foster home, after considering risk to animals already in those facilities. When isolation efforts are inadequate to prevent disease transmission to the population, informed adoption, transfer to a partner, or euthanasia of the infected animal needs to be considered. Allowing animals with severe infectious disease to remain in the general population is unacceptable.

The treatment and response plan for animals with mild to moderate or uncomplicated infections is based on circumstance and clinical signs and often follows a standard protocol. When the number of cases increases above typical for the shelter, when signs are severe or not responding to treatment as expected, and when a zoonotic condition is suspected, diagnosis or identification of specific pathogens should be sought. Individual animals, or a representative sample in an outbreak, can be tested to achieve a likely diagnosis. When an animal dies from unexplained causes, a necropsy should be performed.<sup>21</sup> If gross necropsy is inconclusive, additional testing may be indicated.

### 6.5.4 Outbreak response

An outbreak is the occurrence of more than the usual number of animals affected by a disease or syndrome, or an increase in the severity of cases. Outbreaks can involve one animal or many animals; high levels of disease may represent an ongoing outbreak or gaps in management and preventive care practices.

During an outbreak, a risk assessment to identify potentially exposed animals must be performed based on the confirmed or suspected pathogen. Physical separation must be established between sick, exposed, at-risk, and unexposed animals or groups of animals. Implementation of this separation will vary depending on the disease of concern and type of facility. In some circumstances, isolation or limited handling of an animal or group of animals may be enough to protect the population. In other circumstances, it may be necessary to stop animal movement, including halting intake. In order to prevent tracking of pathogens from contaminated to uncontaminated areas, animal handling and foot traffic should be limited during disease outbreaks.

During an outbreak, all at-risk animals should be monitored for signs of disease at least once a day. Animal care staff should be educated on the clinical signs of the disease of concern and on the process for alerting medical staff. Shelters should avoid returning recovered or exposed animals to the general population, while there is significant risk that they may transmit disease to other animals. Shelters must also ensure federal, state, and local laws are followed concerning reportable diseases.

As part of the outbreak response, relevant protocols should be reviewed to ensure control measures are effective against the suspected pathogen. Effective measures, such as sanitation and animal handling protocols, help to ensure animal care and treatment activities do not contribute to the spread of disease. For example, footbaths often become contaminated and aid in disease transmission rather than control<sup>75</sup> (see [Sanitation](#)).

Depopulation is defined as euthanasia of an entire population or subpopulation, including healthy and unhealthy animals. It is not an appropriate initial response to disease outbreaks and typically does not resolve the underlying causes. Depopulation is a technique of last resort reserved for extraordinary circumstances when morbidity, mortality, infectivity, injury, or risk of zoonotic disease is uncommonly severe. In the rare instance that depopulation is considered, an experienced shelter veterinarian must be consulted beforehand.<sup>76</sup>

### 6.6 Population health surveillance

Regular monitoring of population health is as important as monitoring individual animal health; one cannot exist without the other in the shelter environment. Shelters should track animal population health trends (e.g. morbidity and mortality) and develop targeted strategies to

address concerns. Population health surveillance will facilitate early recognition of problems, accurate diagnoses, and effective intervention and prevention strategies.

One or more shelter animals dying in care can be a signal to assess management practices. Increases in deaths or infections over time may indicate deficiencies in population management practices, such as operating beyond a shelter's capacity for care, lapses in preventive care protocols, or the need for targeted interventions. Shelters can learn from examples where conditions created by poor management caused severe suffering and unnecessary death.<sup>77,78</sup>

### 6.7 Rehoming considerations

It is increasingly common for shelters to find live outcomes for animals with medical conditions. Adopters or others receiving animals from shelters should be informed about any disease or condition known to be present at the time of outcome. Many shelters employ standard written disclosures for common conditions, modifying as needed for a particular animal.

Ongoing care for known medical conditions typically becomes the responsibility of the adopter, transport partner, or other caretaker of the animal, but may be provided by the shelter when regulations and policies allow. Shelters should have and disclose policies that specify whether or not they provide care for medical conditions that are ongoing or occur after adoption.

## References

- World Health Organization. Constitution of the World Health Organization. *American Journal of Public Health* 36:11. 1946:1315–1323.
- Ryan S, Bacon H, Endenburg N, et al. WSAVA Animal Welfare Guidelines. *J Small Anim Pract.* 2019;60(5):E1–E46. doi: 10.1111/JSAP.12998
- Ellis J, Marziani E, Aziz C, Brown CM, Cohn LA, Lea C, Moore GE, Taneja N. 2022 AAHA Canine Vaccination Guidelines. *J Am Anim Hosp Assoc.* 2022 Sep 1;58(5):213–230. doi: 10.5326/JAAHA-MS-Canine-Vaccination-Guidelines
- Ford RB, Larson LJ, McClure KD, et al. 2017 AAHA Canine Vaccination Guidelines. 2017:26–35. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. [https://www.aaha.org/public\\_documents/guidelines/vaccination\\_recommendation\\_for\\_general\\_practice\\_table.pdf](https://www.aaha.org/public_documents/guidelines/vaccination_recommendation_for_general_practice_table.pdf).
- American Association of Feline Practitioners. *AAFP Position Statement: Welfare of Shelter Cats.* 2009. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://catvets.com/guidelines/position-statements/welfare-shelter-cats>
- Larson LJ, Schultz RD. Canine and Feline Vaccinations and Immunology. In: Miller L, Janeczko S, Hurley KF, eds. *Infectious Disease Management in Animal Shelters.* 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley Blackwell; 2021:191–220.
- Spindel M. Strategies for Management of Infectious Disease in a Shelter. In: Miller L, Zawistowski SL, eds. *Shelter Medicine for Veterinarians and Staff.* 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Ames, IA: Wiley Blackwell; 2013:281–286.
- Scarlett JM, Greenberg MJ, Hoshizaki T. *Every Nose Counts: Using Metrics in Animal Shelters.* 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Ithaca, NY: CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform; 2017.
- Newbury S, Hurley K. Population Management. In: Miller L, Zawistowski S, eds. *Shelter Medicine for Veterinarians and Staff.* 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Ames, IA: Wiley Blackwell; 2013:93–113.
- American Veterinary Medical Association (AVMA). AVMA Policy: Model Veterinary Practice Act. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2021. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.avma.org/sites/default/files/2021-01/model-veterinary-practice-act.pdf>. Accessed January 12, 2022.
- Griffin B. Wellness. In: Miller L, Janeczko S, Hurley KF, eds. *Infectious Disease Management in Animal Shelters.* 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley Blackwell; 2021:13–45.
- Association of Shelter Veterinarians. *Position Statement: Veterinary Supervision in Animal Shelters.* 2021:1. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. [https://www.sheltervet.org/assets/docs/position-statements/Veterinary Supervision in Animal Shelters PS 2021.pdf](https://www.sheltervet.org/assets/docs/position-statements/Veterinary%20Supervision%20in%20Animal%20Shelters%20PS%202021.pdf).
- Fajt VR. Pharmacology. In: Miller L, Janeczko S, Hurley K, eds. *Infectious Disease Management in Animal Shelters.* 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley Blackwell; 2021:143–166.
- American Veterinary Medical Association. *Policy: Use of Prescription Drugs in Veterinary Medicine.* 2022. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.avma.org/resources-tools/avma-policies/use-prescription-drugs-veterinary-medicine>.
- Federal Drug Administration. *FDA Regulation of Animal Drugs.* 2019. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.fda.gov/animal-veterinary/resources-you/fda-regulation-animal-drugs>.
- American Veterinary Medical Association. *AVMA Policy: Companion Animal Care Guidelines.* Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.avma.org/policies/companion-animal-care-guidelines>.
- Hurley KF. The Evolving Role of Triage and Appointment-Based Admission to Improve Service, Care and Outcomes in Animal Shelters. *Front Vet Sci.* 2022;9:809340. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2022.809340
- UC Davis Koret Shelter Medicine Program. *Performing a physical exam on a shelter animal.* 2010. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.sheltermedicine.com/library/resources/?r=performing-a-physical-exam-on-a-shelter-animal>.
- Little S, Levy J, Hartmann K, et al. 2020 AAFP Feline Retrovirus Testing and Management Guidelines. *J Feline Med Surg.* 2020;22(1):5–30. doi: 10.1177/1098612X19895940
- Association of Shelter Veterinarians Position Statement: FeLV and FIV Testing and Management in Animal Shelters, 2020. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.sheltervet.org/assets/docs/position-statements/Retroviral%20PS.pdf>.
- Jenkins E, Davis C, Carrai M, et al. Feline Parvovirus Seroprevalence Is High in Domestic Cats from Disease Outbreak and Non-Outbreak Regions in Australia. *Viruses.* 2020;12(3):1–12. doi: 10.3390/v12030320
- Beatty JA, Hartmann K. Advances in Feline Viruses and Viral Diseases. *Viruses.* 2021;13(5):2–6. doi: 10.3390/v13050923
- Spindel M, Sykes JE. 16: Prevention and Management of Infectious Diseases in Multiple-Cat Environments. In: Sykes JE, ed. *Greene's Infectious Diseases of the Dog and Cat.* 5<sup>th</sup> ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 2022:187–186.
- Van Brussel K, Carrai M, Lin C, et al. Distinct Lineages of Feline Parvovirus Associated with Epizootic Outbreaks in Australia, New Zealand and the United Arab Emirates. *Viruses.* 2019;11(12):1–20. doi: 10.3390/v11121155

25. Day MJ, Horzinek MC, Schultz RD, Squires RA. WSAVA Guidelines for the Vaccination of Dogs and Cats. *J Small Anim Pract.* 2016;57(1):E1–E45. doi: 10.1111/jsap.2\_12431
26. DiGangi BA. Strategies for Infectious Disease Management in Shelter Cats. In: Little S, ed. *August's Consultations in Feline Internal Medicine.* Vol 7. First. St Louis, MO: Elsevier Inc.; 2016:674–685. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-323-22652-3.00070-0
27. Davis-Wurzler GM. Current Vaccination Strategies in Puppies and Kittens. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract.* 2006;36(3):607–640. doi: 10.1016/j.cvsm.2005.12.003
28. Stone A, Brummet GO, Carozza EM, et al. 2020 AAHA / AAFP Feline Vaccination Guidelines. *J Feline Med Surg.* 2020;22:813–830. doi: 10.1177/1098612X20941784
29. Paul MA, Carmichael L, Childers H, et al. 2006 *American Animal Hospital Association (AAHA) Canine Vaccine Guidelines.* American Animal Hospital Association; 2006:80–89.
30. UC Davis Koret Shelter Medicine Program. Vaccination in Animal Shelters. *Inf Sheet Infect Dis.* 2015. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.sheltermedicine.com/library/resources/?r=vaccination-in-animal-shelters>.
31. Gershwin LJ. Adverse Reactions to Vaccination: From Anaphylaxis to Autoimmunity. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pr.* 2018;48(2):279–290. doi: 10.1016/j.cvsm.2017.10.005
32. United States Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service. *Adverse Event Reporting.* 2022. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. [https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/ourfocus/animalhealth/veterinary-biologics/adverse-event-reporting/ct\\_vb\\_adverse\\_event](https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/ourfocus/animalhealth/veterinary-biologics/adverse-event-reporting/ct_vb_adverse_event).
33. Larson LJ, Schultz RD. Effect of Vaccination with Recombinant Canine Distemper Virus Vaccine Immediately before Exposure under Shelter-Like Conditions. *Vet Ther.* 2006;7(2):113–118.
34. Lappin MR. Feline Panleukopenia Virus, Feline Herpesvirus-1 and Feline Calicivirus Antibody Responses in Seronegative Specific Pathogen-Free Kittens after Parenteral Administration of an Inactivated FVRCP Vaccine or a Modified Live FVRCP Vaccine. *J Feline Med Surg.* 2012;14(2):161–164. doi: 10.1177/1098612X11432240
35. Digangi BA, Levy JK, Griffin B, et al. Effects of Maternally-Derived Antibodies on Serologic Responses to Vaccination in Kittens. *J Feline Med Surg.* 2012;14(2):118–123. doi: 10.1177/1098612X11432239
36. Fischer S, Quest C, Dubovi E, et al. Response of Feral Cats to Vaccination at the Time of Neutering. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2007;230(1):52–58. doi: 10.2460/javma.230.1.52
37. Barrs VRV. Feline Panleukopenia: A Re-Emergent Disease. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract.* 2019;49(4):651–670. doi: 10.1016/j.cvsm.2019.02.006
38. De Medeiros Oliveira IVP, De Carvalho Freire DA, Ferreira HIP, et al. Research on Viral Agents Associated with Feline Reproductive Problems Reveals a High Association with Feline Panleukopenia Virus. *Vet Anim Sci.* 2018;6:75–80. doi: 10.1016/j.vas.2018.06.004
39. Lappin MR, Veir J, Hawley J. Feline Panleukopenia Virus, Feline Herpesvirus-1, and Feline Calicivirus Antibody Responses in Seronegative Specific Pathogen-Free Cats after a Single Administration of Two Different Modified Live FVRCP Vaccines. *J Feline Med Surg.* 2009;11(2):159–162. doi: 10.1016/j.jfms.2008.05.004
40. Jas D, Aeberlé C, Lacombe V, Guiot AL, Poulet H. Onset of Immunity in Kittens after Vaccination with a Non-Adjuvanted Vaccine against Feline Panleukopenia, Feline Calicivirus and Feline Herpesvirus. *Vet J.* 2009;182(1):86–93. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2008.05.025
41. Cunha RDS, Da Silva Junior CL, Costa CA, De Aguiar HM, Junqueira Júnior DG. Comparison of Immunity against Canine Distemper, Adenovirus and Parvovirus after Vaccination with Two Multivalent Canine Vaccines. *Vet Med Sci.* 2020;6(3):330–334. doi: 10.1002/vms3.274
42. Bergmann M, Schwertler S, Speck S, Truyen U, Hartmann K, Bergman M. Antibody Response to Feline Panleukopenia Virus Vaccination in Cats with Asymptomatic Retrovirus Infections: A Pilot Study. *J Feline Med Surg.* 2019;21(12):1094–1101. doi: 10.1177/1098612X18816463
43. Ellis JA, Gow SP, Waldner CL, et al. Comparative Efficacy of Intranasal and Oral Vaccines against Bordetella Bronchiseptica in Dogs. *Vet J.* 2016;212:71–77. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2016.04.004
44. Ellis JA, Gow SP, Lee LB, Lacoste S, Ball EC. Comparative Efficacy of Intranasal and Injectable Vaccines in Stimulating Bordetella Bronchiseptica-Reactive Anamnestic Antibody Responses in Household Dogs. *Can Vet J.* 2017;58(8):809–815.
45. Brown CM, Slavinski S, Ettestad P, Sidwa TJ, Sorhage FE. Compendium of Animal Rabies Prevention and Control, 2016. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2016;248(5):505–517. doi: 10.2460/javma.248.5.505
46. American Veterinary Medical Association: Government Relations. *State Rabies Vaccinations Laws.* 2021:13. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.avma.org/sites/default/files/2021-08/State-Rabies-Vaccination-Laws-Chart.pdf>.
47. Moore MC, Davis RD, Kang Q, et al. Comparison of Anamnestic Responses to Rabies Vaccination in Dogs and Cats with Current and Out-of-Date Vaccination Status. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2015;246:205–211. doi: 10.2460/javma.246.2.205
48. Smith K, Dunn J, Castrodale L, Wohrle R. Compendium of Measures to Prevent Disease Associated with Animals in Public Settings, 2013. *Javma.* 2016;248(5):1997–2001. doi: 10.2460/javma.248.5.505
49. Levy JK, Wilford CL. Management of Stray and Feral Community Cats. In: Miller L, Zawistowski SL, eds. *Shelter Medicine for Veterinarians and Staff.* 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Ames, IA: Wiley-Blackwell; 2013:669–688.
50. Jacobson LS. 18: Considerations and Management of Infectious Diseases of Community (Unowned, Free-Roaming) Cats. In: Sykes JE, ed. *Greene's Infectious Diseases of the Dog and Cat.* 5<sup>th</sup> ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 2022:204–218.
51. Griffin B, Bushby PA, Mccobb E, et al. The Association of Shelter Veterinarians' 2016 Veterinary Medical Care Guidelines for Spay-Neuter Programs. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2016;249(2):165–188. doi: 10.2460/javma.249.2.165
52. Miyamoto T, Taura Y, Une S, Yoshitake M, Nakama S, Watanabe S. Immunological Responses after Vaccination Pre- and Post-Surgery in Dogs. *J Vet Med Sci.* 1995;57(1):29–32. doi: 10.1292/jvms.57.29
53. Reese MJ, Patterson EV, Tucker SJ, et al. Effects of Anesthesia and Surgery on Serologic Responses to Vaccination in Kittens. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2008;233(1):116–121. doi: 10.2460/javma.233.1.116
54. Miller L, Janeczko S. Canine Care in the Animal Shelter. In: Miller L, Zawistowski SL, eds. *Shelter Medicine for Veterinarians and Staff.* 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Ames, IA: Wiley Blackwell; 2013:115–144. doi: 10.1002/9781119421511.ch9
55. Vila Nova B, Cunha E, Sepúlveda N, et al. Evaluation of the Humoral Immune Response Induced by Vaccination for Canine Distemper and Parvovirus: A Pilot Study. *BMC Vet Res.* 2018;14(1):1–8. doi: 10.1186/s12917-018-1673-z
56. Raza A, Rand J, Qamar AG, Jabbar A, Kopp S. Gastrointestinal Parasites in Shelter Dogs: Occurrence, Pathology, Treatment and

- Risk to Shelter Workers. *Animals*. 2018;8(7):1–23. doi: 10.3390/ani8070108
57. Levy JK, Lappin MR, Glaser AL, Birkenheuer AJ, Anderson TC, Edinboro CH. Prevalence of Infectious Diseases in Cats and Dogs Rescued Following Hurricane Katrina. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2011;238(3):311–317. doi: 10.2460/javma.238.3.311
  58. Loftin CM, Donnett UB, Schneider LG, Varela-Stokes AS. Prevalence of Endoparasites in Northern Mississippi Shelter Cats. *Vet Parasitol Reg Stud Reports*. 2019;18:100322. doi: 10.1016/j.vprsr.2019.100322
  59. Nagamori Y, Payton ME, Duncan-Decocq R, Johnson EM. Fecal Survey of Parasites in Free-Roaming Cats in Northcentral Oklahoma, United States. *Vet Parasitol Reg Stud Reports*. 2018;14:50–53. doi: 10.1016/j.vprsr.2018.08.008
  60. Nagamori Y, Payton ME, Looper E, Apple H, Johnson EM. Retrospective Survey of Parasitism Identified in Feces of Client-Owned Cats in North America from 2007 through 2018. *Vet Parasitol*. 2020;277:109008. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2019.109008
  61. Companion Animal Parasite Council. *CAPC Quick Product Reference Guide*. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://capcvet.org/parasite-product-applications/>
  62. Boyce J, Pittet D. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report Guideline for Hand Hygiene in Health-Care Settings Recommendations of the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee and the HICPAC/SHEA/APIC/IDSA Hand Hygiene Task Force*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2002;51. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/PDF/rr/rr5116.pdf>.
  63. Committee NA of SPHVVIC. Compendium of Veterinary Standard Precautions for Zoonotic Disease Prevention in Veterinary Personnel. *JAVMA*. 2015;247(11):1252–1265. doi: 10.2460/javma.247.11.1252
  64. Smith M, American Humane. Operational Guide: Sanitation and Disease Control in the Shelter Environment. 2010. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <http://unddr.org/uploads/documents/OperationalGuide.pdf>.
  65. Association of Shelter Veterinarians. *Heartworm Management*. 2018. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.sheltervet.org/assets/docs/position-statements/Heartworm>.
  66. Polak KC, Smith-Blackmore M. Animal Shelters: Managing Heartworms in Resource-Scarce Environments. *Vet Parasitol*. 2014;206(1–2):78–82. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2014.03.023
  67. Drake J, Parrish RS. Dog Importation and Changes in Heartworm Prevalence in Colorado 2013–2017. *Parasit Vectors*. 2019;12(1):207. doi: 10.1186/s13071-019-3473-0
  68. American Heartworm Society, Association of Shelter Veterinarians. *Minimizing Heartworm Transmission in Relocated Dogs*. 2017. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.sheltervet.org/assets/PDFs/Relocating%20HW%2BDogs.pdf>
  69. Jacobson LS, DiGangi BA. An Accessible Alternative to Melarsomine: ‘Moxi-Doxy’ for Treatment of Adult Heartworm Infection in Dogs. *Front Vet Sci*. 2021;8:1–17. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2021.702018
  70. Griffin B. Feline Care in the Animal Shelter. In: Miller L, Zawistowski SL, eds. *Shelter Medicine for Veterinarians and Staff*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Ames, IA: Wiley-Blackwell; 2013:145–184. doi: 10.1002/9781119421511.ch10
  71. Smith FO. Prenatal Care of the Bitch and Queen. *Small Anim Pediatr*. 2011;1:1–10. doi: 10.1016/B978-1-4160-4889-3.00001-2
  72. Robertson SA. What Is Pain? *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2002;221:202–205. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(02)39134-7
  73. Paul-Murphy J, Ludders JW, Robertson SA, Gaynor JS, Hellyer PW, Wong PL. The Need for a Cross-Species, Approach to the Study of Pain in Animals. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2004;224(5):692–697. doi: 10.2460/javma.2004.224.692
  74. Epstein M, Rodan I, Griffenhagen G, et al. 2015 AAHA/AAFP Pain Management Guidelines for Dogs and Cats. *J Am Anim Hosp Assoc*. 2015;51(2):67–84. doi: 10.5326/JAAHA-MS-7331
  75. Amass SF, Abvp D, Vlwerberg BD, Ragland D, Dowell CA, Anderson CD. Evaluating the Efficacy of Boot Baths in Biosecurity Protocols. *Swine Heal Prod*. 2000;8(4):169–173.
  76. Association of Shelter Veterinarians. *Position Statement: Depopulation*. 2020. Accessed April 5, 2020. <https://www.sheltervet.org/assets/docs/position-statements/Depopulation PS 3.20.pdf>.
  77. James L. 14 Animal Deaths at Pueblo Shelter Lead to State Takeover. *Gazette*. 2019. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. [https://gazette.com/news/14-animal-deaths-at-pueblo-shelter-lead-to-state-takeover/article\\_f1201cce-50a4-11e9-84a4-67ccc1f98fed.html](https://gazette.com/news/14-animal-deaths-at-pueblo-shelter-lead-to-state-takeover/article_f1201cce-50a4-11e9-84a4-67ccc1f98fed.html).
  78. The HSUS Animal Services Consultation Program. *The Animal Foundation Lied Animal Shelter, Las Vegas NV*. Washington, DC: Humane Society of the United States; 2007.

## 7. Shelter Surgery

### 7.1 General

In order to decrease the local population of animals needing shelter services and improve individual animal health and welfare, shelters routinely sterilize (i.e. spay or neuter) shelter animals, owned pets, and community cats. Robust community spay-neuter programs target pets and free-roaming cats who might not otherwise have been sterilized. This, in turn, supports community animal health, prevents shelter intake, and reduces euthanasia of both adults and unplanned offspring.<sup>1-6</sup> Spay-neuter is associated with a reduction in many nuisance and unwanted behaviors<sup>7-9</sup> and is associated with increased life expectancy.<sup>10,11</sup> In some jurisdictions, pre-adoption sterilization of dogs and cats is required by law.

Many areas of the country continue to deal with pet overpopulation, and it is important for shelters not to exacerbate this problem.<sup>12</sup> The severity of overpopulation varies on local, regional, and national levels as well as by species. It is unacceptable for organizations to allow shelter animals to breed. When spay-neuter is not immediately available, housing intact animals of breeding age separately or in sex-matched pairs and thoughtfully planning and monitoring off-leash activities such as playgroups can prevent mating behaviors.

When animals that are already pregnant are admitted, shelters should prevent birth from occurring in the facility, instead seeking alternatives such as spay or foster care. In almost all cases, it is safe and humane to spay dogs and cats at any stage of pregnancy. Keeping the uterus closed during and following the spay procedure allows the anesthetized fetuses to die humanely without the need for additional barbiturate injections.<sup>13</sup> If a shelter is considering allowing animals to give birth, it is important to assess the availability of routine and after-hours emergency medical care, behavioral care, foster home capacity, live outcome options, and regional population implications.

### 7.2 Spay-Neuter

Shelters should sterilize all animals before adoption or ensure that they will be sterilized after their outcome. Performing spay-neuter prior to adoption ensures completion and reduces the risk of additional litters prior to surgery. Spay-neuter can be safely performed in healthy animals as young as 6 weeks old and as small as 1.5–2 pounds (0.7–1 kg) body weight.<sup>14-17</sup> If a shelter does not have the capacity to sterilize all animals prior to adoption without increasing length of stay, an acceptable alternative is to arrange post-adoption spay-neuter. Shelters performing post-adoption sterilization must have a system

for keeping track of unaltered animals and ensuring that surgery is completed in a timely manner. As adopters may be unfamiliar with the needs and care of sexually intact animals, providing information about the reproductive cycle, potential medical and behavior issues, and preventing breeding is recommended.

In some situations, spay-neuter surgery or the anesthesia it requires puts an animal's health at risk.<sup>18</sup> The final decision regarding acceptance of any patient for surgery must be made by a veterinarian based on a physical examination, available medical history, and capacity of the surgical team. Granting an exemption from a spay-neuter requirement should only occur when performing the procedure puts the patient at significant risk. It is generally safe to sterilize patients in estrus or suffering from mild infections or other medical conditions, such as infectious respiratory disease or heartworm disease.<sup>19,20</sup> When considering sterilizing patients with medical conditions, veterinarians must weigh the benefits and risks to that animal, others receiving surgery that day, the shelter population, and the community population. Shelter spay-neuter policies need to follow all state and local ordinances regarding the timing of spay-neuter with respect to legal holding periods.

#### 7.2.1 Practices and protocols

Shelters that perform their own sterilization surgeries must follow the current ASV Veterinary Medical Care Guidelines for Spay-Neuter Programs, which includes establishing policies and protocols for managing related complications and emergencies.<sup>19</sup> This document provides guidance on presurgical care, transport, anesthesia, pain management, surgery, and postsurgical care. It is also recommended that outside veterinary partners who work with shelters be familiar with the ASV Spay-Neuter Guidelines. Shelters can refer to this document when discussing expectations for surgical care, pain control, and the management of postoperative complications with new surgeons and partners.

#### 7.2.2 Identifying altered animals

Sterilization status should be documented for each animal. Spay scars can be difficult to see, and other surgeries or injuries can leave similar scars. The placement of a permanent tattoo on the abdomen at the time of spay-neuter is an accepted standard for indicating sterilization and strongly recommended for all animals.<sup>19,21</sup> If an animal is lost or transferred to another owner without records, the tattoo can prevent unnecessary anesthesia or surgery. For community cats, removal of the tip of one ear is the accepted standard for indicating an animal is

sterilized.<sup>19,21,22</sup> The ears are visible from a distance without the need for handling, which helps with colony monitoring and prevents unnecessary transport of already sterilized cats.

### 7.3 Other surgeries

Some animals presenting to shelters have medical concerns that require surgical treatment. In shelters that regularly perform spay-neuter surgery, these non-sterilization surgical procedures may also be performed onsite. To promote quality care for surgical patients, all surgical practices and protocols must be developed in consultation with a veterinarian familiar with the sheltering organization, its population, and facilities.

Non-sterilization surgeries performed in the shelter setting, including dentistry, must adhere to the ASV Spay-Neuter Guidelines regarding surgical suite, anesthesia, analgesia, and principles of sterility related to instrumentation and surgical practice.<sup>19</sup> Ideally, shelters without the capacity to perform these surgeries partner with outside organizations, specialists, or transport partners to obtain necessary care.

Regardless of where surgery is performed, it is critical that shelters pursue surgical treatment only when the appropriate pre- and postsurgical care can be provided. In particular, following orthopedic procedures, patients must receive appropriate rehabilitation and pain management in order to minimize discomfort and ensure success of the procedure.<sup>23</sup> Due to often-prolonged recovery times for orthopedic patients and their special mobility and care needs, appropriate postoperative plans may require alternative housing plans such as foster care or adoption with in-depth counseling. Ideally, orthopedic patients requiring extended care are not housed long term at the shelter.

#### 7.3.1 Dentistry

Providing surgical dental services is an increasingly common part of shelter animal care, particularly for geriatric animals.<sup>24–26</sup> Appropriate dental care considers individual patient health, surgical safety, and postoperative recovery needs including pain control, in the context of the shelter population.<sup>27</sup> Medical records should document the dental exam, diagnostics, and treatments performed.

Non-anesthetic dental probing, scaling, and polishing is unacceptable.<sup>28,29</sup> Without sedation, significant dental concerns can be missed or inadequately addressed. The restraint required can cause significant animal and technician stress, and veterinary staff and the animal are put at risk of serious injury from sharp instruments or bites.<sup>28,29</sup>

Ideally, intraoral radiographs are taken in patients undergoing dental surgery. Radiographs allow veterinarians to detect important concerns of the tooth and jaw not visible during oral examination.<sup>28,29</sup> Dental disease can have serious welfare implications, and treatment for a painful mouth is strongly recommended even when radiology is

not available. Dental procedures, including radiology, must be performed by appropriately trained and credentialed individuals based on state and local regulations.<sup>28</sup> Shelters without the capacity to perform dentistry can partner with adopters, outside organizations, specialists, or transport partners to ensure animals receive needed care.

### References

1. Levy JK, Isaza NM, Scott KC. Effect of High-Impact Targeted Trap-Neuter-Return and Adoption of Community Cats on Cat Intake to a Shelter. *Vet J.* 2014;201(3):269–274. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2014.05.001
2. Spehar DD, Wolf PJ. The Impact of an Integrated Program of Return-to-Field and Targeted Trap-Neuter-Return on Feline Intake and Euthanasia at a Municipal Animal Shelter. *Animals.* 2018;8(4):55. doi: 10.3390/ani8040055
3. Spehar DD, Wolf PJ. The Impact of Return-to-Field and Targeted Trap-Neuter-Return on Feline Intake and Euthanasia at a Municipal Animal Shelter in Jefferson County, Kentucky. *Animals.* 2020;10(8):1–18. doi: 10.3390/ani10081395
4. Spehar DD, Wolf PJ. The Impact of Targeted Trap-Neuter-Return Efforts in the San Francisco Bay Area. *Animals.* 2020;10(11):1–12. doi: 10.3390/ani10112089
5. Scarlett J, Johnston N. Impact of a Subsidized Spay Neuter Clinic on Impoundments and Euthanasia in a Community Shelter and On Service and Complaint Calls to Animal Control. *J Appl Anim Welf Sci.* 2012;15(1):53–69. doi: 10.1080/10888705.2012.624902
6. White SC, Jefferson E, Levy JK. Impact of Publicly Sponsored Neutering Programs on Animal Population Dynamics at Animal Shelters: The New Hampshire and Austin Experiences. *J Appl Anim Welf Sci.* 2010;13(3):191–212. doi: 10.1080/10888700903579903
7. Patronek GJ, Glickman LT, Beck A, McCabe G, Ecker C. Risk Factors for Relinquishment of Dogs to an Animal Shelter. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 1996;209(3):572–581.
8. Patronek GJ, Glickman LT, Beck A, McCabe G, Ecker C. Risk Factors for Relinquishment of Cats to an Animal Shelter. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 1996;209(3):582–588.
9. Dolan ED, Scotto J, Slater M, Weiss E. Risk Factors for Dog Relinquishment to a Los Angeles Municipal Animal Shelter. *Anim.* 2015;5(4):1311–1328. doi: 10.3390/ani5040413
10. Hoffman JM, Creevy KE, Promislow DEL. Reproductive Capability Is Associated with Lifespan and Cause of Death in Companion Dogs. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(4):e61082. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0061082
11. Banfield Pet Hospital. *State of Pet Health 2013 Report.* 2013. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. [https://www.banfield.com/-/media/Project/Banfield/Main/en/general/SOPH-Infographic/PDFs/Banfield-State-of-Pet-Health-Report\\_2013.pdf?rev=a8612f3fa39141e3bf2876a5ed6760de&hash=D79B771D2C3539D-F737353E65D310504](https://www.banfield.com/-/media/Project/Banfield/Main/en/general/SOPH-Infographic/PDFs/Banfield-State-of-Pet-Health-Report_2013.pdf?rev=a8612f3fa39141e3bf2876a5ed6760de&hash=D79B771D2C3539D-F737353E65D310504)
12. Weedon GR, Root Kustritz MV, Bushby PA. Influence of Spay-Neuter Timing on Health. In: White S, ed. *High-Quality High-Volume Spay and Neuter and Other Shelter Surgeries.* 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2019:509–520.
13. White SC. Prevention of Fetal Suffering during Ovariohysterectomy of Pregnant Animals. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2012;240(10):1160–1163. doi: 10.2460/javma.240.10.1160
14. Root Kustritz MV. Determining the Optimal Age for Gonadectomy of Dogs and Cats. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2007;231(11):1665–1675. doi: 10.2460/javma.231.11.1665

15. Spain CV, Scarlett JM, Houpt KA. Long-Term Risks and Benefits of Early-Age Gonadectomy in Cats. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2004;224(3):372–379. doi: 10.2460/javma.2004.224.372
16. Howe LM, Slater MR, Boothe HW, Hobson HP, Holcom JL, Spann AC. Long-Term Outcome of Gonadectomy Performed at an Early Age or Traditional Age in Dogs. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2001;218(2):217–221. doi: 10.2460/javma.2001.218.217
17. Howe LM, Slater MR, Boothe HW, Hobson HP, Holcom JL, Spann AC. Long-Term Outcome of Gonadectomy Performed at an Early Age or Traditional Age in Cats. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2000;217(11):1661–1665. doi: 10.2460/javma.2001.218.217
18. Robertson S. Principles of Anesthesia, Analgesia, Safety, and Monitoring. In: White S, ed. *High-Quality High-Volume Spay and Neuter and Other Shelter Surgeries.* 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2020:125–152.
19. Griffin B, Bushby PA, McCobb E, et al. The Association of Shelter Veterinarians' 2016 Veterinary Medical Care Guidelines for Spay-Neuter Programs. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2016;249(2):165–188.
20. Peterson KM, Chappell DE, Lewis B, et al. Heartworm-Positive Dogs Recover without Complications from Surgical Sterilization Using Cardiovascular Sparing Anesthesia Protocol. *Vet Parasitol.* 2014;206(1–2):83–85. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2014.08.017
21. Griffin B. Determination of Patient Sex and Spay-Neuter Status. In: White S, ed. *High-Quality High-Volume Spay and Neuter and Other Shelter Surgeries.* 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2020:1–25.
22. Dalrymple AM, MacDonald LJ, Kreisler RE. Ear-Tipping Practices for Identification of Cats Sterilized in Trap–Neuter–Return Programs in the USA. *J Feline Med Surg.* 2022. doi: 10.1177/1098612X221105843
23. Epstein M, Rodan I, Griffenhagen G, et al. 2015 AAHA/AAFP Pain Management Guidelines for Dogs and Cats. *J Am Anim Hosp Assoc.* 2015;51(2):67–84. doi: 10.5326/JAAHA-MS-7331
24. Whyte A, Gracia A, Bonastre C, et al. Oral Disease and Microbiota in Free-Roaming Cats. *Top Companion Anim Med.* 2017;32(3):91–95. doi: 10.1053/j.tcam.2017.07.003
25. Janse JM. Medical Differences between Stray and Owner Surrendered Dogs in Dutch Animal Shelters. 2014. University of Utrecht, Netherlands.
26. Steneroden KK, Hill AE, Salman MD. A Needs-Assessment and Demographic Survey of Infection-Control and Disease Awareness in Western US Animal Shelters. *Prev Vet Med.* 2011;98(1):52–57. doi: 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2010.11.001
27. Eubanks DL, Love L. Dental Extractions in a Shelter Environment. In: White S, ed. *High-Quality, High-Volume Spay and Neuter and Other Shelter Surgeries.* 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2019:425–436.
28. Bellows J, Berg ML, Dennis S, et al. 2019 AAHA Dental Care Guidelines for Dogs and Cats. *J Am Anim Hosp Assoc.* 2019;55(2):49–69. doi: 10.5326/JAAHA-MS-6933
29. Niemiec B, Gawor J, Nemeč A, et al. World Small Animal Veterinary Association Global Dental Guidelines. *J Small Anim Pract.* 2020;61:1–151.

## 8. Forensics

### 8.1 General

All animal shelters play an important role in the prevention of animal suffering. Socioeconomic factors often place owners in situations with limited access to veterinary care or difficulty meeting their pet's basic care needs.<sup>1</sup> This can lead owners to surrender their pets or result in seizure if a complaint is filed. In many cases, shelters can help owners and their pets by providing necessary services (e.g. food, medical care, shelter, and grooming) and information, or connecting owners with others in the community who can assist them.

While community interventions are an important strategy to improve animal welfare, any shelter may receive animals who have experienced abuse or neglect (i.e. maltreatment). Shelters have an obligation to recognize and report suspected cases. Many shelters are engaged in the active investigation of suspected crimes against animals, or *forensics*, which can be part of a their mission or mandate.<sup>2</sup> Caring for animals who have been abused or neglected may place significant demands on shelter resources due to their medical or behavioral needs, the number of animals involved, and potentially lengthy stays while a legal outcome is determined.

### 8.2 Laws and regulations

The definitions of animal abuse and neglect vary across states and jurisdictions, as do relevant laws.<sup>3,4</sup> These crimes range from inflicting physical or emotional harm (i.e. abuse) to failing to provide adequate and necessary care (i.e. neglect).<sup>5-7</sup> Shelters, veterinarians, and humane investigators must be familiar with animal abuse and neglect laws in their jurisdiction and know how to report suspected cases. In recent years, the Five Domains model of animal welfare assessment has been used as a framework for assessment in animal legal cases.<sup>8,9</sup>

In several states, veterinarians have been designated as mandated reporters of animal abuse and neglect. Most of these states provide protection from liability (i.e. law suits) for those who report suspected crimes in good faith; however, reporting is important regardless.<sup>2,4,10</sup> Veterinarians must be aware of their state's animal cruelty reporting requirements and liability protection statutes. In some states, veterinarians and other shelter personnel may also be required to report suspected abuse and neglect of people.

### 8.3 Forensic investigation policies

Shelters should have a policy that outlines the scope of forensic services provided. Services may be limited to animal care or may involve active investigation. For shelters

that regularly perform investigations or provide investigative support to other agencies, the forensic investigation policy needs to define:

- which geographic areas are covered
- which species can be investigated
- where forensic exams are performed
- who performs forensic exams
- how animals and other evidence are held<sup>10,11</sup>

Consultation with an attorney is suggested during the development of a forensic investigation policy.<sup>2</sup>

Sharing the shelter's forensic investigations policy helps partner agencies understand how and when the shelter may be able to assist. A memorandum of understanding (MOU) with collaborating agencies defines roles and financial responsibilities for crime scene documentation, the care and treatment of animals, and allows an orderly investigation response. When law enforcement agencies are leading an investigation, a release permitting the shelter to examine and care for the animals is recommended.<sup>5,6,11,12</sup>

Those investigating a suspected case of animal abuse or neglect must first ensure that they have the legal right (e.g. seizure, warrant, or owner consent) to examine, treat, and document the condition of the animal or scene.<sup>10</sup> It is essential that all involved in the investigation of animal abuse and neglect understand the legal procedures involved in criminal investigation, including the defendant's right to protection from unreasonable search and seizure. Mishandling evidence can cause it to be withheld from court proceedings.<sup>3,5,7,12-14</sup>

### 8.4 The veterinary forensic evaluation

Veterinary forensic evaluations are holistic assessments of all aspects of an animal abuse or neglect case. The veterinarian should have access to information about the scene, evidence collected, allegations, and known or reported history.<sup>15,16</sup> The veterinary forensic evaluation includes all of this information, as well as findings from forensic examination or necropsy, diagnostic results, and evidence collected from the animal.<sup>5,11,14</sup> Evaluation and opinion formation for forensic purposes must be conducted by a veterinarian.

Veterinarians involved in forensic cases may be expected to provide evidence through written statements or by providing testimony in court.<sup>17,18</sup> The lead investigator or district attorney is a good resource for understanding legal expectations and requirements.<sup>5,14,17</sup> The goal of the veterinarian's report and testimony is to present and interpret the facts of the case. It is up to the prosecution to prove the case, and the jury or judge to decide.<sup>7,18</sup>

### 8.4.1 Veterinary forensic examination

A key part of forensic evaluation is a forensic physical exam or necropsy with documentation, for which shelters should have standard protocols.<sup>19–21</sup> These protocols ensure that each forensic examination is approached consistently and methodically. Further diagnostics, treatments, or assessments can be performed based on presentation and initial findings.<sup>22–25</sup>

When animals have urgent medical needs, the priority is providing stabilization and medical care. In most cases, this can be accomplished while simultaneously trying to identify, document, collect, and preserve key evidence. Even when cases are not medically urgent, forensic physical examinations and diagnostics must be conducted in a timely manner to preserve evidence. Case evidence may disappear quickly or change over time with appropriate care. For example, blood chemistry values may normalize after feeding and hydration, and trace evidence visible on the body under normal or alternate light sources may be lost during movement and grooming.<sup>22,26–32</sup>

### 8.4.2 Documentation

Photographs are essential when documenting evidence of suspected abuse and neglect. Standard views include the front, back, left, right, and top of the animal, as well as photos of abnormalities. At least one photo should include identifying information. Photographs should be of sufficient quality to serve as evidence, and they should be managed to ensure proof of origin and integrity.<sup>2,22,26,34</sup> Videos can help document dynamic processes such as limping or behavior.<sup>19</sup>

### 8.5 Managing evidence

Humane investigators and veterinarians involved in investigating animal abuse and neglect must be prepared to maintain chain of custody protocols. To ensure proper packaging, storage, and transfer of evidence between agencies, it is recommended that shelters consult local law enforcement, the forensic laboratory, or forensics reference materials.<sup>12,13,29</sup>

Monitoring and response to ongoing treatment should be documented as evidence throughout recovery. Demonstrating improvement as a response to appropriate care provides evidence and may refute narratives presented by the defense.<sup>11,22,34</sup> For example, a log of increasing weights accompanied by photographs of an animal recovering from emaciation contradicts an assertion that the animal was losing weight despite being given an adequate diet.

### 8.6 Training

Specific training regarding forensic evaluations, evidence identification and collection, testifying in court, and other aspects of forensic investigations has become widely

accessible (Appendix D). Veterinarians routinely involved in the investigation of animal cruelty should complete additional training in veterinary forensics or criminal justice. Attending trainings for law enforcement or human medical professionals, including forensic nursing and medical examiners, can also be helpful.<sup>14</sup>

## References

1. Neal SM, Greenberg MJ. Veterinary Care Deserts: What Is the Capacity and Where Is It? *J Shelter Med Community Heal*. 2022;1(1):1–8. doi: 10.56771/jsmcah.v1.2
2. Wolf S. Overview of Animal Cruelty Laws. In: Miller L, Zawistowski S, eds. *Shelter Medicine for Veterinarians and Staff*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Ames, IA: Wiley Blackwell; 2013:369–382.
3. Welch M. Animal Law. In: Byrd JH, Norris P, Bradley-Siemens N, eds. *Veterinary Forensic Medicine and Forensic Science*. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 2020:435–460.
4. Lockwood R, Arkow P. Animal Abuse and Interpersonal Violence. *Vet Pathol*. 2016;53(5):910–918. doi: 10.1177/0300985815626575
5. Underkoffler S, Sylvia S. Humane Law Enforcement. In: Byrd JH, Norris P, Bradley-Siemens N, eds. *Veterinary Forensic Medicine and Forensic Science*. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 2020:35–56.
6. Balkin D, Janssen L, Merck M. The Legal System: The Veterinarian's Role and Responsibilities. In: Merck MD, ed. *Veterinary Forensics: Animal Cruelty Investigations*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2012:1–16. doi: 10.1002/9781118704738
7. Barr J-H. The Judicial System. In: Rogers ER, Stern AW, eds. *Veterinary Forensics*. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 2018:381–388.
8. Ledger RA, Mellor DJ. Forensic Use of the Five Domains Model for Assessing Suffering in Cases of Animal Cruelty. *Animals*. 2018;8(7):1–19. doi: 10.3390/ani8070101
9. Mellor DJ, Beausoleil NJ, Littlewood KE, et al. The 2020 Five Domains Model: Including Human–Animal Interactions in Assessments of Animal Welfare. *Anim*. 2020;10(10):1870. doi: 10.3390/ani10101870
10. Manspeaker M. Legal Investigations in Shelter Medicine . In: Byrd JH, Norris P, Bradley-Siemens N, eds. *Veterinary Forensic Medicine and Forensic Sciences*. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. CRC Press; Boca Raton FL, 2020:413–434.
11. Norris P. Animal Neglect and Abuse. In: Byrd JH, Norris P, Bradley-Siemens N, eds. *Veterinary Forensic Medicine and Forensic Sciences*. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 2020:307–328.
12. Parmalee K. Crime Scene Investigation. In: Rogers ER, Stern AW, eds. *Veterinary Forensics*. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 2018:23–52.
13. Touroo R, Fitch A. Identification, Collection, and Preservation of Veterinary Forensic Evidence. *Vet Pathol*. 2016;53(5):880–887. doi: 10.1177/03009858166641175
14. Bradley-Siemens N. General Principles of Veterinary Forensic Sciences and Medicine. In: Byrd JH, Norris P, Bradley-Siemens N, eds. *Veterinary Forensic Medicine and Forensic Sciences*. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 2020:21–34.
15. Merck MD. Crime Scene Investigation. In: Merck MD, ed. *Veterinary Forensics: Animal Cruelty Investigations*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Oxford: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2013:17–29.
16. Touroo R, Baucom K, Kessler M, Smith-Blackmore M. Minimum Standards and Best Practices for the Clinical Veterinary Forensic Examination of the Suspected Abused Animal. *Forensic Sci Int Reports*. 2020;2(June):100150. doi: 10.1016/j.fsir.2020.100150

17. Davis G, McDonough S. Writing the Necropsy Report. In: Brooks J, ed. *Veterinary Forensic Pathology*. Vol. 2. Springer; 2018:139–150, Cham, Switzerland.
18. Rogers E, Stern A. Expert Witness Testimony and Report Writing. In: Rogers ER, Stern AW, eds. *Veterinary Forensics*. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 2018:389–404.
19. Frederickson R. Demystifying the Courtroom. *Vet Pathol*. 2016;53(5):888–893. doi: 10.1177/0300985816647439
20. McEwen B, Stern A, Viner T, et al. *Veterinary Forensic Postmortem Examination Standards*. Gainesville, FL; 2020. Accessed Aug 25, 2022. [https://www.ivfisa.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/IVFSA-Veterinary-Forensic-Postmortem-Exam-Standards\\_Approved-2020\\_with-authors.pdf](https://www.ivfisa.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/IVFSA-Veterinary-Forensic-Postmortem-Exam-Standards_Approved-2020_with-authors.pdf).
21. Bradley N, Smith-Blackmore M, Cavender A, Hirshberg E, Norris P. *Standards Document for the Forensic Live Animal Examination*. 2020. Accessed Aug 25, 2022. [https://www.ivfisa.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/IVFSA\\_Veterinary-Forensic-Live-Animal-Exam-Standards\\_Approved-2020\\_With-authors.pdf](https://www.ivfisa.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/IVFSA_Veterinary-Forensic-Live-Animal-Exam-Standards_Approved-2020_With-authors.pdf).
22. Reisman RW. Medical Evaluation of Abused Live Animals. In: Miller L, Zawistowski SL, eds. *Shelter Medicine for Veterinarians and Staff*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Oxford: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2013:383–406. <http://www.animallaw.info>.
23. Stern A, Sula M-J. The Forensic Necropsy. In: Rogers ER, Stern AW, eds. *Veterinary Forensics*. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 2018:109–152.
24. Brooks J. The Forensic Necropsy. In: Byrd JH, Norris P, Bradley-Siemens N, eds. *Veterinary Forensic Medicine and Forensic Sciences*. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 2020:179–198.
25. Brownlie HWB, Munro R. The Veterinary Forensic Necropsy: A Review of Procedures and Protocols. *Vet Pathol*. 2016;53(5): 919–928. doi: 10.1177/0300985816655851
26. Merck M, Miller D, Maiorka P. CSI Examination of the Animal. In: Melinda M, ed. *Veterinary Forensics: Animal Cruelty Investigations*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Ames, IA: Wiley-Blackwell; 2013:37–68.
27. Clark A. Animal Genetic Evidence and DNA Analysis. In: Byrd JH, Norris P, Bradley-Siemens N, eds. *Veterinary Forensic Medicine and Forensic Sciences*. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 2020:57–66.
28. Smith-Blackmore M, Bradley-Siemens N. Animal Sexual Abuse. In: Byrd JH, Norris P, Bradley-Siemens N, eds. *Veterinary Forensic Medicine and Forensic Sciences*. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 2020:113–128.
29. Norris P. Crime Scene Investigation. In: Byrd JH, Norris P, Bradley-Siemens N, eds. *Veterinary Forensic Medicine and Forensic Sciences*. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 2020:1–20.
30. Woolf J, Brinker J. Forensic Physical Examination of the Cat and Dog. In: Ernest Rogers AWS, ed. *Veterinary Forensics: Investigation, Evidence Collection, and Expert Witness Testimony*. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 2018:109–151.
31. Webb K. DNA Evidence Collection and Analysis. In: Rogers ER, Stern AW, eds. *Veterinary Forensics*. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 2018:295–312.
32. Stern A, Blackmore-Smith M. Animal Sexual Abuse. In: Rogers ER, Stern AW, eds. *Veterinary Forensics*. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 2018:349–362.
33. Merck M. Crime Scene Investigation. In: Merck MD, ed. *Veterinary Forensics: Animal Cruelty Investigations*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2012:17–36.
34. Merck M, Miller D, Reisman R. Neglect. In: Merck MD, ed. *Veterinary Forensics: Animal Cruelty Investigations*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2012:207–232.

## 9. Behavior and Mental Well-Being

### 9.1 General

To promote animal health and well-being, it is essential for shelters to address emotional needs as well as physical needs.<sup>1-4</sup> Emotional and behavioral needs are determined by environment, species, genetics, personality, prior socialization, and life experiences. Emotional and behavioral health have impacts on physical health, and vice versa. Shelters must provide behavioral care that considers the needs of individual animals as well as conditions experienced by the entire population.<sup>1,5</sup>

All shelter personnel should receive training about common behavior concerns at a level of detail appropriate to their position and job tasks. All relevant personnel must be trained in animal body language, objectively describing behavior, and how to interpret and respond to animal body language and behavior.<sup>6</sup> Animals experiencing fear, anxiety, stress, and frustration are more likely to exhibit dangerous behaviors. Interactions that minimize negative mental states in animals improve handler safety, animal safety, and animal welfare.<sup>7</sup> When interactions are positive, animals are more likely to accept and respond positively to additional interactions over time.<sup>8</sup> Training in animal behavior allows personnel to recognize concerns and work to improve animal welfare.

### 9.2 Stress and welfare

Admission to a shelter is stressful for the vast majority of dogs and cats.<sup>9,10,11</sup> Separation from caregivers, decreased and unfamiliar social interactions, confinement, loud noises, other stressed animals, and unpredictability all result in impaired welfare.<sup>12</sup> Lack of control over one's environment and separation from people are among the most profound stressors for companion animals.<sup>13</sup> Shelters must have comprehensive protocols in place for recognizing and mitigating stress and associated negative emotions including fear, anxiety, and frustration.

Because confinement has negative impacts on animal behavior, reducing the duration of time spent in cages or kennels is critical. Foster care is generally the preferred method of housing for dogs and cats because it allows for regular social interaction and for animals to choose where and how they spend their time.<sup>14</sup> When animals require care in a shelter facility (e.g. safety, legal, medical or behavioral reasons, or to facilitate adoptions), extra attention to well-being is necessary.

Animals must be monitored daily in order to detect trends or changes in well-being and respond to their behavioral needs. Actions must be taken to respond promptly to behavioral needs that impact welfare. When

welfare is impaired, a health and behavior assessment is necessary to determine the severity of impairment and implement a plan to improve welfare. Any animal experiencing mental suffering, distress, or behavioral deterioration must be urgently assessed and treated.

Alternative housing and placement options must be urgently pursued for distressed animals not responding to behavioral care. Options include foster care, office foster, group housing, a different housing location, return to owner, or transfer to another shelter.<sup>15,16</sup> However, for animals profoundly stressed by interactions with people, better options include return-to-field or placement in an appropriate environment (e.g. barn or warehouse). Distressed animals not responding to behavioral care should be humanely euthanized when other options are not feasible or available. When an animal is suffering and treatment efforts have failed, it is not appropriate or humane to postpone euthanasia in the hope that they will improve or another option will materialize.

### 9.3 Intake

Collecting information before admission allows the shelter to offer services that prevent intake, such as outpatient behavioral care, other rehoming resources, spay-neuter, or return-to-field. If intake to the shelter is necessary, personnel must collect a thorough behavioral history at or near the time of intake, including the reasons the animal was brought to the shelter and previously observed behavior. It is essential that personnel request information for every animal coming to the shelter, regardless of source.

A complete behavioral history is gathered by following a consistent process that collects key pieces of information, and additional details based on responses provided. Training in communication techniques assists intake personnel in completing this task, including asking open-ended questions, using objective language, and active listening. Available information about aggressive behavior must be recorded and include an objective description of the animal's actions and the circumstances. Information about positive behaviors and preferences is also important. Personnel must use the available history to tailor animal care, meet the needs of individuals, and protect the safety and welfare of people and animals.

Shelters must work to minimize stress at the point of initial contact and throughout an animal's stay. Functional separation of waiting areas, managed through scheduling or the use of partitions, placing carriers on elevated surfaces, and covering carriers with towels or blankets can reduce stress for incoming animals. Assessment of an animal's behavior must begin at the time of first contact or

intake and continue throughout their stay. The assessment process includes reviewing the history, observing behavior while in the shelter's care, recording observations in the animal's record, and communicating this information as needed.

#### 9.4 Environmental management

The key to ensuring the best possible experience for animals living in the shelter is by creating an environment that minimizes stimuli that induce fear, stress, and frustration.<sup>5,17,18</sup> Shelters must have policies and protocols for managing the environment in a manner that supports animal mental health and well-being. Understanding how dog and cat senses and cognition contribute to perception of the environment is an important part of environmental management (see [Appendix E](#)). Shelter housing and areas frequented by animals can be set up so that unwanted behaviors (e.g. barking and lunging) are less likely to occur than desired behaviors.<sup>19–21</sup>

##### 9.4.1 Housing

Shelter housing has a tremendous impact on animal health and welfare (see [Facilities](#)). Novel environments are especially stressful for shy, under-socialized, or geriatric cats and dogs.<sup>1,10,22–24</sup> Many animals benefit from foster care placement or housing in separate, calm, quiet areas beginning at intake. Feral animals must not be housed in the shelter except for a brief period of time related to the delivery of veterinary care.

Prey species must be housed away from predatory species at all times. Prey species (e.g. cats, birds, guinea pigs, hamsters, gerbils, and rabbits) become fearful and stressed when housed in olfactory, auditory, or visual contact with predatory species (e.g. ferrets, cats, and dogs). Cats not only are predators but may also be prey for dogs. Cats should not be handled or housed within spatial, visual, or auditory range of dogs.

##### 9.4.2 Daily routine

Animals should be provided with a consistent and structured environment that minimizes reassignment of enclosures, caregivers, and schedules. An unpredictable environment can result in chronic fear and anxiety.<sup>13,25</sup> Unpredictability includes a lack of routine in daily care, frequent disruption of enclosure set-up, as well as irregular patterns or continuous light or darkness.<sup>26</sup> When events perceived as stressful are predictable, animals may experience periods of calm and relaxation between them because they learn what to expect.<sup>3</sup> Animals also learn to look forward to positive experiences in their daily routines such as feeding and enrichment.

#### 9.5 Enrichment and socialization

Enrichment refers to the process of improving the care of confined animals by providing them with:

- social interaction
- physical and mental stimulation
- opportunities to perform species-typical behaviors
- choice and control over their environment

Successful enrichment programs promote emotional well-being and minimize undesirable behaviors. Enrichment must be given the same significance as other components of animal care, such as nutrition and medical care, and is never considered optional. This is true whether animals are in a shelter facility or in a foster home. Positive social interaction, mental stimulation, and physical activity that meets each animal's needs must be provided daily, outside of the activities of feeding and cleaning.

##### 9.5.1 Time out of enclosure

Daily time out of the primary enclosure is one of the most effective means of reducing stress and frustration in kennelled dogs.<sup>27–29</sup> Dogs must be provided with daily opportunities for activity outside of their kennels, unless doing so creates an unmanageable risk to the health or safety of people or other animals.

Cats must be offered regular opportunities to express natural behaviors, including physical activity and exploration. This can include time outside of their primary enclosure to exercise and explore in a secure, enriched setting. However, removal to a new location may not always be preferred or necessary for cats living in spacious, enriched rooms (especially with indoor-outdoor access).

For both dogs and cats, physical and mental activities outside of their enclosures need to be tailored to meet individual animal needs.

##### 9.5.2 Interactions with people and other animals

Shelters should provide all animals with opportunities to engage in healthy social contact with people and other animals of the same species.<sup>13,30</sup> Social isolation has a profoundly negative impact, and enrichment that meets the social needs of the animals is of the utmost importance in the shelter environment. Social interactions with people and other animals need to be monitored and individually tailored. For example, poorly socialized animals may not benefit from contact with people (with the exception of young puppies and kittens) but may find comfort in social interactions with their own species. Other animals, whether feral or socialized, may not enjoy interacting with members of their own species.

Regular positive daily social interaction with people is essential for all socialized dogs and cats, beginning at the time of admission. Providing appropriate daily social contact improves behavior, reduces defensive aggression, and supports physical health, particularly for fearful animals.<sup>8,31–33</sup> Social contact with humans is essential

even for animals with an unknown history or with an infectious disease concern. Positive social interactions with people, including calm, quiet interactions (e.g. sitting with or reading to) or more energetic play-centered interactions (e.g. wand, fetch, and tug) can be provided without removing the animal from the enclosure, if confinement is necessary for medical or behavioral reasons (Appendix F). Animals benefit greatly from having the opportunity to play, and play behavior is a strong indicator of positive welfare.<sup>5,34,35</sup>

### 9.5.3 Playgroups

Well-managed playgroup programs provide opportunities for healthy social contact with dogs and people. Playgroups require a safe and well-maintained space and the participation of sufficient personnel trained in canine behavior and humane handling.<sup>36</sup> Selection and grouping of dogs based on health and behavior is necessary for safe, positive experiences.

Shelters should optimize human and animal safety by limiting the number of dogs in playgroups based on competency of personnel, play yard size, individual dog behavior, and shelter resources.<sup>36</sup> Careful and consistent monitoring during playgroups and the use of humane techniques ensures participating dogs benefit from and enjoy the experience. Forcing dogs to interact when they have shown significant or consistent signs of fear, anxiety, or aggression increases the likelihood of defensive aggression, worsening fear, and injuries to dogs or personnel.

### 9.5.4 Enrichment within enclosures

Providing animals with an enriched primary enclosure is a critical aspect of sheltering. All cats need the opportunity to rest comfortably, hide, perch, scratch, play, and exercise choice within their environment. All dogs need the opportunity to rest comfortably, retreat from view, chew, play, and exercise choice within their environment. Shelters meet these needs by providing all animals with suitable housing, comfortable bedding, and toys. Scratching posts, elevated perches, and hiding boxes are also important for cats, while items to chew are also important for dogs.<sup>37,38</sup> Feeding enrichment and olfactory, visual, auditory, and tactile stimulation can all be used as forms of sensory enrichment. It is important to provide animals with a rotation of novel enrichment items and activities to maintain interest (Appendix G).

### 9.5.5 Socialization of puppies and kittens

For young puppies and kittens, proper socialization with people and other animals of the same species is essential for normal behavioral development. Without daily gentle handling and positive exposure to a variety of novel stimuli, animals may develop chronic fear and anxiety, display aggressive behavior, or be unable to adjust normally to

their environment. A broad range of positive socialization experiences must be provided to puppies and kittens and is best accomplished in a foster or adoptive home.

While in the shelter's care, young puppies and kittens should be housed with their littermates and their mother. This interaction is important for normal behavioral and emotional development, as well as the establishment of species-specific behaviors. Single, unrelated puppies or kittens can greatly benefit from being housed with one or more age-matched individuals once health status for each is determined. Separation of puppies and kittens into pairs or smaller groups may be necessary to allow monitoring, completion of care tasks, foster placement, or to address medical or behavioral concerns.

### 9.6 Behavior assessment

In the shelter setting, the process of collecting information about an individual animal's behavior is commonly referred to as 'behavior assessment'. The goals of this process are to learn and interpret as much as possible about an individual animal's behavior and use that information to:

- better understand the animal's needs in the shelter and new home
- address behavior and welfare concerns
- match the animal with the appropriate outcome.<sup>39</sup>

Historically, a variety of methods have been used by shelters to assess behavior and prevent rehoming animals, especially dogs, who pose a public safety risk. This has included conducting behavior evaluation tests (i.e. temperament tests) where behavior is observed and interpreted in a structured format using a formal series of sub-tests performed one after the next (e.g. SAFER, Assess-a-Pet, and Match-up II).

Over the past two decades, studies have shown that behavior evaluation tests fail to reliably predict future behavior, particularly aggression, in a new home.<sup>40-43</sup> Performing one stressful subtest after the next can negatively impact test results and the animal's emotional well-being.<sup>8</sup> For example, It is unacceptable to expose cats to dogs in the shelter as a test to determine if the dog can safely live with cats because this poses a significant risk of emotional and physical harm to cats. Formal testing requires considerable time and resources and can increase individual and population length of stay (LOS). For these reasons, requiring all shelter animals to go through a formal behavior evaluation test is no longer recommended.

Current recommendations for behavior assessment are to combine objective information collected via behavioral history with objective behavior observations noted during a variety of interactions.<sup>1,44,45</sup> An overall behavior assessment must collect and consider all the information about

the animal, including history and behaviors observed during all shelter and foster interactions. These interactions, with an emphasis placed on those likely to occur in a home setting, include intake procedures, daily care, medical handling and treatment, enrichment, play, and training activities, as well as interactions with personnel, visitors, adopters, and animals of the same species.

Through the process of behavior assessment, shelter personnel must strive to learn as much as possible about each animal to aid in optimizing their care, pathway planning, outcome decisions, and adoption matching and counseling. Training in current animal behavior science is necessary for personnel assessing shelter animal behavior, to give them the skills needed to reliably observe, document, assess, and act on findings or concerns. Documenting relevant behavior observations daily can track positive and negative trends in behavior and welfare. Behavior that requires intervention or affects how an animal can be safely handled must be entered into the animal's record and communicated with shelter personnel promptly.

Behavior is highly influenced by stress, fear, and other negative emotional states as well as by the animal's environment, previous experiences, and relationships with individual people and animals. When animals are experiencing high levels of stress or fear when interacting with people or other animals, they must not be forced to interact. In all cases, interactions with animals must not intentionally or carelessly provoke negative emotional states or undesirable behavior.

### 9.7 Responding to behavior or welfare concerns

When behavior or welfare concerns are present, it is important for shelters to develop an individualized plan, provide behavioral support, and make timely outcome decisions.

Environment modification and management to reduce undesirable behavior, as well as training, behavior modification, and behavior medications, can improve welfare and aid outcome and placement decisions.<sup>21</sup> When deciding how to provide behavior support in the shelter, the impact on the animal, other animals in the shelter, shelter personnel, and future adopters require consideration. Behavior care and outcome decisions must be based on current animal behavior science. Approaches that increase length of stay in the shelter may result in unintended emotional deterioration or the development of new behavior problems. When behavior cannot be humanely managed in the shelter environment, seeking foster care and making timely outcome decisions are essential components of providing behavioral care.

#### 9.7.1 Animal training

Animal training must be based on Least Intrusive Minimally Aversive principles and the Humane Hierarchy

of Behavior Change in accordance with current professional guidelines.<sup>46,47</sup> Positive reinforcement training programs for dogs and cats improve health, welfare, and likelihood of adoption.<sup>48-52</sup> Training methods that incorporate punishment can increase fear, anxiety, and aggression toward people.<sup>21,53,54</sup> These methods compromise both safety and welfare.<sup>55,56</sup> Except when safety is an imminent concern, personnel should not use anything other than mildly aversive training methods. Ideally, animal trainers and behavior consultants are certified or have graduated from a program that assesses knowledge and skills.<sup>57</sup>

#### 9.7.2 Behavior modification

Behavior modification applies techniques which change an animal's behavior and underlying emotions. Behavior modification protocols must incorporate scientific principles of animal behavior and learning, such as classical conditioning, operant conditioning, and systematic desensitization and counterconditioning.<sup>21</sup> It is unacceptable to use physical force as punishment to modify animal behavior.

Before implementing behavior modification, shelters must ensure they have the necessary resources to support such plans. Behavior modification is labor-intensive, time consuming, and must be applied consistently over a period of time in order to be successful. Behavior modification in the shelter environment may have a limited effect due to the significant impact of stress on animal behavior and learning. Placement in foster or an adopter's home may facilitate response to the behavior modification plan.

#### 9.7.3 Behavior medication

Behavior medications must be strongly considered to address welfare concerns related to emotional health. These medications may address immediate welfare concerns associated with shelter intake or housing, or long-term problems that impair welfare (e.g. separation anxiety, fear of people, and chronic stress associated with shelter housing). Behavioral health concerns must be objectively assessed and diagnosed to ensure that medications are prescribed when indicated, with clear goals for treatment and outcome.

Treatment goals include improving welfare, reducing stress and anxiety, and facilitating response to the behavioral treatment plan.<sup>21</sup> Behavior medications must only be administered under the advice of or in accordance with written protocols provided by a veterinarian, and all drugs must be dispensed in accordance with federal and state regulations.

There are many alternative or complementary products also used to support animal behavior. In general, studies have been inconclusive or suggest minimal efficacy in

shelter environments. It is the veterinarian's duty to evaluate and consider the level of evidence for their use, and to weigh potential benefits against the shelter resources required.

When behavior medication is prescribed, it must be part of a comprehensive plan to help address the animal's condition. This individually tailored comprehensive plan might include:

- continuing assessment (e.g. physical exam, diagnostic tests, and additional behavior assessment)
- environmental management
- daily routine adjustments
- foster care
- enrichment (additions or modifications)
- training or behavior modification
- complementary products and therapies
- monitoring response to treatment (e.g. medication and behavior modification)

#### 9.7.4 Animals with long-term stays

Keeping length of stay as short as possible for each individual animal is a critical factor in maintaining animal welfare in shelters (see [Population Management](#)). For all animals staying in the shelter more than a few days, appropriate levels of additional enrichment must be provided on a daily basis. Chronic stress from prolonged stays in the shelter (i.e. more than 2 weeks) can reduce an animal's ability to cope, increase fear, anxiety, and frustration, and underlie related behaviors such as social withdrawal, repetitive behaviors, and aggression. These behaviors can negatively impact other animals and personnel, and jeopardize placement options.<sup>9,13,58–64</sup>

In addition to more time and enrichment activities outside of their enclosures, housing that provides animals with additional space, enrichment, and choice

within their enclosure must be provided for animals remaining in the shelter long-term. When an outcome is not quickly available (e.g. animals seized as legal evidence), foster care is a better choice than confinement in the shelter.<sup>15,65,66</sup>

Reproductive stress from estrous cycling and sex drive can decrease appetite, increase urine spraying, marking, and fighting, and profoundly increase social and emotional stress.<sup>67</sup> Therefore, animals who are housed long-term should be spayed and neutered.

Long-term confinement of any animal who cannot be provided with basic care without inducing stress or compromising safety is unacceptable. Basic care includes daily enrichment and exercise. Feral animals, as well as those with persistent fear or aggressive behavior toward people, cannot be safely handled on a routine basis without inducing significant distress. These animals are unable to express natural and rewarding behavior, engage in play, or form social bonds in the shelter. Euthanasia is the humane option when live outcome (e.g. return-to-field) is not possible in a timely manner.

#### 9.8 Risk assessment of animals displaying aggressive behavior

Shelters must promptly respond to behavior that poses a significant safety risk. When a dog or cat's behavior might result in harm to people, other animals, or themselves, assessing the magnitude and likelihood of that harm is crucial.<sup>68,69</sup> Risk assessment protocols provide a structured format, using all historical and current information gathered during behavior assessment, to make an educated estimate of an individual animal's risk to the community and to determine whether that risk can be appropriately managed (see [Table 9.1](#)). The result of risk assessment is a comprehensive plan for reducing risk, including environmental and behavior management (which is often life-long) or euthanasia.

*Table 9.1. Aggressive behavior: Considerations for risk assessment*

Factor	Considerations
Animal	Age, sex, neuter status, and size history (including previous bites) physical and emotional health ability to treat or manage conditions that impact behavior other behaviors (e.g. impulsivity and escape behavior) that might increase risk behavior diagnoses (single/multiple)
Behavior details	Context for the behavior *severity (e.g. damage to person or animal, number of bites per incident, sustained vs brief) *effort made to engage *consistency (e.g. frequency, predictability) *number of incidents
Behavioral triggers	Complexity Ability to manage or prevent exposure to triggers
Environment	Behavior occurs only in one vs. multiple environments Ability to manage the environment to reduce risk
Response to intervention	Animal's response to previous treatment or management efforts

Shelters must have protocols and criteria in place that attempt to identify and manage animals at high risk of causing harm to shelter personnel, the public, or other domesticated animals. Decisions about rehoming require careful consideration of public safety, potential risks, and whether mitigation of these risks is feasible. Euthanasia is the appropriate outcome for animals at high risk of causing serious harm to people.

It is important for shelters to recognize that robust management efforts will not be suitable or sufficient to prevent aggressive incidents in every case or scenario, even when implemented thoroughly and consistently. Monitoring post-placement outcomes can help improve risk assessment processes. Consultation with legal professionals may be helpful when creating risk assessment and placement protocols for animals with a history of aggressive behavior.

### 9.9 Rehoming considerations

An important aspect of risk mitigation and supporting the quality of life for animals and people is providing resources and guidance to those who foster or adopt a shelter animal.<sup>39</sup> Adopters and foster caregivers must be counseled on providing safe, gradual, and controlled introductions of shelter animals to children and resident pets.<sup>70</sup> This helps create successful transitions and relationships. Foster caregivers and prospective adopters should be allowed to adopt or foster without bringing their own animals to the shelter.<sup>71</sup> Information and counseling on strategies for safe and low-stress introductions can be tailored to the individual shelter or resident animal's behavior and history.

A record of the animal's behavior should be provided in hardcopy or electronic form with the animal at the time of transfer, foster, or adoption. When behavior concerns have been noted, communication about humane and appropriate management and modification of concerning behaviors reduces the risk of placing animals into a home environment and reduces shelter returns. Collecting post-adoption data regarding the success of behavior interventions helps shelters make needed adjustments and improves consensus within communities.

## References

- Griffin B. Wellness. In: Miller L, Janeczko S, Hurley KF, eds. *Infectious Disease Management in Animal Shelters*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley Blackwell; 2021:13–45.
- Mellor DJ, Beausoleil NJ. Extending the “Five Domains” Model for Animal Welfare Assessment to Incorporate Positive Welfare States. *Anim Welf*. 2015;24(3):241–253. doi: 10.7120/09627286.24.3.241
- McMillan FD. Development of a Mental Wellness Program for Animals. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2002;220(7):965–972. doi: 10.2460/javma.2002.220.965
- McMillan FD, Vanderstichel R, Stryhn H, Yu J, Serpell JA. Behavioural Characteristics of Dogs Removed from Hoarding Situations. *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 2016;178:69–79. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2016.02.006
- Kiddie JL, Collins LM. Development and Validation of a Quality of Life Assessment Tool for Use in Kennelled Dogs (*Canis Familiaris*). *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 2014;158:57–68. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2014.05.008
- Lilly ML, Watson B, Siracusa C. Behavior Education and Intervention Program at a Small Shelter I. Effect on Behavior Knowledge and Safety. *J Appl Anim Welf Sci*. 2021;00(00):1–13. doi: 10.1080/10888705.2021.2012681
- Riemer S, Heritier C, Windschnurer I, Pratsch L, Arhant C, Affenzeller N. A Review on Mitigating Fear and Aggression in Dogs and Cats in a Veterinary Setting. *Animals*. 2021;11(1):1–27. doi: 10.3390/ani11010158
- Willen RM, Schiml PA, Hennessy MB. Enrichment Centered on Human Interaction Moderates Fear-Induced Aggression and Increases Positive Expectancy in Fearful Shelter Dogs. *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 2019;217(March):57–62. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2019.05.001
- Stephen JM, Ledger RA. An Audit of Behavioral Indicators of Poor Welfare in Kennelled Dogs in the United Kingdom. *J Appl Anim Welf Sci*. 2005;8(June):79–95. doi: 10.1207/s15327604jaws0802
- Hennessy MB. Using hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal measures for assessing and reducing the stress of dogs in shelters: A review. *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 2013;149(1):1–12. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2013.09.004
- Tanaka A, Wagner DC, Kass PH, Hurley KF. Associations among Weight Loss, Stress, and Upper Respiratory Tract Infection in Shelter Cats. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2012;240(5):570–576. doi: 10.2460/javma.240.5.570
- Lamon TK, Slater MR, Moberly HK, Budke CM. Welfare and Quality of Life Assessments for Shelter Dogs: A Scoping Review. *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 2021;244:105490. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2021.105490
- Hennessy MB, Willen RM, Schiml PA. Psychological Stress, Its Reduction, and Long-Term Consequences: What Studies with Laboratory Animals Might Teach Us about Life in the Dog Shelter. 2020;10:2061. doi: 10.3390/ani10112061
- Gunter LM, Feuerbacher EN, Gilchrist RJ, Wynne CDL. Evaluating the Effects of a Temporary Fostering Program on Shelter Dog Welfare. *PeerJ*. 2019;2019(3):1–19. doi: 10.7717/peerj.6620
- Patronek GJ, Crowe A. Factors Associated with High Live Release for Dogs at a Large, Open-Admission, Municipal Shelter. *Animals*. 2018;8(4):1–15. doi: 10.3390/ani8040045
- Hoffman CL, Ladha C, Wilcox S. An Actigraphy-Based Comparison of Shelter Dog and Owned Dog Activity Patterns. *J Vet Behav*. 2019;34:30–36. doi: 10.1016/j.jveb.2019.08.001
- Ellis SLH, Rodan I, Carney HC, et al. AAFP and ISFM Feline Environmental Needs Guidelines. *J Feline Med Surg*. 2013;15(3):219–230. doi: 10.1177/1098612X13477537
- Yin S. *Low Stress Handling, Restraint and Behavior Modification of Dogs and Cats*. Davis, CA: Cattelodog Publishing; 2009.
- Bergman L, Gaskins L. Addressing Any Behavior Problem. *Clin Brief*. 2013;2:3.
- Beugnet F, Bourdeau P, Chalvet-Monfray K, et al. Parasites of Domestic Owned Cats in Europe: Co-Infestations and Risk Factors. *Parasites Vectors* 2014;7(1):291. doi: 10.1186/1756-3305-7-291

21. Overall KL. Feline behavior. In: Overall KL, ed. *Manual of Clinical Behavioral Medicine for Dogs and Cats*. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. St. Louis, MO: Elsevier; 2013.
22. Dybdall K, Strasser R, Katz T. Behavioral differences Between Owner Surrender and Stray Domestic Cats after Entering an Animal Shelter. *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 2007;104(1–2):85–94. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2006.05.002
23. Hiby EF, Rooney NJ, Bradshaw JWS. Behavioural and Physiological Responses of Dogs Entering Re-Homing Kennels. *Physiol Behav*. 2006;89(3):385–391. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2006.07.012
24. Slater M, Garrison L, Miller K, Weiss E, Drain N, Makolinski K. Physical and Behavioral Measures that Predict Cats' Socialization in an Animal Shelter Environment During a Three Day Period. *Animals*. 2013;3(4):1215–1228. doi: 10.3390/ani3041215
25. Carlstead K, Brown JJJ, Strawn W. Behavioral and Physiological Correlates of Stress in Laboratory Cats. *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 1993;38(2):143–158. doi: 10.1016/0168-1591(93)90062-T
26. Emmer K, Russart K, Walker W, Nelson R, DeVries AC. Effects of Light at Night on Laboratory Animals and Research Outcomes. *Behav Neurosci*. 2018;132(4):302–314. doi: 10.1037/bne000252.Effects
27. Cafazzo S, Maragliano L, Bonanni R, et al. Behavioural and Physiological Indicators of Shelter Dogs' Welfare: Reflections on the No-Kill Policy on Free-Ranging Dogs in Italy Revisited on the Basis of 15 Years of Implementation. *Physiol Behav*. 2014;133:223–229. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2014.05.046
28. Kiddie J, Collins L. Identifying Environmental and Management Factors that May Be Associated with the Quality of Life of Kennelled Dogs (*Canis Familiaris*). *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 2015;167:43–55. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2015.03.007
29. Protopopova A, Hauser H, Goldman KJ, Wynne CDLL. The Effects of Exercise and Calm Interactions on In-Kennel Behavior of Shelter Dogs. *Behav Processes*. 2018;146:54–60. doi: 10.1016/j.beproc.2017.11.013
30. McMillan FD. The Psychobiology of Social Pain: Evidence for a Neurocognitive Overlap with Physical Pain and Welfare Implications for Social Animals with Special Attention to the Domestic Dog (*Canis Familiaris*). *Physiol Behav*. 2016;167:154–171. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2016.09.013
31. Gourkow N, Hamon SC, Phillips CJCC. Effect of Gentle Stroking and Vocalization on Behaviour, Mucosal Immunity and Upper Respiratory Disease in Anxious Shelter Cats. *Prev Vet Med*. 2014;117(1):266–275. doi: 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2014.06.005
32. Gourkow N, Phillips CJC. Effect of Interactions with Humans on Behaviour, Mucosal Immunity and Upper Respiratory Disease of Shelter Cats Rated as Contented on Arrival. *Prev Vet Med*. 2015;121(3–4):288–296. doi: 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2015.07.013
33. Gourkow N, Phillips CJC. Effect of Cognitive Enrichment on Behavior, Mucosal Immunity and Upper Respiratory Disease of Shelter Cats Rated as Frustrated on Arrival. *Prev Vet Med*. 2016;131:103–110. doi: 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2016.07.012
34. Polgár Z, Blackwell EJ, Rooney NJ. Assessing the Welfare of Kennelled Dogs – A Review of Animal-Based Measures. *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 2019;213:1–13. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2019.02.013
35. Hunt RL, Whiteside H, Prankel S. Effects of Environmental Enrichment on Dog Behaviour: Pilot Study. *Animals*. 2022;12(2):1–8. doi: 10.3390/ani12020141
36. Association of Shelter Veterinarians. *Position Statement: Playgroups for Shelter Dogs*. 2019. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. [https://avsab.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/Punishment\\_Position\\_Statement-download\\_-\\_10-6-](https://avsab.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/Punishment_Position_Statement-download_-_10-6-)
37. Ellis JJ, Stryhn H, Spears J, Cockram MS. Environmental Enrichment Choices of Shelter Cats. *Behav Processes*. 2017;141(April):291–296. doi: 10.1016/j.beproc.2017.03.023
38. Van Der Leij WJR, Selman LDAM, Vernooij JCM, Vinke CM. The Effect of a Hiding Box on Stress Levels and Body Weight in Dutch Shelter Cats; A Randomized Controlled Trial. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(10):1–14. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0223492
39. Reese LA. Make Me a Match: Prevalence and Outcomes Associated with Matching Programs in Dog Adoptions. *J Appl Anim Welf Sci*. 2021;24(1):16–28. doi: 10.1080/10888705.2020.1867985
40. Patronek GJ, Bradley J. No Better than Flipping a Coin: Reconsidering Canine Behavior Evaluations in Animal Shelters. *J Vet Behav Clin Appl Res*. 2016;15:66–77. doi: 10.1016/j.jveb.2016.08.001
41. Taylor KD, Mills DS. *The effect of the kennel environment on canine welfare: a critical review of experimental studies*. *Anim Welf*. 2007;16:435–447.
42. Mornement KM, Coleman GJ, Toukhsati S, Bennett PC. A Review of Behavioral Assessment Protocols Used by Australian Animal Shelters to Determine the Adoption Suitability of Dogs. *J Appl Anim Welf Sci*. 2010;13(4):314–329. doi: 10.1080/10888705.2010.483856
43. Clay L, Paterson M, Bennett P, et al. In Defense of Canine Behavioral Assessments in Shelters: Outlining Their Positive Applications. *J Vet Behav*. 2020;38:74–81. doi: 10.1016/j.jveb.2020.03.005
44. Ellis JJ. Feline Behavioral Assessment. In: Digangi BA, Cussen VA, Reid PJ, Collins KA, eds. *Animal Behavior for Shelter Veterinarians and Staff*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2022:384–403.
45. Reid PJ. Assessing the Behavior of Shelter Dogs. In: Digangi BA, Cussen VA, Reid PJ, Collins KA, eds. *Animal Behavior for Shelter Veterinarians and Staff*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2022:205–235.
46. International Association of Animal Behavior Consultants. *IAABC Statement on LIMA*. 2020. <https://m.iaabc.org/about/lima/>.
47. Blackwell EJ, Twells C, Seawright A, Casey RA. The Relationship between Training Methods and the Occurrence of Behavior Problems, as Reported by Owners, in a Population of Domestic Dogs. *J Vet Behav Clin Appl Res*. 2008;3(5):207–217. doi: 10.1016/j.jveb.2007.10.008
48. Luescher AU, Tyson Medlock R. The Effects of Training and Environmental Alterations on Adoption Success of Shelter Dogs. *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 2009;117(1–2):63–68. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2008.11.001
49. Protopopova A, Wynne CDL. Adopter-Dog Interactions at the Shelter: Behavioral and Contextual Predictors of Adoption. *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 2014;157:109–116. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2014.04.007
50. Protopopova A, Mehrkam LR, Boggess MM, Wynne CDL. In-Kennel Behavior Predicts Length of Stay in Shelter Dogs. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(12):1–21. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0114319
51. Gourkow N. *Factors Affecting the Welfare and Adoption Rate of Cats in an Animal Shelter*. Master's Thesis, University of Calgary, 2001.
52. Grant RA, Warrior JR. Clicker Training Increases Exploratory Behaviour and Time Spent at the Front of the Enclosure in Shelter Cats; Implications for Welfare and Adoption Rates. *Appl Anim Behav Sci*. 2019;211(November 2018):77–83. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2018.12.002

53. Deldalle S, Gaunet F. Effects of 2 Training Methods on Stress-Related Behaviors of the Dog (*Canis Familiaris*) and On the Dog-Owner Relationship. *J Vet Behav Clin Appl Res.* 2014;9(2):58–65. doi: 10.1016/j.jveb.2013.11.004
54. Hiby EF, Rooney NJ, Bradshaw JWS. Dog Training Methods: Their Use, Effectiveness and Interaction with Behaviour and Welfare. *Anim Welf.* 2004;13(1):63–69.
55. Rooney NJ, Cowan S. Training Methods and Owner-Dog Interactions: Links with Dog Behaviour and Learning Ability. *Appl Anim Behav Sci.* 2011;132(3–4):169–177. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2011.03.007
56. Arhant C, Bubna-Littitz H, Bartels A, Futschik A, Troxler J. Behaviour of Smaller and Larger Dogs: Effects of Training Methods, Inconsistency of Owner Behaviour and Level of Engagement in Activities with the Dog. *Appl Anim Behav Sci.* 2010;123(3–4):131–142. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2010.01.003
57. International Association of Animal Behavior Consultants. *Position Statement on Regulation in Animal Training and Behavior.* Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://m.iaabc.org/about/position-statements/regulation/>.
58. Beerda B, Schilder MBH, Van Hooff JANARAM, De Vries HW, Mol JA. Chronic Stress in Dogs Subjected to Social and Spatial Restriction. I. Behavioral Responses. *Physiol Behav.* 1999;66(2):233–242. doi: 10.1016/S0031-9384(98)00289-3
59. Wemelsfelder F. Animal Boredom: Understanding the Tedium of Confined Lives. In: McMillan FD, ed. *Mental Health and Well-Being in Animals.* Ames, IA: Blackwell Publishing Inc.; 2005:79–91.
60. Dalla Villa P, Barnard S, Di Fede E, et al. Behavioural and Physiological Responses of Shelter Dogs to Long-Term Confinement. *Vet Ital.* 2013;49(2):231–241. doi: 10.12834/VetIt.2013.492.231.241
61. Denham H, Bradshaw J, Rooney NJ. Repetitive Behaviour in Kennelled Domestic Dog: Stereotypical or Not? *Physiol Behav.* 2014;128:288–294. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2014.01.007
62. Barnard S, Pedernera C, Candelora L, et al. Development of a New Welfare Assessment Protocol for Practical Application in Long-Term Dog Shelters. *Vet Rec.* 2016;178(1):18. doi: 10.1136/vr.103336
63. Protopopova A. Effects of Sheltering on Physiology, Immune Function, Behavior, and the Welfare of Dogs. *Physiol Behav.* 2016;159:95–103. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2016.03.020
64. Raudies C, Waiblinger S, Arhant C. Characteristics and Welfare of Long-Term Shelter Dogs. *Animals.* 2021;11(1):1–21. doi: 10.3390/ani11010194
65. Fehringer A, Dreschel NAA. Stress in Shelter Dogs and the Use of Foster Care to Improve Animal Welfare. *J Vet Behav.* 2014;9(6):e11. doi: 10.1016/j.jveb.2014.09.038
66. Kerr CA, Rand J, Morton JM, Paterson M. Changes Associated with Improved Outcomes for Cats Entering RSPCA Queensland Shelters from 2011 to 2016. *Animals.* 2018;8(6):95. doi: 10.3390/ani8060095
67. Griffin B, Hume K. Recognition and Management of Stress in Housed Cats. In: August J, ed. *Consultations in Feline Internal Medicine.* 5<sup>th</sup> ed. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier Saunders; 2006:717–734.
68. van der Borg JAM, Beerda B, Ooms M, de Souza AS, van Hagen M, Kemp B. Evaluation of Behaviour Testing for Human Directed Aggression in Dogs. *Appl Anim Behav Sci.* 2010;128(1–4):78–90. doi: 10.1016/J.APPLANIM.2010.09.016
69. Hunthausen WL. *Assessing the Risk of Injury of Aggressive Dogs (Proceedings).* DVM 360; 2009. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.dvm360.com/view/assessing-risk-injury-aggressive-dogs-proceedings-0>.
70. Rayment DJ, De Groef B, Peters RA, Marston LC. Applied Personality Assessment in Domestic Dogs: Limitations and Caveats. *Appl Anim Behav Sci.* 2015;163:1–18. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2014.11.020
71. Weiss E, Gramann S, Dolan ED, Scotto JE, Slater MR. Do Policy Based Adoptions Increase the Care a Pet Receives? An Exploration of a Shift to Conversation Based Adoptions at One Shelter. *Open J Anim Sci.* 2014;04(05):313–322. doi: 10.4236/ojas.2014.45040

## 10. Euthanasia

### 10.1 General

Maintaining positive welfare for animals in shelter care includes ensuring a humane death when euthanasia is appropriate. All animals and people must be treated with respect during the euthanasia process. Respect includes compassionate handling of the animal and its remains, consideration for the well-being of personnel involved, and compassionate interactions with those requesting euthanasia services. These recommendations apply whether euthanasia is performed in the shelter, the field, or a home setting.

The euthanasia process must be as free from pain, fear, anxiety, and distress as possible. Ensuring a humane death requires proper technique and expertise. To ensure euthanasia practices are suitable for each organization and the animals they serve, a veterinarian with appropriate training and expertise for the species involved should be consulted when establishing euthanasia protocols. Agents and methods deemed unacceptable in the AVMA Guidelines for the Euthanasia of Animals are unacceptable to use in shelters.<sup>1</sup>

Euthanasia decisions are based on the shelter's ability to support the welfare of the individual animal in the context of the population, available resources, and the community. Rarely, there may be severe circumstances in which euthanasia of an entire population (i.e. depopulation) may be considered, such as in the event of a disease outbreak, disaster, or other population level crisis (see [Medical Health](#)). Depopulation must only be used as a last resort when all other methods to address the situation have been exhausted.<sup>2</sup>

### 10.2 Euthanasia process

Euthanasia protocols must be created and followed to support consistent euthanasia practices. Protocols include euthanasia drugs, delivery methods, handling plans, and environmental conditions. Protocols should have options to accommodate individual animal's behavioral and physical needs and ensure human safety. Prompt intervention must occur if complications are noted during the euthanasia process. Complications could include delayed onset of sedation or death, excessive excitement, seizures, or vomiting. Adjustments to the euthanasia protocol may be needed if complications occur frequently.

It is unacceptable to euthanize an animal without confirming that the animal is the individual the shelter intends to euthanize. Using multiple methods to confirm an animal's identity prior to euthanasia is important regardless of intake type. Shelter records, enclosure labels, collars, tags, physical descriptions, and people familiar with the

animal may be consulted to ensure identification is correct. For stray animals, a final check of local missing animal listings should be performed to confirm that there are no matches before performing euthanasia.

Immediately prior to euthanasia, animals must be scanned for a microchip, either to confirm known microchip identity or in case previous scanning was incomplete. Multiple scans of the entire body using proper technique and a universal scanner maximize the chance of identifying a microchip.<sup>3</sup> If a microchip is identified, ownership status requires follow-up before proceeding.

It is unacceptable to euthanize an animal without verifying legal eligibility. Legal eligibility includes verification that the organization owns or has legal responsibility for the animal (e.g. the animal is not on a court ordered or mandated stray hold), or the organization has consent from the animal's owner, or the animal has a documented need for immediate euthanasia to alleviate suffering.

Performing euthanasia in the presence of other unfamiliar animals is not recommended because it may be stressful for animals in close proximity. However, when euthanasia is necessary for a litter of very young kittens or puppies, keeping them together during the euthanasia process may reduce the stress of separation. When the mother will also be euthanized, it is preferable to euthanize her first.

After the euthanasia procedure, death must be verified by trained staff before disposing of the animal's body. The use of multiple verification methods is recommended. Lack of consciousness can be verified by the lack of blink reflex when the eye is touched, or the lack of response to a deep toe pinch. When breathing has stopped, cardiac standstill can be confirmed by the lack of movement of a needle inserted in the heart, or the lack of heartbeat using a stethoscope. Proper verification of death always includes confirmation of cardiac standstill or rigor mortis.<sup>1</sup>

#### 10.2.1 Euthanasia methods

Euthanasia methods must be reliable, irreversible, compatible with the species, age, health and behavior of the animal, and ensure a smooth loss of consciousness followed by death. The use of pre-euthanasia sedation is generally recommended because it improves the experience for animals and personnel. Pre-euthanasia drugs must be administered when their use is necessary for a smooth euthanasia process. Their use is particularly important for animals who are in pain or are showing signs of fear, anxiety, or distress.

Each animal's weight (actual or assessed) must be used to calculate adequate drug doses. The drugs and dosage

used vary by drug availability<sup>4</sup> and the chosen route of injection, whether intravenous (IV), intraperitoneal (IP), or intra-organ (including intrarenal or intracardiac). Each route of administration has benefits and drawbacks depending on the individual animal and circumstance. For example, IP injection is often the most humane strategy for very young or debilitated animals, while IV injection is preferred for pregnant animals. Unless an animal has been verified as unconscious, intra-organ injections are unacceptable.

While necessary in rare occasions in the field, gunshot is unacceptable as a routine method for euthanasia of dogs, cats, or other small companion animals.<sup>1</sup> Inhalation of carbon monoxide is an unacceptable method of euthanasia for companion animals in shelters.<sup>5</sup>

### 10.3 Environment and equipment

A separate room should be designated for euthanasia in a quiet area away from the main pattern of foot traffic. The room used for euthanasia should be well lit and large enough to accommodate the necessary people and equipment. Only people with defined roles in the euthanasia process should be in the room when the procedure is being performed. These roles include technicians or veterinarians performing the euthanasia procedure and handling assistants, owners, familiar personnel, or trainees.

The euthanasia environment must be set up to minimize discomfort and distress and accommodate the individual animal's behavioral and physical needs. Incorporating soft bedding, calm music, and comforting experiences (e.g. talking to the animal, gentle petting, toys, and food) is often beneficial for socialized animals. Other animals, such as wildlife and feral cats, are better served by minimal interaction and opportunities to hide.

All equipment used during the euthanasia process must be easily accessible and in good working order to ensure a safe and humane euthanasia process. A new needle must be used to administer euthanasia drugs to each animal because previously used needles may be dull or burred and cause unnecessary pain. Appropriate personal protective equipment must be utilized during the euthanasia process to avoid injury to personnel or transmission of disease. Euthanasia equipment and surfaces should be cleaned after each use, and the entire euthanasia room should be sanitized regularly.

All drugs used during the euthanasia process must be stored, administered, and documented in accordance with federal and state regulations. This includes keeping a record log documenting each animal's identification, the amount of euthanasia solution and pre-euthanasia drugs used, the amounts remaining in the vial, and the identity of the person performing the euthanasia.<sup>6</sup>

Storage and final disposal of animal remains must be in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations.

Proper storage is important to prevent disease transmission and unpleasant odors, and because medications, including those associated with euthanasia, may create a risk to scavenging animals. It is unacceptable for shelters to euthanize an animal solely for research or educational purposes. However, when shelter animals have already been euthanized for other reasons, and there is a clear benefit to other animals and society, their body may be used for science or teaching.<sup>7</sup>

### 10.4 Personnel considerations

Many states set training requirements and authorize who can perform euthanasia in shelters and under which circumstances. Veterinarians, veterinary technicians, animal control officers, and designated lay staff may be tasked with performing euthanasia in shelters.<sup>1</sup> Personnel performing euthanasia must be appropriately trained and maintain all necessary certification as required by state or local regulations.

The safety and well-being of personnel must be incorporated into euthanasia protocols and policy. Because euthanasia is an important factor in the compassion fatigue, moral distress, and work-related strain reported by veterinarians and shelter staff,<sup>8,9</sup> systems must be in place to prevent, recognize, and address fatigue and distress related to euthanasia in shelter personnel. This includes personnel involved in euthanasia decision-making, those performing the euthanasia procedure, and any who may be emotionally affected.<sup>8,10,11</sup>

Euthanasia decision-making must occur through a transparent process that lessens the decision-making burden on any one individual. Shelters can mitigate the stress of euthanasia on personnel by having clear and consistent decision-making protocols, sharing the decision-making burden, providing mentorship and training to those expected to perform euthanasia, rotating euthanasia performance duties, communicating transparently and sensitively about euthanasia, and holding debriefing sessions.<sup>12,13</sup>

## References

1. Leary S, Underwood W, Anthony R, et al. *AVMA Guidelines for the Euthanasia of Animals: 2020 Edition*. 2020<sup>th</sup> ed. Schaumburg, IL: American Veterinary Medical Association; 2020.
2. Association of Shelter Veterinarians. *Position Statement: Depopulation*. 2020. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.sheltervet.org/assets/docs/position-statements/DepopulationPS3.20.pdf>.
3. Lord LK, Pennell ML, Ingwersen W, Fisher RA, Workman JD. In vitro sensitivity of commercial scanners to microchips of various frequencies. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2008;233(11):1723–1728. doi: 10.2460/javma.233.11.1723
4. Association of Shelter Veterinarians. *Alternative euthanasia methods during pentobarbital sodium shortage*. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. [https://www.sheltervet.org/assets/PDFs/Euthanasiasolutionshortageinshelters\\_final.pdf](https://www.sheltervet.org/assets/PDFs/Euthanasiasolutionshortageinshelters_final.pdf).
5. Association of Shelter Veterinarians. *Position statement: Euthanasia of shelter animals*. 2020. Accessed Dec 13, 2022.

- <https://www.sheltervet.org/assets/docs/position-statements/euthanasiaofshelteranimals.pdf>.
6. U.S. Food & Drug Administration. Code of federal regulations title 21.9: Food and drugs. 2022. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfcfr/CFRSearch.cfm?CFRPart=1304&showFR=1>.
  7. Association of Shelter Veterinarians. Position statement: Use of shelter animal cadavers for educational purposes. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.sheltervet.org/assets/docs/position-statements/CadaversPS2020.pdf>. Published 2020.
  8. Reeve CL, Rogelberg SG, Spitzmüller C, et al. The caring-killing paradox: Euthanasia-related strain among animal-shelter workers. *J Appl Soc Psychol*. 2005;35(1):119–143. doi: 10.1111/j.1559-1816.2005.tb02096.x
  9. Tran L, Crane MF, Phillips JK. The distinct role of performing euthanasia on depression and suicide in veterinarians. *J Occup Health Psychol*. 2014;19(2):123–132. doi: 10.1037/a0035837
  10. Anderson KA, Brandt JC, Lord LK, Miles EA. Euthanasia in animal shelters: Management’s perspective on staff reactions and support programs euthanasia in animal shelters. *Anthrozoos*. 2015;26(4):569–578. doi: 10.2752/175303713X13795775536057
  11. Andrukonis A, Protopopova A. Occupational health of animal shelter employees by live release rate, shelter type, and euthanasia-related decision. *Anthrozoos*. 2020;33(1):119–131. doi: 10.1080/08927936.2020.1694316
  12. Jacobs J, Reese LA. Compassion fatigue among animal shelter volunteers: Examining personal and organizational risk factors. *Anthrozoos*. 2021;34(6):803–821. doi: 10.1080/08927936.2021.1926719
  13. Scotney RL, McLaughlin D, Keates HL. A systematic review of the effects of euthanasia and occupational stress in personnel working with animals in animal shelters, veterinary clinics, and biomedical research facilities. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2015;247(10):1121–1130. doi: 10.2460/javma.247.10.1121

## 11. Animal Transport and Relocation Programs

### 11.1 General

Animal relocation programs involve the transfer and transport of animals from one sheltering organization (the source) to another (the destination). Transport can be local, regional, or international. The purpose is typically to move companion animals from communities with an excess pet population to communities with unmet adopter demand. Shelter animals are also relocated when they require services not available at the source shelter.

For many communities, relocation programs are a critical strategy to support live outcomes. However, relocation carries risks to health, behavior, and safety which can be particularly concerning for some animals.<sup>1-3</sup> Intentionally designed relocation programs consider the risks and benefits for all affected animals and minimize negative impacts through careful selection and planning.

Decision-making in relocation programs must prioritize decreasing length of stay. Holding animals for relocation when live outcomes are available locally can lead shelters to operate beyond their capacity for care and compromise their relationship with their community<sup>4</sup> (see [Population Management](#)). Likewise, when destination shelters accept more animals than they have the capacity to care for, the welfare of both relocated and destination animals may be compromised, and lengths of stay increased.

Shelters transport animals for a variety of reasons, such as local transfer, external medical services, enrichment activities, or relocation. It is unacceptable to transport animals when the transport itself is likely to be harmful to their immediate or long-term health or welfare. Careful management and planning are required to ensure that transporting an animal improves their welfare, and that priority is given to animal comfort and safety.

### 11.2 Responsibilities for relocation programs

All participants in the relocation process must follow federal regulations for animal transportation as well as local or state regulations for both source and destination locations. Departments of Agriculture and Departments of Health commonly have requirements for animals being imported into their jurisdiction. These often include health certificates (i.e. Certificates of Veterinary Inspection [CVI]) and certain vaccinations; there may also be restrictions for age and health conditions. For commercial air transport, organizations must consult with the airline for specific requirements.

Emergency plans must be made prior to transport. These plans include emergency contact information, safe

locations to stop if necessary, protocols to address vehicle problems, and a plan for animal and human medical emergencies. Those transporting animals also need to have contact information for both the source and destination.

Clear direct communication is essential for successful relocation programs. Written agreements between all parties involved in the relocation program should be developed and reviewed regularly. Animal health and behavior must be accurately described and communicated between relocation partners. At minimum, such agreements address medical and behavioral selection criteria as well as transportation and destination requirements.<sup>5</sup>

A contact person must be identified at each transfer point, and a record of each animal's travel from source to destination must be kept. Appropriate, accessible travel records allow tracing of an animal's source and contacts along the route.

Public health and safety must be considered in the design of relocation programs and protocols. Zoonotic diseases with a regional distribution (e.g. plague, rabies, and *Leptospirosis*)<sup>6</sup> and aggressive behaviors require special consideration (see [Behavior, Public Health](#)).

Organizations engaging in relocation should track standard metrics for transported animals. This includes animal demographics, behavioral and medical conditions, and outcomes.<sup>7</sup> Unless there are extenuating circumstances, animals should not be returned to the source even in the event of unexpected medical or behavioral concerns. Transport is a significant stressor for the animal as well as a significant resource investment. If destination shelters regularly find that transported animals are not eligible for adoption, it is important for all parties to revisit selection criteria and program goals.

### 11.3 Responsibilities at the source

As with all shelters, all eligible animals within a source population must be vaccinated at or before intake<sup>8,9</sup> (see [Medical Health](#)). It is insufficient to vaccinate only animals selected for relocation because it leaves the majority of animals unprotected. It is not recommended to hold animals back from transport just to allow response to vaccination or to receive a booster.<sup>10</sup> To prevent the spread of internal and external parasites, treatment for fleas, ticks, and internal parasites is strongly recommended. Ideally, all dogs 6 months of age and older are tested for heartworm disease prior to relocation.<sup>11</sup>

The animal's health and behavior records must be shared with the destination. When required, a valid health certificate (CVI) and proof of rabies vaccination must accompany each animal. Requirements may vary from state to state.

Animals must be examined by trained staff within 24 h prior to travel and deemed fit for transport. The purpose of the pre-transport examination is to look for evidence of infectious disease, and to evaluate the animal's ability to tolerate the impact of the physical and emotional experiences encountered during travel (e.g. prolonged confinement, handling by multiple novel people, and direct exposure to other animals). A veterinarian must confirm that animals with medical concerns or recovering from surgery are fit for transport.

Animals being transported must be provided with visual identification. Collars or tags are routinely used, though in some cases, other techniques may be needed (e.g. marking the inner ear or painting a claw on a neonate). Ideally, animals are microchipped before transport, as this provides permanent identification. To aid in identification of individual animals, each primary transport enclosure must be marked with each animal's unique identifier.

A copy of the manifest for each transport, identifying each animal on board, must be maintained in an accessible location separate from the vehicle itself, in case an accident leads to loss or destruction of the manifest accompanying the animals. For example, a cloud-based digital manifest can be made available to source, transporter, and destination in real time.

#### 11.4 Responsibilities during transport

##### 11.4.1 Primary enclosure and occupancy

For the safety and comfort of the animals, primary transport enclosures must be large enough for animals to stand and sit erect, turn around normally while standing, and lie in a natural position without lying on another animal. Unfamiliar animals must not be transported together in the same primary enclosure. Ideally, animals are introduced and acclimated to the transport carrier prior to transport in order to reduce associated stress.

The primary enclosure must not have sharp edges, and the flooring must prevent injury, discomfort, and leakage of fluids into other enclosures.<sup>12</sup> To improve comfort and hygiene, absorbent bedding must be provided during transport unless it poses a risk to an individual animal's health.

In a transport vehicle, kennels must be positioned in a manner that ensures adequate airflow and temperature regulation within each primary enclosure. Airflow is facilitated by choosing enclosures with vent openings on at least three sides, and maintaining at least 1 inch (2 cm) of unobstructed space between vent openings and adjacent structures. When primary enclosures are permanently fixed to the vehicle so that only a single door provides ventilation, the door needs to face an unobstructed aisle.<sup>12</sup>

Primary enclosures must be loaded in a manner that minimizes animal stress or discomfort while allowing

direct visual observation. Primary enclosures must be secured to prevent movement within the vehicle, and doors secured to prevent accidental opening. In an emergency, operators must be able to swiftly remove animals.

##### 11.4.2 Special cases

###### Cats

During transport, cats should be provided with a hiding space or visual barrier that allows ventilation and monitoring. For example, the kennel door can be partially covered with a towel, or a small hiding box can be provided within the primary enclosure. Stress can be further reduced if cats are acclimated to their carrier prior to transport and provided familiar objects with their own scent.<sup>13,14</sup> Ideally, all cats are provided with access to a litter box during long-distance transport.

Cats and dogs are ideally transported in separate vehicles. If cats are transported in a vehicle with dogs, they must be housed in a physically separate space with special consideration given to visual and noise barriers.

###### Vulnerable populations

Puppies and kittens, geriatric animals, or animals with chronic medical or behavioral conditions require special care during transport. This care includes avoiding temperature extremes, more frequent feedings, and enhanced protection from infectious disease exposure during the transport process. Pediatric and brachycephalic animals are more susceptible to temperature extremes and may require different environmental parameters or alternative modes of transport.<sup>15,16</sup> Kittens or puppies less than 8 weeks old should be transported with their mother when possible and should be transported in a single enclosure large enough for her to lie down with legs extended for comfort and to facilitate nursing. Importing animals under 8 weeks old may be prohibited in some states.

###### Sedation and behavior medication

Behavior medications should be considered when an animal is likely to have emotional welfare concerns during transport (see *Behavior*). Assessment of transport suitability is especially important for these animals. Clear communication between partners is essential when behavior medications are used. Safe and humane relocation programs do not use sedatives or behavior medications to compensate for poor transportation practices.

It is unacceptable for a relocation program to transport animals that are sedated or anesthetized to the point that they are unable to swallow, walk, or thermoregulate. Animals in this condition are at risk of choking, pneumonia, hypothermia, and cardiac and respiratory arrest without continuous monitoring by trained medical personnel.

### 11.4.3 Vehicles

Federal and local statutes for animal transport vehicles and their operation may not be sufficient to ensure humane care or the safety of animals and operators. Department of Transportation (DOT) regulations promote the safety of drivers and those around them and should be followed even when transporters are not licensed or subject to them. Vehicle operators must be licensed and trained in use of the specific vehicle they will be operating. Additional training in accident prevention and techniques to minimize animal discomfort during vehicle operation are recommended. For example, avoiding excessive lateral movement and sudden acceleration or deceleration are important skills to minimize animal stress and injury.

To ensure safe and humane conditions, control over heating and cooling in the animal compartment is essential in any vehicle used to transport animals.<sup>12</sup> Interior temperatures of vehicles in direct sunlight can rapidly exceed safe levels, even when comfortable outside. The temperature of the animal compartment in the vehicle must be monitored, and action taken if low or high temperatures occur. Alarms can facilitate monitoring when drivers and animals are in separate compartments; placing the thermometer at the level of the animals allows for more accurate monitoring.

For animal safety, ambient temperature must be maintained above 45°F (7.2°C) and below 85°F (29.5°C), and humidity maintained between 30 and 70%.<sup>12,17</sup> To ensure comfortable conditions, ambient temperature should be maintained between 64°F (18°C) and 80°F (26.6°C).<sup>17,18</sup> Operators must ensure that air in the animal compartment is fresh and free of vehicle exhaust fumes.<sup>12</sup> To detect poor air quality, carbon monoxide detectors should be placed in the animal compartment.

### 11.4.4 Monitoring and care

Vehicle drivers or animal attendants must have sufficient training in animal health, welfare, and safety to recognize and respond to animal needs during transport. For transports longer than 4 hours, two drivers should be present to monitor and reload animals. Having a second driver

for longer trips allows one driver to rest while the other drives, or to assist in the case of an emergency. At minimum, every 4 hours, the vehicle must be stopped, and a visual observation of each animal must be performed.<sup>12</sup>

If it becomes necessary to remove animals from their enclosures for any reason, safeguards are needed to ensure animal safety and to prevent escape. For example, operators may have a supply of leashes, vehicles may be fitted with a secondary barrier around the exterior door, or protocols may specify closing exterior vehicle doors before opening primary enclosures.

Caregivers are charged with meeting the nutritional needs of transported animals. For juvenile animals, a small meal should be given no more than 4 hours before departure, and small amounts of food should be provided every 4 hours throughout transport. For both adults and juveniles, water must be provided at least every 4 hours during observation stops. Food must be provided at least every 24 hours for adult animals.<sup>12</sup>

Although federal regulations do not address travel distance for companion animals, risks to animal health and welfare generally increase with duration of transport.<sup>2</sup> During transport, driving time to an intermediate or final destination should not exceed 12 hours per day, and loading and unloading of animals should not exceed 1 hour each (see Figure 11.1).<sup>15,19</sup> Confinement for these lengths of time can still present welfare concerns, so efforts to reduce the overall transport duration, including stopping only when necessary and coordinating stops to manage both human and animal needs, are strongly recommended.

Transport that exceeds 12 hours of travel must be broken up with an overnight rest stop at an intermediary location. According to the DOT regulations for vehicle operators, overnight rest stops are at least 10 hours long. Total transport time from the source to a final destination should include no more than 28 hours confined to a transport vehicle, including loading and unloading time and excluding an overnight rest stop.<sup>12</sup>

Dogs must be walked or exercised on trips that require an overnight stay. Animals should never be left unattended in a




Figure 11.1. Maximum cumulative transport time to a final destination.

transport vehicle unless sufficient monitoring capabilities are in place, and attendants are able to immediately respond to animal care needs. When feasible, an overnight facility that can accommodate the housing of cats and dogs off of the vehicle is preferred. Cats may benefit from remaining in their transport carriers, if large enough. Cats must have access to a litter box if being housed overnight. Overnight facilities can include foster homes, shelters, hotels, or transport hubs.

#### 11.4.5 Aggregation

Safe and sustainable transport programs carefully manage different animal populations throughout the transport process. If transporting animals from different sources on separate vehicles is not possible, animals from each source are ideally housed in separate compartments. Whenever animals from different sources are held in the same vehicle or facility, protocols that minimize exposure and cross-contamination between populations must be in place.

#### 11.5 Responsibilities at the destination

The destination shelter must have sufficient trained personnel ready to receive and evaluate animals upon arrival. Communication with transporters is important to ensure that the shelter has enough time to assemble their personnel. Each animal admitted through a relocation program must receive a brief health assessment at intake. This assessment identifies signs of infectious disease and problems that require emergency or follow-up medical care. Veterinary services must be accessible upon arrival. Access might include having a veterinarian on-site, on-call, or available at a local clinic.

The destination facility must have adequate housing prepared for the arriving animals without displacing the existing population. The need for isolation or quarantine of arriving animals is informed by regulatory requirements, animal health status, source organization practices, and infectious disease risk. Quarantines are only appropriate for high-risk animals with direct infectious disease exposure; unnecessary holds increase length of stay and are detrimental to animal health and organizational goals.

Destination shelters should maintain an active working knowledge of the source organization, which includes familiarity with the common diseases, preventive health-care, and biosecurity practices at each source organization. Establishing procedures for continuing assessment, care, and communication after arrival promotes a healthy and successful partnership.

## References

- Anderson MEC, Stull JW, Weese JS. Impact of dog transport on high-risk infectious diseases. *Vet Clin North Am – Small Anim Pract.* 2019;49(4):615–627. doi: 10.1016/j.cvsm.2019.02.004
- Aziz M, Janeczko S, Gupta M. Infectious disease prevalence and factors associated with upper respiratory infection in cats following relocation. *Animals.* 2018;8(6):1–11. doi: 10.3390/ani8060091
- Polak K. Dog transport and infectious disease risk: An international perspective. *Vet Clin North Am – Small Anim Pract.* 2019;49(4):599–613. doi: 10.1016/j.cvsm.2019.02.003
- DiGangi BA, Walsh KS. Behavioral care during transportation and relocation. In: DiGangi BA, Cussen V, Reid PJ, Collins K, eds. *Animal Behavior for Shelter Veterinarians and Staff.* 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley-Blackwell; 2022.
- Doyle E. Medical aspects of companion animal transport programs. 2019. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://learning.theaawa.org/products/120419-medical-aspects-of-companion-animal-transport-programs>.
- White AM, Zambrana-Torrelío C, Allen T, et al. Hotspots of canine leptospirosis in the United States of America. *Vet J.* 2017;222:29–35. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2017.02.009
- Shelter Animals Count. Basic data matrix. Accessed Oct 20, 2022. [https://www.shelteranimalscount.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/BasicDataMatrix\\_SAC.pdf](https://www.shelteranimalscount.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/BasicDataMatrix_SAC.pdf).
- Stone A, Brummet GO, Carozza EM, et al. 2020 AAHA / AAFP feline vaccination guidelines. *J Feline Med Surg.* 2020;22:813–830. doi: 10.1177/1098612X20941784
- Ford RB, Larson LJ, McClure KD, et al. 2017 AAHA canine vaccination guidelines. 2017:26–35. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. [https://www.aaha.org/public\\_documents/guidelines/vaccination\\_recommendation\\_for\\_general\\_practice\\_table.pdf](https://www.aaha.org/public_documents/guidelines/vaccination_recommendation_for_general_practice_table.pdf).
- Digangi BA, Craver C, Dolan ED. Incidence and predictors of canine parvovirus diagnoses in puppies relocated for adoption. *Animals.* 2021;11(4):1064. doi: 10.3390/ani11041064
- American Heartworm Society, Association of Shelter Veterinarians. Minimizing heartworm transmission in relocated dogs. 2017. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.sheltervet.org/assets/PDFs/Relocating%20HW%2BDogs.pdf>
- United States Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service. Code of federal regulations title 9.3.1: Specifications for the humane handling, care, treatment, and transportation of dogs and cats. 2021:47–128. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-9/chapter-I/subchapter-A/part-3>.
- Gruen MME, Thomson AE, Hamilton AK, et al. Conditioning laboratory cats to handling and transport. *Lab Anim (NY).* 2013;42(10):385–389. doi: 10.1038/labani.361
- Ellis SLH, Rodan I, Carney HC, et al. AAFP and ISFM feline environmental needs guidelines. *J Feline Med Surg.* 2013;15(3):219–230. doi: 10.1177/1098612X13477537
- American Veterinary Medical Association/Association of Shelter Veterinarians. Non-emergency relocation of dogs and cats for adoption within the United States: Best practices. 2020. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. [www.avma.org › Reference › AVMA\\_BestPracticesAdoption\\_Brochure%0A](http://www.avma.org/Reference/AVMA_BestPracticesAdoption_Brochure%0A).
- Fitzgerald KT, Newquist KL. Husbandry of the neonate. In: Peterson ME, Kutzler MA, eds. *Small Animal Pediatrics.* St. Louis, MO: Elsevier Saunders; 2011:44–57.
- National Research Council Committee for the Update of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. In: Institute for Laboratory Animal Research, ed. *ILAR's Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.* 8<sup>th</sup> ed. National Academies Press; 2011.
- American Veterinary Medical Association. AVMA policy: Companion animal care guidelines. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.avma.org/policies/companion-animal-care-guidelines>.
- National Federation of Humane Societies. Position statement: Best practices in animal transport protocols. Accessed Feb 4, 2020. <http://www.humanefederation.org/TransferOverview.cfm>.

## 12. Disaster response

### 12.1 General

All shelters should be prepared to respond when directly affected by a disaster. Disasters include natural events such as hurricanes, tornadoes, floods, and fires, or human-made events such as large-scale cruelty cases, workplace violence, and toxic chemical spills. Advance planning is critical to safeguard animal welfare, and to protect human health and safety.<sup>1</sup>

Animal welfare needs described in this document are still present even when a shelter is experiencing a disaster. Deviations from these Guidelines as the result of a disaster should be as brief and as minimal as possible. Good planning helps ensure that these standards can be met under any circumstances. Additional published operational guidelines for animal evacuation & transport, animal decontamination, and emergency animal sheltering may be helpful in planning for and responding to disasters (Appendix H).

A disaster and its impacts may be localized to the shelter, the community it serves, or an entire region or country. Shelters outside of the impacted area may decide to offer aid to affected communities, including accepting and facilitating relocation of animals, sending personnel or resources, or providing advice and expertise. Whether impacted or offering aid, familiarity with disaster response principles is essential.

Disaster response is divided into four phases:

- *Mitigation*: on-going, preemptive activities that reduce the impacts of future disasters on animals, people, shelters, and communities
- *Preparedness*: creating plans to handle specific disasters, training and conducting exercises or drills, and acquiring the resources needed to respond
- *Response*: implementing the disaster plan and adapting as necessary during an event
- *Recovery*: returning to some degree of normalcy in the period following a disaster, this period can last from days to years

### 12.2 Mitigation

Shelters should take steps to anticipate, detect, and mitigate the impacts of disasters. In order for shelters to reduce the impact of a disaster, they must first identify the events most likely to affect them and their communities. Shelters must identify and plan for reasonably anticipated disasters, including those most likely to occur in their geographic area. Once disaster risks are identified, mitigation strategies can be developed and implemented to

reduce the impact of a future disaster. Mitigation might include holding community pet identification and rabies vaccination clinics, reinforcing existing structures to better withstand common weather events, designing shelters according to building codes, and maintaining insurance and liability policies.

### 12.3 Preparedness

Every sheltering organization must have a written plan that outlines the actions the shelter will take in response to likely emergency scenarios. These actions may include services that the shelter does not typically provide, including admission of displaced animals, provision of resources, or relocation of animals to other facilities. The written disaster response plan should be accessible by all personnel, used to train staff during disaster drills, and regularly reviewed and updated.

Disaster response plans must detail how shelters will provide essential services to all animals currently in care, including those in foster homes. Essential services include sanitation, housing, food, and water as well as medical and behavioral care. Plans should detail how necessary supplies will be acquired, and include evacuation strategies in the event that supply chains or utilities (e.g. water, food, and heating or cooling) are disrupted.

Emergency plans should include a process for preemptively relocating the shelter's population in advance of the event when appropriate. Evacuation ensures the safety of relocated animals and creates capacity to house and care for displaced community animals. Even if minimal animal intake from the community is expected, preemptive transport can reduce staffing challenges during a disaster and lessen the impact of facility damage on housed animals.

Since the risk of zoonotic disease spread may increase during disasters, plans must include steps to control transmission.<sup>2-4</sup> These steps include providing wellness care, appropriate disease surveillance, and isolation and treatment of infected animals. Especially important during all disaster events is the consideration and control of rabies.<sup>3</sup> Animal stress and anxiety leads to an increased likelihood of dog bites during disasters.<sup>2,4</sup>

Shelter disaster plans should indicate the personnel structure necessary to provide essential animal care services during a disaster. This structure identifies the critical personnel required and how the shelter plans to fill these roles. The staffing structure needs to be flexible, as animal care needs or personnel availability may be different than were anticipated. Critical personnel may be expected to perform new or additional roles or be recruited from outside organizations.

Training is an essential part of preparedness, as it is important for personnel to know what to do and when. Training specific to the roles personnel will fill during a disaster, including safety considerations, should be provided before starting the work. This training is best provided well in advance for personnel who are expected to respond to disasters but may be provided just prior to involvement. Even experienced personnel may need ‘just in time training’ in order to assume a new role.<sup>5</sup> Exercises and drills are an excellent training tool and allow shelters to evaluate how well the current plan fits the organization’s needs.

Individuals participating in multi-agency disaster responses should complete National Response Framework (NRF) and National Incident Management System (NIMS) training, including Incident Command System (ICS) modules.<sup>5,6</sup> These widely used systems provide a clear chain of command and communication structure, which can be scaled to meet the size and demands of any disaster.<sup>7</sup> Partnerships are most successful when stakeholders are familiar with the shared vocabulary, operations, and processes guiding the response.<sup>8</sup>

Disasters are times of extreme stress for animals and people. Disaster planning should include provisions to address the physical and mental stress experienced by personnel, community members, and responders. Human safety must be the first priority of any disaster response plan.

Shelters can be key team members in coordinated community, state, or national disaster preparedness and response. If a shelter is part of an established disaster response team, a written plan should specify its particular role and the other organizations the shelter will be working with. Shelters responding to disasters as part of a coordinated response should draft memoranda of understanding (MOUs) with their governmental and nongovernmental response partners. MOUs enhance efficiency and secure resources by specifying which personnel, equipment, or facilities will be provided by each organization and clarifying roles and expectations.

#### 12.4 Response

Response plans should be followed as soon as a disaster is anticipated or has occurred. Prompt response ensures critical shelter and community needs are addressed as quickly as possible. The most common challenge faced during a response is communication, both internally and externally.<sup>9,10</sup> When indicated, an ICS should be initiated rapidly to designate and maintain a clear chain of command and communication infrastructure (see [Appendix I](#)).

Each animal admitted during a disaster must receive at least a cursory assessment at intake to check for signs of infectious disease, any conditions that require emergency medical care, and exposure to hazards. This allows staff

to prioritize care where it is needed most and to separate animals to reduce the transmission of disease. Animals admitted during a disaster should be given core vaccines, including rabies and parasite control (see [Medical Health](#)).

Animals must be decontaminated when applicable (e.g. exposure to flood waters, fire retardants, or drug labs).<sup>11</sup> Decontamination typically involves bathing and rinsing, with specific methods and products used depending on the potential contaminants.<sup>5,12–14</sup> Because hazards on the animal may be a danger to animals and personnel, personal protective equipment (PPE) is recommended until decontamination is complete.

As soon as it is safe, shelters must make concerted efforts to reunify pets displaced by a disaster. Animal holding times (i.e. stray periods) and communication with owners may need to be broadened to reflect the challenges of the particular disaster. Using multiple methods to reach owners, including social media, flyers, electronic billboards, or neighborhood ambassadors, may be helpful in facilitating reunification. If an animal is transported out of the impacted area, clear communication between partner shelters regarding roles, processes, and timelines for reunification efforts is important.<sup>15</sup>

Shelters outside of the disaster area accepting impacted animals must be able to provide appropriate care and outcomes for their existing population before volunteering to accept displaced animals. Shelters are required to follow all relevant regulations and legal requirements related to animals even during disasters.

Shelters should have a system for managing physical and monetary donations during disaster response and recovery. Without a system, physical donations can become overwhelming and require valuable time and facilities to manage. Shelters should track resources used during disaster response and recovery. Detailed information, including staff time dedicated to response, may be requested for reimbursement grants from local, state, or federal agencies or private organizations.

Shelters must anticipate the arrival of self-deployed volunteers during a disaster and must address how these individuals will or will not be used.<sup>16</sup> Volunteers may be unfamiliar with response plans and staffing structure, which can inadvertently place themselves and others at risk. However, preemptive planning for volunteer roles, training, and oversight can effectively mobilize this resource.

Responders may include volunteer veterinarians or veterinary technicians; veterinary professionals must only provide medical treatment or services when they hold a license to practice in that jurisdiction or are exempt from this requirement. Even during a disaster, oversight of use and storage of controlled substances must remain with the individual identified as the responsible party on the DEA license for that premise.

### 12.5 Recovery

The recovery period following a disaster lasts until the individual shelter and affected communities return to normal. Even if undamaged, shelters may be challenged by continuing impacts on their community or personnel. If damage to the shelter building, grounds, or local infrastructure is a concern, a full safety assessment must be made prior to resuming normal activities in that area or facility.

Shelters must tailor placement efforts when their community is impacted by a disaster. When local residents are struggling with rebuilding or finding shelter, fostering and adoption are unlikely to be a priority. Adoption events outside of the impacted community, increased shelter partner transfer, shelter-neuter-return, or other creative programs may help address longer lengths of stay.

Ongoing challenges during recovery may disproportionately impact some community members. Shelters should provide additional services that support keeping pets with their owners in the time frame immediately following the disaster. Sustained housing instability is a particular concern; shelters may be asked to assist an increased number of people facing eviction or displacement.<sup>17</sup>

Following a disaster, shelters should debrief and evaluate their planning, response, and recovery processes, so that adjustments to their plans can be made. The period of recovery from a disaster or major event is a natural time to broadly evaluate the effectiveness of programs, services, and procedures within the organization. Furthermore, shelters may decide to maintain changes implemented during the response that were valuable to the organization and community.

### References

- Day AM. Companion animals and natural disasters: a systematic review of literature. *Int J Disaster Risk Reduct.* 2017;24: 81–90. doi: 10.1016/j.ijdrr.2017.05.015
- CDC. Morbidity and mortality associated with hurricane loyd – North Carolina, September–October 1999. *MMWR.* 2000; 49(17):369–372. Accessed Apr 1, 2022. <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm4917a3.htm>.
- CDC. Rabies in Manmade or Natural Disasters. 2011. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. [https://www.cdc.gov/rabies/specific\\_groups/veterinarians/disasters.html](https://www.cdc.gov/rabies/specific_groups/veterinarians/disasters.html)
- Mori J, Tsubokura M, Sugimoto A, et al. Increased incidence of dog-bite injuries after the Fukushima nuclear accident. *Prev Med (Baltim).* 2013;57(4):363–365. doi: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2013.06.013
- Center for Food Security & Public Health Iowa State University. Just-in-Time Training for Responders. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Emergency-Response/just-in-time-training.php>
- Rogers C. *The critical need for animal disaster response plans.* *J Bus Contin Emer Plan.* 2015;9(3):262–271.
- Green D. Chapter 2 - Incident Management. In: *Animals in Disasters.* First. St Louis, MO: Elsevier; 2019:9–20. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-813924-0.00002-5
- Wenzel JGW. Organizational aspects of disaster preparedness and response. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2007;230(11):1634–1637. doi: 10.2460/javma.230.11.1634
- Green D. Chapter 1 - Introduction. In: *Animals in Disasters.* First. St Louis, MO: Elsevier; 2019:1–8. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-813924-0.00001-3
- A'Brunzo G, Bevan L, Garman EM, Lanham L, Schmitz J. *Emergency Animal Sheltering Best Practices.* 2009.
- Gwaltney-Brant S. Managing animals seized from methamphetamine laboratory busts (Proceedings). *DVM360 Magazine.* Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.dvm360.com/view/managing-animals-seized-methamphetamine-laboratory-busts-proceedings>.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Radiation Emergencies. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.cdc.gov/nceh/radiation/emergencies/>.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Radiation Safety: Removal of Radioactive Material (Decontamination). Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.cdc.gov/nceh/radiation/decontamination.html>.
- Federal Emergency Management Administration. Resource Typing Definition for Environmental Response/Health and Safety Emergency Response: Companion Animal Decontamination Team. 2018;(June):1–6. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://rtlt.preptoolkit.fema.gov/Public/Resource/ViewFile/1-508-1229?type=Pdf&q=animal>.
- Barron JF. *Supporting Pet-to-Family Reunification in Disaster by Leveraging Human and Machine Computation.* 2012. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <http://lse.summon.serialssolutions.com/link/0/eLvHCXMwY2BQSEm2NLM0SkxNsZC1SDUzSDFMSTI3T-TVPMgKtZDQ2Ae0bjgo3cfc2dQ4y9kEqzdIEGeTcXEOcPX-RhpWJ8Sk5OvJGZJbBRDKynDQ3FGFiAneJUAJBOF9k>
- Irvine L. Ready or not: evacuating an animal shelter during a mock emergency. *Anthrozoos.* 2007;20(4):355–364. doi: 10.2752/089279307X245482
- Graham TM, Rock MJ. The spillover effect of a flood on pets and their people: implications for rental housing. *J Appl Anim Welf Sci.* 2019;22(3):229–239. doi: 10.1080/10888705.2018.1476863

## 13. Public Health

### 13.1 General

Public health promotes and protects people and the communities where they live, largely through One Health, which studies the connections among the well-being of animals, people, and the environment.<sup>1</sup> The care that shelters provide to animals also impacts humans and the environment. Both within their facilities and in the larger community they serve, shelters must take precautions to protect the health and safety of animals, people, and the environment.

### 13.2 Personal protective measures

Shelter personnel encounter unavoidable risks to their health on a daily basis through normal work activities. Giving personnel the knowledge and equipment needed to mitigate risks is a critical component of workplace safety. Personal protective equipment (PPE) is worn to help prevent the spread of disease and to protect personnel from potentially harmful substances. In order to protect personnel from exposure to workplace hazards, shelters must provide PPE such as gloves, smocks, goggles, face masks, face shields, shoe covers, and ear plugs.<sup>2</sup> PPE must be available in types and sizes to accommodate all personnel, including those with special concerns such as latex allergies.

#### 13.2.1 Hand hygiene

Proper hand hygiene is essential to protecting human health in animal care environments. Personnel should wear gloves when handling animal waste or fluids and should wash hands frequently, especially after handling animals, and after removing PPE.<sup>3,4</sup>

Whether or not a person has had contact with animals, personnel should wash their hands before eating, smoking, or touching their face.<sup>5</sup> As a precaution, personnel and visitors should be discouraged from eating, drinking, or bringing pacifiers, teething toys, or baby bottles into animal housing areas.<sup>3,6</sup> To prevent the spread of zoonotic diseases, animals should not be present in areas designated for human food preparation or consumption.<sup>7</sup>

### 13.3 Workplace hazards

People working with and caring for animals are exposed to a diverse set of hazards. Shelters must comply with local, state, and federal health and safety regulations regarding chemical, biological, and physical hazards in the workplace.

#### 13.3.1 Chemical hazards

Hazardous compounds, including disinfectants, medications, and pesticides, are routinely encountered in animal

shelters.<sup>8</sup> When working with hazardous chemicals, PPE such as eye protection or respirator face masks must be worn as indicated by the product label.<sup>9</sup> A well-ventilated area or fume hood may also be required when working with certain products. Because mixing compounds such as bleach and ammonia can produce lethal toxic gas, Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) requires organizations to correctly label and store chemicals to prevent spills or accidental mixing.<sup>10–12</sup>

When allowed to accumulate or when improperly stored, animal urine and feces can become a significant source of toxic compounds such as ammonia and hydrogen sulfide.<sup>13–15</sup> Shelters must promptly dispose of biological waste (animal waste, animal tissues, and carcasses) in a manner that follows state and local regulations.<sup>16,17</sup>

Shelters must follow regulatory guidelines for the disposal of unused medications.<sup>18,19</sup> Controlled medications must be disposed of or wasted in a manner that follows regulations, prevents environmental contamination, and prevents human diversion.<sup>20</sup> Guidance to reduce waste gas exposure associated with anesthesia may be found in the ASV's Veterinary Medical Care Guidelines for Spay-Neuter Programs and from OSHA.<sup>21,22</sup>

Smoking must not be allowed in animal shelters. In addition to creating a risk of fire, second-hand smoke is harmful for pets and people.<sup>23–26</sup>

#### 13.3.2 Physical hazards

Shelter personnel are also commonly exposed to physical hazards. These include slippery surfaces, loud noises such as barking or clanging metal, animal scratches and bites, job requirements to lift heavy objects and animals, and exposures to needles or other sharp objects.<sup>27</sup> Shelters must follow industry guidelines for the proper disposal of sharps.<sup>28,29</sup> Since the seriousness of physical injuries may initially be difficult to recognize, supervisors must advise persons injured at the shelter or by a shelter animal to seek medical care.

#### Noise exposure

Prolonged exposure to loud noise can damage the hearing of animals and people.<sup>30,31</sup> Both environmental and behavioral noise abatement strategies should be used in animal housing and holding areas (see [Facilities](#), [Behavior](#)). Hearing protection must be worn by employees working in environments where volume is at or above 100 dB cumulatively for 15 min. When volumes exceed 85 dB at any point in time, hearing protection should be worn.<sup>30,32</sup> Several sound level meters are commercially available, including phone apps that measure decibel levels.<sup>33</sup> Hearing conservation programs that include training and regular hearing

testing may be required by OSHA depending on the average noise exposure.<sup>34</sup> Hearing protection is recommended whenever personnel have to raise their voice in order to be heard three feet away.

### 13.3.3 Biological hazards

#### Animal bites

Animal bites are both a physical and biological hazard of significant concern in shelters. Training in animal body language, safe handling techniques, and the use of sedation can reduce but not eliminate the risk of bites (see [Animal Handling](#)). While many animal bites are minor, some are extremely serious with extensive tissue damage. All bites that break the skin carry a risk for infection, which can be reduced by immediately washing the wound.<sup>35</sup> Deep penetrating punctures that close quickly, like those caused by cat bites, are at higher risk of developing a serious bacterial infection.<sup>36</sup>

The public must be prevented from having contact with animals who pose a high risk of biting by clearly marking and restricting access to areas where these animals are held. Shelters must consider public safety when making outcome decisions regarding animals who pose a risk of serious harm. If, after a careful, in-depth risk assessment, the shelter decides that an animal with a history of mild to moderate aggressive behavior is eligible for a live outcome (see [Behavior](#)), a record of all known bite incidents must be provided in hardcopy or electronic form to adopters, fosters, or transfer partners.

#### Human rabies exposure

Animal bites can transmit rabies virus. To allow for appropriate follow-up by public health authorities, shelters must follow regulations for reporting animal bites to humans.<sup>37</sup> At intake, shelter personnel must ask owners or finders if the animal being admitted has bitten anyone within the past 10 days. Because aggression may be a symptom of rabies, animals who have bitten a human must be managed according to state and local regulations, including quarantine of the animal or euthanasia for rabies testing when required.<sup>38,39</sup> Because animals who are symptomatic for rabies succumb to their illness within a week, the rabies quarantine period is typically 10 days.<sup>38,40</sup> In some cases, euthanasia and testing may be preferred over quarantine, especially if the animal is suffering physically or emotionally, or presents a danger to others. If a dog, cat, or ferret dies for any reason within 10 days of a bite, testing for rabies is mandated. Local public health authorities can be contacted with questions about the management of other biting animals.

Because the consequences of rabies exposure are deadly, personnel who routinely work with animals should receive pre-exposure vaccinations against rabies

in accordance with the current recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices.<sup>41</sup>

#### Animal rabies exposures

Shelters frequently admit animals with injuries or neurological symptoms of unknown cause. Though rare, these injuries or symptoms could be associated with rabies virus infection.<sup>42,43</sup> At intake, shelter personnel must ask owners and finders of incoming animals about recent wildlife bites or exposures. During intake health assessments and physical examination, shelter personnel should look for and document evidence of wounds that could indicate a potential rabies exposure. Determining the appropriate quarantine period for an animal potentially exposed to rabies depends on species, previous rabies vaccination, and local regulations. Animals who have potentially been exposed to rabies must be managed with guidance from the NASPHV Rabies Compendium, and in accordance with state and local health regulations.<sup>38</sup>

Shelters should vaccinate all animals eligible for rabies vaccine prior to leaving the shelter<sup>44,45</sup> (see [Medical Health](#)). Community cat vaccination is especially important because cats are the domestic animal most likely to acquire and transmit rabies in the United States and Canada.<sup>46–48</sup>

#### Other zoonotic diseases

Zoonotic diseases are transmitted from animals to people. Although all people are at risk of zoonotic disease, those with exposure to animals, and those with delayed or weakened immune responses due to young or old age, disease, pregnancy, or medical treatments have an increased risk.<sup>49,50</sup> Not everyone is aware of their immune status or chooses to share this information. It is important that shelters implement policies that prevent, recognize, and manage zoonotic diseases.

Many common pathogens in the shelter can pass from animals to humans, including internal parasites (roundworms, hookworms, and toxoplasma), external parasites (mites), fungal diseases (ringworm), and bacterial diseases (*Bordetella*, *Chlamydia*, and *Leptospira*); viral diseases (rabies, influenza, and COVID-19) are less commonly transmitted to people. Even when the animal's health is not significantly affected, timely treatment and management of animals with zoonotic pathogens help prevent spread to people and other animals.<sup>51</sup>

Training personnel to recognize zoonotic diseases is a key step in prevention.<sup>52</sup> In addition to the general infectious disease control measures described in this document (see [Medical Health](#)), shelters should have a protocol for responding to zoonotic diseases, including communication regarding potential exposures. Reporting of some zoonotic diseases is mandated by local, state, and national regulations.

Access to animals with known zoonotic conditions should be limited to those necessary to provide appropriate care. Enclosures of animals with suspected zoonotic disease must be clearly marked to indicate the condition and necessary precautions, such as recommended PPE, handling, and sanitation practices. Shelters must disclose the risk of known zoonotic disease to personnel, transport partners, foster care providers, and adopters. Some states prohibit relocation of animals with zoonotic disease (see [Animal Transport and Relocation Programs](#)).

#### Antimicrobial resistance and emerging pathogens

Bacteria are continually evolving resistance to antibiotics. A key factor in slowing the development of resistance is to use antimicrobials only when truly needed.<sup>53</sup> Routinely using antimicrobials to prevent infection in healthy animals is unacceptable.

Antimicrobial use must be tailored to appropriate clinical conditions, used judiciously, and evaluated for therapeutic effect.<sup>54–56</sup> It is vital that antibiotics are only prescribed when they are effective against the pathogen of concern. To do this in a shelter, treatment protocols for common conditions need to be evidence-based and include specific criteria for diagnosis; which antibiotic, dosage, and duration to use; any follow-up considerations; and when to consult the veterinarian.<sup>57–60</sup> Performing diagnostic testing is strongly recommended when animals do not respond to treatment or display unusual or severe signs of infection.<sup>61</sup> When animals in shelters are managed in a manner that supports their physical and emotional health, the need for antimicrobial drugs is reduced.<sup>62,63</sup>

Some emerging diseases with the potential to infect people, such as influenza, were first identified in animal shelter populations.<sup>64,65</sup> Because shelter populations can be sentinels for emerging diseases, animal shelters should monitor their populations for signs of unusual or severe disease. Poor sanitation practices, close housing of multiple species, housing diseased animals in the general population, and operating over capacity for care can facilitate the spread of disease.<sup>66</sup> Animal population management should be used to reduce the risk of developing novel or emerging pathogens.

#### 13.4 Human well-being

The well-being of shelter personnel is an important One Health concern. Both veterinarians and shelter employees have been shown to have high levels of compassion fatigue, secondary traumatic stress, moral injury, suicidal ideation, and burn-out as a result of their daily work.<sup>67–70</sup> Shelters should strive to become workplaces that emphasize staff wellness through a positive organizational culture, fair pay, hours and expectations, provisions for self-care, and ready access to mental health support systems without repercussions. When mental health concerns

are communicated or observed, personnel should be encouraged to seek professional help.<sup>71</sup>

Being able to provide appropriate care to shelter animals, and seeing their quality of life improve as a result of that care, can also reduce work-related stress for shelter personnel.<sup>72,73</sup> In turn, personnel who are satisfied with their work are more likely to provide high-quality care for animals and stay in the workforce.<sup>73,74</sup> Providing personnel with the skills, resources, and authority to excel at their jobs creates a beneficial cycle, improving human, animal, and population health.

#### References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. One Health Basics. National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases. 2018. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.cdc.gov/onehealth/basics/index.html>
- Occupational Health and Safety Administration. Employers Must Provide and Pay for PPE. 2017;(April):1–2. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. [https://www.osha.gov/sites/default/files/Handout\\_2\\_Employers\\_Must\\_Provide\\_and\\_Pay\\_for\\_PPE.pdf](https://www.osha.gov/sites/default/files/Handout_2_Employers_Must_Provide_and_Pay_for_PPE.pdf)
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Proper Hygiene When Around Animals. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. [https://www.cdc.gov/healthywater/hygiene/etiquette/around\\_animals.html](https://www.cdc.gov/healthywater/hygiene/etiquette/around_animals.html)
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. When and How to Wash Your Hands. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.cdc.gov/handwashing/when-how-handwashing.html>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Hand Hygiene at Work. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.cdc.gov/handwashing/handwashing-corporate.html>
- Smith K, Dunn J, Castrodale L, Wohrle R. Compendium of measures to prevent disease associated with animals in public settings, 2013. *Javma*. 2016;248(5):1997–2001. doi: 10.2460/javma.248.5.505
- Food and Drug Administration: Public Health Service. *FDA Food Code*. College Park MD; 2017. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <http://www.cgdev.org/sites/default/files/More-Health-for-the-Money.pdf%5Cnpapers3://publication/uuid/2A00668B-CF93-4560-B974-A6AC1DBED31B>
- Thomann WR. Chemical safety in animal care, use, and research. *ILAR J*. 2003;44(1):13–19. doi: 10.1093/ilar.44.1.13
- National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. *NIOSH Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards*. No. 2005-1. Cincinnati OH: NIOSH Publications; 2007. doi: 10.1109/icnn.1993.298588
- Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Chemical Hazards and Toxic Substances. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.osha.gov/chemical-hazards>
- Washington State Department of Health. Dangers of Mixing Bleach with Cleaners. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://doh.wa.gov/community-and-environment/contaminants/bleach-mixing-dangers>
- Occupational Safety and Health Administration and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. Protecting Workers Who Use Cleaning Chemicals. 2012:1–3. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. [http://www.epa.gov/oppad001/ad\\_info.htm%0Ahttps://www.osha.gov/Publications/OSHA3512.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/oppad001/ad_info.htm%0Ahttps://www.osha.gov/Publications/OSHA3512.pdf)
- Mielke SR. A Pilot Study of Potential Public Health Hazards in the Animal Hoarding Environment. 2015. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. [http://rave.ohiolink.edu/etdc/view?acc\\_num=osu1429707141](http://rave.ohiolink.edu/etdc/view?acc_num=osu1429707141)
- Neghab M, Mirzaei A, Shouroki FK, Jahangiri M, Zare M, Yousefinejad S. Ventilatory disorders associated with

- occupational inhalation exposure to nitrogen trihydride (Ammonia). *Ind Health*. 2018;56(5):427–435. doi: 10.2486/indhealth.2018-0014
15. Kirkhorn SR, Garry VF. Agricultural lung diseases. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2000;108(suppl. 4):705–712. doi: 10.1289/ehp.00108s4705
  16. Center for Disease Control. *Workbook for Designing, Implementing, and Evaluating a Sharps Injury Prevention Program*. Vol VI.; 2008.
  17. Environmental Protection Agency. Medical Waste. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.epa.gov/rcra/medical-waste>
  18. Food and Drug Administration. Disposal of Unused Medicines: What You Should Know. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/safe-disposal-medicines/disposal-unused-medicines-what-you-should-know>
  19. Environmental Protection Agency. How to Dispose of Medicines Properly. 2011;816-F-11-0:2. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://archive.epa.gov/region02/capp/web/pdf/ppcpflyer.pdf>
  20. Code of Federal Regulations. *Code of Federal Regulations Title 21.2.1317: Disposal of Controlled Substances by Registrants*. 2021. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-21/chapter-II/part-1317>
  21. Griffin B, Bushby PA, Mccobb E, et al. The Association of Shelter Veterinarians' 2016 Veterinary Medical Care Guidelines for Spay-Neuter Programs. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2016;249(2):165–188.
  22. Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Anesthetic Gases: Guidelines for Workplace Exposures. 2020. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.osha.gov/waste-anesthetic-gases/workplace-exposures-guidelines>
  23. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Smoking & Tobacco Use: Fast Facts and Fact Sheets. Office on Smoking and Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion.
  24. Seguel JM, Merrill R, Seguel D, Campagna AC. Indoor Air Quality. *Am J Lifestyle Med*. 2017;11(4):284–295. doi: 10.1177/1559827616653343
  25. Bertone ER, Snyder LA, Moore AS. Environmental tobacco smoke and risk of malignant lymphoma in pet cats. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2002;156(3):268–273. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwf044
  26. Roza MR, Viegas CAA. The dog as a passive smoker: Effects of exposure to environmental cigarette smoke on domestic dogs. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2007;9(11):1171–1176. doi: 10.1080/1462200701648391
  27. Fowler H, Adams D, Bonauto D, Rabinowitz P. Work-related injuries to animal care workers, Washington 2007–2011. *Am J Ind Med*. 2016;59(3):236–244. doi: 10.1002/ajim.22547
  28. U.S. Food & Drug Administration. DOs and DON'Ts of Proper Sharps Disposal. 2011;4(1):1–2. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/safely-using-sharps-needles-and-syringes-home-work-and-travel/dos-and-donts-proper-sharps-disposal>.
  29. Center for Disease Control and Prevention. National Occupational Research Agenda. Stop Sticks Campaign. 2019. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.cdc.gov/nora/councils/hcsa/stopsticks/default.html>.
  30. Occupational Health and Safety Administration. Occupational Noise Exposure. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.osha.gov/noise>
  31. Scheifele P, Martin D, Clark JG, Kemper D, Wells J. Effect of kennel noise on hearing in dogs. *Am J Vet Res*. 2012;73(4):482–489. doi: 10.2460/ajvr.73.4.482
  32. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). Hearing Loss Prevention Program. 2018:1. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <http://www2.worksafebc.com/topics/hearinglossprevention/HearingLossPreventionProgram.asp>
  33. Center for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. NIOSH Sound Level Meter App. 2022. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/noise/app.html>
  34. Occupational Safety and Health Administration. *Hearing Conservation*. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Labor; 2002.
  35. Elcock KL, Reid J, Moncayo-Nieto OL, Rust PA. Biting the hand that feeds you: management of human and animal bites. *Injury*. 2022;53(2):227–236. doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2021.11.045
  36. Ellis R, Ellis C. Dog and Cat Bites (corrected). *Am Fam Physician*. 2014. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.aafp.org/afp/2014/0815/p239.html>.
  37. Center for Disease Control and Prevention, National Occupational Research Agenda. What to Do with an Animal that has Bitten a Person. 2022. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. [https://www.cdc.gov/rabies/specific\\_groups/veterinarians/person\\_bitten.html](https://www.cdc.gov/rabies/specific_groups/veterinarians/person_bitten.html)
  38. Brown CM, Slavinski S, Ettestad P, Sidwa TJ, Sorhage FE. Compendium of animal rabies prevention and control. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2016;248(5):505–517.
  39. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. When Should I Seek Medical Attention? 2022. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.cdc.gov/rabies/exposure/index.html>
  40. Lackay SN, Yi K, Zhen FF. Rabies in small animals. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pr*. 2008;38(4):851–ix.
  41. Rao AK, Briggs D, Moore SM, et al. Use of a modified pre-exposure prophylaxis vaccination schedule to prevent human rabies: Recommendations of the advisory committee on immunization Practices – United States. 2022. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2022;71(18):619–627. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm7118a2
  42. Fogelman V, Fischman H, Horman J, Grigor J. Epidemiologic and clinical characteristics of rabies in cats. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 1993;202(11):1829–1833.
  43. Singh R, Singh KP, Cherian S, et al. Rabies – epidemiology, pathogenesis, public health concerns and advances in diagnosis and control: a comprehensive review. *Vet Q*. 2017;37(1):212–251. doi: 10.1080/01652176.2017.1343516
  44. Stone A, Brummet GO, Carozza EM, et al. 2020 AAHA/AAFP feline vaccination guidelines. *J Feline Med Surg*. 2020;22:813–830. doi: 10.1177/1098612X20941784
  45. Chomel BB, Sykes JE. Rabies. In: Sykes JE, ed. *Greene's Infectious Diseases of the Dog and Cat*. 5<sup>th</sup> ed. St Louis, MO: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2022:260–270.
  46. Ma X, Monroe B, Wallace RM, et al. Rabies surveillance in the United States during 2019. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2021;258(11):1205–1220.
  47. Frymus T, Addie D, Belak S, et al. Feline rabies: ABCD guidelines on prevention and management. *J Feline Med Surger*. 2009;11:585–593.
  48. Levy JK, Wilford CL. Management of stray and feral community cats. In: Miller L, Zawistowski SL, eds. *Shelter Medicine for Veterinarians and Staff*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Ames, IA; John Wiley & Sons. 2013:669–688.
  49. Stull JW, Stevenson KB. Zoonotic disease risks for immunocompromised and other high-risk clients and staff: promoting safe pet ownership and contact. *Vet Clin North Am – Small Anim Pract*. 2015;45(2):377–392. doi: 10.1016/j.cvsm.2014.11.007
  50. The National Association of State Public Health Veterinarians Veterinary Infection Control Committee. Compendium of

- veterinary standard precautions for zoonotic disease prevention in veterinary personnel. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2015;247(11):1254–1276.
51. Babbitt J. *Operational Guide for Animal Care and Control Agencies: Companion Animal Zoonotic Diseases.* 2010:1-47.
  52. Steneroden KK, Hill AE, Salman MD. Zoonotic disease awareness in animal Shelter Workers and volunteers and the effect of training. *Zoonoses Public Health.* 2011;58(7):449–453. doi: 10.1111/j.1863-2378.2011.01389.x
  53. Lloyd DH, Page SW. Antimicrobial stewardship in veterinary medicine. *Microbiol Spectr.* 2018;6(3). doi: 10.1128/microbiol-spec.arba-0023-2017
  54. American Veterinary Medical Association. Policy: Antimicrobial Stewardship Definition and Core. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.avma.org/resources-tools/avma-policies/antimicrobial-stewardship-definition-and-core-principles>
  55. American Veterinary Medical Association. Policy: Antimicrobial Use Guidelines for Veterinary Practice. Accessed Dec 13, 2022. <https://www.avma.org/resources-tools/avma-policies/antimicrobial-use-guidelines-veterinary-practice>
  56. American Association of Feline Practitioners, American Animal Hospital Association. Basic Guidelines of Judicious Therapeutic Use of Antimicrobials. 2006;(January):1–5.
  57. Lappin MR, Blondeau J, Boothe D, et al. Antimicrobial use guidelines for treatment of respiratory tract disease in dogs and cats: antimicrobial guidelines working group of the International Society for Companion Animal Infectious Diseases. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2017;31(2):279–294. doi: 10.1111/jvim.14627
  58. Papich MG. Antibiotic treatment of resistant infections in small animals. *Vet Clin North Am – Small Anim Pract.* 2013;43(5):1091–1107. doi: 10.1016/j.cvsm.2013.04.006
  59. Nelson LL. Surgical site infections in small animal surgery. *Vet Clin North Am – Small Anim Pract.* 2011;41(5):1041–1056. doi: 10.1016/j.cvsm.2011.05.010
  60. Weese JS, Blondeau JM, Boothe D, et al. Antimicrobial use guidelines for treatment of urinary tract disease in dogs and cats: antimicrobial guidelines working group of the international society for companion animal infectious diseases. *Vet Med Int.* 2011;2011: 1–9. doi: 10.4061/2011/263768
  61. Allerton F, Nuttall T. Antimicrobial use: importance of bacterial culture and susceptibility testing. *In Pract.* 2021;43(9): 500–510. doi: 10.1002/inpr.139
  62. Gourkow N, Hamon SC, Phillips CJCC. Effect of gentle stroking and vocalization on behaviour, mucosal immunity and upper respiratory disease in anxious shelter cats. *Prev Vet Med.* 2014;117(1):266–275. doi: 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2014.06.005
  63. Hennessy MB, Willen RM, Schiml PA. Psychological stress, its reduction, and long-term consequences: what studies with laboratory animals might teach us about life in the dog shelter. *Animals (Basel)*2020;10(11):2061. doi: 10.3390/ani10112061
  64. Lee CT, Slavinski S, Schiff C, et al. Outbreak of influenza A ( H7N2 ) among cats in an animal shelter with cat-to-human transmission – New York City, 2016. *Clin Infect Dis Br Rep.* 2017;24:1927–1929. doi: 10.1093/cid/cix668
  65. Anderson TC, Bromfield CR, Crawford PC, Dodds WJ, Gibbs EPJ, Hernandez JA. Serological evidence of H3N8 canine influenza-like virus circulation in USA dogs prior to 2004. *Vet J.* 2012;191(3):312–316. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2011.11.010
  66. Pesavento PA, Murphy BG. Common and emerging infectious diseases in the animal shelter. *Vet Pathol.* 2014;51(2):478–491. doi: 10.1177/0300985813511129
  67. Jacobs J, Reese LA. Compassion fatigue among animal shelter volunteers: examining personal and organizational risk factors. *Anthrozoos.* 2021;34(6):803–821. doi: 10.1080/08927936.2021.1926719
  68. Scotney RL, McLaughlin D, Keates HL. A systematic review of the effects of euthanasia and occupational stress in personnel working with animals in animal shelters, veterinary clinics, and biomedical research facilities. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2015;247(10):1121–1130. doi: 10.2460/javma.247.10.1121
  69. Andrukonis A, Protopopova A. Occupational health of animal shelter employees by live release rate, shelter type, and Euthanasia-related decision. *Anthrozoos.* 2020;33(1):119–131. doi: 10.1080/08927936.2020.1694316
  70. Tomasi SE, Fechter-Leggett E, Edwards N, Reddish A, MD C, Nett RJ. Suicide among veterinarians in the United States from 1979 through 2015. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2019;254(1):104–112. doi: 10.2460/javma.254.1.104.Suicide
  71. Association of Shelter Veterinarians. Position Statement: Well-being of Shelter Veterinarians and Staff. 2022.
  72. Karsten CL, Wagner DC, Kass PH, Hurley KF. An observational study of the relationship between Capacity for Care as an animal shelter management model and cat health, adoption and death in three animal shelters. *Vet J.* 2017;227:15–22. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2017.08.003
  73. Crane MF, Phillips JK, Karin E. Trait perfectionism strengthens the negative effects of moral stressors occurring in veterinary practice. *Aust Vet J.* 2015;93(10):354–360. doi: 10.1111/avj.12366
  74. Powell L, Reinhard CL, Serpell J, Watson B. A survey of veterinary student and veterinarian perceptions of shelter medicine employment. *J Vet Med Educ.* 2021. doi: 10.3138/jvme-2021-0112

## Appendix A: Glossary

### Glossary terms

**Age Category, Adult** – cats and dogs 5 months of age or older

**Age Category, Juvenile** – cats and dogs under 5 months of age

**Age Category, Neonate** – cats and dogs 4 weeks of age or younger

**Aggregation** – gathering animals from different source shelters in one vehicle or location

**Analgesia** – pain control, usually medication or other therapeutics

**Anesthesia** – medications that induce unconsciousness and prevent pain

**Animals in Care** – the number of animals currently housed in the shelter including those housed off-site and in foster homes

**Antimicrobial** – products such as medications and disinfectants which kill or decrease reproduction of pathogens

**Aversive** – equipment or practice intended to cause an animal to stop an undesirable behavior by associating it with an unpleasant event

**Behavior Assessment** – a process of observing and interpreting an individual animal’s behavior throughout their shelter stay, in order to better understand their needs, address welfare concerns, and make appropriate handling, outcome and placement decisions

**Behavior Evaluation** – a structured procedure or test in which an animal’s responses to a series of sub-tests performed one after the other are observed and interpreted

**Capacity for Care** – the total resources (e.g. humane housing, trained personnel, medical care, appropriate outcomes) required to promote positive welfare as described by the Five Domains for all the animals in (or coming into) the shelter’s care

**Certificate of Veterinary Inspection (CVI)** – official document issued by an accredited veterinarian certifying that the animals identified on the document have been inspected and meet the importation criteria of the destination state; also known as a “health certificate”

**Circadian Rhythm** – internal biological process that regulates the sleep–wake cycle and repeats approximately every 24 hours

**Cleaning** – removal of dirt, oils, grime, and organic materials; includes both physical cleaning (i.e. scooping feces, scrubbing dirt) and chemical cleaning (i.e. application of a detergent or degreaser)

**Co-Housing (Group Housing)** – housing more than one animal in the same primary enclosure

**Community Cat** – all outdoor dwelling cats regardless of socialization status; community cats may be owned, unowned, free-roaming, or feral

**Control Pole (i.e. Rabies Pole or Catch Pole)** – rigid metal pole with an internal cable that forms an adjustable noose at one end

**Deep Cleaning (Full Cleaning)** – cleaning followed by sanitation (i.e. application of a disinfectant); used when a cage is heavily soiled, contaminated with infectious pathogens, or a different animal will be occupying the enclosure

**Degreasers** – strong detergents

**Dental Probing** – procedure in which a dental instrument called a “probe” is used to identify and measure periodontal pockets around the teeth

**Dermatophytosis (Ringworm)** – skin disease caused by pathogenic fungal organisms, most commonly *Microsporum* or *Trichophyton* species

**Destination Shelter** – organization that receives relocated animals from a source shelter

**Detergent** – chemical used during the cleaning process designed to break down oils and suspend particles so they can be removed by wiping or rinsing

**Disinfection** – inactivation of pathogens, usually through application of a properly diluted chemical product for a specified period of time

**Efficacy** – capacity for producing the desired outcome; how well something works

**Feral Cat** – unsocialized “wild” domestic cats living outside without human contact; fearful and avoidant of human interaction much like other wildlife species

**Fomite** – any object that may become contaminated and contribute to the spread of pathogens (e.g. clothing, equipment, hands)

**Footbath** – a floor container filled with disinfectant intended to be stepped in to reduce pathogen load on footwear

**Forensic Evaluation** – gathering and reviewing all crime-related evidence including the forensic physical examination or necropsy, diagnostic test results, reports from others involved in the investigation, documentation such as photographs or videos, and evidence collected from the animal and scene, in order to render an expert opinion about the case

**Forensic Physical Examination** – comprehensive physical examination, including normal and abnormal findings, that carefully documents health status, identifies abnormalities, and collects evidence

**Foster Care** – temporary housing in the home of a community member where a shelter-owned animal receives individualized care and monitoring, regular positive social interaction with people, and physical, sensory and mental enrichment

**High Consequence Pathogen** – contagious disease with the potential to cause significant harm or death, spread rapidly, or infect humans

**Humane Investigator** – person who investigates animal abuse and neglect, may work for a shelter or a law enforcement agency

**Importation** – movement of animals into a state or country intended to be their final destination

**Incident Command Structure (ICS)** – standardized approach to the control and coordination of emergency response providing a common hierarchy within which responders from multiple agencies can be effective

**Infectious Dose** – number of pathogens required to cause infection

**Infrastructure** – organizational structures and facilities (e.g. buildings, roads, power, supplies, personnel) needed for the operation of an organization, community, or society

**Intact (Entire, Unsterilized)** – animal with a complete reproductive tract

**Isolation** – housing for clinically ill (symptomatic) animals infected with a contagious disease that physically separates them from those who are not infected

**Just in Time Training** – educational process that provides knowledge and skills at the time they are needed

**Length of stay (LOS)** – period of time (usually in days) that an animal is in the shelter's care; calculated as the difference between the date of intake and the date of final outcome; often used as an average or median for species and life stage

**Liability** – action or omission for which a person or organization can be held legally responsible

**Maltreatment** – behavior towards a person or animal that involves physical abuse, sexual abuse, emotional abuse, or neglect

**Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)** – a document describing the broad outlines of an agreement that two or more parties (usually organizations) have reached

**Metrics** – numerical measures of shelter performance including intakes, returns, euthanasia rates, live outcome rates, lengths of stay (LOS), community services, etc.

**Morbidity** – number of animals infected by a specific disease in a population

**Mortality** – number of animals who die due to a specific disease or condition in a population

**Multi-Compartment Enclosures** – housing with at least two separate areas connected by a door, pass-through, or portal, and allows open access to both sides of the housing except during cleaning or handling

**National Incident Management System (NIMS)** – guidelines that define operational systems for personnel working together during emergencies; provides communities and organizations with shared vocabulary, goals and processes needed to successfully respond to a disaster or incident

**Necropsy** – an animal post-mortem examination (autopsy)

**Neuter** – surgical procedure in which the male reproductive organs (testicles) are removed; occasionally used to indicate surgical sterilization in females

**Orthopedic** – surgical procedure focused on repair of bones and the skeletal system

**Outbreak** – increase in the number or severity of cases of a disease in a population; can include but not limited to disease spread inside the shelter

**Partner Shelter** – in disaster response, a shelter not directly impacted by the emergency but providing any kind of assistance to the impacted shelter or community

**Pathogen** – biological agent that can cause disease, including bacteria, viruses, protozoa, fungi, and parasites

**Pathway Planning** – proactive process of determining the most appropriate outcome for each animal, which steps are necessary to achieve that outcome, and reassessment of the pathway as needed

**Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)** – equipment worn to minimize exposure to hazards that cause workplace injuries and illnesses; also used to minimize transmission

of pathogens between animals (e.g. gloves, gowns, goggles, shoe covers)

**Personnel** – all administration, management, staff and volunteers working at or for an organization, both paid and unpaid

**Physical Description** – includes species, weight, coat color, markings, sex, neuter status, age, and breed when appropriate

**Polishing** – procedure in which paste is used to buff and smooth surface defects in teeth caused by scaling or wear

**Population Rounds** – regular holistic assessment of the shelter population (usually daily) to ensure that each animal has a plan and that all needs and critical points of service are promptly met

**Positive Reinforcement** – rewarding a desired behavior with a pleasant reward

**Practice of Veterinary Medicine** – defined by state practice acts and limited to licensed individuals; diagnosis, prognosis, treatment, and prevention of animal disease, illness, pain, deformity, defect, injury, or other physical, dental, or mental conditions by any medical or surgical method

**Prophylactic** – preventive or presumptive treatment or management of disease before it becomes clinically apparent

**Quarantine** – housing for healthy animals exposed to and potentially incubating a contagious disease that physically separates them from clinically ill or unexposed animals

**Relocation** – program or organized effort to transport animals from one sheltering organization (source) to another (destination) locally, regionally, or internationally

**Return to Field (Shelter Neuter Return)** – outcome process of sterilizing unowned cats and returning them to their home situation after shelter intake

**Risk Assessment** – a process to identify possible incidents or problems, their likelihood of occurring, and steps that can be taken to control or reduce frequency and/or severity of harm

**Sanitation** – process of both cleaning and disinfection

**Scaling** – dental procedure in which tartar or calculus is physically removed from the surfaces of the teeth (manual or ultrasonic)

**Shelter** – organization of any type or size that provides temporary housing for companion animals; includes foster-based rescues, non-profit humane societies and

SPCAs, municipal animal control facilities, and hybrid organizations

**Source Shelter** – organization that prepares and sends animals for relocation to a destination shelter

**Spay** – surgical procedure where the female reproductive tract (ovaries and/or uterus) is removed

**Spot Cleaning** – cleaning process that includes tidying and removal of soiled objects and stains; used when a cage is lightly soiled AND the animal is remaining in the same enclosure; less disruptive than deep cleaning

**Sterilization** – collective term for surgeries that remove the reproductive organs from dogs and cats with the intent of permanently preventing offspring; also known as spay-neuter, neutering, and de-sexing

**Surgical Suite** – separate room of the medical department where surgeries are performed

**Test, Diagnostic** – medical test administered to animals with clinical signs of disease or injury to determine the cause

**Test, Screening** – medical test administered to determine whether a sub-clinical or inapparent disease, condition or exposure is present

**Tethering** – using a chain, rope, leash or cord to attach a dog to a stationary object with the intention of restraining them while unattended

**Transfer (of Ownership or Custody)** – formally handing over possession of an animal to another shelter or individual, typically as a transfer of ownership

**Transport** – movement of animals from one location to another, including intrastate, interstate, and international transportation

**Veterinary Client Patient Relationship (VCPR)** – situation in which a veterinarian has assumed case responsibility, has become familiar with the individual animal, population and/or premises, and has consent from the owner or current caretaker to provide treatment and management of diseases or conditions; defined by state practice acts, often required to perform veterinary services

**Veterinarian, Licensed** – person who holds a current license to practice veterinary medicine in the state in which services are provided

**Veterinarian, Shelter** – veterinarian with experience and training in the practice of veterinary medicine in animal shelters, may be employed or contracted by a shelter, or consulting

**Veterinary Supervision, Direct** – licensed veterinarian is readily available on the premises

**Veterinary Supervision, Indirect** – licensed veterinarian has given either written or oral instructions for management of the patient and is readily available by telephone or other forms of immediate communication, but is not necessarily on the premises

**Zoonotic Disease (Zoonoses)** – infectious disease spread between animals and people

### Abbreviations

**DAPP (DHPP/DA2PP):** Canine Distemper, Adenovirus type 2 (Hepatitis virus), Parainfluenza Virus, Parvovirus  
**FVRCP (HCP):** Feline Viral Rhinotracheitis (Herpesvirus), Calicivirus, Panleukopenia Virus

**ICS:** Incident Command System

**IN:** Intranasal; into the nose

**LOS:** Length of Stay

**MLV:** Modified Live Virus; a type of vaccine

**MOU:** Memorandum of Understanding

**NIMS:** National Incident Management System

**PPE:** Personal Protective Equipment

**RTF:** Return to Field

**SPCA:** Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals

**SQ:** Subcutaneous; under the skin

**TNR (TNVR):** Trap-Neuter-(Vaccinate)-Release

**CVI:** Certificate of Veterinary Inspection

**VCPR:** Veterinary Client Patient Relationship

## Appendix B. Examples of Core Shelter Protocols

---

Management and Record Keeping	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Organizational charts and lines of communication</li> <li>• Documentation of training and proficiency in tasks</li> <li>• Expectations for continuing education by position</li> <li>• How to document and report injuries and incidents</li> </ul>
Population management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Daily monitoring</li> <li>• Population rounds</li> <li>• Pathway planning</li> <li>• Monitoring of population level reports</li> <li>• Housing decision-making and flow</li> <li>• Foster care decision-making and flow</li> </ul>
Animal Handling	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Low-stress handling for a spectrum of situations</li> </ul>
Facility Design and Animal Housing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Individual housing selection and set-up</li> <li>• Co-housing selection and set-up</li> <li>• Safe use of enrichment areas and other shared spaces</li> <li>• Environmental control and modification: sound, lighting, heating, cooling, ventilation and air quality</li> </ul>
Sanitation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Specific procedures for sanitizing various types of primary enclosures (e.g. cage, kennel, rooms) and shared enclosures (e.g. play yards, meet and greet rooms)</li> <li>• Deep cleaning vs spot cleaning techniques</li> <li>• Sanitizing equipment (e.g. dishes, litterboxes, toys, laundry, carriers, cleaning equipment, handling equipment)</li> <li>• Use of personal protective equipment during sanitation</li> </ul>
Medical Health	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Standard preventive care (e.g. intake evaluation, vaccinations, treatments, and testing)</li> <li>• Treatment for common medical conditions by condition and species</li> <li>• Recognition, management and reporting of adverse vaccine-associated events</li> <li>• Anesthesia</li> <li>• Surgical procedures and care</li> <li>• Pain recognition and management</li> <li>• Nutrition and feeding</li> <li>• Emergency care</li> <li>• Care of pregnant, nursing, and neonatal animals</li> <li>• Lines of medical decision-making and communication</li> <li>• Outbreak recognition and management</li> <li>• Isolation of infectious animals</li> <li>• Pharmaceutical management, including controlled substance handling and security</li> <li>• Provision of post-adoption care</li> </ul>
Shelter Surgery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• State/local ordinances regarding sterilization (compliance)</li> <li>• Anesthesia</li> <li>• Surgical procedures and care</li> <li>• Preemptive pain management</li> <li>• Management of postoperative complications</li> </ul>
Forensics	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Scope of investigative services: species, geographic area</li> <li>• Forensic evaluation of animals (alive/deceased)</li> <li>• Collection, documentation, and management of evidence</li> <li>• Expectations for continuing education and training</li> </ul>

**Appendix B continues on next page**

Behavioral Health and Mental Well-being	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monitoring, recognition, and mitigation of stress</li> <li>• Behavioral enrichment by species and enclosure type</li> <li>• Documentation of behavioral observations</li> <li>• Environmental management in consideration of the five senses</li> <li>• Playgroup design and use</li> <li>• Behavioral treatment for common conditions by condition and species</li> <li>• Use of behavioral medications</li> <li>• Housing and enrichment of pediatrics</li> <li>• Risk assessment and mitigation for animals at high risk of causing harm</li> </ul>
Euthanasia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Outcome decision-making process and documentation</li> <li>• Euthanasia procedures and documentation</li> </ul>
Animal Transport and Relocation Programs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Written agreements detailing roles and responsibilities</li> <li>• Relocation process</li> <li>• Monitoring and care during all stages of transport, including overnight stops if applicable</li> <li>• Transportation equipment use and maintenance (eg., vehicles, enclosures, environmental controls and management)</li> <li>• Emergency plans for incidents during transport</li> <li>• Tracking of important metrics</li> </ul>
Disaster Response	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Basic organizational Incident Command System and lines of communication</li> <li>• Detailed actions and procedures that anticipate, detect, and mitigate the impacts of potential disasters by type</li> <li>• Plan outlining care of shelter and community animals throughout response and recovery</li> <li>• Plan for preemptively relocating the shelter's population in advance of impending disasters</li> <li>• Zoonotic disease recognition, management, and mitigation</li> </ul>
Public Health	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identification and mitigation of workplace hazards: physical (eg., bites, injury, noise), chemical, biological (eg., rabies, zoonotic diseases)</li> <li>• Hand hygiene and infectious disease control</li> <li>• Promotion of well-being in the workplace for personnel</li> </ul>

## Appendix C. Personal Protective Equipment During Sanitation

Protective layer	Gloves	Outer clothing layer (gown, scrubs)	Shoe covers or Dedicated boots
Animal population			
<b>Healthy animals</b>	Gloves OR hand hygiene before and after care	Optional	Recommended when entering soiled enclosure
<b>Non-contagious medical conditions</b>	Gloves OR hand hygiene before and after care	Optional	Recommended when entering soiled enclosure
<b>Mild contagious disease (e.g. typical URI, CIRI) or vulnerable animals</b>	Gloves AND hand hygiene before and after care required	Recommended (change after handling)	Recommended when entering soiled enclosures
<b>High consequence contagious disease - diagnosis or known exposure</b>	Gloves AND hand hygiene before and after care required	Required -Disposable gown recommended	Required when entering isolation area
(e.g. Parvo-, Distemper-, or Panleukopenia viruses)			

\*Adjustments based on individual and population disease risk may be indicated. Change PPE between individual enclosures or wards/areas based on disease risk.

## Appendix D. Forensics Resources for Shelters

- Standards and Best Practices
  - Touroo, R., Baucomb, K., Kessler, M, Smith-Blackmore, M. “Minimum standards and best practices for the clinical veterinary forensic examination of the suspected abused animal” in Forensic Science International: Reports, Volume 2, December, 2020.
  - Brownlie, HW Brooks, and R. Munro. “The veterinary forensic necropsy: a review of procedures and protocols.” Veterinary pathology 53.5 (2016): 919-928.
- Books
  - Veterinary Forensic Medicine and Forensic Sciences Eds. Byrd JH, Norris P, Bradley-Siemens, N. CRC Press, 2020.
  - Veterinary Forensic Pathology, Volumes 1&2. Ed. Brooks J, Springer, 2018.
  - Veterinary Forensics: Investigations, Evidence Collecting and Expert Testimony. Eds. Rogers ER, Stern A., CRC Press. 2018.
- Organizations
  - International Veterinary Forensic Science Association (IVFSA). <https://www.ivfisa.org>
  - American Academy of Forensic Science (AAFS). <https://www.aafs.org>
  - American College of Veterinary Pathologists (ACVP). <https://www.acvp.org>

## Appendix E: Environmental Management Considering an Animal's Five Senses

Sense	Description/Perception	Management
<b>Hearing</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Keen sense of hearing: highly sensitive to sounds</li> <li>• Loud and novel noises including the sounds of other animals, such as barking, increase stress and fear</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Minimize loud and sudden noises, including barking</li> <li>• Separate cats from dogs</li> <li>• Separate stressed animals from loud animals</li> <li>• Use white noise to muffle disturbing noises</li> </ul>
<b>Smell</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Keen sense of smell: highly sensitive to odors</li> <li>• Strong and noxious odors increase stress and fear</li> <li>• Animal odors and pheromones may increase stress and fear</li> <li>• Pleasant and familiar smells support positive emotions</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Use odor eliminators and enzymatic cleaners</li> <li>• Avoid strong and noxious odors</li> <li>• Provide pleasant odors such as scent enrichment</li> <li>• Spot clean cat enclosures so familiar scent is retained</li> </ul>
<b>Taste</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Attracted to high protein foods: both taste and smell are pleasant and rewarding</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Offer delicious foods to entice, engage, and create positive associations</li> <li>• Add extra to meals when animals are not eating due to stress</li> </ul>
<b>Sight</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Highly sensitive to motion: rapid movements increase stress and fear or frustration-Greater peripheral vision</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Move slowly, calmly and deliberately; avoid threatening postures (such as direct eye contact)</li> <li>• Block visual stimuli that cause stress (e.g. close doors to block outside activity or place a towel over an enclosure as a visual shield)</li> <li>• Avoid bright lights</li> <li>• Provide visual access to observe natural environments/outdoors</li> </ul>
<b>Touch</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Highly sensitive to touch: certain areas of the body can be especially sensitive including the feet, mouth, genitals, and belly</li> <li>• Highly sensitive to approach and physical contact (all senses); may feel threatened by people leaning over them, approaching head on, or getting too close too fast</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Avoid contact with areas of the body that tend to be most sensitive; observe body language to determine how and where individuals respond best</li> <li>• Approach slowly, steadily from the side, avoid rapid stroking, sudden manipulations, and restrictive restraint</li> <li>• Provide stable footing, non-slip surfaces, address pain promptly</li> </ul>

## Appendix F: Opportunities for Positive Social Contact in the Shelter

Type of social contact		References
Calm interactions with people	Quiet time (e.g. time out of enclosure in an office) Petting, massage	Protopopova et al. 2018 Hennessy 1998 Shiverdecker et al. 2013 Dudley et al. 2015 McGowan et al. 2018 Perry et al. 2020
Active interactions with people	Reading books Play with toys (e.g. fetch, tug)  Walking, jogging  Training using positive reinforcement	Tuozzi et al. 2021 Coppola et al. 2006 Shiverdecker et al. 2013 Hunt et al. 2022 Braun 2011 Menor-Campos et al. 2011 Laule 2003 Thorn 2006 Grant and Warrior 2017 Kogan et al. 2017
Interactions with members of the same species	Group housing of compatible animals (see Facilities: Co-housing) Playgroups (dogs)	Belpedio et al. 2010
Foster Care	Overnight fostering (dogs)	Gunther et al. 2019 Gunter et al. 2021


## Appendix G: Ideas for Enrichment Within Shelter Enclosures

Type of enrichment	Examples	Additional considerations
<b>Feeding</b>	Commercially available or home-made devices that provide mental stimulation by requiring animals to work to extract food such as food puzzle toys, cardboard boxes, or plastic cups (Griffin 2006, 2009a; Schipper 2008; Shepherdson 1993)	Provide to dogs individually because they are competitive eaters; can be given to cats housed singly or in amicable groups (Dantas et al. 2011)
<b>Scent</b>	Certain essential oils, food scents, prey odors, and catnip (Ellis and Wells 2010, Graham et al. 2005, Binks et al. 2018, Amaya et al. 2020, Murtagh et al. 2020)	Pheromone products without a comprehensive plan for stress reduction and enrichment are less likely to be effective (Janeczko 2022)
<b>Auditory</b>	Classical music, soft rock, reggae, nonmusical white noise, audiobooks, or (for cats) species-specific specially composed music (Kilcullen-Steiner and Mitchell 2001; Wells et al. 2002; Kogan et al. 2012; Snowdon et al. 2015; Bowman et al. 2015, 2017; Brayley and Montrose 2016; Hampton 2020)	Choice of sound type and volume is critical. Reducing excess noise from animal and non-animal sources may be more important than adding additional sound. Balance music preferences of animals and personnel to optimize benefits.
<b>Visual</b>	Windows overlooking natural environment Protected outdoor access Visual access to members of the same species Interesting stimuli such as aquariums or bubbles Videos	Enrichment videos may be less helpful for cats and dogs compared to other species, as they Dogs and cats do not seem to spend a significant amount of time looking at the screen and lose interest if the videos are played for extended (i.e. multiple hours) periods of time (Graham et al. 2005; Ellis and Wells 2007).
<b>Tactile</b>	Soft bedding Scratching posts Petting Massage	

## Appendix H: Disaster Response Resources

- Standards and Best Practices:
  - NASAAEP Animal Evacuation and Transportation
  - NASAAEP Disaster Veterinary Care: Best Practices
  - NASAAEP Emergency Animal Decontamination Best Practices
  - NASAAEP Emergency Animal Sheltering Best Practices
  - NASAAEP Animal Search and Rescue
  - FEMA Hazard Mitigation Planning
- Books
  - *Animals in Disasters*, Dick Green, ed. Elsevier, 2019
  - *Animal Management and Welfare in Natural Disasters*, James Sawyer & Gerardo Huertas, eds. Routledge: Taylor Francis Group, 2018
  - *Veterinary Disaster Response*, Wayne E. Wingfield & Sally B. Palmer, eds. Wiley Blackwell, 2009

## Appendix I: Example ICS Chart for Animal Shelters



\*Positions in parentheses are examples of typical shelter roles, with the corresponding operational ICS roles they may fill during a disaster. (See Disaster Response)

## Appendix J: Resources for Workplace Safety

Organization		Area of concern	Website
CDC	Center for Disease Control and Prevention	-United States Health Protection Agency	<a href="http://www.cdc.gov">http://www.cdc.gov</a>
NIOSH	CDC's National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health	-Workplace Safety Guidance	<a href="https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/index.htm">https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/index.htm</a>
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration	-Occupational Health Regulations	<a href="https://www.osha.gov/">https://www.osha.gov/</a>
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency	-Sanitizers and Disinfectants -Indoor Air Quality -Topical Pesticides -Wastewater control	<a href="https://www.epa.gov/">https://www.epa.gov/</a>
FDA	Food and Drug Administration	-Animal Food Safety -Animal Drugs -Medical Devices	<a href="https://www.fda.gov/">https://www.fda.gov/</a>
DEA	Drug Enforcement Administration	-Drug Disposal -Controlled Substances	<a href="https://www.dea.gov/">https://www.dea.gov/</a>
	State Health Departments and Departments of Agriculture	-Reportable Diseases -Animal Bites and Scratches -Animal Carcass Disposal	<a href="https://www.cdc.gov/publichealthgateway/healthdirectories/healthdepartments.html">https://www.cdc.gov/publichealthgateway/healthdirectories/healthdepartments.html</a>  <a href="https://www.vetca.org/">https://www.vetca.org/</a>

---

File ID: 2025-01384

7/29/2025

---

**Establishing a Capital Improvement Project (CIP) and Budgetary Adjustments for Animal Care Enhancements and Improvements Project (C15002200)**

File ID: 2025-01384

**Location:** 2127 Front Street, District 4

**Recommendation:** Adopt a **Resolution:** 1) establishing the Animal Care Enhancements and Improvements Project (C15002200); 2) authorizing the Interim City Manager or designee to transfer \$16,945 (General Fund, Fund 1001) from the Animal Care Safety Improvement Project (C13000850) to the Animal Care Enhancements and Improvements Project (C15002200); 3) authorizing the Interim City Manager or designee to transfer \$215,600 (Measure U, Fund 2401) and \$25,560 (General Fund, Fund 1001) from the Front Street Animal Care Office Remodel (C15100000) to the Animal Care Enhancements and Improvements Project (C15002200), and 4) authorizing the Interim City Manager or designee to transfer \$141,717 (Measure U, Fund 2401) from the Community Development Department's FY2025/26 Approved Operating Budget to Animal Care Enhancements and Improvements Project (C15002200).

**Contact:** Nadia Melgoza, Senior Architect (916) 808-2262 nmelgoza@cityofsacramento.org; James Christensen, Facilities Manager (916) 808-5863 jechristensen@cityofsacramento.org, Department of Public Works; Phillip Zimmerman, Animal Care Services Manager (916) 808-8333, pzimmerman@cityofsacramento.org, Community Development Department.

**Presenter:** None

**Attachments:**

- 1-Description/Analysis
- 2-Resolution

**Description/Analysis**

**Issue Detail:** The City of Sacramento Animal Care Services Division put out an RFP on September 8, 2023, for a Shelter Needs Assessment. The City signed an agreement with Indigo - Hammond & Playle Architects on January 22, 2024. The vendor worked with the UC Davis Shelter Koret Medicine Program, who are shelter medicine subject matter experts on facility design that best meets the

needs of animals, staff, volunteers and the public. The vendor also worked with the City's Animal Wellbeing Commission members, shelter staff, volunteers and some council member's offices and held two charette style input sessions. The final needs assessment was provided to the Animal Care Services Division on September 18, 2024.

The recent audit of the City of Sacramento's Animal Care Services Division, presented to the City Council on May 13, 2025, also identified several infrastructure deficiencies that impact the safety, efficiency, and overall functionality of the shelter, which were addressed in the Shelter Needs Assessment. The facility operates at or above capacity, with outdated and worn components that compromise animal care and staff operations. In response to these findings, targeted facility improvements are being implemented to address critical safety and operational concerns.

The scope of the project includes the repair and modification of guillotine doors and kennel door latches throughout the facility to improve functionality and to ensure secure animal containment. Additionally, the project will remove and replace unsafe concrete curbs and regrade the existing gutter system to improve drainage and reduce standing water issues. To enhance outdoor conditions for both animals and staff, a new shade structure will be constructed at the rear of Building S. These infrastructure upgrades will directly improve daily shelter operations, animal welfare, and staff safety.

**Policy Considerations:** The recommendation reflects the Council's direction as it pertains to public safety, homelessness, and supporting essential core services to be funded in the FY2025/26 Approved Budget. Additionally, City Council must establish a CIP over \$250,000 per Resolution 2025-0162 section 10.2.A.

**Economic Impacts:** None.

**Environmental Considerations:** Establishing a capital improvement project and transferring funding concerns administrative activities and governmental fiscal activities that do not constitute a "project" as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Sections 15378(b)(2) and 15378(b)(4) and are not subject to the provisions of CEQA (CEQA Guidelines Section 15060(c)(3)). Appropriate CEQA review will take place with project improvements.

**Sustainability:** Not applicable.

**Commission/Committee Action:** Not applicable.

**Rationale for Recommendation:** Recommendation is consistent with the directions from the Mayor and City Council. The proposed projects further reflect City Council direction and focus on community development, youth, public safety, homelessness, and essential core services.

**Financial Considerations:** The total estimated funding required for the Animal Care Enhancements and Improvements Project (C15002200) is \$399,822. The \$399,822 will be comprised of \$16,945

(General Fund, Fund 1001) from the Animal Care Safety Improvement Project (C13000850), \$25,560 (General Fund, Fund 1001) from the Front Street Animal Care Office Remodel Project (C15100000), \$215,600 (Measure U Fund, Fund 2401) from the Front Street Animal Care Office Remodel Project (C15100000), and \$141,717 (Measure U Fund, Fund 2401) from the FY2025/26 Community Development Department Approved Operating Budget. Sufficient funding is available in these projects and in the FY2025/26 Community Development Department Approved Operating Budget to support the recommended transfers.

**Local Business Enterprise (LBE):** Not applicable.

## **RESOLUTION NO. 2025-**

Adopted by the Sacramento City Council

July 29, 2025

### **Establishing a Capital Improvement Project (CIP) and Budgetary Adjustments for the Animal Care Enhancements and Improvements Project (C15002200)**

#### **BACKGROUND**

- A. In September 2023, the City of Sacramento Animal Care Services Division initiated a Request for Proposals for a Shelter Needs Assessment, aiming to enhance facility conditions.
- B. Throughout the assessment process, the vendor engaged with Animal Wellbeing Commission members, shelter staff, volunteers, and council offices, hosting two productive input sessions.
- C. The final Shelter Needs Assessment was delivered to the Animal Care Services Division in September 2024, following comprehensive input and evaluation sessions.
- D. A recent audit in May 2025 highlighted critical infrastructure deficiencies within Sacramento's Animal Care Services Division, prompting targeted facility improvements to enhance safety and operational efficiency.
- E. The Facilities and Real Property Management Division collaborated with Animal Care Services Divisions to develop a project scope addressing repairs and improvements totaling \$399,822 to tackle immediate concerns.

#### **BASED ON THE FACTS SET FORTH IN THE BACKGROUND, THE CITY COUNCIL RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:**

- Section 1. The Animal Care Enhancements and Improvements Project (C15002200) is established.
- Section 2. The Interim City Manager or designee is authorized to transfer \$16,945 (General Fund, Fund 1001) from the Animal Care Safety Improvement

Project (C13000850) to the Animal Care Enhancements and Improvements Project (C15002200).

Section 3. The Interim City Manager or designee is authorized to transfer \$215,600 (Measure U Fund, Fund 2401) and \$25,560 (General Fund, Fund 1001) from the Front Street Animal Care Office Remodel Project (C15100000) to the Animal Care Enhancements and Improvements Project (C15002200).

Section 4. The Interim City Manager or designee is authorized to transfer \$141,717 (Measure U Fund, Fund 2401) from the Community Development Department's FY2025/26 Approved Operating Budget to the Animal Care Enhancements and Improvements Project (C15002200).